iRGD-guided Tumor-penetrating Nanocomplexes for Therapeutic siRNA Delivery to Pancreatic Cancer
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Abstract

Pancreatic cancer is one of the leading causes of cancer-related death, with 5-year survival of 8.5%. The lack of significant progress in improving therapy reflects our inability to overcome the desmoplastic stromal barrier in pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma (PDAC) as well as a paucity of new approaches targeting its genetic underpinnings. RNA interference holds promise in targeting key mutations driving PDAC; however, a nucleic acid delivery vehicle that homes to PDAC and breaches the stroma does not yet exist. Noting that the cyclic peptide iRGD mediates tumor targeting and penetration through interactions with αvβ3/5 integrins and neuropilin-1, we hypothesized that “tandem” peptides combining a cell-penetrating peptide and iRGD can encapsulate siRNA to form tumor-penetrating nanocomplexes (TPN) capable of delivering siRNA to PDAC. The use of directly conjugated iRGD is justified by receptor expression patterns in human PDAC biopsies. In this work, we optimize iRGD TPNs with polyethylene glycol (PEG)-peptide conjugates for systemic delivery to sites of disease. We show that TPNs effectively knockdown siRNA targets in PDAC cell lines and in an immunocompetent genetically engineered mouse model of PDAC. Furthermore, we validate their tumor-penetrating ability in three-dimensional organoids and autochthonous tumors. In murine therapeutic trials, TPNs delivering anti-Kras siRNA significantly delay tumor growth. Thus, iRGD TPNs hold promise in treating PDAC by not only overcoming physical barriers to therapy, but by leveraging the stroma to achieve knockdown of the gold-standard genetic target. Moreover, the modular construction of this delivery platform allows for facile adaptation to future genetic target candidates in pancreatic cancer.
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Introduction

Pancreatic cancer is a devastating disease that kills over 40,000 people in the United States annually, with only 8.5% of patients surviving five years past their initial diagnosis (1). Even in localized disease treated with surgical resection, 5-year survival remains around 20%–25% (2). Thus, there is a pressing need for improved therapeutic approaches for all stages of pancreatic cancer. Standard first-line treatment for advanced or metastatic pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma (PDAC), which comprises 85% of pancreatic cancers (3), generally consists of either a gemcitabine combination (such as gemcitabine plus nab-paclitaxel; ref. 4) or FOLFIRINOX (5). Clinical trials of targeted therapies including anti-VEGF (6–8) and anti-EGFR (9, 10) have failed to demonstrate additional benefit, and limited studies on immunotherapy have thus far shown lackluster response to single-agent checkpoint blockade (11). These treatment outcomes reflect the importance of both directing therapy at the genetic targets that drive pancreatic cancer progression and developing effective delivery strategies for disease-relevant therapeutic agents. PDAC is characterized by dense and poorly vascularized stromal layers that functionally fortify the tumor cells, preventing penetration of even small-molecule therapeutics (12, 13). Several strategies for dismantling or reorganizing the pancreatic cancer stroma for improved small-molecule drug penetration have been explored, most prominently hedgehog inhibition (IPI-926/saridegib; ref. 13) and PEGylated hyaluronidase (PEGPH20; refs. 12, 14). These approaches have demonstrated improved delivery to animal models of PDAC, and PEGPH20 has advanced to phase II trials in combination with standard chemotherapy, with predominantly musculoskeletal side effects (15). On the other hand, saridegib has not shown any therapeutic benefit in phase II clinical trials for pancreatic cancer (16); indeed, long-term hedgehog inhibition and stromal depletion has been shown to actually accelerate pancreatic cancer growth by allowing increased...
vascularization (17). As a more focused approach, we considered targeting and transiently penetrating the stromal barrier rather than frankly abolishing it. Specifically, we hypothesized that PDAC penetration could be achieved by harnessing the CendR class of internalizing peptides, which share a consensus C-terminal motif that actively mediates transcytosis and endocytosis by engaging neuropilin-1 (18), a semaphorin receptor present on endothelial cells, fibroblasts, and cancer cells. In particular, the internalizing RGD peptide (iRGD) operates by first binding \( \alpha_v \beta_3 \) integrins specifically expressed on tumor vasculature before proteolytic cleavage reveals an otherwise veiled CendR domain (19). iRGD has been conjugated to or coadministered with compounds and particles to enhance tumor-penetrating delivery in a number of contexts, including diagnostics such as FEB fluorescent dye (20) and therapies such as the toxic peptide STTRAIL to models of gastric cancer (21), silicosome-based chemotherapy to pancreatic cancer (22), and pH-sensitive polymer-based siRNA vehicles to models of prostate cancer (23).

