Cooperative Targets of Combined mTOR/HDAC Inhibition Promote MYC Degradation
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Abstract

Cancer treatments often require combinations of molecularly targeted agents to be effective. mTORi (rapamycin) and HDACi (MS-275/entinostat) inhibitors have been shown to be effective in limiting tumor growth, and here we define part of the cooperative action of this drug combination. More than 60 human cancer cell lines responded synergistically (CI<1) when treated with this drug combination compared with single agents. In addition, a breast cancer patient-derived xenograft, and a BCL-XL plasmacytoma mouse model both showed enhanced responses to the combination compared with single agents. A set of 37 genes cooperatively affected (34 downregulated; 3 upregulated) by the combination responded pharmacodynamically in human myeloma cell lines, xenografts, and a P493 model, and were both enriched in tumors, and correlated with prognostic markers in myeloma patient datasets. Genes downregulated by the combination were overexpressed in several untreated cancers (breast, lung, colon, sarcoma, head and neck, myeloma) compared with normal tissues. The MYC/E2F axis, identified by upstream regulator analyses and validated by immunoblots, was significantly inhibited by the drug combination in several myeloma cell lines. Furthermore, 88% of the 34 genes downregulated have MYC-binding sites in their promoters, and the drug combination cooperatively reduced MYC half-life by 55% and increased degradation. Cells with MYC mutations were refractory to the combination. Thus, integrative approaches to understand drug synergy identified a clinically actionable strategy to inhibit MYC/E2F activity and tumor cell growth in vivo.
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Introduction

The complex interaction of multiple, often heterogeneous, genomic aberrations, microenvironment inputs, and nonlinear signal transduction cascades promote cancer progression and affects therapeutic response. To maximize clinical efficacy, combinations of targeted agents are often required to overcome compensatory cancer signaling networks and circumvent resistance mechanisms. Combinations of cytotoxic drugs, and more recently, molecularly targeted agents with cytotoxics, have become the mainstay of medical oncology (1). The ability of combinations to circumvent tumor resistance is well established and a spectrum of cooperative mechanisms between targeted agents is known, including enhanced response by vertically targeting the same pathway or by horizontally targeting parallel pathways (1, 2). Identifying the mechanism(s) of molecular synergy for candidate combinations, while challenging, is critical for prioritization of combinations to evaluate clinically. In an FDA-issued guidance for the development of combining unapproved drugs, a strong biological rationale for the combination and validated molecular response biomarkers are considered critically important (3). We have previously shown sensitivity and drug synergy for the combination of mTORi (rapamycin) and histone deacetylase inhibitors (HDACi) in multiple myeloma cells isolated from patients as well as in genetically diverse sets of human multiple myeloma, Burkitt lymphoma, and mouse plasmacytoma cell lines (4). Preclinical findings have been reported for additional malignancies (5, 6) and clinical activity has been found in an early phase trial of everolimus (mTORi) and panobinostat (HDACi) in patients with relapsed lymphoma (7). Panobinostat in combination with bortezomib and dexamethasone has been approved for use in treatment-refractory myeloma patients (8). In addition, everolimus (9) and everolimus plus exemestane (10) have been approved for treatment in breast cancer. Entinostat (MS-275, an HDACi) was given breakthrough status in combination with exemestane after a phase II trial demonstrated improvements in progression-free survival and overall survival (11) and is currently being evaluated in a phase...
III trial in breast cancer. Here we have shown that mTORi/HDACi combinations are more effective than single-agent therapy in a diverse array of cancers using cell lines, xenografts, patient-derived xenografts (PDX), and a genetically engineered mouse model. A biologically integrated, network-based approach using myeloma cell lines and patient datasets was used to elucidate one of the mechanisms of action in combining mTOR and HDAC inhibitors.

Materials and Methods

Cell lines

The Developmental Therapeutics Program (DTP) screened the NCI-60 cell lines with single-agent rapamycin, MS-275, or the combination as described previously (12). Human multiple myeloma cell lines were obtained in 2014, cultured, and authenticated as described previously (13). The tetracycline-repressible MYC P493-6 B cell line model, kindly provided by Chi-Van Dang (Ludwig Institute for Cancer Research, New York, NY) was treated as described previously (ref. 14; summarized in the Supplementary Methods). For in vitro studies, entinostat (MS-275; ref. 15) and tamoxifen were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich and sirolimus (rapamycin) was provided by the Drug Synthesis and Chemistry Branch, DTP, Division of Cancer Treatment and Diagnosis, NCI, NIH, Bethesda, MD. Panobinostat, bortezomib, and cycloheximide were purchased from LC Labs. Drugs were dissolved in DMSO (Sigma) to 10 mmol/L and stored at –80°C.

