Verticillin A Causes Apoptosis and Reduces Tumor Burden in High-Grade Serous Ovarian Cancer by Inducing DNA Damage
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ABSTRACT

High-grade serous ovarian cancer (HGSOC) is the most lethal gynecological malignancy in women worldwide and the fifth most common cause of cancer-related deaths among U.S. women. New therapies are needed to treat HGSOC, particularly because most patients develop resistance to current first-line therapies. Many natural product and fungal metabolites exhibit anticancer activity and represent an untapped reservoir of potential new agents with unique mechanisms of action. Verticillin A, an epipolythiodioxopiperazine alkaloid, is one such compound, and our recent advances in fermentation and isolation are now enabling evaluation of its anticancer activity. Verticillin A demonstrated cytotoxicity in HGSOC cell lines in a dose-dependent manner with a low nmol/L IC50. Furthermore, treatment with verticillin A induced DNA damage and caused apoptosis in HGSOC cell lines OVCAR4 and OVCAR8. RNA-Seq analysis of verticillin A–treated OVCAR8 cells revealed an enrichment of transcripts in the apoptosis signaling and the oxidative stress response pathways. Mass spectrometry histone profiling confirmed reports that verticillin A caused epigenetic modifications with global changes in histone methylation and acetylation marks. To facilitate in vivo delivery of verticillin A and to monitor its ability to reduce HGSOC tumor burden, verticillin A was encapsulated into an expansible nanoparticle (verticillin A-eNP) delivery system. In an in vivo human ovarian cancer xenograft model, verticillin A-eNPs decreased tumor growth and exhibited reduced liver toxicity compared with verticillin A administered alone. This study confirmed that verticillin A has therapeutic potential for treatment of HGSOC and that encapsulation into expansible nanoparticles reduced liver toxicity.

Introduction

Ovarian cancer is the fifth leading cause of death among women and is the most lethal tumor type of the female reproductive tract (1). In 2019, an estimated 22,530 women in United States will be diagnosed with ovarian cancer and about 13,980 women will succumb to the disease (2). The most common and deadly histologic subtype of ovarian cancer is high-grade serous ovarian cancer (HGSOC). Current treatment for HGSOC entails cytoreductive surgery and chemotherapy. However, most patients develop chemoresistance and ultimately die from recurrent disease. Chemoresistance is multi-faceted and likely arises both from mechanisms of resistance to the current therapy, paclitaxel and carboplatin, or through immune cell evasion (3, 4). Chemoresistance problem is through the investigation of natural product drug leads with both unique chemical structures and modes of action, such as the verticillins (5–7). The verticillins are epipolythiodioxopiperazine alkaloids, and largely exist as dimers containing both diketopiperazine moieties and disulfide bridges. This group of secondary metabolites is usually isolated from terrestrial and marine filamentous fungi, such as Verticillium sp., Penicillium sp., and Gliocladium sp. that belong to Sordariomycetes and Eurotiomycetes (8, 9). Verticillium A was the first discovered analogue in 1970, and to date, 27 verticillin analogues are described in the literature (8–10). Enabled by recent advances in fungi fermentation and isolation, the role of verticillin A as an anticancer agent is actively being investigated (8, 11). Verticillumin A induces cytotoxicity in human breast carcinoma, large-cell lung carcinoma, astrocytoma, colorectal adenocarcinoma, and melanoma cell lines (8). Mechanistically, verticillin A selectively inhibits histone methyltransferases (HMTases) such as SUV39H1, SUV39H2, G9a, HTM, MLL1, and GLP (12, 13). In colon carcinoma, verticillin A inhibited H3K9 methylation on the FAS promoter, restored Fas expression, and caused cell death by apoptosis (12). Furthermore, mice treated with a combination of verticillin A and 5-fluorouracil displayed significantly smaller tumors and sensitized metastatic colon carcinoma cells to 5-fluorouracil (12). In addition, verticillin A suppressed metastatic colon cancer cell immune evasion and chemoresistance (12). In pancreatic cancer cells, verticillin A inhibited MLL1, a HMTase responsible for H3K4 methylation, leading to decreases in H3K4me3 levels and PD-L1 expression. Lower PD-L1 expression led to reduced binding to PD-1 and activation of an immune response along with an enhanced chemotherapeutic response (13). In a recent study with pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma cells, verticillin A differentially altered H3K9me3 and H3K4me3 levels leading to expression of proapoptotic genes and inhibition of antiapoptotic genes (14).

Because verticillin A acts through a variety of HMTases resulting in epigenome changes by histone marks, the genomic landscape of...
different tumor types that arise from different tissues will dictate gene expression; thus, defining the anticancer action. Therefore, to determine whether ovarian cancer might respond to this class of compounds, this study focused on the mode of action of verticillin A in HGSOC cells. Verticillin A induced cell death in HGSOC cells and, when encapsulated into a nanoparticle, it reduced toxicity and tumor burden in vivo. Specifically, verticillin A altered histones, significantly changed gene expression, and induced DNA damage via oxidative stress. DNA damage leading to γH2.AX foci occurred rapidly and cotreatment with n-acetyl-cysteine blocked apoptosis caused by verticillin A. Animals treated with verticillin A–loaded expansile nanoparticles (verticillin A–eNPs) reduced tumor burden in vivo and showed no morphologic signs of liver toxicity compared with free verticillin A. Together, these findings validate verticillin A as a potential anticancer compound with a novel mechanism of action in HGSOC and highlight verticillin A–eNPs as a promising drug delivery strategy.