The ideal therapeutic approach to pancreatic cancer treatment is targeted at the key signaling pathways and mutations that drive PDAC growth. PDAC is characterized by nearly ubiquitous mutations in KRAS and CDKN2A, each present in over 90% of tumors (24). Oncogenic KRAS mutations are of particular interest due to their important role in PDAC formation and maintenance, including growth and stromal interactions (25). Unfortunately, oncogenic KRAS is notoriously difficult to target because of a lack of well-defined surface pockets for drug binding, and promising strategies such as farnesyl-transferase inhibition and membrane docking inhibition have failed in clinical trials (26, 27). RNA interference via siRNA delivery presents an attractive, versatile strategy for specifically knocking down otherwise "undraggable" targets such as KRAS (28, 29), and tumor-targeted delivery of siRNA can avoid the toxicity associated with global inhibition. However, siRNA delivery in tumors has been challenging in general and even more so in PDAC by the stromal barriers to drug delivery. While many successful delivery vehicles have been developed to protect siRNA cargo and target it to tissues or tumors while facilitating cytoplasmic delivery (30–33), siRNA carriers to date have relied upon passive distribution once at the site of disease. Indeed, local delivery of siRNA against KRAS leads to therapeutic responses in PDAC xenograft models (34); however, effective systemic delivery of RNAi to organs aside from the liver and lungs, especially the pancreas, remains a challenge. As such, there does not yet exist an RNAi carrier designed to specifically overcome the tumor penetration challenges presented by PDAC. Toward the goal of achieving tumor-penetrating siRNA delivery, our group has developed the modular tandem peptide framework as a starting point. Incorporating iRGD in combination with particle optimization to maintain function and stability in the bloodstream, we create nanoparticles that mediate robust knockdown of gene targets in pancreatic tumor cells in vitro and in vivo. Mechanistically, the iRGD TPNs achieve tumor penetration in patient-derived primary organoid models in vitro as well as in a variety of mouse models of pancreatic tumors, including autochthonous tumors in the genetically engineered KPC model that recapitulates chemoresistance patterns seen in human tumors and is fully immunocompetent (13). Moreover, treatment of a murine model of PDAC with iRGD TPNs carrying KRAS siRNA leads to a decrease of oncogene expression and inhibition of tumor growth in vivo. Thus, we demonstrate both the mechanistic tumor-penetrating delivery and functional therapeutic aspects of these new RNAi nanoparticles in a comprehensive collection of PDAC model systems. These results indicate that effective delivery of therapeutic RNAs is possible in an autochthonous solid rodent tumor model of PDAC, transforming the stromal barrier into a "back-door" conduit for delivery. Given the elevated expression of iRGD receptors in patient biopsies, iRGD TPNs offer promise in translating our growing understanding of pancreatic cancer as a genetic disease into viable therapies, while effectively bypassing the delivery barriers that have stymied current systemic therapies in the clinic.

**Materials and Methods**

**Peptide and siRNA synthesis**

pTP-TAMRA-iRGD (CH3(CH)15-GWTLNSAGYLLGKINLKAL-AALAKKIL-GGK(TAMRA)GGCRGDKGPDC, Cys-Cys bridge), used in all figures except Supplementary Fig. S1, was synthesized by CPC Scientific. The experiments in Supplementary Fig. S1 used the identical peptide except with myristic acid CH3(CH)15 in place of palmitic acid. All siRNAs were synthesized by Dharamgon (GE Healthcare) with ON-TARGETplus specificity enhancement. The sequences used were as follows (given as the sense strand without overhangs): siLuc against firefly luciferase: 5'-CIIIACGGCUAGAUCUUCUGA-3', siGFP: 5'-GGCUCACCGUGAGCGCGCC-3', siKras.476 against murine and human KRAS: 5'-ACCAUUA-UAGAACACAAUUA-3', siKras.476 seed-matched control: 5'-ACCAUAAUUCUCUGAACAAUUA-3', siNC non-targeted control: 5'-LUUCUCAGCCGUGACCGCUHU-3'.

**pTP-PEG-iRGD synthesis**

We used the same approach as the synthesis of pTP-PEG-3yP-1 described in J.H. Lo and colleagues, 2016 (37). Briefly, orthopyridyl disulfide-PEG-succinimidyl valeric acid (OPSS--PEG--SVA) 5K [Lyons Bio] was reacted with 5 equivalents of N-[(1R,8S,9s)-bicyclo[6.1.0]non-4-yn-9-ylmethoxy carbonyl]-1,8-diamo-3,6-dioxaoctane (Sigma) for 3 hours at room temperature. The resulting conjugate was dialyzed using a 3,500 MWCO membrane and lyophilized; the product was then dissolved in DMF and reacted with 1.2 equivalents of palmitoyl-transportan bearing a C-terminal cysteine for 3 hours at room temperature following by addition of 1.2 equivalents of azidoacetetyl-GGG-iRGD (N3-CH2-CO-epitope: GGGCRGDGKPDC, Cys-Cys bridge) with the reaction proceeding overnight. The final product was purified via dialysis with a 3,500 MWCO membrane into water. This was again lyophilized and resuspended shortly before use. The final sequence is (CH3(CH)15-GWTLNSAGYLLGKINLKAL-AALAKKIL-C3-S-S-O(CH3)CH3), (avg MW 5000 kDa)-X-([GGGCRCGDGPDC, Cys-Cys bridge]), where X is the product of the reaction between the cycloalkyne and azidoacyethyl groups with structural formula as depicted in the bottom panel of Supplementary Fig. S1 of ref. 37.
Cell culture
All stabilized cell lines including KPC-derived cell lines and Mia PaCa-2 cells (ATCC) were cultured in DMEM supplemented with 10% FBS, 100 U/mL penicillin, and 100 μg/mL streptomycin, with the exception of PANC-1 cells (ATCC), which were grown in DMEM + 20% FBS + penicillin/streptomycin. KP A13, B22, and D8-175 murine KPC cell lines were derived from KPC tumors harvested in the Tyler Jacks laboratory at MIT (Cambridge, MA). Cell lines were most recently tested for Mycoplasma on May 31, 2017.

Antibody staining
For quantification of surface receptor expression, cells were trypsinized and brought to single-cell suspension in FACS buffer (1× PBS + 2% FBS). Primary antibody was added at 1 μg/million cells in 100 μL total solution [for mouse cells: rat anti-αv integrin (BD PharMingen 551380) or rat IgG isotype control (Invitrogen); for human cells, mouse anti-αvβ3 integrin, direct PE conjugate (BioLegend 304406) or mouse IgGx chain isotype control, direct PE conjugate (BioLegend 400112); for neuropilin-1 staining in all cells, rabbit anti-NRP-1 (Novus Biologicals NBp1-40666) or normal rabbit IgG isotype control (R&D Systems)] and incubated for 1 hour on ice. For direct fluorochrome-conjugated primary antibodies, cells were washed with PBS and resuspended in FACS buffer. Otherwise, after washing the cells 2× in PBS, cells were incubated with secondary fluorocently tagged antibody (Invitrogen) for 45 minutes and washed 1× in PBS. Cells were analyzed on BD LSR-II or Fortessa HTS flow cytometers. Data were analyzed in FlowJo (TreeStar Software).