In vivo experiments

Mice were tested using institutionally approved (LCBG-009, Animal Care and Use Committee, NCI) animal protocols. In the L363 xenograft experiment, $5 \times 10^6$ cells were inoculated subcutaneously into each flank of athymic NCr-nu/mu (NCI, Frederick, MD) mice, and allowed to grow for 11 days prior to daily treatment (oral gavage) for 5 days with rapamycin (2.5 mg/kg) and entinostat (20 mg/kg suspended in 20% hydroxypropyl B-cyclodextrin (Sigma). BALB/c-Bcl-xL transgenic mice (16) were inoculated intraperitoneally with 0.4 mL pristane to induce tumors. After 8 days, mice were randomized to four treatment groups, and dosed twice weekly with vehicle, 2.5 mg/kg panobinostat, 2.5 mg/kg rapamycin, or the combination (both drugs at 2.5 mg/kg), all by intraperitoneal injection. Details concerning preparations of tumor tissues, protein lysates, antibodies, immunoblots, IHC, and Protein Simple protocols (17) are in the Supplementary Methods. For in vivo experiments

Multiplexed digital gene expression profiling (NanoString) and ChIP

One-hundred nanograms of total RNA (RNAeasy Mini Kit according to the manufacturer’s protocol; Qiagen), was added to Nanostring reagents and processed using the nCounter Analysis System (CCR DNA Sequencing/Digital Gene Expression Core Facility) according to the manufacturer’s protocol (NanoString Technologies). nSolver Analysis Software was used for quality control checking, normalization, and initial analysis. In addition to normalization with “spiked in” positive and negative controls, counts were scaled to the geometric mean of the housekeeping genes G6PD, GUSB, OAZ1, SDHA, and SPP14. Unless otherwise indicated in the figure legend, all heatmaps show the log2 fold change of a given sample to the expression measured in the vehicle-treated control. MYC ChIP analyses were performed according to instructions from Pierce Agarose Chip kit (26156, includes rabbit IgG control antibody) with the following MYC antibodies used in equal amounts: Santa Cruz Biotechnology 764 C. Data were processed in GraphPad Prism7. Primer sequences flanking MYC-binding sites in genes, validated in L363 cells, are shown in Supplementary Methods.

Results

mTORi/HDACi combination is synergistic for diverse cancer cell types

mTORi (rapamycin/sirolimus)/HDACi (entinostat/MS-275) combination activity and synergy was assessed across multiple tumor types in the NCI 60 cell line panel (Fig. 1A and B; Supplementary Fig. S1E; NCI/ADR-RES and HCC-2998 cells remained insensitive. The NCI/ADR-RES cell line has high levels of the multidrug resistance 1 (MDR1 P-glycoprotein) gene, and the HCC-2998 cell line carries mutations in at least 6 of the top 10 COSMIC cancer genes, including FBXW7 (19).

Consistent with our observations of synergistic activity for combined mTOR/HDAC inhibition across multiple tumor types, we used a breast cancer PDX (MaCa4049) model to evaluate the effectiveness of single-agent mTORi, HDACi, and the combination with low-dose tamoxifen (an estrogen receptor antagonist frequently used in breast cancer treatment). The combination of everolimus and MS-275 with tamoxifen was superior to single-agent treatment in limiting tumor growth (Fig. 1C).
mTORI/HDACi transcriptional network defined by WGCNA identifies genes cooperatively responding to the drug combination involved in cell cycle and DNA replication/repair. To assess the synergistic mechanism of action for this drug combination, we generated a transcriptional drug response network. Using a multiple myeloma line (L363) previously shown to have a synergistic response to combined mTORI/HDACi (4), we generated gene expression profiles (GEP) of cells treated with the individual drugs and the combination. Initially, we filtered out genes unlikely affected by any drug treatment and performed an assessment of additive and interaction effects by two-way ANOVA (ref. 20; Supplementary Table S1A; see Supplementary Methods). Weighted gene coexpression network analysis (18) of the dataset identified sets/modules of highly correlated genes associated with the single and combination drug effects. The gene coexpression network was constructed on the basis of unsigned and power transformed (coefficient of eight) pairwise Pearson correlations across expression profiles of the control and treatment samples (Supplementary Fig. S2A and B). Subsequently, unsupervised hierarchical clustering of the network topological overlap with the automated cluster separation algorithm (21) detected distinct modules of genes whose coexpression levels were shared (Fig. 1D and E; Supplementary Fig. S2C). Significant correlations between gene coexpression events in a module and the ANOVA mean gene significance identified modules associated with each single agent as well as the combination (Fig. 1E; Supplementary Fig. S2D).

An overall drug response network of 901 genes was assigned to five distinct drug-related modules (color coded) and was retained for further analysis after removing nodes with low module connectivity (Supplementary Methods, Fig. 1D–G; genes assigned to each module are listed in Supplementary Table S1B). Gene modules within the overall network result from a distinct perturbation, in this case, a particular drug or drug combination, thus illustrating the contribution of each drug to the overall molecular response of the combination (Fig. 1F; Supplementary Fig. S2B). Of the five modules, the HDACi alone elicited the largest gene expression response, and was solely responsible for two modules (light and dark green) totaling 674 genes. The mTORi module contained only 67 genes independently affected by rapamycin. Two modules contained genes affected by both drugs. In the neutral module (orange), there were antagonistic effects of the single agents leading to no net change in gene expression when the drugs were combined. The combination (blue) module contained 126 genes in which both individual drugs affected gene expression in the same direction, and when combined, resulted in an enhanced magnitude of response. The combination (blue) module was significantly enriched for genes involved in cell cycle and DNA replication/repair (Fig. 2A; Supplementary Fig. S2E; Supplementary Table S1C). We focused on the 126 genes in the combination (blue) module to study the synergistic mechanism of combination treatment action.

