Leelamine mediates cancer cell death through inhibition of intracellular cholesterol transport
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ABSTRACT

Leelamine is a promising compound for the treatment of cancer; however the molecular mechanisms leading to leelamine-mediated cell death have not been identified. This report shows that leelamine is a weakly basic amine with lysosomotropic properties leading to its accumulation inside acidic organelles such as lysosomes. This accumulation leads to homeostatic imbalance in the lysosomal endosomal cell compartments that disrupt autophagic flux and intracellular cholesterol trafficking as well as receptor-mediated endocytosis. Electron micrographs of leelamine-treated cancer cells displayed accumulation of autophagosomes, membrane whorls and lipofuscin-like structures indicating disruption of lysosomal cell compartments. Early in the process, leelamine mediated killing was a caspase-independent event triggered by cholesterol accumulation since depletion of cholesterol using β-cyclodextrin treatment, attenuated the cell death and restored the subcellular structures identified by electron microscopy. Protein microarray based analyses of the intracellular signaling cascades showed alterations in RTK-AKT/STAT/MAPK signaling cascades, which was subsequently confirmed by Western blotting. Inhibition of Akt, Erk and Stat signaling together with abnormal deregulation of receptor tyrosine kinases were caused by the inhibition of receptor-mediated endocytosis. This study is the first report demonstrating that leelamine is a lysosomotropic, intracellular cholesterol transport inhibitor with potential chemotherapeutic properties leading to inhibition of autophagic flux and induction of cholesterol accumulation in lysosomal-endosomal cell compartments. Importantly, the findings of this study show the potential of leelamine to disrupt cholesterol homeostasis for treatment of advanced stage cancers.
INTRODUCTION

Melanoma is the deadliest and most metastatic form of skin cancer (1). If it is detected early, surgery still is the most feasible option to cure the disease. However, if it metastasizes to other organs, less than 36% of the patients survive for longer than one year (1). Targeted therapies such as Zelboraf and Dabrafenib, two FDA approved mutant B-Raf inhibitors, can be used for the short-term management of melanoma. But an aggressive drug resistant disease usually develops limiting survival benefit to only a few months (2). Cancer cells were able to bypass the targeted therapies by compensating with alternative activation of the pathway, several by activating upstream receptor tyrosine kinases (3). Therefore, targeting these alternative escape routes through a combinational drug treatment approach or development of a drug that suppresses multiple disease driving pathways is indispensable for a successful treatment of melanoma or prevention of recurrent resistant disease.

Natural compounds are important sources of new drug development and represent a significant portion of the FDA-approved cancer therapeutics portfolio (4). Through a natural compound library screen, leelamine, a tricyclic diterpene molecule that was extracted from the bark of pine trees, is reported in the article by Gowda et al. in the current issue of this journal, as a potential drug effective against advanced stage melanoma. This agent has been shown to induce death of advanced stage melanoma cell lines 3 to 5 fold more effectively than normal cells and led to a 60% decrease in tumor burden compared to control vehicle treated animals. In these studies, leelamine inhibited Akt and Stat3 signaling in xenografted tumors. No obvious systemic toxicity of the compound following treatment was detected as assessed by body weights of animals or by examination of the various blood parameters that are indicative of organ distress. However, the molecular mechanisms underlying the therapeutic efficacy of leelamine are unknown; therefore, in this report, the mechanism of action of leelamine for induction of cell death in cancer cells has been identified.
Prior to this report, leelamine had been reported to be a poor agonist of cannabinoid receptors and a weak inhibitor of pyruvate dehydrogenase kinases (5, 6). However, data presented here suggest that leelamine mediated melanoma cell death does not involve modulation of any of these reported targets, but was rather mediated by the lysosomotropic property of the compound, which triggers accumulation of compound inside the lysosomal/endosomal (LE/L) compartments. This accumulation led to disruption of cholesterol homeostasis and intracellular vesicle transport systems as well as inhibition of autophagic flux. As a consequence, a caspase-independent cell death that was separate from classical apoptotic pathways was induced. Western blot analyses revealed inhibition of receptor tyrosine kinase (RTK), Akt, Erk and Stat3 signaling cascades, which are reported to be important for the survival of melanoma cells. Leelamine mediated inhibition of these cascades was attributed to the inhibition of receptor mediated endocytosis of RTKs causing aberrant accumulation of these proteins in the perinuclear region of cells.
MATERIALS AND METHODS