IHC
PDAC tumor microarrays (US Biomax, slide PA242c) were stained with anti-NRP-1 (Abcam ab61321) or anti-alpha v integrin (Abcam ab179475) primaries in accordance with the manufacturer’s protocol, along with MagicMark XP and DAB to hematoxylin deconvolution in FACS buffer. Otherwise, after washing the cells 2× in PBS, cells were incubated with secondary fluorocently tagged antibody (Invitrogen) for 45 minutes and washed 1× in PBS. Cells were analyzed on BD LSR-II or Fortessa HTS flow cytometers. Data were analyzed in FlowJo (TreeStar Software).

Electrophoretic mobility shift assay
TPNs were formed at 5–30:1 peptide (pTP-iRGD) : siRNA ratios for a final concentration of 200 nM/l siRNA (DyLight 677-siLuc) in 1× PBS. 10 μL of each TPN sample or free siRNA was mixed with 2 μL of 30% glycerol and loaded into a 2% agarose gel. The gel was run at 100 V for 45 minutes in 1× TAE buffer and then purifying mRNA using an RNeasy kit (Qiagen), according to the manufacturer’s instructions. mRNA concentration was quantified via NanoDrop 2000 Spectrophotometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific). cDNA was reverse transcribed using the iScript cDNA synthesis kit (Bio-Rad). qPCR was performed on a C1000 Touch Thermal Cycler with CFX96 Touch Real-Time PCR Detection System (Bio-Rad) using the following primer pairs: mouse Kras: forward 5′-ACAGTGCAATGGAGGACACG-3′ and reverse 5′-ATGTCACACCCTGTCTGT-3′; mouse Hprt as loading control: forward 5′-GTCAGGGGGGACATAAAAG-3′ and reverse: 5′-CAAACTACAAGACATCCTCCA-3′; human KRAS: forward 5′-ACTCGGGGAGGCCTTCTTG-3′ and reverse 5′-GCATCAACACCATGCTGCT-3′; human TBP as loading control forward 5′-GGAGATTCCTGGAGTTTAC-3′ and reverse 5′-CTATCTCCTCATGATTCCCGCA-3′.

Western blotting
Protein was isolated by lysing cells in 1× RIPA buffer with protease inhibitors for 30 minutes. Protein was quantified using the bicinchoninic acid (BCA) assay (Pierce, Thermo Fisher Scientific) against BSA standards and standardized to 2 mg/mL. Samples were then mixed 1:1 with Laemmli loading buffer and run on a Novex 4%–12% Bis-Tris gel (Life Technologies) following the manufacturer’s protocol, along with MagicMark XP and Kaleidoscope ladders. Bands were transferred to nitrocellulose membranes at 375 mA for 1 hour. The membrane was cut at the 30-kDa marker to stain for K-Ras (21 kDa) and α-tubulin (50 kDa) separately. The membranes were blocked with 5% skim milk in TBS-Tween (TBST) for 1 hour at 4°C and then incubated with primary antibody diluted in 5% skim milk overnight at 4°C. For K-Ras, F234 mouse mAb (Santa Cruz Biotechnology) was used at a 1:100 dilution; for tubulin, mouse monoclonal anti-tubulin (Invitrogen 32-2500) was used at a 1:1,000 dilution. Membranes were washed 2× in TBST for 5 minutes, and shaken and then incubated with secondary antibody: goat anti-mouse (sc-2005, 6-well plate (GFP knockdown): 2 mL. After 4–6 hours of incubation at 37°C, media were replaced with normal growth media.

Fluorescence microscopy
Cells were transfected as described above. At the specified timepoints, cells were imaged live on a Nikon Eclipse Ti inverted microscope using a 20× Plan Apo objective. Images were collected in NIS-Elements AR software (Nikon), with individual channels combined in Photoshop CS5 (Adobe) with linear-level adjustments applied identically to all images within an experiment.

Luciferase knockdown
Forty-eight hours after transfection of KP A13 or B22 cells with siLuc, luciferase function was quantified by lysing cells with Cell Culture Lysis Reagent (Promega). 10 μL of lysate was then mixed thoroughly with 40 μL of luciferin (Promega Luciferase Assay System) and loaded into a white 96-well plate (Corning 3600). Luciferase bioluminescence was quantified using a Centro LB 960 Microplate Luminometer (Berthold Technologies). Knockdown of destabilized GFP in HeLa dGFP cells was assessed at 24 hours posttransfection using flow cytometry, quantified using Flow Jo software.

Quantitative PCR
mRNA was isolated by lysing cells with Buffer RLT (Qiagen), filtering out debris using the Qiashredder homogenizer (Qiagen), and then purifying mRNA using an RNeasy kit (Qiagen) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. mRNA concentration was quantified via NanoDrop 2000 Spectrophotometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific). cDNA was reverse transcribed using the iScript cDNA synthesis kit (Bio-Rad). qPCR was performed on a C1000 Touch Thermal Cycler with CFX96 Touch Real-Time PCR Detection System (Bio-Rad) using the following primer pairs: mouse Kras: forward 5′-ACAGTGCAATGGAGGACACG-3′ and reverse 5′-ATGTCACACCCTGTCTGT-3′; mouse Hprt as loading control: forward 5′-GTCAGGGGGGACATAAAAG-3′ and reverse: 5′-CAAACTACAAGACATCCTCCA-3′; human KRAS: forward 5′-ACTCGGGGAGGCCTTCTTG-3′ and reverse 5′-GCATCAACACCATGCTGCT-3′; human TBP as loading control forward 5′-GGAGATTCCTGGAGTTTAC-3′ and reverse 5′-CTATCTCCTCATGATTCCCGCA-3′.