Gene set enrichment analyses identify a disease-associated cooperative response signature induced by the drug combination

Integration of our drug response network of 126 genes cooperatively affected by the combination with disease-based transcriptional networks (22–24) from large, well-annotated multiple myeloma patient cohorts resulted in narrowing the set of genes that are both cooperatively regulated by the mTORI/HDACi combination and relevant to the malignant state to 94. We defined gene sets differentially expressed in CD138+ plasma cells from healthy (volunteer donors) versus myeloma patients and found that patterns were largely inverse—most genes downregulated by the drug combination were overexpressed in the disease state and vice versa (Fig. 2B). In four of the five drug response modules, GSEA found significant enrichment of genes downregulated by the combination among genes overexpressed in patients, and similarly, genes upregulated by treatment were enriched in the set of genes underexpressed in patients (Fig. 2C; Supplementary Fig. S3A and S3B; Supplementary Table S1D and S1E). The genes from the neutral (orange: no net change in expression) module were not enriched among gene sets either over- or underexpressed in myeloma patients (Supplementary Fig. S3; Supplementary Table S1D).

Having found 94 of the genes from the combination (blue) module enriched in the patient dataset, we explored relationships between the expression of any of these genes and patient survival. Using the algorithm of Bair and Tibshirani (29), we were able to develop a multivariate prognostic classifier with 37 of the 126 genes significantly associated with overall survival (Fig. 2D; Supplementary Table S1F and S1G; Supplementary Methods).

**Figure 1.**

Evaluation of mTORI/HDACi synergy and gene coexpression network analysis defines cooperative drug response network. **A,** Percent growth of cells relative to initial cell density in the NO-60 panel after 48 hours of treatment with rapamycin (R; 10 nmol/L) and/or MS-275(M; 1 µmol/L); percent growth from baseline is shown (see https://dtp.cancer.gov/discovery_development/nci-60/methodology.htm) for detailed methods and calculations; Supplementary Fig. S1 includes additional doses. **B,** Distribution of synergy scores across the cell lines, based on the combination index calculation (CI < 1 is synergistic) and the fraction affected (Fa), indicating proportion viable. **C,** In vivo activity of everolimus and/or MS-275 in combination with low-dose tamoxifen in the breast cancer patient-derived xenograft (PDX) line Mca4049. Black asterisks refer to comparisons of drug treatment time points significant relative to the vehicle; blue asterisks refer to comparisons with everolimus. **D,** Dendrogram from hierarchical clustering of genes into drug-response modules based on the topological overlap distance (TOM). The modules contain densely interconnected, highly coexpressed genes forming the dendrogram branches color coded underneath (See Supplementary Fig. S2 and Supplementary Methods for complete description of informatics procedures and parameters). **E,** Scatter plots show the relationship between drug treatment-based gene significance (negative log10 P value from two-way ANOVA models) and intramodular connectivity for each drug-specific module identified. Plots show Pearson correlation coefficients (r), correlation significance (Bonferroni-corrected P values), and significance scores (mean gene significance (MS), which were used as criteria for mapping a network module to the treatment response (see Supplementary Methods and Supplementary Fig. S2D for further details). **F,** Gene coexpression profiles (mean centered by columns) for each the five drug-specific coexpression modules across individual treatments and the combination. The heatmaps of genes were generated with hierarchical clustering using (1 – uncentered correlation distance, and complete linkage). With respect to the combination: the cooperative (blue) module shows the concerted effect of both drugs; in the neutral (orange) module, individual drugs have opposing effects and therefore no net change in expression; HDACi contribution alone (light and dark green modules); mTORi contribution alone (red module), **G,** Network representation of the 901 most connected nodes (genes) from the drug-specific modules. Nodes are colored by module assignment (as in H), and sizes are proportional to within-module connectivity. A force-directed graph layout was generated with the Fruchterman–Reingold algorithm (igraph R package); topologically near nodes are close to each other and topologically distant nodes are farther apart. Venn diagram shows the number of genes with expression changes related to individual or combination treatment in the 901-gene drug response network.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Representative GO Term</th>
<th>Hub Genes</th>
<th>UP/DOWN-regulated</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DNA Replication</td>
<td>CDC25A, CDC25C, KIF22, MCM2, MCM4, RAD51, RBM14, RFC2, RRM2, TIMELESS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cell cycle</td>
<td>CCNB2, CDC25A, CDC25C, CDC2A, CDC2B, CTT, DBF4B, E2F2, ESPL1, FOXM1, HJURP, KIF22, KIF2C, MCM2, MKI67, NCAPH, PLK1, RAD51, SPAG5, SPIC2, TIMELESS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chromosome segregation</td>
<td>CDC25A, CDC25C, CDC2A, CDC2B, CTT, DBF4B, E2F2, ESPL1, FOXM1, HJURP, KIF22, KIF2C, MCM2, MKI67, NCAPH, PLK1, RAD51, SPAG5, SPIC2, TIMELESS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Microtubule-based process</td>
<td>ESPL1, KIF22, KIF2C, SPAG5, TUBA1B, TUBA1C</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cell division</td>
<td>CCNB2, CDC25A, CDC25C, CDC2A, CDC2B, CTT, DBF4B, E2F2, ESPL1, NCAPH, PLK1, SPAG5, SPIC2, TIMELESS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antigen processing and presentation</td>
<td>HLA-DMA, HLA-DPB1, HLA-DQB1, (HLA-DRB1, HLA-DRB4)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sister chromatid segregation</td>
<td>CCNB2, CDC25A, CDC25C, CDC2A, CDC2B, CTT, DBF4B, E2F2, ESPL1, HJURP, KIF22, KIF2C, MCM2, MKI67, NCAPH, PLK1, RAD51, RBM14, RRM2, SCARB1, SPAG5, SPIC2, TIMELESS, TUBA1B, TUBA1C</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