Cell lines, culture conditions and plasmids. Metastatic melanoma cell line UACC 903 was provided by Dr. Mark Nelson (University of Arizona, Tucson, AZ) between 1995 to 1999, 1205 Lu cell line was provided by Dr. Herlyn (Wistar Institute, Philadelphia, PA) between 2003-2005, human fibroblast cell line FF2441 was provided by Dr. Craig Myers (Penn State College of Medicine, Hershey, PA) in 2005 and these cell lines were maintained in cell culture up to 69th, 98th, 7th passages respectively. Wild-type and Bax knock-out (HCT116\textsuperscript{bax}\textsuperscript{−−}) HCT116 human colon cancer cell lines were provided by Dr. Wafik El-Deiry (Penn State College of Medicine, Hershey, PA) in 2013. Wild-type and Atg5 knock-out (MEF\textsuperscript{atg5}\textsuperscript{−−}) mouse embryonic fibroblast (MEF) cell lines were provided by Dr. Hong-Gang Wang (Penn State College of Medicine, Hershey, PA) in 2008. All cell lines were maintained in DMEM (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA) supplemented with 1% Glutamax (Invitrogen) and 10% FBS (HyClone, Logan, UT) in a 37°C humidified 5% CO\textsubscript{2} atmosphere incubator. Melanoma cell lines were periodically monitored for genotypic characteristics, phenotypic behavior and tumorigenic potential to confirm cell line identity. pBABE-puro mCherry-EGFP-LC3B plasmid was obtained from Addgene and transfected to UACC 903 cells to generate GFP tagged LC3B expressing UACC 903 cell line (plasmid #22418) (7).

Cell viability assay and drug treatments. Viability of cells upon treatment with various compounds (see Supplementary Table 1 for compound sources) were measured through the MTS assay (Promega, Madison, WI) as described previously (8). For combinatorial drug treatment studies, both investigated compounds and leelamine were treated simultaneously. However, in the case of β-cyclodextrin pre-treatment, β-cyclodextrin was washed away after 60 minutes of treatment and subsequently cells were treated either with DMSO or leelamine. Twenty-four hours after treatment, MTS assay was performed.

Caspase dependence, mitochondrial membrane potential and DNA fragmentation assays. Caspase dependence of cell death was measured by growing cells in a 96-well plate and pre-incubating with pan-caspase inhibitor z-VAD-fmk (20 µmol/L) for 1 hour prior to drug treatments. TRAIL (50 ng/mL) treatment was used as a positive control for induction
of caspase dependent cell death. Twenty-four hours after treatment, cell viability was measured by MTS assay as described above. Mitochondrial membrane potential was measured via the TMRE Mitochondrial Membrane Potential Assay Kit (Abcam, Cambridge USA) according to the kit’s protocol. For the DNA fragmentation assay, total DNA was collected using the DNeasy (Qiagen, MA) kit according to instructions and DNA was loaded into 1% agarose gels for electrophoresis.

**Electron microscopy analyses.** UACC 903 cells growing at 70 to 80% confluency on 35 mm permanox petri dishes (Electron Microscopy Sciences, PA) were treated with leelamine (3 μmol/L) or DMSO for 3 hours, washed with PBS and then fixed with fixative (0.5% glutaraldehyde / 4% paraformaldehyde in 0.1 M sodium cacodylate buffer, pH 7.3) for 1 hour. The cells were washed in 0.1 M sodium cacodylate and post fixed overnight in buffered 1% osmium tetroxide 1.5% potassium ferrocyanide. After post fixation, cells were rinsed with buffer, dehydrated in a graded series of ethanol, and embedded in EMbed812 (Electron Microscopy Sciences). After sectioning, samples were stained with 2% aqueous uranyl acetate and lead citrate followed by analysis with JEOL JEM1400 Digital Capture TEM.