Western blotting
Protein was isolated by lysing cells in 1× RIPA buffer with protease inhibitors for 30 minutes. Protein was quantified using the bicinchoninic acid (BCA) assay (Pierce, Thermo Fisher Scientific) against BSA standards and standardized to 2 mg/mL. Samples were then mixed 1:1 with Laemmli loading buffer and run on a Novex 4%–12% Bis-Tris gel (Life Technologies) following the manufacturer’s protocol, along with MagicMark XP and Kaleidoscope ladders. Bands were transferred to nitrocellulose membranes at 375 mA for 1 hour. The membrane was cut at the 30-kDa marker to stain for K-Ras (21 kDa) and α-tubulin (50 kDa) separately. The membranes were blocked with 5% skim milk in TBS-Tween (TBST) for 1 hour at 4°C and then incubated with primary antibody diluted in 5% skim milk overnight at 4°C. For K-Ras, F234 mouse mAb (Santa Cruz Biotechnology) was used at a 1:100 dilution; for tubulin, mouse monoclonal anti-tubulin (Invitrogen 32-2500) was used at a 1:1,000 dilution. Membranes were washed 2× in TBST for 5 minutes, and shaken and then incubated with secondary antibody: goat anti-mouse (sc-2005,
Transmission electron microscopy

Seven microliters of TPN solution (15.7:5:1 peptide:PEG-peptide:siRNA, 1 μmol/L siRNA concentration, 0.1× PBS buffer) was dropped onto a carbon film/200 copper mesh grid, with excess solution wicked off after 1 minute. The grid was negatively stained with phosphotungstic acid (1% aqueous solution), again wicked off, and the grid was allowed to air-dry. The sample was imaged on an FEI T1200 FEG microscope operated at 200 kV, with images captured on a Gatan 2kx2k Ultrascan CCD camera.

Organoid biodistribution

Swiss Webster mice were intravenously injected under isoflurane anesthesia with non-PEGylated iRGD TPNs or 15:10:1 iRGD TPNs at 0.5 mmol/L siRNA dose per mouse, n = 3 per condition. VivoTag-S750 siRNA was used to minimize interference from autofluorescent background. After 3 hours, mice were euthanized and necropsy was performed to remove the lungs, heart, kidneys, liver, and spleen. Organs were scanned using a LI-COR Odyssey near-infrared scanner (LI-COR Biosciences) and analysis of average fluorescence intensity was performed in ImageJ.

Organoids

Trp53+/Kras+/LSL-G12D and Pdx1-Cre strains in C57Bl/6 background were interbred to obtain Pdx1-Cre; Kras+/LSL-G12D; Trp53+/+ (KPC) mice (38). The breeding strains were a kind gift from Dr. Calvin Kuo, Stanford University (Stanford, CA), recombinant mouse noggin (100 ng/mL, PeproTech), recombinant murine EGF (50 ng/mL, PeproTech), Leu15-Gastrin I (10 nmol/L, Sigma), recombinant human fibroblast growth factor 10 (FGF10, 100 ng/mL, PeproTech), Nicotinamide (10 mmol/L, Sigma), and A83-01 (0.5 μmol/L, Tocris). PEGylated TPNs carrying 100 nmol/L Alexa Fluor 647–labeled Kras-siRNAs were added into culture media and incubated overnight prior to fixation in 4% PFA for 1 hours. Fixed mouse organoids were imaged with Olympus FV1200 Laser Scanning Confocal Microscope. Human primary tumor organoids were first incubated with PEGylated TPNs carrying 100 nmol/L Alexa Fluor 647–labeled Kras-siRNAs and further incubated with CellMask Green plasma membrane stain for 20 minutes prior to 4% PFA fixation.

Organoid quantitative analysis

Analysis was performed in MATLAB 2017a (Mathworks). Please refer to Supplementary Fig. S4 and subsequent annotated MATLAB script.

Confocal microscopy and immunofluorescence

Seeded in a Nunc Lab-Tek Chambered Coverglass system (Thermo Fisher Scientific), primary mouse and human PDAC organoids were rinsed with PBS, fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde (PFA) in PBS for 60 minutes after TPN incubation, and imaged under a Zeiss LSM 700 inverted confocal scanning microscope. The excitation wavelength of Alexa 647–labeled Kras-siRNAs was 633 nm, and the corresponding emission filter was 660–710 nm. To track the localization of TPNs in vivo, subcutaneously transplanted tumor tissues were extracted, embedded in optimum cutting temperature (OCT) compound, and sectioned into 6-μm slices. After blocking with 5% goat serum, 2% BSA, 0.1% Triton-X 100 in PBS for 1 hour, sections were stained with a primary antibody against αtubulin (Abcam) at 2 μg/mL (1% BSA in PBS) or primary antibody against TAMRA (Thermo Fisher Scientific) at 5 μg/mL (1% BSA in PBS) overnight at 4°C. To address the intratumoral biodistribution of TPNs, 10 minutes prior to euthanasia, 50 μg fluorescein-conjugated Lycopersicon esculentum (tomo) lectin was intravenously injected into mice bearing orthotopic pancreatic tumors. OCT-embedded tissue sections were stained with anti-NRP1 (Abcam ab81321) or anti-alpha smooth muscle actin (Abcam ab5694) primaries in accordance with manufacturer’s instructions. Fluorescently labeled secondary antibodies (Invitrogen, Alexa Fluor 488 goat anti-rabbit IgG (H+L), Alexa Fluor 647 goat anti-rabbit IgG (H+L)) were incubated at 1 μg/mL (1% BSA in PBS) for 30 minutes at room temperature. The excitation wavelength of the secondary antibody was 488/633 nm, and the corresponding emission filter was 500–550/663–738 nm.

Intravital imaging

NCR/nude mice were implanted with bilateral flank grafts of MIA PaCa-2 (ATCC) xenografts and were imaged when tumors reached a major-axis diameter of approximately 1 cm. Mice were injected intravenously with TAMRA-labeled TPNs at a 0.3 mmol/L siRNA dose, alongside fluorescently tagged dextran (70 kDa FITC dextran, Life Technologies). Surgical exteriorization of the flank tumor was performed with the mice adequately anesthetized with inhaled isoflurane, and the tumor was mounted against a glass slide over the microscope objective for imaging. Second-harmonic generation microscopy was used to visualize collagen fibrils, while TAMRA fluorescence was used to track TPNs. Images were collected every 3 minutes over the course of 15 minutes, beginning 5 minutes postinjection due to the time elapsed to perform the surgery and locate suitable imaging regions. Sequences were captured as z-stacks with 21 layers, with z layers separated by 10 μm.