B

Drug response signature combination vs. control

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Down regulated by drug (n = 81)</th>
<th>Upregulated by drug (up (n = 13))</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Normalized ES = 1.94, FDR q-value = 9.22e-4</td>
<td>Normalized ES = 2.22, FDR q-value &lt; 1e-4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

C

GSEA for cooperatively regulated gene set

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Relapsed</th>
<th>New</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Normalized ES = 1.43, FDR q-value = 0.068</td>
<td>Normalized ES = -2.29, FDR q-value &lt; 1e-4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

D

Survival rate (years)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Low risk</th>
<th>High risk</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>n = 106</td>
<td>n = 101</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Low risk</th>
<th>High risk</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>n = 97</td>
<td>n = 110</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Survival rate (years)</th>
<th>n = 106</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>P = 0.017</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Survival rate (years)</th>
<th>n = 101</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>P = 0.009 (5000 permutations)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

E

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Breast</th>
<th>Lung</th>
<th>Colon</th>
<th>Sarcoma</th>
<th>Head-Neck</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Standardized ratio (log2)</td>
<td>Standardized intensity (log2)</td>
<td>Standardized intensity (log2)</td>
<td>Standardized intensity (log2)</td>
<td>Standardized intensity (log2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>3.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>3.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

F
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Kaplan-Meier curves for predicted low-risk and high-risk groups (Fig. 2D) show strong separation both in the split-set validation ($P=0.017$) and leave-one-out cross-validation (permutation $P=0.009$). The direction of drug combination-induced gene expression change, which we observed experimentally, would predict improved prognosis in the patient cohort retrospectively evaluated in this analysis (Fig. 2E). Hypothetically, the patients identified as highest risk by this classifier may benefit most from combination treatment, but prospective clinical testing would be required to demonstrate this.

In multiple myeloma, a number of expression-based classifiers have been reported that relate to patient prognosis (26–28). In one reported classifier, six disease subtypes were validated as related to known multiple myeloma driver lesions (e.g., c-MAF or MMSET-activating translocations), while one additional subtype was most related to relapse after high-dose therapy and autologous stem cell transplant (28). In coclassifying patients by these disease subtypes with our cooperative response signature, we saw deviations from expected frequencies ($\chi^2$) in the PR (proliferation); and CD2 (CCND1/CCND3 subgroup)2 groups ($\chi^2 = 81, \text{df} = 6, P < 0.001$; Supplementary Fig. S4A). In the PR group, predicted high-risk patients by the 37-gene signature were over-represented and predicted low-risk patients were under-represented, while the opposite was true in the CD2 group (low risk patients were over-represented, and high-risk patients were under-represented). For the remaining 3 groups, there were no deviations from expected by chance alone. In addition, another frequently used classifier is the expression-based proliferation index (PI) (based on the expression of 11 genes associated with proliferation: TOP2A, BIRC5, CCNB2, NEK2, ANAPC7, STK6, BUB1, CDC2, C10orf3, ASPM, and CDC1A (28). It is noteworthy that our 37-gene signature only contains two (CCNB2, STK6) of these genes. When the 7 subgroups were stratified using the PI classifier, deviations from random were observed in subgroups CD2, MS, and PR of the high PI patients and CD2 subgroup of the low PI patients (Supplementary Fig. S4A, B). Interestingly, for the CD2 and MS subgroups, a large proportion of the patients falling within the high PI group are classified as low risk by the 37-gene classifier and would not be "predicted" to respond to the drug combination.

Using the Oncomine Molecular Concepts Analysis (30) to query patient datasets for a diversity of tumor types, we asked whether the 37-gene signature was also enriched in any other tumor types. Significant overlap (Fisher test $P < 10^{-7}$, OR greater than 2) of the downregulated genes with the top 5% or 10% overexpressed genes in malignant versus corresponding normal tissues was seen for a diverse array of tumor types (Fig. 2F).