**Kinexus and Receptor Tyrosine Kinase Protein Arrays.** UACC 903 cells treated with leelamine (3 μmol/L for 3,6,12 or 24 hours) were collected with Kinexus lysis buffer according to the Kinexus protocol and shipped to Kinexus (Vancouver, Canada) for analyses with Kinexus Antibody Microarray Chip 1.3 (812 antibodies). The array data was normalized through Z-score transformation and Z-ratios between treated samples and corresponding controls were calculated as described elsewhere (9). The data was analyzed through Ingenuity Pathway Analyses (IPA, version 17199142) software based on the alterations with a Z ratio of ± 1.50 with the software’s default settings. Human Phospho-Receptor Tyrosine Kinase Array Kit was obtained from R&D Systems (Minneapolis, MN) and experiments were performed according to the manufacturer’s protocols. The blot images were quantified by using Quantity One 1-D Software (Bio-Rad Laboratories, Hercules, CA, USA).
**Cholesterol localization, quantitation and TLC analyses.** Localization of intracellular cholesterol was detected through Cayman’s Cholesterol Cell-Based Detection Assay Kit (Cayman Chemical, Ann Arbor, MI) according to the manufacturer’s protocol. Lipid extraction from cell cultures was achieved through the Bligh and Dyer method (10). The lipid extract was dissolved in chloroform: methanol (2:1) and stored at -20°C. TLC analyses were undertaken according to a published approach (11). HPTLC Silica gel 60 plates (Merck, Germany) were developed with iodine vapor. The bands were analyzed with ImageJ, image analysis software (v1.44, NIH, USA). Rf values were calculated as 0.35 for Cholesterol, 0.1 for PC and 0.17 for PE. These values were consistent with the values observed in the aforementioned published study (11).

**Evaluation of endocytosis.** Endocytic capacity of the cells was measured through evaluation of receptor-mediated endocytosis of Alexa Fluor 488 conjugated transferrin protein (Molecular Probes, Eugene, OR). Briefly, cells were seeded into chamber slides and treated with leelamine for 2 hours. Next, transferrin protein was added at a final concentration of 5 μg/mL and incubated for 30 minutes. Cells were then washed with PBS, trypsinized and collected for flow cytometry analyses or fixed on a slide with 4% paraformaldehyde for fluorescence microscopy analysis.

**Analyses of drug uptake using 3H labeled leelamine.** To analyze the kinetics of leelamine uptake, tritium labeled leelamine was used (specific activity of 25 Ci/mmol) (American Radio Chemicals Inc, St. Louis, MO). UACC 903 cells (70-85% confluent in a 150 mm plate) were treated with 25 mL of DMEM media containing 3 μmol/L leelamine and 5 μL of 20 μM triated leelamine (1million CPM/μL). At various time points, 20 μL of media from the plate was collected and radioactivity associated with the tritiated leelamine was measured using the LS-6500-Beckman Coulter liquid scintillation counter.

**Analyses of lysosomotropism.** UACC 903 cells were plated into 6-well plate and grown to 75-90% confluency. Cells were treated with 1 μM Lysotracker Red DND-99 (Life Technologies, Grand Island, NY) for 15 minutes. Cells were subsequently treated with leelamine or chloroquine for 45 minutes and collected for flow cytometry analyses.
**Western blot analysis.** 1-1.5 x10^6 melanoma cells were plated in 100 mm culture dishes and grown to 75-90% confluency. After treatments, at indicated time points cells were harvested in RIPA buffer containing protease and phosphatase inhibitors (Pierce Biotechnology, Rockford, IL). Proteins were quantitated using the BCA Assay from Pierce (Rockford, IL). Thirty μg of protein per lane were loaded onto a NuPage gel from Life Technologies, Inc. and electrophoresed according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Proteins were transferred to PVDF membrane and blots were probed with antibodies according to supplier’s recommendations (For detailed antibody information see **Supplementary Table 2**). Immunoblots were developed using the enhanced chemiluminescence (ECL) detection system (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Rockford, IL).

**siRNA transfections.** 5 pmoles/well of siRNA was transfected into UACC 903 cells that were seeded into 96-well plates using Lipofectamine RNAiMAX (Life Technologies) reagent according to the manufacturer’s protocols. 48 hours after transfection, effect on cell viability was measured by MTS assays. Mutant B-RAF siRNA and scrambled siRNA were used as positive and negative controls, respectively. Viability of cells was plotted against scramble siRNA transfected cells (siRNA sequences are provided in **Supplementary Table 3**).

**Statistical Analysis.** The statistical analyses were performed using the unpaired Student’s t test. A P<0.05 was considered statistically significant.
RESULTS

**Leelamine inhibits autophagic flux in melanoma cells.** To dissect the mechanism by which leelamine kills cancer cells, UACC 903 melanoma cells treated with leelamine were examined by light and electron microscopy. Light microscopy showed rapid and widespread vacuolization of the cells (Fig. 1A), followed by membrane blebbing, cell shrinkage and cell rounding. Compared to control DMSO treated cells (Fig. 1B - Box a), transmission electron microscopy showed accumulation of lipofuscin-like material (Fig. 1B - Box b) (undegraded lysosomal waste), formation of web-like membrane whorls (Fig. 1B - Box c) and increased number of autophagosomes (Fig. 1B - Box d).