Orthotopic pancreatic cancer transplant model

Intrapancreatic tumor allografts were generated as described in Kim and colleagues (39). Briefly, NCR/nude mice were anesthetized and the surgical site was sterilized. An approximately 5-mm incision was made in the left mid-abdomen and the spleen and pancreas were exteriorized with forceps. One hundred microliters of KP D8-175 (firefly luciferized KPC mouse-derived cell line) suspension at 10 million cells/mL, diluted in Opti-MEM +...
10% growth factor–reduced, phenol red–free Matrigel (BD Biosciences) was injected using a sterile syringe and needle. After 1 minute to allow solidification of Matrigel, the spleen and pancreas were returned to the abdominal cavity; the peritoneum was then sutured together and the skin approximated by wound clips. Tumors were allowed to mature for approximately 4 weeks prior to injection of particles and/or staining as described above.

Knockdown in KPC tumors

Pdx1-Cre; Kras^+/Lsl-G12D, Trp53^+/flo (KPC) mice were divided into three treatment groups (n = 3) and administrated with (i) DSW i.v. injection and 1 × PBS i.p. injection, (ii) D5W i.v. injection and 15:2:5:1 pTP-TAM-IRGD:pTP-PEG(5 kDa)-iRGD:siNon-targeting control (siNC) 0.5 mg/kg, (iii) 15:2:5:1 pTP-TAM-IRGD:pTP-PEG(5 kDa)-iRGD:siKras 923 0.5 mg/kg i.v. injection. Forty-eight hours after injection, tumors were isolated and total RNA were extracted immediately using RNeasy Mini Kit (Qiagen). KRAS mRNA levels were quantified by qRT-PCR using KRAS TaqMan Gene Expression Assays (Thermo Fisher Scientific) against reference gene TBP.

Therapeutic trial

NCR nude mice were implanted with bilateral flank allografts of KP D8-175 luciferized cells, each seeded with 5 × 10^6 cells in 100 µL Opti-MEM. Mice were divided into groups of nearly equal average tumor burden (~170 mm^3 total tumor burden per mouse at the start of treatment) and SD of tumor burden. The three treatment groups, n = 6 each, were as follows: (i) D5W i.v. injection, (ii) 15:2:5:1 pTP-TAM-IRGD:pTP-PEG(5 kDa)-iRGD:siNC (nontargeted control) 0.5 mg/kg i.v. injection in D5W, and (iii) 15:2:5:1 pTP-TAM-IRGD:pTP-PEG(5 kDa)-iRGD:siKras 923 0.5 mg/kg i.v. injection in D5W. Mice were dosed on days 1, 4, 7, 11, 15, 18, 21, 25, 30, and 35. Doses based on body weight were administered such that a 25 g mouse would receive a 100-µL injection, with the actual administered volume scaled according to each mouse's individual weight. Tumor volumes were measured every three days by calipers by an independent researcher, to each mouse's individual weight. Tumor volumes were measured immediately using RNeasy Mini Kit (Qiagen). KRAS mRNA levels were quantified by qRT-PCR using KRAS TaqMan Gene Expression Assays (Thermo Fisher Scientific) against reference gene TBP.

Results

Design and in vitro function of iRGD TPNs for pancreatic cancer

To develop tandem peptides for RNAi delivery to PDAC, we built upon the tumor-penetrating nanocomplex platform, where siRNA is complexed with a tandem peptide containing both a C-terminal tumor-penetrating domain and N-terminal cell-penetrating peptide (CPP; Fig. 1A). On the basis of prior studies, we used transportan as the CPP, capped by an N-terminal saturated fatty acid chain to aid in endosomal escape (36). In contrast to our prior work, we selected iRGD (Fig. 1B) as the tumor-penetrating domain, on the basis of compelling immunohistologic evidence of robust overexpression of its primary receptor, αv integrins, in a pancreatic cancer tissue microarray (TMA; Fig. 1C, left and 1D) as well as expression of its secondary receptor, neuropilin-1, in stromal and immune cell components (Fig. 1E). Indeed, objective grading showed that nearly all tumor cores in the TMA over-expressed αv integrin to some degree (Fig. 1C, right), with many (classified as grade 3 or 4) showing homogeneously or heterogeneously intense staining; expression in healthy pancreas was limited to vessels and interstitial tissue (Fig. 1D, right).

To ensure that our chosen mouse models reflected this human expression pattern, we employed flow cytometry to confirm the presence of surface αv integrins and neuropilin-1 in a cell line ( luciferized KP B22) derived from the KPC (Kras^+/Lsl-G12D/C21,C24, Trp53^+/flo, Pdx1-Cre) genetically engineered mouse (GEM) model (Fig. 1F). We observed intracellular delivery of siRNA into B22 cells at an arbitrary starting ratio (Supplementary Fig. S1A). Subsequently, we optimized the peptide (palmitoyl-transportan-iRGD or pTP-iRGD) siRNA stoichiometric ratio for cargo encapsulation (Supplementary Fig. S1B) and optimized function based on luciferase marker knockdown in vitro, both with respect to the stoichiometric ratio (Supplementary Fig. S1C) as well as the dose (Supplementary Fig. S1D). We identified optimal function at ≥10:1 ratios, corresponding to a ~1.7:1 N/P (nitrogen/phosphate) ratio and siRNA concentrations ≥50 nmol/L.

Moving toward therapeutic applications, we anticipated that Kras knockdown would be the cornerstone of any potential therapeutic RNAi regimen for PDAC, given the ubiquity of Kras mutations and studies showing that PDAC cell lines stably expressing shRNA against KRAS grow much more slowly as tumor grafts (40), and that local, prolonged intratumoral delivery of siRNA against mutant Kras^+/Lsl-G12D in established PDAC tumors inhibited tumor cell proliferation (34). In B22 mouse cells, TPNs mediated approximately 90% knockdown of Kras mRNA at 48 hours, while a seed-matched siRNA control that accounted for miRNA-like seed sequence effects did not result in significant knockdown (Fig. 1G). In the PANC-1 human cell line, we observed profound knockdown of >95% with the same siRNA sequence (Fig. 1H).