**Pharmacodynamic behavior of cooperative signature**

The pharmacodynamic behavior of the 37 genes comprising the disease-relevant cooperative response to combined mTORi/HDACi across cell lines and tumor types was used to identify and validate upstream regulators of these genes. A linear correlation ($R^2=0.89$) of the magnitude of expression effect with drug dose was observed in two separate microarray experiments in the L363 cell line (Supplementary Fig. S4C and S4D). A digital gene expression codeset (NanoString) for our signature was designed as a more tractable means to evaluate the pharmacodynamic behavior of the 37 genes in a variety of settings. Our initial microarray gene expression studies were confirmed with the NanoString codeset ($R^2=0.89$; Fig. 3A; Supplementary Fig. S4E); 33 genes were downregulated and 4 genes were upregulated by the mTORi/HDACi (R-M) combination. Using a xenograft model of L363 in nude mice, 4 tumors treated in vivo with the combination for 5 days showed similar gene expression responses as cell lines treated in vitro [compare all tumors Fig. 3B (T1–T4) with the cell line in Fig. 3A, column R-M].

Time course experiments found a small subset of genes responding as early as 8 hours postcombination treatment; however, the largest changes occurred between 24 and 48 hours of treatment in two separate cell lines [Fig. 3C (L363) and D (U266)]. This temporal relationship of gene expression suggests...
the possibility that these genes are controlled by an upstream regulator affected by the combination, rather than necessarily being direct targets.

Combinations of rapamycin with panobinostat, an HDACi structurally distinct from entinostat, elicited a similar signature response, with some genes being less affected (Fig. 3E). Treatment with a dose of the proteasome inhibitor bortezomib eliciting a similar viability effect on L363 cells did not have an affect similar to that seen with mTORi/HDACi combinations on the expression of most of these genes (compare Fig. 3F with E, column R–M). A large panel of multiple myeloma cell lines was screened, and the overall magnitude of expression change for the gene signature was related to the relative sensitivity of the cell line to the drug combination (Fig. 3G). These analyses also suggested that some of the genes (e.g., NSDHL, KIAA2013, ZNF107, and PHC3) were not consistently cooperative across cell lines in the combination responses. A similar sensitivity–expression response relationship was seen from screening a subset of the NCI-60 lines (Supplementary Fig. S4F), with two lung cancer (H522, H460) and one renal (TK10) cell line treated with the combination showing the greatest degree of similarity with our myeloma lines.

**Master regulators of mTORi/HDACi cooperation**

As the cooperative signature consists of a biologically enriched set of genes responding to each individual drug, and synergistically to combination treatment, we tested whether there were any known transcriptional regulators likely to yield this gene expression pattern. Ingenuity upstream regulator analysis was used to identify transcriptional regulators that could explain the gene expression changes observed in the cooperative signature in response to combination treatment. Six transcriptional regulators were found to have both a highly significant P value for overlap between the individual regulator dataset and the cooperative signature, as well as activation Z-scores of >2 or <2 (Fig. 3H). A negative Z-score implies that inhibition of the regulator would account for the gene expression pattern queried, whereas a positive Z-score suggests an activated state for that regulator. All three “activated” transcriptional regulators (CDKN2A, RB1, TP53) are known tumor suppressors, and we have previously implicated these regulators in response to the combination (4).

We were particularly interested in the contribution of the predicted “inhibited” transcriptional regulators, MYC, TBX2, and E2F1 in driving the responses of the 37 genes. Both E2F1 and MYC were significantly overexpressed in CD138⁺ cells from multiple myeloma patients compared with healthy donor CD138⁺ cells in dataset GSE6477, while TBX2 was not (Fig. 3H). At the protein level, both individual drugs, and to a greater extent the combination, diminished MYC and E2F1 expression (Fig. 3I). Expression of both proteins was also decreased in a dose-dependent manner (Fig. 3I), but MYC protein levels decreased in response to the combination sooner after treatment than did E2F1 (Fig. 3I). In a panel of nine multiple myeloma cell lines sensitive to the combination, expression of both proteins was decreased in the combination treated cells versus control (Fig. 3K).

MYC and E2F1 often coordinately regulate gene expression, with ChIP-PET (pair-end ditag sequencing) studies finding E2F1–EF1-binding motifs enriched within MYC-binding clusters (31). Furthermore, E2F1 collaborates with MYC to regulate a large number of genes; E2F1 itself is transcriptionally upregulated in response to MYC activation (31). These reports taken together with our observation of MYC protein expression diminishing much sooner after drug treatment than E2F1 expression, led us to focus on the role of MYC in driving the gene expression response to the mTORi/HDACi combination. Analysis of a MYC ChIP-Seq dataset from the multiple myeloma cell line MM.1s (14), confirmed the findings of our Ingenuity analysis. MYC binds to the promoters of approximately 88% of the downregulated genes in the cooperative signature (P < 10⁻⁹; Fig. 4A and B; Supplementary Fig. S5A). These findings were validated with conventional ChIP-qPCR (Fig. 4C) in L363 cells for 7 of 7 target genes queried. L363 xenografts treated with the combination in vivo exhibited decreases in MYC protein levels 5 days posttreatment (Fig. 3I). Interestingly, while the combination inhibited both E2F1 mRNA and protein (Supplementary Fig. S5B), discordance was seen between MYC mRNA (Fig. 3M; Supplementary Fig. S5B) and protein (Fig. 3I–K) levels after drug treatment. For example, while the MYC protein successively decreased in the L363 line from 18 to 48 hours postcombination treatment, mRNA expression was slightly increased relative to control as measured by both qPCR and NanoString (Fig. 3M; Supplementary Fig. S5B). This drug combination effect on MYC protein, but not RNA, explains why MYC itself was not identified as part of the cooperative response signature in the original microarray.

