An infrared dye-conjugated virus-like particle for the treatment of primary uveal melanoma

Rhonda C. Kines¹*, Isabella Varsavsky¹, Sanghamitra Choudhary¹, Debaditya Bhattacharya¹, Sean Spring¹, Roger McLaughlin¹, Shin J. Kang², Hans E. Grossniklaus², Demetrios Vavvas³, Stephen Monks¹, John R. MacDougall¹, Elisabet de los Pinos¹, John T. Schiller⁴

¹Aura Biosciences, Cambridge, MA
²Emory Eye Center, Emory University, Atlanta, GA
³Angiogenesis Laboratory Retina Service, Department of Ophthalmology, Massachusetts Eye and Ear Infirmary, Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA
⁴Laboratory of Cellular Oncology, National Cancer Institute, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, MD

Running title: IR700 dye conjugated VLPs for the treatment of UM

Abbreviations: Human papillomavirus (HPV); Virus-like particle (VLP); Heparan sulfate proteoglycans (HSPG)

*Corresponding author: Rhonda C. Kines, 85 Bolton St. Cambridge, MA 02140 USA; phone: 240-760-7917; fax: 240-541-4502; email: rkines@aurabiosciences.com

Abstract

The work outlined herein describes AU-011, a novel recombinant papillomavirus-like particle (VLP) drug conjugate and its initial evaluation as a potential treatment for primary uveal melanoma. The VLP is conjugated with a phthalocyanine photosensitizer, IRDye 700DX, that exerts its cytotoxic effect through photo-activation with a near-infrared laser. We assessed the anti-cancer properties of AU-011 in vitro utilizing a panel of human cancer cell lines and in vivo using murine subcutaneous and rabbit orthotopic xenograft models of uveal melanoma. The specificity of VLP binding (tumor targeting), mediated through cell surface heparan sulfate proteoglycans (HSPG), was assessed using HSPG deficient cells and by inclusion of heparin in in vitro studies. Our results provide evidence of potent and selective anti-cancer activity, both in vitro and in vivo. AU-011 activity was blocked by inhibiting its association with HSPG using heparin and using cells lacking surface HSPG, indicating that the tumor tropism of the VLP was not affected by dye conjugation and cell association is critical for AU-011 mediated cytotoxicity. Using the uveal melanoma xenograft models, we observed tumor uptake following intravenous (murine) and intravitreal (rabbit) administration and, after photoactivation, potent dose-dependent tumor responses. Further, in the rabbit orthotopic model, which closely models uveal melanoma as it presents in the clinic, tumor treatment spared the retina and adjacent ocular structures. Our results support further clinical development of this novel therapeutic modality that might transform visual outcomes and provide a targeted therapy for the early stage treatment of patients with this rare and life-threatening disease.
Introduction

We recently described the tumor tropic nature of human papillomavirus (HPV) capsids and demonstrated their ability to target a large panel of tumor types both in vitro and in vivo (1). This tropism is mediated through heparan sulfate modifications on cancer cells that mimic the modifications normally found on basement membrane proteoglycans but not the apical surfaces of intact tissues (2,3). Exploiting this broad tropism, the recombinant virus-like particle (VLP) can act as a carrier for the delivery of a variety of cytotoxic payloads to cancer cells. Owing to its complex higher-order structure, this type of carrier may have distinct advantages over existing platforms, such as antigen-driven technologies (e.g. antibodies), as the multi-valency of the VLP permits many sites of interaction thereby facilitating a strong association with tumor cells. Additionally, as a consequence of their size and complex structure, VLPs also have the capacity to deliver hundreds of payload molecules per binding event. Thus, the VLP platform offers unique characteristics that can be potentially exploited as novel cancer therapeutics across broad cancer indications in a tumor-antigen independent manner.

AU-011 is comprised of two recombinantly expressed HPV derived capsid proteins, L1 and L2, that self-assemble into VLPs to which the photostable phthalocyanine photosensitizer IRDye 700DX (IR700) has been conjugated (4). Use of IR700 as a cytotoxic agent was first described by Mitsunaga et al. (5) in the context of tumor targeting using antibodies. This group has demonstrated the potency of this photosensitizer and its ability to directly kill and indirectly potentiate anti-tumor immunity (6,7), and clinical trials are currently underway targeting EGFR positive head and neck tumors (ClinicalTrials.gov: NCT02422979) (8). IR700 has been described to induce potent necrosis mediated cell killing when delivered to a cancer cell membrane via conjugation to an antibody and has several advantages over traditional cytotoxic agents used for targeted cancer therapy (9,10). First, IR700 is cytotoxic only during its activation by light, providing an additional level of specificity in addition to the targeting properties of an antibody or VLP. Second, IR700 is activated at a wavelength of 690 nm and light from this part of the spectrum penetrates relatively deeply into tissues (11). Lastly, based on its mechanism of action, unbound IR700 is not cytotoxic, reducing the risk of off target effects (5). While not yet fully understood, IR700 mediated cell killing is likely attributed to both local generation of reactive oxygen species and localized heating of cell-associated water, resulting in disruption and permeabilization of the cellular membrane (5).

