Small-Molecule IAP Antagonists Sensitize Cancer Cells to TRAIL-Induced Apoptosis: Roles of XIAP and cIAPs
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Abstract

TNF-related apoptosis-inducing ligand (TRAIL) is a promising anticancer agent because it shows apoptosis-inducing activity in transformed, but not in normal, cells. As with most anticancer agents, however, its clinical use is restricted by either inherent or acquired resistance by cancer cells. We demonstrate here that small-molecule SMAC mimetics that antagonize the inhibitor of apoptosis proteins (IAP) potently sensitize previously resistant human cancer cell lines, but not normal cells, to TRAIL-induced apoptosis, and that they do so in a caspase-8–dependent manner. We further show that the compounds have no cytotoxicity as single agents. Also, we demonstrate that several IAP family members likely participate in the modulation of cellular sensitivity to TRAIL. Finally, we note that the compounds that sensitize cancer cells to TRAIL are the most efficacious in binding to X-linked IAP, and in inducing cellular-IAP (cIAP)-1 and cIAP-2 degradation. Our studies thus describe valuable compounds that allow elucidation of the signaling events occurring in TRAIL resistance, and demonstrate that these agents act as potent TRAIL-sensitizing agents in a variety of cancer cell lines.
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Introduction

Members of the TNF superfamily are potent modulators of many cellular responses. Association of TNF, the prototypical family member, with its receptor TNFR1 results in receptor oligomerization and recruitment of adapter proteins, such as TNF receptor associated death domain (TRADD) protein, to the receptor complex. Recruitment of Fas-associated death domain (FADD) in turn results in engagement of an apical caspase, such as caspase-8, leading to classical apoptosis induction (1, 2). Thus, the TNF-family receptor complex is capable of transducing either pro- or antiapoptotic responses depending on the cellular context.

TRAIL-related apoptosis-inducing ligand (TRAIL, also known as Apo-2L or TNFSF10) is a promising potential anticancer agent of the TNF superfamily because it shows apoptosis-inducing activity in transformed, but not in normal, cells. As with most anticancer agents, however, its clinical use is restricted by either inherent or acquired resistance by cancer cells. We demonstrate here that small-molecule SMAC mimetics that antagonize the inhibitor of apoptosis proteins (IAP) potently sensitize previously resistant human cancer cell lines, but not normal cells, to TRAIL-induced apoptosis, and that they do so in a caspase-8–dependent manner. We further show that the compounds have no cytotoxicity as single agents. Also, we demonstrate that several IAP family members likely participate in the modulation of cellular sensitivity to TRAIL. Finally, we note that the compounds that sensitize cancer cells to TRAIL are the most efficacious in binding to X-linked IAP, and in inducing cellular-IAP (cIAP)-1 and cIAP-2 degradation. Our studies thus describe valuable compounds that allow elucidation of the signaling events occurring in TRAIL resistance, and demonstrate that these agents act as potent TRAIL-sensitizing agents in a variety of cancer cell lines.
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Introduction

Members of the TNF superfamily are potent modulators of many cellular responses. Association of TNF, the prototypical family member, with its receptor TNFR1 results in receptor oligomerization and recruitment of adapter proteins, such as TNF receptor associated death domain (TRADD) protein, to the receptor complex. Recruitment of Fas-associated death domain (FADD) in turn results in engagement of an apical caspase, such as caspase-8, leading to classical apoptosis induction (1, 2). Thus, the TNF-family receptor complex is capable of transducing either pro- or antiapoptotic responses depending on the cellular context. TNF-related apoptosis-inducing ligand (TRAIL, also known as Apo-2L or TNFSF10) is a promising potential anticancer agent because of its capability to induce apoptosis selectively in transformed cells, but not in normal cells. Accordingly, it is believed that TRAIL’s physiological role is in immune surveillance of cancerous cells in the body (4). This notion is supported by the observation that mice genetically deficient for TRAIL or its receptor are more susceptible to both induced and spontaneous tumor development (5, 6). Unlike other family members, TRAIL shows little or no toxicity when administered in vivo, further underscoring its potential utility as a novel anticancer therapy (7). As with many other anticancer agents, however, cancer cell resistance to TRAIL-induced apoptosis precludes its use in many cases (8, 9).