Finally, as a proof-of-concept, we demonstrated in vitro knockdown of two independent siRNA targets simultaneously (Supplementary Fig. S2A and S2B). In summary, we created iRGD TPNs to take advantage of NRP-1 and αv integrins as targeting and penetration receptors, and effected robust siRNA knockdown in both human and murine PDAC cell lines.

PEG formulations of iRGD TPNs improve pharmacokinetics

TPNs are not natively stable in the bloodstream, so we applied knowledge from our systematic comparison of modular formulations for LyP-1 TPNs in ovarian cancer (37) to improve the properties of iRGD TPNs for in vivo applications. Applying the preferred chemical framework, we introduced a third component consisting of a 5 kDa polyethylene glycol (PEG) chain inserted linearly between the transportan and iRGD (‘pTP-PEG-iRGD’), separating the CendR domain from the CPP-siRNA particle core so as to leave it accessible for target binding (Fig. 2A). Particles containing various ratios of pTP-iRGD:pTP-PEG-iRGD siRNA were constructed to encapsulate siRNA cargo, and increasing the proportion of PEG-peptide in the TPNs yielded smaller particles.
based on dynamic light scattering (DLS; Fig. 2B), which we observed to also be more stable over time. Because DLS gives only an effective hydrodynamic diameter, we confirmed the particles’ size using TEM with negative staining, which revealed particles of similar average diameter, but an intriguing subnano-particle structure suggesting assemblies of smaller sub-units (Supplementary Fig. S3A).

The PEGylated particles demonstrated similar cellular delivery of both the siRNA and peptide components while eliminating extracellular aggregation in cell culture experiments (Supplementary Fig. S3B and S3C). Furthermore, in Mia PaCa-2 human PDAC cells, we quantified KRAS knockdown efficacy of iRGD-TPNs with and without PEG incorporation and showed that they are equally potent in knockdown of KRAS at both the mRNA and protein levels (Fig. 2C and D). To study the ramifications of PEG stabilization on iRGD TPN pharmacokinetics, we first injected PEGylated TPNs (15:2.5:1 or 15:7.5:1 ratios) into mice to contrast the organ biodistribution with non-PEGylated TPNs (Fig. 2E), noting marked, statistically significant reductions in lung, spleen, and liver accumulation. A representative near-infrared scan of lungs extracted from mice injected with PEGylated and non-PEGylated TPNs carrying fluorescently tagged siRNA qualitatively demonstrates the difference in intensity and distribution due to particle formulation (Fig. 2F). Of note, the pancreas in this experiment is the healthy native pancreas rather than a tumor, with low accumulation in the native pancreatic tissue being favorable for...
selectively targeting pancreatic cancer tissue. This contrast is in agreement with the differences in receptor expression seen in Fig. 1D and E. Overall, these results demonstrated PEG-induced pharmacokinetic changes in organ distribution, particularly reduced off-target accumulation in reticuloendothelial system (liver and spleen) and first-pass entrapment in the lung.

iRGD mediates penetrating siRNA delivery in 3D organoid models

Satisfied with the nanocomplex formulation, we next set out to determine whether these particles could overcome the physical delivery barriers partly responsible for poor therapeutic outcomes of current PDAC treatment. To investigate whether the tumor penetration and trafficking mechanisms of iRGD persist in the context of covalent tethering to TPNs, we derived pancreatic organoids from primary mouse and human tumors to model tumor responses to nanocomplex exposure in a three-dimensional, multicellular context (Fig. 3A and B; ref. 41). Primary PDAC organoids cultured in Matrigel maintain the histotarchitecture and phenotypic heterogeneity of the primary tumor while being more accessible to imaging than in vivo structures. We assessed the function and behavior of PEGylated iRGD TPNs in primary tumor organoids by tracking their distribution and penetration depth through the entire organoid. High-resolution optical imaging allows cellular-level analyses, enabling identification and quantification of cellular and behavioral heterogeneity within organoids. TPNs formulated with scrambled iRGD peptide bound only to the organoids’ periphery, lacking tumor-penetrating capacity (Fig. 3C). In contrast, fluorescently-tagged siRNAs in the iRGD TPN formulation penetrated deeply in large (diameter > 200 μm) primary human tumor organoids, qualitatively reflected in the fluorescent siRNA observed in the center of the organoid (Fig. 3D). This interpretation was confirmed through image quantification in MATLAB, based on the assumption that siRNA can only enter the organoids from their outer edge, we segmented the organoid into “tree rings” of fixed depth from the edge, quantifying siRNA intensity over background (Supplementary Fig. S4, Supplemental Code). This analysis revealed siRNA penetration beyond the outer rim of cells and indeed persistent siRNA intensity even as the cell density decreases toward the center (Fig. 3E). In murine PDAC organoids derived from KPC tumors (effective genotype: KrasG12D/+; p53/C3/C3), we observed that free siRNA was hardly uptaken (Fig. 3F), whereas organoids treated with PEGylated iRGD TPNs displayed robust cytoplasmic siRNA distribution (Fig. 3G). Because these organoids were largely hollow, the quantified penetration profile is less revealing, but demonstrates siRNA uptake in proportion to cytoplasmic content at any depth (Fig. 3H). Thus, in human and mouse 3D organoid cultures, iRGD TPNs achieved robust penetrating siRNA delivery, often through cell layers hundreds of microns thick.

We performed several follow-up experiments to investigate the in vivo implications of these organoid findings. In a subcutaneous xenograft pancreatic tumor model, we performed intravital imaging of iRGD TPN accumulation in tumors in real-time following intravenous administration, with collagen visualized through

Figure 2.