AU-011 is a first-in-class experimental therapy currently being clinically evaluated for the treatment of primary uveal melanoma (ClinicalTrials.gov: NCT03052127). Although it is a rare
disease, uveal melanoma is the most common primary ocular cancer in adults (12,13). Currently, the most widely used first line treatment options for this disease are radiation based therapies and surgery. There are two main types of radiation therapy: plaque brachytherapy, using iodine-125, ruthenium-106, or palladium-103, or radiation applied as proton-beam therapy (13). Both of these radiation-based therapies require surgical procedures to place the plaque, in the case of brachytherapy, or to place fiducial markers enabling localization of the tumor, in the case of proton beam therapy. Alternatives to radiation have been explored, including transpupillary thermotherapy and verteporfin mediated photodynamic therapy; however, these treatments carry their own risks while offering limited clinical benefit (14). Enucleation is often the first line treatment for blind, painful eyes or uncontrolled tumor growth. Although the aforementioned therapies regularly achieve good local disease control, none of them influence the survival rate for patients with uveal melanoma (15-17). In addition, radiation lacks tumor tissue specificity and often is accompanied by irreversible radiation damage to the retina and other ocular structures that can result in severe vision impairment or vision loss (18-20). Despite success in local control of primary tumors with radiation therapy, liver metastasis remains the driver of mortality in approximately 50% of patients (12,13). While great advances in early diagnosis have been achieved over the last few years (21-23), 5-year survival rates have not improved. As with most cancers, early detection and early intervention are likely critical for a positive long-term survival outcome in uveal melanoma. Taken together, these observations call attention to an unmet medical need for the early treatment of small melanocytic lesions or small melanomas in the eye to achieve local disease control and vision preservation with the added potential to improve long term survival outcomes for patients.

Described herein, we have evaluated the tumor specific targeting and light activated cellular toxicity by AU-011 toward a panel of human tumor cell lines in vitro. We further evaluated the in vivo activity of AU-011 using murine subcutaneous and rabbit orthotopic xenograft models of uveal melanoma to explore the anti-tumor potency of AU-011. The results of these experiments support the ongoing Phase Ib clinical trial and provide compelling evidence for further clinical evaluation of AU-011 for the primary treatment of uveal melanoma.

**Methods**

**Production of VLP conjugates (AU-011)**

A mutant version of the HPV16L1 gene (24) and the prototype HPV16 L2 gene were used to produce L1/L2 VLPs in mammalian HEK293 cells as previously described (25). VLPs were...
purified through a series of chromatographic media, namely, sulfate (EMD Millipore) and SPXL (GE Healthcare Life Sciences) cation exchange resin, and QXL (GE Healthcare Life Sciences) anion exchange resin. The final eluate pool contained greater than 90% of capsid proteins (L1 and L2 proteins) on SDS-PAGE. IRDye 700DX (LI-COR Biosciences; IR700) was covalently linked to purified VLPs through N-Hydroxysuccinimide reactive group with groups on the VLP, according to the manufacturer's instructions. Finally, free dye was separated from AU-011 by tangential flow filtration, and final AU-011 product was quantified by BCA analysis (ThermoFisher Scientific).

**Cells and cell culture**

Tumor cell lines were routinely cultured in DMEM (Corning) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (Corning). CHO-K1 and pgsA-745 were supplemented with 200µM proline. 92.1MEL and HSC-3 were kindly provided by Dr. Grossniklaus (Emory, Atlanta, GA) (26) and Dr. Jose Baselga (MSKCC, NY, NY), respectively; HeLa, T24, CHO-K1 and pgsA-745 were purchased from ATCC (Manassas, VA) and all other cell lines were obtained through the NCI Developmental Therapeutics program as part of the NCI-60 panel. All cell lines were authenticated by STR analysis at the time of receipt, determined to be mycoplasma free (MycoAlert; Lonza) and used within 10 passages of their initial freeze down.