One mechanism by which cancer cells develop resistance to TRAIL-induced apoptosis is via upregulation of inhibitor of apoptosis proteins (IAP). Indeed, several members of the IAP family have been shown to be overexpressed in various cancers (10). IAP family proteins are characterized by the presence of an approximately 70 amino acid motif referred to as the baculovirus IAP Repeat (BIR) domain (11, 12). The BIR domains mediate the IAPs’ direct binding to caspases, which are the proteases that are responsible for apoptosis, resulting in IAP-mediated inhibition of apoptosis (13). The most potent caspase inhibitor of the IAP family is X-linked IAP (XIAP), which directly binds to and inhibits caspases-3, -7, and -9 via its 3 BIR domains (11, 12). The BIR domains mediate the IAPs’ direct binding to caspases, which are the proteases that are responsible for apoptosis, resulting in IAP-mediated inhibition of apoptosis (13). The most potent caspase inhibitor of the IAP family is X-linked IAP (XIAP), which directly binds to and inhibits caspases-3, -7, and -9 via its 3 BIR domains (14–16). Two other very similar IAP family members are the cellular-IAPs (cIAP)-1 and -2. These proteins also possess 3 BIR domains, but are nevertheless weak direct binders and inhibitors of caspases.

Another level of signaling regulation is provided by the XIAP-binding protein SMAC (second mitochondrial activator of caspases, also known as DIABLO). SMAC...
We have previously described the design and synthesis of SMAC mimetics that are potent XIAP, ML-IAP, cIAP-1, and cIAP-2 binders and that modulate apoptosis (30, 31). Here, we demonstrate that these agents promote TRAIL-induced apoptosis in several cancer cell lines of varying TRAIL sensitivity, but are nontoxic as single agents. Importantly, normal cells are refractory to TRAIL even in the presence of these agents. In addition, we show that administration of the compounds induces rapid cIAP-1 and cIAP-2 degradation, resulting in increased levels of caspases-3 and -7 or caspase-9, respectively (20, 22).

Materials and Methods

Reagents

Unless otherwise specified, all reagents were from Sigma-Aldrich. Primocin and puromycin were obtained from InvivoGen, and TRAIL is from EMD/Calbiochem. Small peptide caspase inhibitors are from BD Biosciences and 3-FC was from Santa Cruz Biotechnology. Small molecule IAP antagonists MLS-0390969 (9h), MLS-0390982 (9f), and MLS-0391011 (9j; ref. 30) and SB1-0636457 (10e) and SBI-0637142 (10f; ref. 31) have been described previously. Nomenclature in parentheses indicates terminology that was previously used (30, 31) to reference the compounds.

Cell culture

Caspase-8–deficient NB7 cells were a kind gift from Dr. Jill Lahti (St. Jude Children’s Research Hospital, Memphis, TN) and they and PC3M cells were maintained in RPMI 1640 supplemented with 10% (v/v) FBS, penicillin/streptomycin/l-glutamine, and Fungizone (Omega Scientific Inc.). MDA-MB-231, HeLa, and normal human fibroblasts cells were maintained in Dulbecco’s Modified Eagle Medium with 10% (v/v) FBS, and penicillin/streptomycin/l-glutamine and Fungizone. Patient-derived breast cancer cells were obtained from SBMRI tumor analysis core facility with no identifying information provided. The cells were cultured in mammalian epithelial basal medium (Lonza), supplemented with penicillin, streptomycin, Fungizone, 4 μg/mL heparin, 20 ng/mL EGF (Sigma), 20 ng/mL bFGF (BD Bioscience) and B27 Supplement (Invitrogen-GIBCO). MDA-MB-231 and HeLa cells are routinely sourced from American Type Culture Collection (ATCC) and banked at early passage (P2). ATCC utilizes STR profiling at 17 loci plus Arogenin with Promega PowerPlex technology. Furthermore, they, and any other cells we culture, are never cultured for more than 3 months or 12 further passages, whichever occurs sooner. NB7 cells were obtained directly from the Lahti/Kidd laboratory (St. Jude Children’s Research Hospital) and are maintained as per the ATCC lines described earlier. MDA-MB-231 + Caspase-8 shRNA cells have been described previously (32). IAP shRNAmir DNAs were from OpenBiosystems and stable cell lines were generated by standard transfection with Fugene6 (Promega Corp.) followed by a 2-week selection with 1 μg/mL puromycin.