Materials and Methods

Verticillin A purification and synthesis of expansile nanoparticles
Verticillin A (Supplementary Fig. S3A) was isolated and characterized from Clonostachys rogersianiana (strain MSX59553) as detailed previously following a recently published fermentation optimization strategy (8, 11). The purity of the isolated verticillin A was assessed via UPLC and 1H-NMR (Supplementary Fig. S3B and S3C). The synthesis of verticillin A–eNPs and eNPs was performed using a miniemulsion polymerization method (Supplementary Fig. S2A) as described previously (15–17). Scanned electron microscope images were taken (Supplementary Fig. S2B), and a calibration curve of verticillin A was built to calculate the encapsulation efficiency, which was found to be 76.9% (Supplementary Fig. S2C). These data demonstrate the presence of 0.9 mg of verticillin A/mL of the expansile nanoparticles solution. Nanoparticles were stored at 4°C until the time of dosage.

Compounds/reagents
Verticillin A, UNC0638 (Sigma #U4885), and taxol/paclitaxel (Sigma #T7402) were resuspended in DMSO. N-Acetyl-L-cysteine (Sigma #A9165) was freshly prepared using sterile deionized water. Verticillin A (Supplementary Fig. S3A) was isolated and characterized from Clonostachys rogersianiana (strain MSX59553) as detailed previously following a recently published fermentation optimization strategy (8, 11). The purity of the isolated verticillin A was assessed via UPLC and 1H-NMR (Supplementary Fig. S3B and S3C). The synthesis of verticillin A–eNPs and eNPs was performed using a miniemulsion polymerization method (Supplementary Fig. S2A) as described previously (15–17). Scanned electron microscope images were taken (Supplementary Fig. S2B), and a calibration curve of verticillin A was built to calculate the encapsulation efficiency, which was found to be 76.9% (Supplementary Fig. S2C). These data demonstrate the presence of 0.9 mg of verticillin A/mL of the expansile nanoparticles solution. Nanoparticles were stored at 4°C until the time of dosage.

Cell culture
OVCA8R and OVCA8-RFP (OVCA8 cells expressing red fluorescent protein (RFP)) were a gift from Sharon Stack at the University of Notre Dame (Notre Dame, Indiana) and immortalized human ovarian surface epithelial cells (IOSE80) were a gift from Ronny Drapkin at the University of Pennsylvania (Philadelphia, PA). OVSAHO cells (Japanese Cell Bank) were grown in RPMI1640 supplemented with 10% FBS and penicillin/streptomycin (final concentration: 100 U/mL and 100 μg/mL, respectively). OVCA4R cells (NCI) were grown in RPMI1640 supplemented with 10% FBS, and penicillin/streptomycin. OVCA8R, OVCA8-RFP, HEPG2, and U87 cells were grown in DMEM with 10% FBS and penicillin/streptomycin. IOSE80 cells were grown in v/v 50% Medium 199 and v/v 50% MCBD with 15% FBS, penicillin/streptomycin, l-glutamine (2 mmol/L), and 11 ng/mL epithelial growth factor. FT33 cells were grown in DMEM-Ham’s F12 supplemented with penicillin/streptomycin and 2% Ultraser-G. All cultured cells were Mycoplasma free and validated by short tandem repeat analysis in 2017. Cells were passaged a maximum of 20 times and maintained in a humidified incubator at 37°C in a 5% CO2 environment.

Cell viability assay
A total of 3,000 cells were seeded in triplicates in a clear flat-bottomed 96-well plate and allowed to attach overnight. Com pounds were suspended in DMSO and added to the cells. Cells were incubated for 24, 48, and 72 hours in the cell culture incubator and fixed with 20% Trichloroacetic acid. Cell viability was determined using 0.04% Sulforhodamine B via colorimetric detection at 505 nm (18). Normalization was performed using vehicle control (DMSO) and dose–response curves were generated using GraphPad Prism software.

Spheroid assay
OVCA8R cells were trypsinized and 5,000 cells were resuspended in 80 μL of media per well in 96-well round bottom Ultra Low Attachment Plate (Corning 07-201-680). After 4 days, the spheroids were treated with 20 μL of compound for 72 hours and the plates were incubated at 37°C for 7 days. The plate was equilibrated to room temperature for 30 minutes and 100 μL of CellTiter-Glo 3D Reagent (Promega) was added. The plate was incubated on a shaker for 30 minutes to obtain complete lysis and luminescence was recorded using Synergy Mx Plate Reader (BioTek).

Histone profiling
Cells were seeded in 10-cm dishes and treated with verticillin A and vehicle control (DMSO) for 24 hours. Cells were pelleted, washed with PBS, and epiproteomic histone modification profiling was performed at Northwestern Proteomics Core Facility at Northwestern University (Evanston, IL) as described previously (19). Relative abundance (%) of histone marks was calculated on the basis of peptide peak areas extracted from the raw LC/MS data obtained.