**Assessment of AU-011 binding and potency and inhibition by heparin**

The binding protocol has been previously described (1), briefly cells were detached using 10mM EDTA and allowed a 4 hr recovery while rocking at 37°C in culture media. AU-011 was serially diluted three-fold ranging from 0.3pM (7.5ng/ml) to 1000pM (25µg/ml) and was left in sample diluent alone (PBS supplemented with 2% fetal bovine serum) or incubated with 1 mg/ml heparin (Sigma, #H4788) at 4°C for one hour prior to addition to the cells. Unless otherwise noted, for experiments using a fixed amount of AU-011, 300pM was used and when using free IR700, a molar equivalent to the dye content of 300pM AU-011 was used. After recovery, cells were suspended in cold sample diluent at a density of 2 x 10^6 cells/ml and aliquoted into a 96-well plate followed by incubation with AU-011 samples for one hour at 4°C. Cells were then washed, resuspended in phenol-red free DMEM supplemented with 10% FBS and half of the cells were transferred to a black, round bottom plate and irradiated with 25 J/cm^2 of 690 nm light (Modulight ML6700-PDT with MLA kit). The remaining cells served as light untreated (0 J/cm^2) controls. Following light treatment, all cells were allowed a 2 hr recovery at 37°C. Cells were then stained using LIVE/DEAD Yellow fixable stain (as per manufacturer's protocol;
ThermoFisher) followed by 10 min fixation in 4% paraformaldehyde (EMS) and acquired using a BD FACS Canto II outfitted with an HTS (BD). AU-011 is visible in the APC-Cy7 channel and these data were used to determine binding percentage. Data were analyzed using FlowJo v10 and the EC50s were calculated using non-linear regression analysis from curves generated using GraphPad Prism v7.

**Microscopy**

92.1MEL were pre-plated at $2.5 \times 10^4$ cells/ 500µl in a 24-well plate and allowed to grow for 48hr. 7.5µg/ml (300pM) of AU-011 was incubated for one hour +/- 1mg/ml heparin at 4°C then added to the plated cells for one hour at 37°C. IR700 dye was also added in an amount equivalent to the amount that is conjugated to 300pM of AU-011. After one hour, cells were washed twice and then either kept in the dark or exposed to $25J/cm^2$ of 690nm light. Images were acquired within 15 minutes of light treatment using an inverted microscope (Olympus).

**Animals**

Eight week old female athymic nude mice (Charles River, Crl:NU(NCr)-Foxn1\textsuperscript{nu}) and four month old male New Zealand White rabbits (Charles River) were used. Animal studies described herein were approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committees of the National Cancer Institute (Bethesda, MD; mice) and Biomedical Research Models Inc. (Worcester MA; rabbits).

**Mice**

92.1MEL cells were detached using 10mM EDTA and counted. $3.5 \times 10^6$ 92.1MEL cells (>98% viability) were implanted subcutaneously in the hind flank and allowed to grow. When tumors reached a size of 40-80 mm$^3$, they were randomized into treatment groups: vehicle (PBS), 100µg AU-011 or 200µg AU-011. PBS and AU-011 were delivered by tail vein injection, and after 12 hr animals were exposed to $25J/cm^2$ or $50J/cm^2$ of 690nm light using the MLL-III-690 laser (Optoengine) connected with a fiber optic cable to a collimator (25.4mm BK7, CeramOptec). One day later, tumors were excised and processed for viability measurement. Briefly, tumors were cut into 2-3 mm$^3$ pieces and digested in a 37°C shaker in 4 ml PBS supplemented with 2% FBS, collagenase A (Roche) and DNase I (Roche) for 20-30 min. Tumors and released cells were then gently pressed through a 70 µm cell strainer (Corning) and washed with two volumes of PBS supplemented with 2% FBS. Approximately $10^6$ cells were
then transferred to a round-bottom 96-well plate, washed with PBS and stained using LIVE/DEAD Yellow fixable stain (as per manufacturer’s protocol) and fixed for 10 min at room temperature in 4% paraformaldehyde. Data were acquired by flow cytometry as described above.

Rabbits
Rabbits were immunosuppressed with daily subcutaneous injections of cyclosporine (CsA; Sandimmune 50 mg/mL; Novartis Pharmaceuticals). CsA administration was maintained throughout the experiment to prevent immune-mediated tumor regression. The dosage schedule was 15 mg/kg per day for 3 days prior to cell inoculation and 10 mg/kg per day until the end of the experiment. During this period, animals were monitored daily for signs of CsA toxicity, and the dose of CsA was adjusted at the discretion of an attending veterinarian. Tumor cell implantation - The implantation of 92.1MEL human uveal melanoma cells in New Zealand White rabbits has been described elsewhere (27). Briefly, $1.5 \times 10^6$ 92.1MEL human uveal melanoma cells, suspended in PBS, and in some cases PBS + 50% Matrigel (Corning), in a volume of 50–100 µl suspension was injected into the suprachoroidal space of one eye using a bent cannula. The day following surgery and weekly thereafter, all animals were examined by fundus examination. Tumor size estimates were made by fundus observations and optical coherence tomography, and randomized and treated 13 days post-implantation. Tumor sizes were estimated and recorded as size ratio to the optic nerve head.