Cell survival and caspase activity assays

Cell viability was assessed using the CellTiter-Glo Luminescent Cell Viability Assay (Promega Corp.). Briefly, cells are seeded at 5,000 cells/well in 50 μL complete medium and allowed to attach overnight. Forty microliters of fresh media containing the specified compound at the concentrations described is added before reincubation of the cells at 37°C for 4 hours. TRAIL is then added (as 10 μL) to the desired final concentration and the cells are again incubated at 37°C for 20 hours. Plates are removed to room temperature for 30 minutes before addition of one-half volume (50 μL) of freshly prepared CellTiterGlo reagent. The plates are gently shaken to ensure complete cellular lysis before luminescence is read on a Biotek Synergy 2 plate reader.
Caspase activity was assessed utilizing CaspaseGlo Assays (Promega Corp.). Cells are seeded as for CellTiterGlo (above) and treated as described. Caspase-8 activity is assessed as "LETD-ase" activity, whereas caspase-3/-7 activity is measured as "DEVD-ase" activity. The assays were carried out exactly as per manufacturer's instructions before being read on a Biotek Synergy 2 plate reader utilizing Gen5 software.

Cell extracts and immunoblotting

Production of cellular protein extracts is essentially as described previously (33, 34). Primary antibodies used were: anti-pan-cIAP1/2 (Clone 315301, 1:1,000; R&D Systems, Inc.); anti-NF-κB2 (#4882, 1:2,000), anti-NIK (#4994, 1:1,000), anti-phospho-NF-κB2 (#4810, 1:1,000), anti-XIAP (#2042, 1:2,000), anti-total Erk1/2 (#9102, 1:5,000; all from Cell Signaling Technologies Inc.); anti-β-Actin (A5441, 1:10,000; Sigma-Aldrich); or anti-caspase-8 (C15, 1:500; kind gift from Dr. Marcus Peter, Northwestern University, Chicago, IL). After incubation for 1 hour with anti-rabbit IgG (111-035-003) or anti-mouse IgG (115-035-003), secondary antibodies conjugated to horseradish peroxidase (Jackson ImmunoResearch Laboratories Inc.), bands were detected using enhanced chemiluminescence (SuperSignal West Pico Chemiluminescent substrate, #34080). All analyses were performed at least 3 times.

Results

Several cancer cell lines, but not normal cells, are TRAIL resistant but become TRAIL sensitive in the presence of the IAP inhibitors