RNA-sequencing
RNA was isolated from verticillin A and vehicle (DMSO)-treated cells using Qiagen RNAeasy Mini Kit (+#47104) as per the manufacturer’s protocol. RNA libraries (3 technical replicates/treatment) were created. RNA quality determination, mRNA enrichment, library construction, sequencing, and transcriptome statistical analysis were performed at the Genomics Core Facility at Northwestern University.

cDNA synthesis and qRT-PCR analysis
Total RNA (1 μg) was converted to cDNA using iScript cDNA Synthesis Kit (Bio-Rad). qRT-PCR measurements were performed using the CFX connect Real-Time PCR Detection System (Bio-Rad) and SYBR Green (Roche) according to the manufacturer’s protocol. Samples were normalized to the housekeeping gene, GAPDH. qRT-PCR primer sequences are mentioned in Table 1.

Alkaline comet assay
Agarose coated slides were prepared using 1% agarose (Thermo Fisher Scientific # BP160) and allowed to dry. Cells were trypsinized, washed in PBS, and resuspended in 0.5% low melting agarose (Sigma # A9414). A total of 300 μL of cell suspension was added to the coated slide to form a thin uniform layer and allowed to gel at 4°C for 30 minutes. Cells were lysed by incubating the slides for 3 hours with
chilled lysis buffer (2.5 mol/L NaCl, 0.1 mol/L EDTA, 10 mmol/L Tris pH 10, 1% N-lauroylsarcosine, 0.5% Triton X-100). Cells were subjected to alkaline electrophoresis (25 V, 300mA, 40 minutes) using electrophoresis buffer (300 mmol/L NaOH, 1 mmol/L EDTA, pH 13) at 4°C. Slides were coated with PBS to neutralize buffer (0.5 mol/L Tris pH 7.5) and stained with 2 μg/mL ethidium bromide, washed, and covered with a coverslip before visualization. Images were acquired using 20× objective on a Nikon Eclipse E600 microscope using DS-Ri1 digital camera and NIS Elements Software (Nikon Instruments). Comet tail moments were analyzed by TriTek CometScore software.

**Immunofluorescence analysis of γH2.AX foci**

Cells grown on glass coverslips were fixed using 4% paraformaldehyde, permeabilized with 0.2% TritonX-100, and blocked with 1% BSA in PBS. Cells were then incubated with γH2.AX primary antibody (diluted in blocking solution) for 1 hour at room temperature. The cells were washed with washing buffer (PBS with 0.05% TritonX-100) and then incubated with fluorescent secondary antibody (diluted in blocking solution) for 1 hour at room temperature. Cells were washed thrice with washing buffer. Nuclei were stained with DAPI (0.1 μg/mL; Thermo Fisher Scientific # EN62248) for 10 minutes at room temperature. Cells were washed using washing buffer and coverslips were mounted on glass slides using mounting media (Vector Laboratories #H-1000). Images were acquired using 40× objective on a Nikon Eclipse E600 microscope using DS-Ri1 digital camera and NIS Elements Software (Nikon Instruments).

**Mitochondrial toxicity assay**

HEPG2 (hepatocellular carcinoma) and U87 (glioblastoma) cell lines were used to determine whether verticillin A caused mitochondrial toxicity, as mitochondrial toxicity is prone to occur in the brain and liver tissue. Mitochondrial toxicity was evaluated using a Promega Mitochondrial Toxicity Assay Kit. Cells were treated with verticillin A and vehicle control (DMSO) for 24 hours. Media were collected and cells were trypsinized and subjected to Annexin V-FITC/Propidium Iodide Apoptosis Assay (Nexcelom Biosciences) according to the manufacturer’s instructions.

**Table 1.** qRT-PCR primers.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Target gene</th>
<th>Forward primer sequence (5’-3’)</th>
<th>Reverse primer sequence (5’-3’)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TXN</td>
<td>TGAAGCAGATCAGAGCAAGAC</td>
<td>TCTATTAAAGGGRGCGRRCRAAGC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DUSP6</td>
<td>CCTGAGGCGATTCCTTCTATGA</td>
<td>GTCAACTGACTGAGGGGCTTTAAT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NFkB2</td>
<td>GACAGCTCTGGACATCTAGGGCC</td>
<td>TCCCAAGTGCCTATACGAGG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RELB</td>
<td>TCCCAACAGGAGTCTGATGC</td>
<td>AGGCACTGCTCCTTTCTCTC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TXNRD1</td>
<td>GAAGATCTCACCAGAATCTATGC</td>
<td>ATTTGTGCTTAAACCTGTAGG</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 2.** Primary antibodies.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Antibody</th>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Dilution for WB</th>
<th>Dilution for immunofluorescence/IHC</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Anti-rabbit PARP</td>
<td>CST #9542</td>
<td>1:1000</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anti-rabbit cPARP</td>
<td>CST #9541</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>1:100 (IHC)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anti-rabbit γH2.AX</td>
<td>CST #9718</td>
<td>1:500</td>
<td>1:100 (IHC, IF)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anti-rabbit Bax</td>
<td>CST #5023</td>
<td>1:500</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anti-rabbit actin</td>
<td>Sigma #A2066</td>
<td>1:5,000</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 3.** Secondary antibodies.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Antibody</th>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Dilution for WB</th>
<th>Dilution for immunofluorescence</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Anti-rabbit IgG-HRP</td>
<td>CST #7074</td>
<td>1:100,000</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anti-rabbit Alexa Fluor 488</td>
<td>Invitrogen</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>1:1,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: CST, Cell Signaling Technology; IF, immunofluorescence; WB, Western blotting.