Intravitreal injections - Tumor bearing rabbits were administered test agent or vehicle by intravitreal injection. Typically, rabbits were anesthetized with ketamine 30–40 mg/kg and xylazine 5–10 mg/kg. After anesthesia, 1–3 drops of 0.5% proparacaine hydrochloride was applied to the tumor bearing eye. After eye fixation, a 29 gauge U-100 insulin syringe was used for injection. The needle was inserted at a 45 degree angle towards the sclera plane approximately 1–3 mm from the limbus, aimed toward the optic nerve head. For the dose response studies, 50µg, 20µg or 5µg of AU-011 or vehicle was injected into the eye quickly and the needle was removed slowly to prevent efflux of AU-011 or vehicle. Antibiotic ointment was applied to the treated eye. For the studies assessing tumor uptake of AU-011, 16.5 µg or 40 µg was administered and tumors were harvested at the designated time points (no laser treatment).

Laser administration – Laser treatment occurred 6-8 hr after AU-011 administration. This treatment (injection followed by lasering) was repeated seven days later. Laser treatment was applied using a slit lamp system with a Zeiss Visulas 690s laser (Carl Zeiss AG) delivering 690 nm light at an irradiance of 600 mW/cm$^2$ over a duration of 83 seconds for a total fluence of 50
J/cm². The laser spot size was set to a diameter of 5 mm and as such, tumors that were greater than this size were lasered with overlapping spots. In cases where a clear distinction of the tumor border could not be delineated due to ocular complications (vitritis, retinal detachment, etc.) the entire suspicious area was lasered. In cases where the tumor was anterior to the equator, only the accessible part of the tumor was lasered which served as an internal control to delineate the effect of AU-011 in the absence of laser activation.

Histopathology – For tumor localization studies, tumors were harvested at the designated time points post-AU-011 injection. Samples were divided into two pieces, one was processed for microscopy as described below and the other flash frozen for lysate production and AU-011 quantification. For the dose response studies using laser treatment, 2-3 or 8-9 days following the second treatment, animals were euthanized and the tumored eyes were removed. Microscopy processing is as follows, samples were fixed in Davidson’s fixative and embedded in paraffin blocks (FFPE). 4–5 micrometer sections were then generated from these FFPE blocks and these were stained with hematoxylin and eosin (H&E).

Assessment of AU-011 tumor penetration and pharmacokinetics

Preparation of Tissue Lysates - Frozen and weighed tissues were first thawed on ice and suspended in lysis buffer (50mM HEPES, pH 7.4 containing 1% Triton X-100, 150 mM NaCl, 1.5 mM MgCl₂, 1mM EGTA). Included in the lysis buffer is Halt Protease inhibitor cocktail (Thermo Fisher Scientific). Typically, 40 mg of tissue was homogenized in 1 ml of lysis buffer. Tissues were homogenized using an OMNI Tissue Master (TMP125-115; Omni International Inc.) at 70% full power for 10–15 seconds bursts. Following homogenization, the samples were centrifuged at low speed to pellet insoluble debris. The resulting supernatant was removed and aliquoted for subsequent analysis.

Quantification of AU-011 by dot-blot or SDS-PAGE - Briefly, samples to be analyzed were first prepared with 4× denaturation buffer (250 mM Tris–HCl pH 6.8, 8% SDS, 5% 2-mercaptoethanol). A standard curve of AU-011 was also prepared by dilution of stock AU-011 in the same matrix as the samples to a high concentration of 625 ng/ml. Standards were prepared through 1:1 serial dilutions of the high concentration standard, and then prepared in denaturation buffer in a manner identical to the test samples. Standards and samples were heated to 95°C for 5 minutes and then assayed by SDS-PAGE or dot blot. For dot blot analysis, samples were applied to a nitrocellulose membrane that had been assembled within a 96-well vacuum manifold. Following the application of the samples, the wells of the vacuum manifold were washed twice with PBS. The membrane was then removed from the apparatus, and
imaged on an Odyssey CLx gel imager (LI-COR Biosciences) to detect the fluorescence of IR700, the conjugate in AU-011. Estimates of AU-011 levels in tissue samples were generated by comparing the fluorescence intensity of the sample to the standard curve. For SDS-PAGE analysis samples were run on Any kD TGX gels (Bio-Rad) with the gel similarly imaged and analyzed as described above.