**Role of MYC inhibition in the cooperative drug response**

As mTORi/HDACi treatment led to decreased MYC protein expression at time points as early as 16 hours posttreatment, we...
sought to experimentally validate the role of MYC in the synergistic drug response. MYC activation, found in 67% of multiple myeloma cases, has been attributed to malignant progression in multiple myeloma as well as numerous other tumor types (14, 32) and MYC rearrangements have been found in approximately 50% of primary multiple myeloma samples (33). To investigate the relationship between MYC expression and the expression of our cooperative response signature, we used the P493-6 EBV-transformed tonsillar B cell line, a model for studying MYC activation in later stage B cells (31). This cell line contains a tetracycline-repressible MYC transgene, in which MYC expression is low in the presence of tetracycline and restored to a level nearly 30× higher 24 hours after tetracycline removal (14, 31, 34). MYC protein levels were completely inhibited in the P493-6 cells after 72 hours of tetracycline treatment (0-hour time point, Fig. 4D), and gradually increased after removal of tetracycline from the media to full restoration by 24 hours (Fig. 4D protein; S6A mRNA). Total RNA collected from cells in the same experiment was used to measure expression of the cooperative signature by NanoString.

The responsiveness of these genes to MYC expression is shown as a heatmap of the log2 fold change in gene expression compared with P493-6 cells without tetracycline (Fig. 4E). The gene expression changes seen in cells treated with the mTORi/HDACi combination (Fig. 3A–G) are largely recapitulated by MYC repression in the P493 model (0 hour; Fig. 4E).

Importantly, MYC was found to be critical to the biological effects of the combination. In the P493 model, when MYC expression was "on," the combination synergistically decreased viability by approximately 60%, while less than 20% viability was observed in MYC-off cells (Fig. 4F). The interaction of MYC status and combination drug (treatment) effect in these experiments was significant by two-way ANOVA (P < 0.0001; Supplementary Fig. S6B and S6C). These results point to a critical role for MYC in response to mTORi/HDACi treatment, and link the expression of the cooperative signature to MYC modulation.

**mTORi/HDACi decreases MYC protein stability**

We went on to investigate the mechanism of mTORi/HDACi action on MYC protein. The broad transcriptional capabilities and potent oncocgenic properties of MYC require highly regulated control of expression for normal cellular proliferation, homeostasis, and differentiation (14, 34). In normal mammalian cells, numerous mechanisms for regulating MYC expression exists ranging from regulation of transcription, mRNA stability, and translation, to rapid proteolysis orchestrated by a complex convergence of signaling pathways, posttranslational modifications, and multiple ubiquitin ligases leading to proteasomal degradation in generally less than 20–30 minutes (35, 36).

As MYC mRNA and protein levels were discordant after mTORi/HDACi treatment (compare Fig. 3M with I), we evaluated the activity of the combination on MYC protein. Mechanisms of MYC translational control have previously been linked to mTORi sensitivity (37). MYC protein stability following single agent and combination treatment was assessed within 10 minutes (Supplementary Fig. S7A), 4 hours (Supplementary Fig. S7B), and 18 hours (Fig. 4G; Supplementary Fig. S7C) after inhibition of protein synthesis with cycloheximide. MYC stability decreased after overnight treatment with both drugs alone and to a greater extent with the combination (Fig. 4G). Large effects of mTORi on MYC stability were seen immediately after rapamycin treatment (Supplementary Fig. S7A), whereas the greatest decreases in MYC stability induced by HDACi treatment were seen after 18 hours (Fig. 4G). Both the RAS-ERK and PI3K pathways have been shown to regulate MYC stability through modulation of a series of phosphorylation and dephosphorylation events involving residues 58 and 62, leading to Fbox-mediated ubiquitinylation and subsequent degradation (38).

Increased phosphorylation of the threonine 58 residue, known to destabilize the MYC protein (36, 39), was observed after combination treatment in L363 cells, while phosphorylation levels of the stabilizing serine 62 residue were already absent just 10 minutes after treatment (Fig. 4H). In contrast, combination treatment of the Burkitt Lymphoma RAJI cell line, carrying alanine at residue 58, does not undergo phosphorylation (Fig. 5A), total MYC protein levels remain high (Fig. 5A), and the RAI cells remain 80% viable (Fig. 5B), showing a limited response to the drug combination. Mutations in exon 2 of Myc affecting T58, S62, and surrounding residues have been documented in as many as 29% of AIDS associated lymphomas and 26% of Burkitt lymphomas (40). Mining sequence data in TCGA and CCLE via cbioportal (http://www.cbioportal.org), COSMIC (http://cancer.sanger.ac.uk/cosmic), and at TGEN (http://www.Keatslab.org), found more than 70 tumor samples harboring mutations in MYC between residues 57 and 62 (Supplementary Table S1H). Hematopoietic tumors comprised roughly two-thirds of the samples, with variants also existing in sarcomas, melanomas, head and neck, esophageal, and lung cancers.