Fig. 1. IAP antagonist structures. Structure of the generic core and individual IAP inhibitors used in these studies. Numbering of the compounds from (1) to (6) is used in the subsequent figures as a quick reference.
Figure 2. Several cancer cell lines, but not normal cells, are TRAIL resistant but become TRAIL sensitive in the presence of the IAP inhibitors. A, cell viability assay on MDA-MB-231 cells treated with vehicle (0.1% DMSO) or 5 μmol/L of each of the 6 IAP antagonists for 24 hours. Data are averages ± SEM. B, concentration response curves to TRAIL-induced apoptosis (20 hours) in the presence of vehicle or 5 μmol/L of each of the 6 IAP antagonists. C, top, cell viability curves from MDA-MB-231 cells treated with varying concentrations of the IAP antagonists for 4 hours before TRAIL-induced killing (100 ng/mL) for 20 hours. MLS-0412113 (4) was not tested as it showed no activity at 5 μmol/L. Bottom, “EC50 values” of each compound required for 50% killing with 100 ng/mL TRAIL as compared with the binding affinities for the BIR3 domain of XIAP published before (30, 31). D, cell viability assays of HeLa (top left), PC3 (top right), or normal human fibroblast cells (bottom graph) pretreated with vehicle or 5 μmol/L of each of the 6 IAP antagonists for 4 hours before treatment with TRAIL for a further 20 hours. All concentration response curve studies in were carried out in at least triplicate at least 3 independent times and a representative graph is shown. Data values are averages ± SEM. We note the SEM values for some samples are extremely small and therefore may be difficult to see in some graphs.
In Fig. 2C, we performed a concentration-response analysis and "EC_{50}" determination for the compounds by testing their sensitizing ability to a fixed TRAIL concentration (100 ng/mL) in MDA-MB-231 cells. One agent, MLS-0391011, showed less efficacy whereas another, MLS-0412113, devoid of a methyl group at the "R" position (Fig. 1) lacked TRAIL-sensitizing ability altogether (Fig. 2B). Our previous studies have shown that the SMAC mimetic compounds bind, with varying affinities, to the BIR-domains of the IAP proteins (for details, see refs. 30 and 31). Therefore, the bottom panel of Fig. 2C shows the EC_{50} values for the compounds in a representative experiment with 100 ng/mL TRAIL (5.55 nmol/L) as the killing concentration compared with the previous binding data for the BIR3 domain of XIAP (30, 31). A truncated concentration range is shown solely for clarity in the top panel.

To confirm that the TRAIL-sensitizing abilities of small molecule IAP antagonists were not limited to breast cancer cell lines, we confirmed that these agents also demonstrated said activity in HeLa (cervical cancer) and PC3 (prostate cancer) cells (Fig. 2D, top). HeLa cells were chosen to demonstrate a more sensitive cell line, whereas PC-3 cells showed an intermediate phenotype relative to MDA-MB-231 cells. Furthermore, we showed that primary cells derived from a breast cancer patient tumor sample are also sensitized to TRAIL by IAP antagonism (Supplementary Fig. S1B).

The main draw of TRAIL as a potential anticancer therapy is its ability to induce apoptosis only in cancerous and not in nontransformed cells, and it was therefore of importance for us to test the TRAIL-sensitizing ability of the IAP inhibitors in normal cells. Importantly, normal human fibroblasts were not sensitive to the combination of high concentrations of TRAIL and IAP antagonists (Fig. 2D, bottom) that had resulted in profound killing of cancer cells (Fig. 2B and D, top). Furthermore, both normal mammary fibroblasts and normal mammary endothelial cells were refractory to TRAIL-induced apoptosis with or without the IAP antagonists, and no cytotoxicity was observed in these cells when the IAP inhibitors were applied as single agents (data not shown).

In sum, we showed that the small-molecule IAP antagonists that we have previously described (30, 31) are non-toxic to cancer cells as single agents, but are efficacious as TRAIL-sensitizing agents in several previously TRAIL-resistant cancer cell lines. Importantly, the small molecule IAP antagonists exhibit no toxicity against normal cells, even in the presence of TRAIL, and thus demonstrate promise for their further development as TRAIL-sensitizing agents.

IAP inhibitor–mediated sensitization of cancer cell lines to TRAIL killing is caspase-8 dependent

As shown in Fig. 3A, we observed that the IAP inhibitors are potent at promoting cellular activity of both caspase-3/-7 (DEVDase) and caspase-8 (LETDase) in response to TRAIL in MDA-MB-231 cells. As noted in the Introduction, XIAP is a potent direct inhibitor of caspases-3/-7, and thus activation of these caspases in response to the IAP antagonists in TRAIL-treated cells was expected. Consistent with this, the extent of caspase-3/-7 activation (Fig. 3A) correlated with the potency of the compounds to bind to the BIR3 domain of XIAP, and with their capability to sensitize the cells to TRAIL-mediated killing (Fig. 2C).