Results

**AU-011 induces rapid cell death upon photo activation**

To first assess efficacy and specificity, 92.1MEL uveal melanoma cells were incubated with free IR700 dye or AU-011 in the presence or absence of heparin and either exposed to 25J/cm$^2$ of 690nm light or kept in the dark, to serve as control (0 J/cm$^2$). Cells were examined microscopically and images acquired immediately after light treatment (Figure 1). Only cells that were bound by AU-011 and exposed to light were noticeably affected by the treatment. Within minutes following light treatment, cells became rounded and began to detach (also see Supplemental Figure S1A-D for 3-D time lapse rendering). Inclusion of heparin blocked this effect indicating that dye conjugation did not alter the HSPG dependence of particle binding. Some cell detachment was noted in the wells that received heparin, however there was no appreciable difference between 0J/cm$^2$ and 25J/cm$^2$ treatment. Irradiated cells exposed to IR700 dye alone were not killed, reinforcing the importance of delivery of the dye to the cell surface via the VLP for its cytotoxicity (Figure 1, Supplemental Figures S2A and S2B show HeLa and 92.1MEL cells, respectively). Additionally, AU-011 mediated toxicity is directly proportional to light fluence, indicating that it may be plausible to use less AU-011 and more light should the experimental conditions require it (Supplemental Figures S3A and S3B show HeLa and 92.1MEL cells, respectively).

**Breadth and specificity of AU-011 binding and killing**

A panel of human tumor cell lines (ovarian, lung, breast, cervical, cutaneous melanoma, head and neck, bladder and uveal melanoma) were assessed for their AU-011 binding ability and the role of HSPG in this binding. Cells were incubated with a range of AU-011 from 0.3-1000pM and binding was measured by detecting the IR700 signal by flow cytometry. Values were plotted and the EC50s were calculated (Table 1). Cutaneous melanoma (SK-MEL-2) and head and neck (HSC-3) tumor cells demonstrated the strongest binding with EC50s at 11.74 and
10.99 pM, respectively. Lung tumor cells, NCI-H460, demonstrated slightly reduced binding with an EC50 of 133.7 pM. Overall, binding was similar among all lines tested and heparin blocked this binding (Example of curves in Supplemental Figure S4). When cells were subjected to 690nm light, a concentration dependent killing effect was observed. This potency was measured using a cell viability dye detectable by flow cytometry. The EC50 values for cell killing are shown in Table 1, and very little difference could be observed between cell types (range 20-70pM). Consistent with the binding data, cell death was not observed in the presence of heparin (Supplemental Figure S4). To further assess the HSPG requirement for binding and killing, HeLa cells and 92.1MEL cells were combined at a 1:1 ratio with either HSPG-deficient pgsA-745 (28,29) or parental CHO-K1 cells in the presence or absence of AU-011 and exposed to 25 J/cm² of 690nm light. Immortalized parental CHO-K1 cells express modified surface HSPG similar to those found on tumors, thus enabling AU-011 binding and killing (28-30). The tumor cell lines were killed in these co-culture experiments, whereas the HSPG deficient cells remained viable, indicating that there is little bystander effect and that AU-011 must be bound specifically to the target cell (Figure 2A, HeLa cells and Figure 2B, 92.1MEL cells; gating example shown in Supplemental Figure S5).

In vivo activity of AU-011
We initially tested AU-011’s ability to both target and kill 92.1MEL tumors in a murine model. Cells were implanted subcutaneously in nude mice and allowed to establish. When tumors reached a size range of 40-80 mm³, animals were randomized and intravenously injected by tail vein, with vehicle (PBS) or one of two doses of AU-011, 100 µg or 200 µg. After 12 hr, tumors were exposed to either 25 J/cm² or 50 J/cm² of 690nm light and tumors were harvested one day later to determine viability (Figure 3). Some cytotoxic activity was observed with 100 µg, but only in conjunction with the 50 J/cm² light treatment. However, 200 µg of AU-011 was capable of elicting significant tumor killing at both the 25 J/cm² and 50 J/cm² light doses. These data were supportive of AU-011 activity against the 92.1MEL uveal melanoma tumor line in vivo and thus warranted evaluation in a more clinically representative orthotopic model.