**Table 2. Secondary antibodies.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Antibody</th>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Dilution for WB</th>
<th>Dilution for immunofluorescence</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Anti-rabbit PARP</td>
<td>CST #9542</td>
<td>1:1000</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anti-rabbit cPARP</td>
<td>CST #9541</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>1:100 (IHC)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anti-rabbit γH2.AX</td>
<td>CST #9718</td>
<td>1:500</td>
<td>1:100 (IHC, IF)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anti-rabbit Bax</td>
<td>CST #5023</td>
<td>1:500</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anti-rabbit actin</td>
<td>Sigma #A2066</td>
<td>1:5,000</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: CST, Cell Signaling Technology; WB, Western blotting.
Mitochondrial ToxGlo kit according to the manufacturer’s protocol. Briefly, 10,000 cells/well were seeded in 96-well plates in either glucose (25 mmol/L) or galactose (10 mmol/L) supplemented media and allowed to adhere for 8 hours. Cells were incubated with dilutions of oligomycin A (positive control) and verticillin A for 90 minutes prior to assay. Cells were incubated for 30 minutes with a cell impermeable, fluorogenic substrate, and fluorescence (Ex/Em 485/525 nm) was measured. Lysis buffer was added, and net ATP levels were determined by luminescence measurement.

Animals and xenograft experiments
All animals were treated in accordance with NIH Guidelines for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals and the established Institutional Animal Use and Care protocol at the University of Illinois (Chicago, IL). Xenograft studies utilized NCr nu/nu athymic female mice 6–8 weeks in age (Taconic). Mice were housed in a temperature- and light-controlled environment (12 hours light and 12 hours dark) and provided food and water ad libitum. For xenograft experiments, OVCAR8-RFP cells (5 × 10⁴) were injected intraperitoneally per mouse and tumor growth was monitored using Xenogen IVIS Spectrum In Vivo Imaging System (PerkinElmer) as described previously (20). Once all the mice formed tumors (~4 weeks), the mice were separated into two treatment groups and dosed once every 2 days with 0.5 mg/kg of verticillin A encapsulated nanoparticles (eNP-VA) and empty nanoparticles (eNP) for a total of 12 days. Mice were IVIS imaged twice weekly (335 nm excitation, and 620 nm emission, exposure time: 2 seconds, F stop: 2). Living Image 4.0 software was used to quantify the average abdominal radiant exposure time: 2 seconds, F stop: 2). Living Image 4.0 software was used to quantify the average abdominal radiant exposure time: 2 seconds, F stop: 2).

Tumor IHC
Tumors were fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde followed by dehydration and paraffin embedding. Paraffin blocks were sectioned using standard histologic procedures. Hematoxylin and eosin staining (H & E) and IHC were performed as described previously (21). Sections were incubated with primary antibody (Table 2) followed by incubation with biotin-conjugated secondary antibody (Table 3). Sections were developed using 3,3-diaminobenzidine to enable chromogenic detection. Tissues with primary antibody treatment were used as a negative control. Images were acquired on a Nikon Eclipse E600 microscope using a DS-Ri1 digital camera and NIS Elements Software (Nikon Instruments).

Statistical analysis
Data presented are mean ± SEM and represent at least three independent biological replicates. Statistical analysis was carried out using GraphPad Prism software. Statistical significance was determined by Student unpaired t test, one-way ANOVA, or two-way ANOVA with Dunnett multiple comparisons or Tukey post hoc test as mentioned in figure legends. P < 0.05 was considered statistically significant (*, P < 0.05; **, P < 0.01; ***, P < 0.001; ****, P < 0.0001).

Results
Verticillin A induces cytotoxicity in HGSOC cell lines in vitro
Verticillin A has been shown to inhibit the growth of colon cancer, pancreatic cancer, leiomyosarcoma, and malignant peripheral nerve sheath tumor cells (12–14, 22). To determine whether verticillin A inhibited growth of ovarian cancer cells, three validated models of HGSOC, OVSAHO, OVCAR4, and OVCAR8 were used. Taxol was used as positive control. Verticillin A was found to inhibit the growth of all three cell lines with IC₅₀ values of 60 nmol/L, 47 nmol/L, and 45 nmol/L in OVSAHO, OVCAR4, and OVCAR8, respectively (Fig. 1A). To determine whether the growth inhibition was due to cytotoxic effects exerted by verticillin A, a 2D foci assay was performed. Cells were treated with verticillin A for 8 hours and foci were allowed to form for 2 weeks. As shown in Fig. 1B, treatment with verticillin A completely abrogated foci formation in OVCAR4 and OVCAR8 cells compared with vehicle control, suggesting a cytotoxic effect and not a cytostatic effect. Furthermore, to determine whether verticillin A affected cell viability of tumor spheroids, OVCAR8 spheroids were generated and treated with vehicle (DMSO), verticillin A, taxol, and cisplatin. A spheroid viability assay was performed, and it was found that verticillin A treatment caused disintegration of OVCAR8 spheroids. As shown in Fig. 1C, verticillin A treatment reduced spheroid viability with an IC₅₀ value of 234 nmol/L.