In vitro and in vivo characterization of iRGD TPNs formulated with PEG. A, Summary of chemical synthesis of transportan-PEG-iRGD and schematic of PEGylated iRGD TPN. B, iRGD TPN hydrodynamic diameter as a function of PEG content, determined by dynamic light scattering. C, In vitro mRNA knockdown in MiaPaCa-2 cells by non-PEGylated and PEGylated iRGD TPNs, with Lipofectamine siKRAS as positive control; expression relative to TBP (TATA-binding protein) housekeeping control. D, Western blot analysis depicting knockdown of K-Ras protein in PANC-1 cells by non-PEGylated and PEGylated iRGD TPNs, with Lipofectamine siKRAS as positive control. E, Organ biodistribution of siRNA delivered by systemically injected PEGylated versus plain iRGD TPNs, performed in healthy wild-type mice (n = 5 per condition). *: P < 0.05; **: P < 0.01; ***: P < 0.001 by two-way ANOVA. Unmarked comparisons within each organ are nonsignificant. F, Comparison between lungs of animals dosed with PEGylated TPNs (above) and plain TPNs (bottom), pseudocolored on the basis of near-infrared siRNA intensity.
second-harmonic generation as a marker of stromal elements. This timelapse imaging at various depths in the tumor demonstrated arrival of the particles via vasculature and rapid extravasation and penetration into surrounding tumor tissue, including the interstices between collagen bands (Supplementary Video S1; representative still image shown in Supplementary Fig. S5). Going further, we generated orthotopic allograft tumor models via intrapancreatic transplantation of pancreatic tumor cells (39). These tumors robustly expressed NRP-1, in contrast with nearby normal pancreas tissue (Supplementary Fig. S6A). Simultaneous fluorescent staining of microvessels (using tomato lectin) and NRP-1 in an orthotopic tumor section following intravenous administration of PEGylated iRGD TPNs mediate Kras knockdown and slow PDAC growth in vivo

Finally, we sought to characterize the performance of PEGylated iRGD TPNs in animal models. For delivery and knockdown studies, we utilized the KPC mouse model of pancreatic cancer (genotype: Kras<sub>LSL-G12D</sub>/<sup>+</sup>, Trp53<sub>−/−</sub>; Pdx-1-Cre), where Cre recombines expressed under the control of a pancreas-specific promoter, Pdx-1, leads to Kras and p53 mutations that drive aggressive autochthonous tumors bearing close histological resemblance (including the desmoplastic stroma) to human PDACs, albeit at an accelerated pace with multiple foci of disease (38). Following intravenous administration of particles bearing near-infrared fluorescently-labeled siRNA to KPC mice, we observed widely distributed fluorescent uptake at the macroscopic level, with particularly intense fluorescence near the core of the representative cross-section shown (Fig. 4A). We also noted significant particle uptake in an orthotopic PDAC xenograft model, shown at the microscopic level (Supplementary Fig. S6B). At the posttranscriptional level, we determined that mRNA was knocked down in tumors of mice that received non-targeted siRNA delivered in the same fashion as compared to controls (by two-way ANOVA), with tumor burden increasing to only 20 times the original volume over 40 days after the start of treatment, compared with 42 times in the untreated group and 38 times in the nontargeting siRNA-treated group (Fig. 4E).
Tumor-penetrating Nanocomplexes for Pancreatic Cancer

Meanwhile, the untargeted siRNA and saline injection controls were not statistically different from each other. Notably, while the tumors initially grew at approximately the same rate for the first week, we subsequently observed that from days 11 to 40, the growth rate (slope) of the siKras-treated tumors was 4.0 times lower than that of the untreated tumors, in agreement with the penetrating ability of the particles in larger tumors and the expected timeline of angiogenesis as a source of integrin targets. Overall, when we fit the growth curves to an exponential growth function, we found that the tumor doubling time was extended to 13.3 days in the siKras-treated group, as compared with 10.1 days in the untreated and 10.3 days in the nontargeted siRNA-treated group. Using an absolute tumor volume threshold as a surrogate marker for survival, the siKras treatment also resulted in extended survival relative to the two control groups (Fig. 4F). Thus, PEGylated iRGD TPNs deliver siRNA to tumors in a highly distributed fashion throughout the tumor tissue, and our therapeutic trial data indicate that treatment with iRGD TPNs delivering siRNA against kras slows tumor growth.

Discussion

We have designed a nanoparticle-based approach for nucleic acid delivery to pancreatic cancers through the development of PEGylated iRGD TPNs, which show promise in conveying genespecific therapies to PDAC in vitro and in vivo. This work extends the modular concept behind TPNs on multiple fronts. In addition to interchanging the targeting domain, we successfully applied our prior work on optimizing TPN formulations with modular PEG compounds to a new tandem peptide, which, while still CendR-based, has a distinct chemical character, owing to its different net charge and updated receptor specificity (Fig. 2).

Because pancreatic cancer cells are typically surrounded by a thick, poorly perfused stroma that renders much of the tumor inaccessible to drugs, one of our priorities was developing particles that could actively engage this barrier. In contrast to stroma-depleting strategies (12, 13), we considered that transient access mediated by iRGD might present an alternate strategy that would allow delivery without interfering with the tumor growth- and migration-constraining properties of these physical barriers (as suggested in Supplementary Figs. S5 and S7). For the first time, we directly tested the capacity of TPNs to mediate penetration into 3D structures using both mouse- and patient-derived human tumor organoids. Tumor tissue–derived organoids mimic the in vivo tumor microenvironment better than monolayer cell lines and reinstate barriers that are encountered by macromolecules, such as siRNAs. Patient-derived organoids also represent an important resource for developing clinical screens for drugs and for predicting treatment outcomes (41, 42). Here, we demonstrated the utility of organoids to model interactions between TPNs and the tumor cells in a 3D context. In combination with high-resolution microscopy, we tracked TPN penetration in organoids over time to assess cellular uptake of the nanoparticles and performed detailed characterization of the heterogeneity within the structures. Targeted TPNs exhibited efficient cellular uptake and penetration from the periphery to the center of the organoids, whereas naked siRNA and untargeted (scrambled) TPNs did not travel beyond the outer layers of the tumor (Fig. 3). The ability to quantify penetration, potentially in real time, opens up new avenues for understanding and improving CendR-guided nanocomplex delivery. Our first TEM micrographs characterizing the