Assessment of tumor uptake and pharmacokinetics of AU-011 after intravitreal administration
We assessed the tumor distribution and pharmacokinetics after an intravitreal administration in a rabbit orthotopic xenograft model of human uveal melanoma using 92.1MEL tumor cells. The tumor cells were injected into the choroid space, the initiation site of most human uveal
melanomas. Since AU-011 is fluorescent, a property imparted upon it by the IR700 conjugated molecule, we were able to directly image its presence in cancer tissue after a single intravitreal injection in the tumor bearing rabbit eye. Tumors were divided into two pieces, one for AU-011 quantification by SDS-PAGE analysis and one for microscopic imaging and localization. Figure 4A shows that the IR700 signal on the SDS-PAGE gel corresponds to the input AU-011 L1 protein used in the standard verifying that AU-011 had indeed localized to the tumor tissue. For quantitative measurement of AU-011 in tumor tissues upon intravitreal injection, a dot-blot procedure was utilized. Figure 4B depicts the AU-011 levels, as measured by IR700 fluorescence, in tumor tissue following a series of time intervals after intravitreal injection. The data show appreciable levels of AU-011 in tumor tissue across these timepoints. To verify the presence of AU-011 by an orthogonal method, the 8 hr and 16 hr tissues from the same animals that were processed for AU-011 quantification described above, were also processed for fluorescence confocal microscopy (Supplemental Figure S6A-C show 8 hr tissues and S6D-F show 16 hr tissues). AU-011-derived signal can be observed in the tumor tissue harvested from the rabbits and no signal is present in the saline treated control animals. It has been described that the retinal pigmented epithelium and choroid autofluoresce in the near infrared range (31). This likely accounts for the fluorescence signal that is observed at the tumor edges in both the control and treated animals in Supplemental Figure S6A-F.

Activity of AU-011 in vivo
A study was then designed to assess a dose response to AU-011 in the rabbit xenograft model. In this case, AU-011 was administered by intravitreal injection weekly for 2 consecutive weeks. Laser was applied 6–8 hours following each AU-011 administration. In this study, a total of 16 tumor-bearing rabbits were enrolled and randomized into four dose cohorts, specifically, 50 µg (n=5), 20 µg (n=4), 5 µg (n=5) and vehicle control (n=2). After completing the two weekly cycles of AU-011 or vehicle plus laser treatment, the animals were euthanized either 2–3 days after the second treatment, or 8–9 days after the second treatment. All 16 animals had apparent tumors between 2 and 5 times the size of the optic nerve head determined by fundoscopic examination at the outset of the study. Histopathologic analysis revealed a dose response effect for AU-011. Tumors from the control animals (Figure 5A) were generally free from necrosis except for small focal areas which are not unexpected in a tumor xenograft model. In the 50 µg dose group, the animals that were euthanized 2 days after treatment showed profound tumor necrosis across the entire depth of the tumor tissue, an example of which is shown in Figure 5B. Animals that were euthanized 8–9 days after the
second treatment generally had smaller tumors with evidence of necrosis. In one animal (Supplemental Figure S7A), the tumor was very small and comprised mostly of monocytic infiltrate, with evidence of few cancer cells and no visible damage to the adjacent retina. The 20 µg cohort also revealed a potent anti-tumor effect upon examination 2 days following the final dose. Figure 5C shows considerable necrosis in a large tumor. In the 20 µg samples analyzed 8–9 days after the last treatment, a rabbit with a smaller tumor at the time of treatment revealed a residual lesion with intact retina overlaying the region (Supplemental Figure S7B). In the cohort receiving 5 µg of AU-011, there was evidence of necrosis following AU-011 and laser treatment, but to a lesser extent as illustrated in Figure 5D and Supplemental Figure S7C. Of note, some animals had extraocular tumors that were not accessible by the laser that conveniently served as internal controls. No necrosis can be observed in these extraocular tumors, lending support to the lack of toxicity of AU-011 in the absence of light (Figures 5C, 5D and Supplemental Figure S7C). Importantly, no retinal damage was observed in any of the treated animals further emphasizing the specificity of this treatment and the lack of off-target effects.

Discussion

In this report, we describe a novel VLP/drug conjugate, AU-011, and, through a variety of in vitro and in vivo experiments, demonstrate its robust targeted anti-cancer activity indicating potential utility for the primary treatment of uveal melanoma. Our VLP/drug conjugate is based on the capsid proteins of PV. It is well described that PV attachment and infection requires modified HSPG (namely N-sulfation) and this is the means by which PV-based VLPs interact with cancer cells (1,3,32,33). In the present study, we demonstrate that HSPG-mediated binding of AU-011 occurs across a broad panel of human tumor cell lines in vitro. Of particular importance is the demonstration that HSPG surface expression on tumor cells is critical for AU-011 binding and activity, consistent with previously published work (1).