RNA-sequencing analysis shows upregulation of apoptosis and oxidative stress in verticillin A-treated OVCAR8 cells
To further investigate whether verticillin A induced cytotoxicity through apoptotic cell death, annexin V-FITC (AV) and propidium iodide (PI) staining was performed. As shown in Fig. 2A, verticillin A treatment significantly increased the rate of early apoptosis (AV+, PI–) in both OVCAR4 and OVCAR8 cells relative to vehicle control. Consistent with the annexin V/propidium iodide staining, treatment with verticillin A markedly increased level of cleaved PARP (cPARP), a marker for apoptosis (Fig. 2B). Together, these results indicated that verticillin A inhibited growth of HGSOC cells by causing early apoptosis.

Studies in pancreatic and colon cancer cells demonstrated that verticillin A alters histone modifications likely through inhibiting HMTases and preventing H3K9me3 levels to increase expression of Bax, a proapoptotic protein (14, 23). Importantly, due to inhibition of HMTases and the genomic landscape of tumors, other targets such as Fox and PD-L1 were investigated in verticillin A–treated HGSOC cell lines and were not significantly different. Because Bax was consistently changed in all cell lines studied in the literature, we investigated its expression and found that verticillin A treatment increased Bax expression (Supplementary Fig. S1A). To confirm whether histones were modified, a global histone modification profiling was performed using mass spectrometry. OVCAR8 cells were treated with verticillin A and vehicle control (DMSO) for 24 hours and the relative abundance of 20 different posttranslational histone modifications were quantified by LC/MS analysis. Verticillin A induced 13 histone modifications including a reduction in H3K9me2, which was consistent with findings reported in colon cancer cells (Supplementary Fig. S1B). To further define the significance of histone modifications on global transcription, an RNA-sequencing analysis was performed with verticillin A–treated OVCAR8 cells. A total of 9,196 genes were found to be significantly altered by verticillin A treatment demonstrating that this molecule causes major genome-wide alterations in gene expression. Compared with vehicle control, a significant upregulation of apoptosis and oxidative stress pathway genes were observed in verticillin A–treated cells (Fig. 2C). qRT-PCR analysis was performed to validate the top hits from apoptosis and oxidative stress pathway (Supplementary Fig. S1C). Gene set enrichment analysis (GSEA) of the transcriptome identified the reactive oxygen species (ROS) pathway to be significantly upregulated in verticillin A–treated cells (Fig. 2D and E).
Verticillin A causes oxidative stress and DNA damage in HGSOC cells without inducing mitochondrial toxicity

Verticillin A caused apoptosis in OVCAR4 and OVCAR8 cells and RNA-sequencing identified apoptosis and oxidative stress pathways to be significantly upregulated in OVCAR8 cells (Fig. 2C and D). Because alterations in ROS had not been previously reported, the effect of verticillin A on ROS generation was examined by using the CellROX reagent, a cell-permeable fluorogenic probe. Upon oxidation, the
probe becomes fluorescent, which was analyzed via fluorescence microscopy. H2O2 is known to induce DNA damage via ROS generation and was used as a positive control. As shown in Fig. 3A, treatment with verticillin A and H2O2 led to ROS formation. Oxidative stress–mediated apoptosis is frequently caused by damage to DNA (24). To determine whether verticillin A treatment caused DNA damage in HGSOC cells, OVCAR4 and OVCAR8 cells were treated with verticillin A for 24 hours and an alkaline comet assay was performed. As shown in Fig. 3A, treatment with verticillin A and H2O2 led to ROS formation.

To confirm this observation, an immunofluorescence staining was performed for nuclear γH2A.X, which is a marker for DNA damage response pathways. As shown in Fig. 3C, a significant increase in number of γH2A.X foci was observed for verticillin A–treated cells relative to vehicle control. Consistent with the immunofluorescence expression, analysis as measured by blots of γH2AX was found to be increased in verticillin A–treated OVCAR4 and OVCAR8 cells in a time-dependent manner (Fig. 3D). A pathway leading to oxidative stress might be expected to induce mitochondrial toxicity, which is a common mode of failure for experimental agents in clinical trials. However, using a standard Mitochondrial ToxGlo kit, verticillin A did not demonstrate mitochondrial toxicity (Supplementary Fig. S4).

Verticillin A–mediated apoptosis and DNA damage are reversed by free radical quencher N-acetyl-L-cysteine

To confirm whether blocking oxidative stress would reduce DNA damage and apoptosis, cells were cotreated with verticillin A and antioxidant N-acetyl-L-cysteine (NAC). NAC is a ROS quencher and a combined treatment of verticillin A and NAC completely blocked apoptosis based on PARP cleavage (Fig. 4A). Consistent with the Western blot analysis, annexin V/propidium iodide staining showed that NAC blocked verticillin A–stimulated apoptosis in OVCAR4 and OVCAR8 cells (Fig. 4B). Similarly, induction of γH2A.X expression and comet tail was attenuated by NAC as seen in Fig. 4A and C. This suggested that verticillin A–induced DNA damage and apoptosis was mediated by oxidative stress.