The observed increase in cellular caspase-8 activity upon IAP inhibitor treatment in turn suggested that the cIAPs may also have some potential role in TRAIL resistance (Introduction). In Fig. 3B, we studied MDA-MB-231 cells in which we had depleted caspase-8 by shRNAs (32) in TRAIL sensitization assays. Although the control shRNA-treated cells were readily sensitized by a prototypical IAP antagonist, MLS-0390969, to TRAIL-mediated killing, the caspase-8–depleted MDA-MB-231 cells remained resistant (Fig. 3B, top). Furthermore, caspase-8 null NB7 neuroblastoma cells (33) also displayed impaired TRAIL-induced apoptosis, and caspase-3/-7 activity, in the presence of MLS-0390982, as compared with the same cells with caspase-8 reconstituted (Fig. 3B, bottom). Importantly, caspase-8 null NB7 cells that had been reconstituted with an inactive caspase-8 protein (Casp8C360A) similarly failed to respond to TRAIL or activate effector caspases in the presence of the IAP inhibitor (Fig. 3B, bottom and Supplementary Fig. S1E). Taken together, our results suggest that caspase-8 activation is necessary for IAP inhibitor–mediated sensitization of cancer cell lines to TRAIL killing.

IAP antagonists result in rapid, concentration-dependent cIAP-1 and cIAP-2 degradation and NF-κB2 activation that is caspase-8 independent

As noted in the Introduction, previous studies have demonstrated that SMAC mimetics are efficient in inducing cIAP autodegradation via a conformational change (23, 24). Consistent with this, several of the IAP antagonists utilized here were found to promote the degradation of cIAP-1 and cIAP-2 molecules in MDA-MB-231 cells at very low concentrations (50 nmol/L), although no degradation of XIAP was observed (Fig. 4A). The observed cIAP-1 and cIAP-2 degradation at 50 nmol/L seemed to correlate with the efficacy of the compounds to sensitize the cells to TRAIL-induced killing (Fig. 2C), and with the capability of the compounds to induce caspase-8 activation in TRAIL-stimulated cells (Fig. 3A). Our results suggest that IAP inhibitor–induced cIAP autodegradation may lead to the formation of a caspase-8–activating complex also in the context of TRAIL signaling, and that the subsequent caspase-8 activation is essential for IAP inhibitor–mediated TRAIL sensitization.

Previous studies by others have shown that cIAP autodegradation induced by IAP antagonists results in activation of the noncanonical NF-κB pathway. As shown in Fig. 4B, treatment of the MDA-MB-231 cells with the prototypic compounds MLS-0390969 and MLS-0390982
resulted in a concentration-dependent degradation of cIAPs in 4 hours. A rapid, time-dependent, cIAP degradation was observed as early as after 1 hour of treatment of the cells with 5 μmol/L of the IAP inhibitors (Fig. 4C). Significantly, this degradation was concomitant with the noncanonical NF-κB pathway activation, as judged by NF-κB2 processing in IAP inhibitor–treated MDA-MB-231 cells (top left), MDA-MB-231 cells with caspase-8 shRNA (top right), or NB7 cells expressing empty vector (NB7+Empty Vector), caspase-8 or inactive caspase-8 (C360A; bottom right) pretreated with vehicle or 5 μmol/L of the indicated IAP antagonists for 4 hours before treatment with TRAIL for a further 20 hours. Bottom left, immunoblot analysis of caspases-8 expression in MDA-MB-231 cells treated with control shRNA or caspase-8 shRNA. Total Erk1/2 immunoblot is used as a protein loading control.