AU-011, in combination with 690 nm laser light (25 J/cm²) in vitro, was found to have extremely potent cytotoxicity, with EC50 values in the low picomolar range regardless of tumor type. This potency is thought to be attributable to two unique characteristics of AU-011: firstly, multi-valency of binding results in a strong interaction with target cells; and secondly, the ability to conjugate a large number of IR700 dye molecules to a single VLP results in the deposition of
high concentrations of the photosensitizer localized on the cell membrane. This cell association is critical, as the equivalent amount of free dye (not conjugated to the VLP) had no demonstrable killing activity on cells in the presence or absence of light corroborating the findings of Mitsunaga et al. (5). Additionally, AU-011 mediated killing was inhibited by the inclusion of soluble heparin, suggesting that not only is free IR700 inert, but that unbound AU-011 is ineffective. Furthermore, the importance of cell association and HSPG dependence was confirmed when AU-011 displayed no bystander toxicity when co-incubated with a mixed cell population comprised of a 1:1 ratio of tumor cells and HSPG-deficient cells. Uveal melanoma tumors have been shown to express surface HSPG and have increased endosulfatase expression in high grade disease (34,35). Taken together, when considering the use of AU-011 in the context of specific laser photoactivation for the treatment of uveal melanoma, these results strongly suggest the possibility of a treatment with high tumor specificity due to HSPG expression and the possibility to avoid damage to key ocular structures.

The proposed use of AU-011 to treat uveal melanoma utilizing VLPs to deliver IR700 via intravitreal administration would require that AU-011 distributes throughout the ocular tumor tissue prior to activation with 690nm light. Our studies using confocal fluorescence microscopy showed that AU-011 does in fact diffuse throughout tumor tissue after intravitreal injection. Assuming a tumor tissue density of 1 g/ml (31,36), the AU-011 levels in tumor tissue were estimated to be between 28 to 130 pM (Figure 4B), within the range of EC50’s determined for 92.1MEL cell killing (Table 1), indicating that pharmacologically relevant levels of AU-011 were achieved in this tumor model. Sano et al. (37) have demonstrated increases in local tumor vascularity after Ab-IR700 treatment leading to an influx of additional drug into the tumor, which could in turn, be activated with additional light treatments. We are exploring this phenomenon using AU-011, and early data support multiple light treatments as more efficacious, and may also allow use of lower drug concentrations (unpublished data).

The predictions made from our biodistribution studies were tested in a dose response experiment using the orthotopic model in conjunction with intravitreal injection to determine AU-011 activity in vivo. AU-011 elicited profound anti-tumor activity in the rabbit orthotopic xenograft model of human uveal melanoma that has previously been used to test the efficacy of other therapeutics (38,39). Evidence of complete responses and a dose response could be observed by histological evaluation, revealing the absence of cancer cells subsequent to administration of
two doses of AU-011, each followed by laser light photoactivation. The large areas of necrotic tissue paired with the subsequent absence of tumor support the *in vivo* efficiency of the drug.

One of the more appealing aspects of the treatment described herein is its apparent specificity. Presently, in clinical practice, uveal melanoma is treated primarily via plaque radiotherapy, a treatment that involves suturing a radioactive plaque to the sclera in juxtaposition to the tumor. Although this treatment is effective for controlling tumor growth, it is non-specific and results in potentially severe vision threatening complications, including, but not limited to, glaucoma, radiation retinopathy, optic neuropathy and cataract formation (40,41). In our animal model, through detailed evaluation of histopathology sections, we noted clear evidence of retinal sparing both adjacent to, and overlying, treated tumors. It is our expectation that this will translate to better visual outcomes relative to plaque radiotherapy in the clinical setting.

This study is not without limitations. For instance, complications from the xenograft surgery (retinal detachment, hemorrhage, etc.) occasionally made it difficult to visualize the tumor by fundoscopy and thus, obtaining a consistent clinical history was not always possible. Additionally, AU-011 treatment was assessed in xenograft models that utilize immune deficient and immunosuppressed animals. The sustained immune suppression required for the rabbit uveal melanoma model prevented long term evaluation of the effects of AU-011 treatment given that animals cannot be treated with cyclosporine for long periods of time. Despite the eye generally being an immune privileged site, the choroid is highly vascularized, and so it is unclear to what extent a functioning immune system would affect tumor response. Ogawa et al. (7) have reported that IR700-mediated killing has the potential to create anti-tumor immunogenicity which we have similarly observed in immune competent mouse models (unpublished observations). Lastly, it was not always possible to photoactivate the AU-011 throughout the entire tumor, particularly if the tumor was anteriorly located. However, in a clinical setting, it is expected that the patient will be able to move their eye to allow for optimal viewing/lasering, thereby limiting this restriction.

As a proof-of-concept study, the degree of necrosis noted in large tumors in the treated animals, and in particular the complete responses observed in small melanomas, is a promising result that warrants continued clinical investigation. The intended primary target treatment of AU-011 would be small melanocytic lesions and small melanomas. Based on the tumor-specific nature of AU-011, this treatment might control the tumor while preserving vision for patients. It is
possible that treating earlier melanocytic lesions in the eye may ultimately reduce the rate of metastasis and improve long term survival outcomes.