eNP-VA demonstrated reduced liver toxicity relative to free drug

To determine whether verticillin A affected tumor burden in vivo, OVCAR8-RFP cells were xenografted intraperitoneally into female
Verticillin A causes oxidative stress and DNA damage in HGSOC cells. A, Verticillin A–induced oxidative stress in OVCAR4 and OVCAR8 cells was measured with CellROX Green reagent in cells treated with vehicle (DMSO), verticillin A (50 nmol/L for 6 hours), and H2O2 (50 μmol/L for 1 hour). Images were acquired using 40 × objective of a fluorescent microscope. Scale bar, 20 μm. B, OVCAR4 and OVCAR8 cells were treated with vehicle, verticillin A (50 nmol/L), and H2O2 (50 μmol/L), and alkaline comet assay was performed to analyze DNA damage. Comet tail moment was used to quantify DNA damage by TriTek CometScore software. Each experiment was performed in three biological replicates, and data represent mean ± SEM. Statistics were generated with one-way ANOVA with Dunnett multiple comparisons with vehicle control within each cell line. Scale bar, 20 μm. C, Representative images of immunofluorescence staining of γH2A.X foci for OVCAR4 and OVCAR8 cells treated with vehicle and verticillin A (50 nmol/L) for 24 hours. Nuclei were stained by DAPI (0.1 μg/mL). Scale bar, 20 μm. D, Immunoblot analysis of whole-cell lysates of OVCAR4 and OVCAR8 cells treated with vehicle and verticillin A (50 nmol/L) for different timepoints. Lysates were probed for DNA damage marker γH2A.X, and actin was used as a loading control.

Figure 3.

Verticillin A Causes DNA Damage and Apoptosis in HGSOC

Verticillin A reduces tumor burden in vivo

To evaluate the ability of verticillin A–eNPs to reduce in vivo tumor burden, OVCAR8-RFP cells were xenografted intraperitoneally in athymic nude mice and tumors were allowed to form for 4 weeks. The mice were treated with unloaded-eNPs and verticillin A–eNPs every other day for 12 days at a dose of 0.5 mg/kg. As shown in Fig. 6A–C, animals treated with verticillin A–eNPs had significantly less tumor burden based on average radiant efficiency measured with IVIS and had no measurable change in body weight. Interestingly, eNPs and verticillin A–eNPs did not cause the same gross morphologic liver damage that was seen in mice treated with free verticillin A, suggesting that encapsulation into the eNPs allowed for drug efficacy while reducing liver toxicity. IHC analysis showed an increased staining for γH2A.X and cPARP for tumors from verticillin A–eNP–treated animals (Fig. 6E). This indicates that verticillin A reduced tumor burden by causing DNA damage and apoptosis, which was consistent with the in vitro findings.

Discussion

New therapies are needed to treat HGSOC- and metastasis-related lethality from the disease. Nearly 60% of all FDA-approved anticancer
Verticillin A–mediated apoptosis and DNA damage are reversed by free radical quencher NAC. **A,** Immunoblot analysis of whole-cell lysates of OVCAR4 and OVCAR8 cells treated with vehicle (DMSO), verticillin A (50 nmol/L), and combination of verticillin A (50 nmol/L) and NAC (1 mmol/L) for 24 hours. Lysates were probed for apoptosis and DNA damage markers. Actin was used as a loading control. **B,** OVCAR4 and OVCAR8 cells were treated with vehicle, verticillin A (50 nmol/L), and combination of verticillin A (50 nmol/L) and NAC (1 mmol/L) for 24 hours, stained with Annexin V-FITC (AV) and propidium iodide (PI), and analyzed by Nexcelom Cellometer. Each experiment was performed in three biological replicates, and data represent mean ± SEM. Statistics were generated with one-way ANOVA with Tukey multiple comparisons within each group. **C,** OVCAR4 and OVCAR8 cells were treated with vehicle, verticillin A (50 nmol/L), and combination of verticillin A (50 nmol/L) and NAC (1 mmol/L) for 24 hours. Alkaline comet assay was performed to analyze DNA damage. Comet tail moment was used to quantify DNA damage by TriTek CometScore software. Each experiment was performed in three biological replicates, and data represent mean ± SEM. Statistics were generated with one-way ANOVA with Tukey multiple comparisons within each cell line. Scale bar, 20 μm.

Epigenetic alterations such as histone modifications are reversible and regulate gene expression by transcriptional activation and/or repression (30, 31). A number of studies have shown that alterations in histone methylation and acetylation levels play a role in ovarian cancer metastasis, resensitization toward cisplatin, and overall patient survival (30, 32–35). Mechanistically, verticillin A targets HMTases, causing apoptotic cell death and improved chemotherapeutic response in colon and pancreatic tumor cell models (12, 13, 23). Consistent with previous studies in colon and pancreatic cancer, verticillin A altered histone modifications in OVCAR8, a HGSOC cell line. Global histone modification mapping using mass spectrometry revealed several histone modifications after treatment of OVCAR8 cells with verticillin A, including a reduction in H3K9me2, which was reported in colon cancer, along with changes in H3K14 acetylation marks (12). Interestingly, a reduction in H3K36me2 was found after verticillin A treatment. H3K36me2 is reported to play a role in double-strand break repair, specifically by stabilizing DNA repair factors (36). In addition to our findings that verticillin A induced DNA damage, reduction in H3K36me2 also suggests that verticillin A may impact DNA double-strand break repair.