**Figure 4.** Evaluation of the role of MYC in mTORi/HDACi combination response and cooperative signature expression. A, Heatmap of the fold enrichment of cMYC ChIP-seq (ChIP signal versus input signal) in the promoter region for each gene in MM1S cells (see Supplementary Fig. S5A for other gene regions). B, Representative genomic view of MYC binding on E2F2 in MM1S cells. C, ChIP-qPCR analysis of seven genomic proximal promoters of human MYC target genes in L363 (mean ± SE; , P < 0.05; , P < 0.001). D, Western blot analysis for MYC in P493-6 tetracycline (tet)-repressible cell line. Cells treated with 0.1 mg/mL doxycycline (dox) for 72 hours for full repression of exogenous MYC expression (timepoint 0), before washing and changing media to tetracycline (dox)-free. E, Cooperative signature heatmap of log2 fold change versus P493-6 (1–48 hours) after removal of tetracycline treatment. F, Cell viability relative to untreated control for MYC-ON and -OFF P493 cells after 48 hours of single agent or combination treatment (n = 2 experiments with 3 technical replicates in each). The interaction of combination treatment and MYC effect was significant by ANOVA (P < 0.0001; see also Supplementary Fig. S6B). G, Combined mTOR and HDAC inhibition affects MYC protein stability. MYC stability following cycloheximide treatment. Representative Western blot pseudo-images (Simple Western automated capillary immunoassay) for MYC in L363 cells after 18 hours of single-agent rapamycin (10 nmol/L) or MS-275 (500 nmol/L) or combination treatment. Cells were treated with cycloheximide to inhibit protein translation and MG-132 to prevent immediate degradation of the phosphorylated MYC species. Figure 4.
We have previously shown that this drug combination (but neither drug alone) can decrease ERK activation (4) in multiple myeloma cell lines. As ERK can phosphorylate MYC on residue serine 62, which helps to stabilize MYC protein levels, decreased ERK activation is likely to contribute to diminished MYC stability.

Proteasomal degradation of MYC is often mediated by the Skp1-Cul1-F box ubiquitin ligase complex, including FBXW7 (36). FBXW7 sequencing was reported for a number of multiple myeloma cell lines, including L363, in the Cancer Cell Line Encyclopedia (41) and no mutations were found; interestingly, the HCC-2998 colorectal line that was relatively resistant to the mTORi/HDACi combination (Fig. 1A), does harbor an FBXW7 mutation. Using isoform FBXW7 (+/+ ) and (−/− ) HCT-116 cell lines, we found that MYC destabilization by mTORi/HDACi treatment was attenuated in the FBXW7 (−/− ) line (Fig. 5C).

While the individual drugs clearly have some effect on MYC expression and stability, the combination caused an earlier and more sustained decrease in total MYC protein levels than either single agent alone (Figs. 3I and 4E). This concerted action on MYC protein levels may well account for a large fraction of the synergistic gene expression effects attributed to the cooperative module, as MYC binding was evident by ChIP-Seq in a majority of the genes in the module (Fig. 4A; Supplementary Fig. S5A). The synergistic downregulation of Aurora-A (AURKA/STK6) and Polo-like kinase1 (PLK1), within the combination (blue) module (Supplementary Table S1B, Fig. 2A) may also further potentiate decreased MYC stability (42, 43). No other genes/proteins demonstrated to directly modulate MYC stability were found in our transcriptional drug response network (901 genes, Supplementary Table S1B).

Long-term in vivo efficacy of mTORi/HDACi in a mouse model of plasma cell neoplasia

We examined the in vivo efficacy of combining mTORi rapamycin with the HDACi panobinostat in an immunocompetent model of plasma cell tumors, with features similar to both human myeloma and Burkitt lymphoma (16). Additional plasma cell tumor models have been shown to have similar phenotypic, gene expression, and drug sensitivity patterns as human myeloma (44, 45). In this Bcl-xl transgenic model, intraperitoneal administration of pristane induces development of plasma cell tumors harboring Myc translocations in nearly all mice within 4–6 weeks (16). While single-agent treatment with rapamycin had a modest, but significant, survival improvement (log-rank Mantel–Cox test \( P < 0.01 \)), there was no significant survival difference between groups treated with vehicle versus panobinostat alone (Fig. 5D). Twice weekly treatment with the combination of panobinostat and rapamycin significantly improved overall survival (\( P < 0.001 \)) of these mice compared with control (median survival 24.3 weeks in the combination group versus 14.5 weeks in vehicle; Fig. 5D).