Figure 3. IAP inhibitor–mediated sensitization of cancer cell lines to TRAIL killing is caspase-8 dependent. A, caspase activity assays in MDA-MB-231 cells pretreated with vehicle or 5 μmol/L of each of the 6 IAP antagonists before treatment with 20 ng/mL TRAIL for 4 hours. Activity is normalized to that of vehicle +TRAIL values. B, cell viability assays of control shRNA-treated MDA-MB-231 cells (top left), MDA-MB-231 cells with caspase-8 shRNA (top right), or NB7 cells expressing empty vector (NB7+Empty Vector), caspase-8 or inactive caspase-8 (C360A; bottom right) pretreated with vehicle or 5 μmol/L of the indicated IAP antagonists for 4 hours before treatment with TRAIL for a further 20 hours. Bottom left, immunoblot analysis of caspases-8 expression in MDA-MB-231 cells treated with control shRNA or caspase-8 shRNA. Total Erk1/2 immunoblot is used as a protein loading control.
this is not sufficient to prevent TRAIL-induced apoptosis even at this 20 hours time point (Supplementary Fig. S1C).

Furthermore, by utilizing the compound MLS-0390982 and another potent prototypical compound SBI-0636457, we observed a profound induction of NIK levels, as well as a rapid, concentration-dependent cIAP-1 and cIAP-2 degradation and NF-κB2 activation that is caspase-8 independent. A, immunoblot analysis of cIAP-1, cIAP-2 (quantified relative intensity of the cIAP protein levels is shown above the blot), and XIAP in MDA-MB-231 cells treated with vehicle or with low concentrations (50 nmol/L) of each of the 6 IAP antagonists for 4 hours. Erk1/2 immunoblot is used as a loading control. B, immunoblot analysis of cIAP-1/cIAP-2 in MDA-MB-231 cells treated with vehicle or with 10, 2, or 0.4 μmol/L of MLS-0390969 or MLS-0390982 for 20 hours. β-Actin immunoblot is used as a loading control. C, immunoblot analysis of cIAP-1/cIAP-2 and NF-κB2 in MDA-MB-231 (top) or HeLa (bottom) cells untreated or treated with 5 μmol/L MLS-0390969 or MLS-0390982 for 1, 4, or 20 hours as indicated. β-Actin or Erk1/2 immunoblots are used as a loading control. D, left, immunoblot analysis of NIK, phospho-NF-κB2, NF-κB2, and cIAP-1/cIAP-2 in MDA-MB-231 cells treated with vehicle or with 5 μmol/L of the indicated IAP antagonists for 24 hours. Erk1/2 immunoblot is used as a loading control. Right, cell viability assays of MDA-MB-231 cells transfected with control or NF-κB2 siRNAs and pretreated with 5 μmol/L IAP antagonist for 4 hours before treatment with TRAIL for a further 20 hours. Inset, immunoblot analysis of NF-κB2 "knockdown." NF-κB1 and β-actin are shown as equal loading controls. E, immunoblot analysis of cIAP-1/cIAP-2, NF-κB2, and caspase-8 in MDA-MB-231 cells harboring control shRNA (lanes 1–4) or caspase-8 shRNA (lanes 5–8), and treated with vehicle or with 5 μmol/L of the indicated IAP antagonists for 24 hours. Erk1/2 immunoblot is used as a loading control.

Figure 4. IAP antagonists induce a rapid, concentration-dependent cIAP-1 and cIAP-2 degradation and NF-κB2 activation that is caspase-8 independent.
as enhanced phosphorylation and processing of NF-xB2 in 24 hours in MDA-MB-231 cells, coinciding with cIAP degradation (Fig. 4D, left). To preliminarily examine the potential role of the NF-xB pathway activation in TRAIL signaling, we genetically “knocked down” NF-xB2 in MDA-MB-231 cells and pretreated the cells with an IAP antagonist. As shown in Fig. 4D (right), NF-xB2 ablation also resulted in increased TRAIL-induced loss of cell viability. Also, a recently described chemical inhibitor of NF-xB signaling, 3-FC (35), results in sensitization to TRAIL and induces even greater sensitization with IAP inhibition (Supplementary Fig. S1D). Thus, these preliminary results suggest that modulation of NF-xB pathway signaling may be another important intervention strategy in TRAIL-resistant cancers.