In conclusion, our observations show that AU-011 has the potential to be an effective treatment for the primary treatment of uveal melanoma. AU-011 binds to uveal melanoma cells through heparan sulfate modifications on the cancer cell surfaces and upon activation with 690 nm light elicits potent and selective anti-cancer activity in vitro. In animal studies, AU-011 can be delivered throughout cancer tissue following both intravenous and intravitreal injection and activation by low intensity 690 nm laser results in a potent anti-tumor effect. Collectively, these studies lead us to conclude that AU-011 can have a profound targeted therapeutic effect resulting in dose-dependent tumor necrosis. Our data support the further clinical development of this novel therapeutic modality, as it has the potential to provide a first line treatment option for early stage uveal melanoma that could transform visual outcomes for patients with this rare but life-threatening disease.
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Tables

Table 1. Binding and potency EC\textsubscript{50} values (pM) of AU-011 on a panel of human tumor cell lines

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cell Line</th>
<th>Binding</th>
<th>Killing</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>92.1MEL</td>
<td>27.37 ± 1.385</td>
<td>61.74 ± 2.142</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NCI-H460</td>
<td>133.7 ± 50.94</td>
<td>70.32 ± 28.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HeLa</td>
<td>26.17 ± 3.137</td>
<td>66.24 ± 2.708</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HSC-3</td>
<td>10.99 ± 1.115</td>
<td>24.72 ± 0.918</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MCF-7</td>
<td>53.28 ± 6.519</td>
<td>37.21 ± 4.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SK-MEL-2</td>
<td>11.74 ± 0.956</td>
<td>25.24 ± 1.693</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SK-OV-3</td>
<td>23.2 ± 1.414</td>
<td>37.86 ± 6.831</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T24</td>
<td>41.12 ± 5.142</td>
<td>60.1 ± 18.53</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values represent mean pM ± SEM, obtained from three experiments performed in triplicate.
Figure Legends

Figure 1. Rapid HSPG mediated tumor killing by AU-011. 92.1 cells were pre-plated at 2.5x10^4/500µl in a 24-well plate and allowed to grow for 48hr. 7.5µg/ml (300pM) of AU-011 was incubated for one hour +/- 1mg/ml heparin at 4°C then added to the cells for one hour at 37°C. IR700 dye was also added in an amount equivalent to that which is conjugated to 300pM of AU-011. After one hour, cells were washed twice and then either kept in the dark or exposed to 25J/cm² of 690nm light. Images were acquired within 15 minutes of light treatment.

Figure 2. HSPG specificity of AU-011 activity. HeLa (A) or 92.1MEL (B) cells were combined at a 1:1 ratio with either CHO-K1 (gray bar) or pgsA-745 cells (white bar) and co-incubated with AU-011 at 300 pM for one hour at 4°C. Cells were washed and then exposed to 25 J/cm² or kept in the dark (0 J/cm²). Prior to combining cell lines, human cell lines were incubated with CFSE and hamster cell lines were incubated with CellTrace Violet in order to distinguish the populations for flow cytometry analysis (example of the analysis provided in Supplemental Figure S5). Data are reported as % dead as determined by viability staining. Values plotted are the mean of two experiments performed in triplicate and error bars represent the SEM.

Figure 3. Dose dependent AU-011 tumor killing in vivo. AU-011 was systemically administered to nude mice with subcutaneous 92.1 tumors (40-80mm³). After 12 hr, animals were exposed to 25J/cm² or 50J/cm² 690nm light. Tumors were removed after 24 hr, homogenized and viability measured by flow cytometry. Vehicle, n=3; AU-011, n=5. Data are representative of two experiments. Two-tailed student’s T-test: * p = 0.0091, ** p = 0.0071.

Figure 4. Accumulation of AU-011 in 92.1MEL ocular tumors after intravitreal injection. (A) SDS-PAGE of tumor lysates from samples used in confocal microscopy (see Supplemental Figure S6A-F) and analyzed on Odyssey CLx imager at 700 nm. Two examples each of rabbit 92.1MEL tumor lysates 8 hr (rabbits 1.2 and 1.3) or 16 hr (rabbits 3.3 and 3.4) post IVT injection. The negative control received vehicle only (rabbit 6.2). The arrow indicates the predominant L1 protein in AU-011. (B) Measurement of AU-011 levels in tumor tissue lysates. Each dot represents AU-011 levels measured in the tumor recovered from one animal.

Figure 5. AU-011 anti-cancer activity in a rabbit orthotopic xenograft model of uveal melanoma. Panels show H&E stained sections of tumor bearing rabbit eyes from the vehicle (A), 50 µg (B), 20 µg (C) and 5 µg (D) treated cohorts 2 days after treatment. Higher magnifications shown on the right are demarcated by black boxes in the overview images on the left.
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