Figure 4. In vivo function of PEGylated iRGD TPNs. A, PEGylated iRGD TPN delivery of fluorescently tagged siRNA to a Kras-p53 (KPC) GEM model of PDAC, with representative tumor cross-sections shown above and linear intensity traces shown below. Scale bar, 1 cm. B, 48-hour Kras mRNA knockdown in KPC tumors in vivo, n = 3 per condition. **, P < 0.001, n.s., not significant by one-way ANOVA. C, Immunofluorescent staining of αv integrin (green) distribution in a PDAC isolated from the KPC model. Nuclei are blue. Scale bar, 25 μm. D, Immunofluorescent staining of TAMRA-tagged tandem peptide distribution in a PDAC isolated from the KPC model after injection with PEG iRGD TPNs (red). Scale bar, 25 μm. E, Tumor growth curves of mice bearing KPC-derived allografts treated with diluent only (“Untreated”) or PEGylated iRGD TPNs containing siRNA against Kras (“siKras”) or a nontargeted siRNA (“siNC”), n = 6 per group. Black arrows indicate dates of dosing. ***, P < 0.001 by two-way ANOVA. Relative tumor size was computed as the current tumor volume divided by the starting tumor volume for each given mouse. Absolute starting tumor volumes were closely matched between treatment groups. F, Kaplan–Meier plot of tumor growth of the cohorts shown in E, with a standard threshold absolute tumor volume used as a surrogate metric for survival.
subnanocomplex structure of TPNs in a salt-buffer solution offer complementary clues to how this penetration may be working physically (Supplementary Fig. S3A), and together with organoid quantification can serve as the foundation for future computational analyses. Moreover, the organoid-TPN delivery platform could serve as a tool for testing the effects of knocking down different genetic targets across organoids that represent the diversity of patient tumors, while incorporating the challenges of receptor-specific penetration and delivery that traditional 2D culture screens lack.

While the relative expression levels and distributions of relevant α,β/3 integrins and neuropilin-1 vary between individual tumors and their derived cell lines, as with virtually all tumor markers, we found that the vast majority of PDACs in a human tissue microarray, along with the cell lines we tested, were positive for both (Fig. 1). Staining for neuropilin-1 in orthotopic allograft tumors and the adjacent normal pancreatic tissue highlights the differences in expression patterns that set the stage for tumor-specific penetration (Supplementary Fig. S6A). Encouragingly, iRGD-mediated TPN uptake was observed in these orthotopic tumor models, commensurate with the level of NRP-1 seen in the tumors (Supplementary Figs. S5 and S6B). Moreover, the presence of iRGD-recognized integrins on angiogenic blood vessels and tumor cells (43), as well as neuropilin-1 in stromal elements (e.g., myofibroblasts; ref. 44), is well-documented, as are their roles in potentially abetting tumor growth. As such, we expect that iRGD-based approaches would be applicable to a large proportion of PDACs.

From the therapeutic angel, we focused on targeting KRAS mRNA due to the high rate of KRAS mutations in human tumors. While artificial inducible models of KRAS withdrawal or anti-KRAS shRNA expression have shown robust responses, studies using small-molecule drugs to target this pathway in vivo have not, to date, been able to achieve clinical therapeutic impact (26). Indeed, in contrast to other oncogenes like EGFR and ERBB2, KRAS does not have a clinically approved small-molecule or antibody inhibitor, although research on this topic is ongoing (45). While KRAS siRNA sequences used in this article are not specific for mutated KRAS mRNA compared with wild-type, strategies for the development of mutation-specific siRNAs have been described in the literature (46) and may add some degree of greater specificity for tumor cells. Therapeutic siKRAS delivery has been achieved in lung cancer models (28), but systemic treatment of pancreatic cancer, which does not benefit from a first-pass effect and lies in an organ with low overall bloodflow, has not yet been reported. We are thus encouraged by our in vivo results showing knockdown in a “gold standard” PDAC platform (immune-competent KPC mice), and evidence that systemic administration of our modified, targeted siKRAS-bearing TPNs slowed tumor growth in an aggressive allograft model of pancreatic cancer (Fig. 4). The tumor-slowing effect was most pronounced after the first week of treatment, suggesting particular efficacy in more advanced tumors, and the overall tumor doubling time was increased by 32% compared with untreated tumors. Improvements in imaging techniques may enable more sophisticated preclinical therapeutic trials in the future. Unfortunately, KRAS knockdown is unlikely to suffice as a monotherapy given the strong possibility of resistance due to compensatory mutations and altered expression profiles. Thus, it will be necessary to redouble efforts to identify and credential new targets that will enhance or synergize with KRAS pathway blockade. shRNA and CRISPR/Cas9-powered screens are generating unprecedented lists of genetic targets that have the potential to become new RNAi therapies. A recently defined cancer dependency map has unveiled the importance of gene expression in addition to mutations for tumor survival (47). These findings suggest that in addition to efforts focused on mutated oncogenes, the majority of hits derived from genetic screens remain to be tested for efficacy as therapeutic targets. In this work, we have demonstrated that TPNs are well-poised to serve as a tool to establish a target validation platform for single and multiple siRNA knockdown candidates (Supplementary Fig. S2), as well as a delivery vehicle for credentialled combinations of siRNA interventions. Furthermore, with iRGD being successfully employed to deliver chemotherapeutics to pancreatic cancer (22), it may be possible to combine gene-targeted therapies with traditional cytotoxic drugs to more comprehensively combat this disease.

In summary, we have engineered peptide-based nanocomplexes specifically designed to address the constraints and challenges of systemically treating pancreatic cancer. In particular, these tumor-penetrating nanocomplexes can deliver siRNA addressing a key driving genetic mutation in PDAC, utilizing embedded mechanisms for penetrating through the tumor environment using iRGD, whose receptors are widely expressed in human pancreatic cancers. With validation of both the penetrating properties and therapeutic efficacy of these particles in various in vitro and in vivo models of PDAC, we believe the approach can easily be adapted to enable translation of our growing genetic understanding of PDAC.
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