Although caspase-8 was found to be essential for TRAIL-induced apoptosis in the presence of the IAP inhibitors (Fig. 3), silencing the expression of this protease had no effect on the activation of the NF-xB pathway by the IAP antagonists. MDA-MB-231 cells treated with a control shRNA showed comparable NF-xB2 processing upon IAP antagonist treatment as the same cells with caspase-8 depleted by shRNA technology (Fig. 4E). Thus, we conclude that the noncanonical NF-xB pathway activation upon IAP inhibitor treatment is either independent of the caspase-8 status in the cells or occurs upstream of caspase-8 activation in TRAIL signaling pathway.

Roles for XIAP, cIAP-1, and cIAP-2 in modulating TRAIL-induced apoptosis

Although the majority of IAP antagonists in pharmaceutical development so far have targeted XIAP (e.g., 18, 36), our data above suggest that inhibition and subsequent degradation of cIAP-1 and cIAP-2 by IAP antagonists may also play a role in TRAIL sensitization. To complement our studies performed with the compounds, we studied the relative contribution of XIAP, cIAP-1, and cIAP-2 in TRAIL-induced apoptosis by genetic means. MDA-MB-231 cells were engineered to express shRNAs against each individual IAP and all combinations thereof and then treated with 100 ng/mL TRAIL for 4 hours. Although the p18-processed subunit of caspase-8 could only be detected with significant overexposure of an immunoblot, an intermediate processed form (indicating caspase-8 activity) could be faintly seen (Fig. 5A). This is consistent with caspase-8 activity assay results shown in Fig. 5B. Thus, caspase-8 is differentially induced in TRAIL-treated cells where either individual IAPs or combinations thereof had been genetically ablated. Again, the observed caspase-8 activity correlates with loss of cell viability (Fig. 5B). Although only tiny amounts of processed caspase-8 were detected (Fig. 5A), it is worth noting that caspase-8 is known to be active as an unprocessed dimer (37), and this point will be considered further in the Discussion.

Analysis of the relative contribution of each family member to TRAIL-induced apoptosis showed that reduced levels of XIAP most profoundly sensitized cells
Discussion

We have previously described the design, synthesis, and proof-of-concept testing of small molecule-based IAP antagonist compounds (30, 31). Here, we further extend our studies and report that these compounds effectively sensitize multiple previously TRAIL-resistant cancer cells, but not normal cells, to TRAIL-induced apoptosis. We demonstrate here that our small molecule IAP antagonists are nontoxic as single agents against various cancer cells (as well as against normal cells). Previously, we have found these same compounds to demonstrate single-agent toxicity in only one cancer cell line, the ovarian cancer cell line SKOV3 (31). Our results thus differ somewhat from those obtained with other IAP inhibitors, where single-agent toxicity was observed in a subset of cancer cell lines (19, 38, 39). In these studies, IAP antagonists were found to induce autocrine TNF production in a restricted subset of cancer cells, followed by TNF-induced activation of the extrinsic apoptotic pathway and cell death (38). In our studies, we have failed to observe TNF production in all compound-treated cells, other than SKOV3 (31), which is consistent with the lack of single-agent toxicity by our compounds even at high concentrations in most cell lines we have studied. The reasons for these cell type–specific differences with respect to autocrine TNF production remain unclear and require further research.

Previous studies have demonstrated that the single-agent toxicity and autocrine TNF production observed in certain cells results from IAP inhibitor–induced NF-xB activation (38). It was therefore of interest for us to assess NF-xB activation in our model systems. Notably, we failed to observe canonical NF-xB1 activation in our cell models upon IAP antagonist treatment. Instead, we found that noncanonical NF-xB2 processing takes place in response to our compounds. Thus, we postulate that differential NF-xB signaling in response to IAP antagonists, involving either the canonical or the noncanonical pathway activation, may explain the disparity with regard to autocrine TNF production and single-agent toxicity.