There was also a significant increase in overall survival between the combination and rapamycin treatment groups (6-week increase in median survival in the combination group, \( P < 0.01 \)). The combination treatment was well tolerated. To test the combination effect on MYC expression in vivo, Bcl-Xl tumors were transplanted into syngeneic pristane-primed mice and allowed to grow for two weeks, at which time daily treatment with vehicle or the panobinostat/rapamycin combination was begun. After five days of treatment, animals were sacrificed and tumors collected. By IHC, MYC protein expression was substantially diminished in the combination treated tumors (Fig. 5E and F).

Discussion

We used a cross-disciplinary systems pharmacology approach (illustrated in Fig. 5G; Supplementary Fig. S2F) to evaluate the synergistic activity of combining mTOR and HDAC inhibitors at the disease, organismal, cellular, and molecular levels. Our network-based modeling (46) successively integrated three network-based filters, (i) transcriptional coexpression, (ii) disease versus normal differential expression, and (iii) upstream regulator analyses based on over-representation in knowledge-based transcriptional networks to identify and then validate the MYC/E2F axis as a master regulator controlling genes whose expressions were also prognostically associated with survival in patient datasets.

The pleiotropic functions of oncopogenic MYC have been implicated in the pathogenesis of many tumor types, with 70% of all human cancers estimated to have some degree of MYC deregulation (14, 34). Extensive evidence exists validating MYC as a therapeutic target, yet, as with many transcription factors, the development of small-molecule MYC inhibitors has been challenging. MYC transcriptional repression through BET bromodomain (47) or G-quadruplex inhibition (48, 49) shows potential with the BET BRD compounds further along the path to clinical development.

The MYC-dependent p493 lymphoma model clearly demonstrated that the drug combination was most effective when MYC protein levels were high. Data from the myeloma cells highlighted that it was MYC protein levels, and not MYC transcripts that were reduced by the drug combination; as such, we could not have implicated MYC directly from gene expression profile data. The findings from the cell models led to the identification of decreased MYC stability as a major contributor to the cooperative inhibitory activity of the mTORi/HDACi combination. Although we focused our efforts on how decreased MYC protein levels ultimately led to

Figure 5.
Combination response of a B-cell line (RAJI) carrying a MYC phosphorylation mutant, and long-term in vivo efficacy of mTORi/HDACi in a MYC-driven plasma cell model. A, Expression of MYC and phospho-MYC in Burkitt lymphoma RAJI cells (which carry an Alanine instead of Threonine at position 58) treated over time (0–10 minutes) with the combination, B, Growth of RAJI cells known to carry a MYC T58 mutant (Alanine at position 58) versus L363 cells (T58 site intact) treated with the combination, C, Western blot analysis for MYC in cycloheximide experiment with the HCT-116 isogenic FBXW7 (+/− ) and (−/− ) lines, D, Kaplan–Meier survival curve of Bcl-Xl transgenic mice with pristane-induced plasmacytomas treated twice weekly with vehicle (n = 8; median survival 102 days), 2.5 mg/kg rapamycin (Rapa; n = 9; median survival 127 days), 2.5 mg/kg panobinostat (Pano; n = 10; median survival 119 days), or the combination (n = 9; median survival 170 days); survival of mice treated with rapamycin (P < 0.01) and the combination (P < 0.001) was significantly different from control mice by the log-rank Mantel–Cox test. There was an approximately 6-week increase in median survival between the combination and rapamycin only arms (P < 0.01). E, Representative images of H&E and MYC IHC of tumors collected after 5 days of treatment with vehicle or panobinostat/rapamycin combination. F, Pixel quantification by Aperio of MYC IHC intensity (4 tumors from each group). G, Schematic illustrating the integrated approach, utilizing GEP, WGSNA, GSEA, and multivariate risk predictors to uncover a cooperative gene signature responding to the mTORi/HDACi combination treatment that led to in vivo validation and mechanistic understanding of the combination (see Supplementary Fig. S2F).
decreases in many of the genes in our gene signature, presumably through decreased binding of their promoters, it is worth noting that the increase in Class II HLA could also be an indirect result of decreasing MYC levels (50). As such, the drug combination may also help to increase immune recognition by increasing antigen presentation.

Our results here offer an alternative strategy to inhibit MYC activity through the combined use of drug classes that have separately already entered clinical practice as single agents, and together are the subject of several ongoing clinical trials. Broad mTORi/HDACi activity and synergy were observed previously in multiple myeloma (cells and xenografts) and Burkitt lymphoma cell lines (4), and currently within the NCI-60 screen, the breast cancer PDX model, the P493 lymphoma model, and the improved survival in a MYC-driven plasmacytoma model. The differential expression of the cooperative signature in several solid tumor types (breast, lung, colon sarcoma, and head and neck), together with our preclinical reports (4) and those of others for mTORi/HDACi activity and synergy were observed previously in separately already entered clinical practice as single agents, and presentation.

Our results also help to increase immune recognition by increasing antigen presentation. Given the propensity for MYC exon 2 mutations in several hematopoietic tumors, similar to those seen in the RAJI cell line, evaluating the combination in conjunction with its associated gene signature. Given the propensity for MYC exon 2 mutations in several hematopoietic tumors, similar to those seen in the RAJI cell line, evaluating the MYC mutational profile may also be warranted.
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