Verticillin A showed concentration-dependent cytotoxicity in HGSOC cell lines OVSAHO, OVCAR4, and OVCAR8 by causing apoptosis. Moreover, verticillin A induced oxidative stress in HGSOC cells leading to DNA damage, as confirmed by comet assay and immunostaining for γH2.AX foci. This was supported by RNA-sequencing analysis, which showed significant upregulation of oxidative stress and apoptosis pathways after verticillin A treatment. Induction of apoptosis by verticillin A is reported in colon and pancreatic cancer, however, its role in oxidative stress was unknown (12, 13, 14). In colon and pancreatic cancer cells, verticillin A treatment reduced H3K9me3 levels, which increased expression of proapoptotic protein Bax (12, 14, 23). This is consistent with our findings in OVCAR4 and OVCAR8 cells suggesting that histone modifications caused by verticillin A lead to apoptotic cell death by increasing Bax expression. Verticillin A is a selective inhibitor of HMTase G9a and expression of G9a correlates with tumor aggressiveness and reduced survival in patients with ovarian cancer, verticillin A altered histone modifi-
cancer (12, 37). Studies using small molecules targeting G9a indicated that blocking G9a caused oxidative stress in breast cancer cells (38). In another study using colorectal cancer cells, downregulation of G9a caused DNA damage and inhibited cell proliferation (39). Verticillin A reduced H3K9me2 levels as demonstrated by histone profiling of OVCAR8 cells and G9a is a repressive HMTase for H3K9me2 (12). Taken together, these finding suggest that verticillin A treatment induced oxidative stress and apoptosis possibly by G9a inhibition in HGSOC.

A challenge with chemotherapeutic agents, particularly hydrophobic ones derived from nature, is to identify a delivery method that enables drug to accumulate therapeutic concentrations within the target tissue while minimizing, or averting altogether, adverse systemic toxicities. For example, taxol, which was first reported in 1971, was not considered a promising drug in terms of solubility, toxicity, and large-scale production (40–42). However, tremendous progress has been made in the past four decades making taxol one of the most effective chemotherapeutic drugs, particularly in first-line therapy for ovarian cancer (43–45). Intraperitoneal administration of free verticillin A, solubilized in Cremophor EL/EtOH, as a means to address its low solubility, resulted in liver toxicity. Shorter studies using verticillin A intraperitoneally did not report significant liver toxicity, however, studies performed using peripheral nerve sheath tumor cells report toxicity and weight loss in mice treated with verticillin A (12, 22). The specific mechanism(s) of verticillin A–induced hepatotoxicity is unknown and further toxicology studies are required. To overcome the toxic effects induced by verticillin A, and to enhance solubility, a well-characterized nanoparticle-based drug delivery system, the eNP was used (16, 17, 46). Previously, eNPs have been used to deliver other cytotoxic natural products (e.g., taxol) with high specificity to peritoneal tumors (16, 17, 25–27, 47). As a result of their unique materials-based targeting mechanism, eNPs afford 10- to 100-fold higher intratumoral drug concentrations than are achieved with free drug and show remarkable efficacy (e.g., doubling of survival compared with free drug controls; ref. 16). In terms of ovarian cancer, paclitaxel encapsulated eNPs demonstrated better uptake by tumor cells, as well as reduced tumor recurrence in vivo compared with paclitaxel alone (27). In this study, eNPs delivered verticillin A to ovarian tumors and consistent with previous studies, the eNP formulation demonstrated improved drug efficacy with reduced hepatotoxicity. Verticillin A–eNP–treated animals showed significant reduction in tumor burden in comparison with the eNP-treated animals. Furthermore, histologic studies confirmed induction of DNA damage and apoptosis in verticillin A–eNP–treated animals consistent with our in vitro findings.

**Figure 5.**
eNP–VA demonstrate reduced liver toxicity relative to free drug. A, OVCAR8-RFP cells were xenografted intraperitoneally to form tumors. Mice were dosed with verticillin A and vehicle (Cremophor EL/EtOH) once in 7 days (dosage: 0.5 mg/kg). Representative images show liver damage in verticillin A–treated animals. B, Four ovarian cell lines (IOSE80, FT33, OVCAR4, and OVCAR8) were treated with vehicle (DMSO) and verticillin A (50 nmol/L) for 72 hours. Dose–response curves were generated and normalized to vehicle control. Each experiment was performed in three biological replicates, and data represent mean ± SEM. Statistics were generated with Student t test for day 0 and day 3 within each cell line. C, OVSAHO, OVCAR4, and OVCAR8 cells were treated with eNP–VA and empty nanoparticles (eNP) for 72 hours. Dose–response curves were generated and normalized to eNP. Data represent mean ± SEM from three biological replicates. IC50 values are denoted in the table.
Another challenge with natural products, particularly with verticillin A, despite its enormous promise as an anticancer agent, is the inability to produce verticillin A in large quantities. However, sufficient quantities of verticillin A for preclinical studies are now possible by cultivation of a unique fungal strain and optimization of its fermentation conditions, such that 50–150 mg of verticillin A can be generated monthly on the laboratory scale (11). An adequate supply of verticillin A now exists for preclinical studies, which is being used to fully explore the pharmacologic potential of this drug lead.