We observed that the noncanonical NF-xB2 processing occurs over a time course that is preceded by compound-induced cIAP degradation (Fig. 4C). Although a rebound of cIAP-2 levels is sometimes observed at 20 hours after IAP antagonism (Fig. 3B), this is not sufficient to prevent TRAIL-induced apoptosis even at this 20-hour time point (Supplementary Fig. S1C). This is consistent as cIAP-2 alone has only a minor effect on TRAIL sensitization (Fig. 5). Indeed, said rebound is probably because of loss of cIAP-2 degradation by cIAP-1. Furthermore, the noncanonical NF-xB2 pathway activation is concomitant with increased NIK levels and NF-xB2 phosphorylation (Figs. 4D and E). We next assessed what role, if any, the observed NF-xB2 pathway activation may have in our model systems. Consistent with the lack of canonical NF-xB1 activation, the use of the IKK inhibitor BAY 11-7082 failed to have any effect in our model systems (data not shown). Instead, siRNAs that target NF-xB2 further sensitized cancer cells to TRAIL-induced apoptosis when IAPs were antagonized (Fig. 4D). Taken together with findings by others that impairment of NF-xB signaling can sensitize cancer cells to TRAIL (40, 41), our studies suggest that concomitant development of NF-xB and IAP inhibitors may have therapeutic value.

We next interrogated the biological activity of our inhibitors to ascertain their mechanism-of-action as TRAIL sensitizers. Our studies with shRNAs targeting XIAP further underscored the notion that antagonism of XIAP represents a major mechanism by which these IAP inhibitors sensitize cancer cells to TRAIL (Fig. 5B). These findings are consistent with results obtained by others, noting the significant role of XIAP in regulating TRAIL sensitivity (18, 36, 42).

Our studies are suggestive that IAP antagonist–induced cIAP degradation also plays a role in TRAIL sensitization. Thus, we observed a rapid and concentration-dependent cIAP degradation upon IAP inhibitor treatment (Fig. 4). A role for cIAPs is further supported by the notion that the combined genetic depletion of cIAP-1 and cIAP-2 also resulted in significant TRAIL sensitization and caspase-8 activation (Fig. 5B). The observed c-IAP degradation correlated with activation of caspase-8 in TRAIL-treated cancer cells, and we found that caspase-8 activation is absolutely essential for IAP inhibitor–mediated sensitization to TRAIL killing (Fig. 3). Interestingly, although cIAP-1 and cIAP-2 depletion resulted in a true sensitization, genetic depletion of all 3 IAPs was required to achieve the same level of TRAIL sensitivity as chemical inhibition (Fig. 5B). Thus, we postulate that inhibition of caspase-8 may take place in untreated cells as a result of a complex formation between the adapter proteins TRAF1 and/or TRAF2 and cIAPs (43). Compound-induced cIAP degradation, then, would result in a loss of caspase-8 inhibition at the receptor complex, thus rendering the cells susceptible to TRAIL-induced apoptosis. Although caspase-8 activity is consistent with loss of viability in response to TRAIL, we observed only very small amounts of processed caspase-8. We speculate that this apparent discrepancy could be reconciled by the fact that caspase-8 may be active as an unprocessed dimer (37); that simultaneous inhibition of multiple IAPs is required for robust caspase-8 activity (Fig. 5B); or that an undefined target for the
small-molecule IAP antagonists is involved in caspase-8 activation and TRAIL sensitization.

In sum, we show that small-molecule IAP antagonists that are nontoxic alone can potently sensitize previously resistant cancer cell lines to the potentially important anticancer agent, TRAIL. Normal cells are refractory to this combination and caspase-8 is the essential apical protease involved in apoptosis induction. These probe compounds are expected to be useful in further elucidating TRAIL signaling pathways, and we have used them to demonstrate preliminarily that XIAP, cIAP-1, and cIAP-2 are involved in the modulation of TRAIL signaling and apoptosis. These agents are useful as lead compounds in a novel anticancer strategy in combination with TRAIL or derivatives thereof. Whereas both these IAP antagonists and TRAIL are expected to be nontoxic in vivo as single agents, our data suggest that they may be potentially powerful therapeutics in combination.
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