In conclusion, our findings validate verticillin A as a potent cytotoxic agent in HGSOC cells by inducing DNA damage. Encapsulation of verticillin A in an eNP improves drug efficacy, reduces toxicity, and highlights the advantages of marrying natural products/anticancer research with nanoparticle drug delivery systems to address a clinical challenge. Continued investigation of verticillin A, verticillin analogues, and the eNP delivery system will provide key data for preparing an optimized efficacious formulation worthy of large animal pharmacokinetic studies and bring us one step closer to a promising therapy for patients with ovarian cancer.

Disclosure of Potential Conflicts of Interest
A.H. Colby is a co-founder and director of research (paid consultant) at, and has ownership interest (including patents) in, Ionic Pharmaceuticals, LLC. No potential conflicts of interest were disclosed by the other authors.

Authors’ Contributions
Conception and design: A. Salvi, M.W. Grinstaff, N.H. Oberlies, J.E. Burdette
Acquisition of data (provided animals, acquired and managed patients, provided facilities, etc.): A. Salvi, C.S.M. Amrine, J.R. Austin, K. Kilpatrick, D. Lantvit, E. Calderon-Gierszal, Z. Mattes
Analysis and interpretation of data (e.g., statistical analysis, biostatistics, computational analysis): A. Salvi, C.S.M. Amrine, J.R. Austin, K. Kilpatrick, A. Russo, E. Calderon-Gierszal, Z. Mattes, J.E. Burdette
Writing, review, and/or revision of the manuscript: A. Salvi, C.S.M. Amrine, A. Russo, E. Calderon-Gierszal, C.J. Pearce, M.W. Grinstaff, A.H. Colby, N.H. Oberlies, J.E. Burdette
Administrative, technical, or material support (i.e., reporting or organizing data, constructing databases): A. Salvi, C.J. Pearce
Study supervision: J.E. Burdette
Other (isolation and purification of verticillin A used in this study, as well as synthesizing the nanoparticles, analyzing, characterizing, and calculating their encapsulation efficiency and also participated in writing the article): C.S.M. Amrine

Acknowledgments
This work was supported in part by grants P01CA125066 awarded to Dr. Alan Kinghorn and R01CA227433 to J.E. Burdette from the NCI of the NIH. Grant #T32AT007533 was awarded to Dr. Guido Pauli for which J.R. Austin is a recipient by the Office of the Director, NIH and the National Center for Complementary & Integrative Health. IVIS imaging was performed using Xenogen IVIS Spectrum Imager at University of Illinois, Chicago Research Resource Center’s Center for...
Verticillin A Causes DNA Damage and Apoptosis in HGSOC

The costs of publication of this article were defrayed in part by the payment of page charges. This article must therefore be hereby marked advertisement in accordance with 18 U.S.C. Section 1734 solely to indicate this fact.

Received February 28, 2019, revised June 6, 2019, accepted September 9, 2019, published first January 3, 2020.

References

10. Amrine CSM, Raja HA, Darveaux BA, Pearce CJ, Oberlies NH. Sequence analysis was performed at the NUSeq Core Facility, which is supported by the Northwestern University Center for Genetic Medicine, Feinberg School of Medicine, and Shared and Core Facilities of the University’s Office for Research.

Received February 28, 2019, revised June 6, 2019, accepted September 9, 2019, published first January 3, 2020.

References

9. Amrine CSM, Raja HA, Darveaux BA, Pearce CJ, Oberlies NH. Sequence analysis was performed at the NUSeq Core Facility, which is supported by the Northwestern University Center for Genetic Medicine, Feinberg School of Medicine, and Shared and Core Facilities of the University’s Office for Research.

Received February 28, 2019, revised June 6, 2019, accepted September 9, 2019, published first January 3, 2020.

References

9. Amrine CSM, Raja HA, Darveaux BA, Pearce CJ, Oberlies NH. Sequence analysis was performed at the NUSeq Core Facility, which is supported by the Northwestern University Center for Genetic Medicine, Feinberg School of Medicine, and Shared and Core Facilities of the University’s Office for Research.

Received February 28, 2019, revised June 6, 2019, accepted September 9, 2019, published first January 3, 2020.

References

9. Amrine CSM, Raja HA, Darveaux BA, Pearce CJ, Oberlies NH. Sequence analysis was performed at the NUSeq Core Facility, which is supported by the Northwestern University Center for Genetic Medicine, Feinberg School of Medicine, and Shared and Core Facilities of the University’s Office for Research.

Received February 28, 2019, revised June 6, 2019, accepted September 9, 2019, published first January 3, 2020.
Verticillin A Causes Apoptosis and Reduces Tumor Burden in High-Grade Serous Ovarian Cancer by Inducing DNA Damage

Amrita Salvi, Chiraz Soumia M. Amrine, Julia R. Austin, et al.

Mol Cancer Ther 2020;19:89-100.

Updated version
Access the most recent version of this article at:
http://mct.aacrjournals.org/content/19/1/89

Cited articles
This article cites 44 articles, 8 of which you can access for free at:
http://mct.aacrjournals.org/content/19/1/89.full#ref-list-1

E-mail alerts
Sign up to receive free email-alerts related to this article or journal.

Reprints and Subscriptions
To order reprints of this article or to subscribe to the journal, contact the AACR Publications Department at pubs@aacr.org.

Permissions
To request permission to re-use all or part of this article, use this link
http://mct.aacrjournals.org/content/19/1/89.
Click on "Request Permissions" which will take you to the Copyright Clearance Center's (CCC) Rightslink site.