TEM analysis suggested that leelamine treatment led to autophagosome accumulation; therefore, the effect of leelamine on autophagic flux was next evaluated through western blotting. Treatment with Bafilomycin A1 (BafA1), a specific inhibitor of vacuolar type H\(^+\)-ATPase that blocks autophagic flux, induced accumulation of LC3B (an autophagosome marker) and p62/SQSTM1 (an autophagic flux marker) proteins indicating the inhibition of autophagosome degradation (Fig. 1C) (12). Likewise, leelamine treatment induced the accumulation of both proteins in a dose dependent manner suggesting inhibition of autophagic flux. Accumulation of LC3B protein was detectable via fluorescence microscopy of UACC 903 cells expressing GFP tagged LC3B protein (Fig. 1C). Leelamine treatment also dose dependently increased the intensity ratio of LC3B-II to LC3B-I, further indicating enhanced autophagic activity (Fig. 1C).

**Leelamine has lysosomotropic property leading to accumulation in acidic organelles.** Since observations such as vacuolization of cells, accumulation of lipofuscin-like material, formation of web-like membrane whorls and inhibition of autophagic flux are commonly attributed to lysosomal storage diseases and can be mimicked by some lysosomotropic compounds, the lysosomotropic potential of leelamine was next investigated (13, 14). As a weakly basic primary amine, leelamine has a pKa of 9.9 (calculated by ACD Labs Precepta software v14.0) and it was therefore predicted to be a lysosomotropic compound. Treatment with vacuolar H\(^+\)-ATPase inhibitors can suppress the activity of lysosomotropic compounds by inhibiting the acidification of cell compartments (15). Pre-treatment of UACC 903
melanoma cells with two vacuolar H\textsuperscript+-ATPase inhibitors that target different subunits of the H\textsuperscript+-ATPase complex, BafA1 and Concanamycin A (Conc-A), suppressed leelamine-mediated cell vacuolization (Fig. 1D), suggesting that leelamine was a lysosomotropic compound.

Lysosomotropic compounds can be rapidly taken up by cells due to trapping inside the acidic organelles such as lysosomes and endosomes (16, 17). To measure the kinetics of leelamine uptake, UACC 903 cells were treated with tritiated leelamine and every 10 minutes media samples were collected to quantify levels of tritiated compound remaining in the media. Sixty percent of the tritiated leelamine was internalized into cells in less than 30 minutes after treatment, which supports the lysosomotropic property of the compound (Fig. 1E). This was further confirmed by testing the efficacy of collected samples to decrease cell viability. UACC 903 cells were treated with 3 \( \mu \)mol/L leelamine in a p100 plate and every 10 minutes, 300 \( \mu \)L of media was collected from the plate to treat UACC 903 cells that were plated in a 96 well plate (100 \( \mu \)L X 3 wells/sample). Twenty-four hours later, cell viability was assessed by MTS assay. Consistent with the uptake kinetics of leelamine, samples collected 30 minutes after pre-incubation with cells, did not induce cell death in UACC 903 cells (Fig. 1F).

To further validate the lysosomotropic property of leelamine, a modified Lysotracker Red DND-99 competition assay was used (18). Lysosomotropic compounds typically compete with Lysotracker Red DND-99 to decrease uptake. Therefore, cells treated with a lysosomotropic compound should take up less Lysotracker Red DND-99. Flow cytometry based quantitation of the staining of cells with the Lysotracker Red DND-99 showed decreased uptake following treatment with chloroquine (100 \( \mu \)mol/L), a well-known lysosomotropic compound, or leelamine (3 \( \mu \)mol/L), which provided further support for leelamine as a lysosomotropic compound (Fig. 1G).

**Lysosomotropic property of leelamine mediated early caspase-independent melanoma cell death.** To determine whether the lysosomotropic property of leelamine mediated its activity, cell viability following H\textsuperscript+-ATPase inhibition was measured.
Cotreatment of 10 nmol/L of BafA1 or Conc-A effectively protected melanoma cells from leelamine mediated cell death (Fig. 2A). Moreover, abietic acid, a structurally similar compound to leelamine that lacks the amine group, failed to induce either vacuolization or death of UACC 903 cells suggesting that, the amine group of leelamine mediated its lysosomotropic activity to subsequently trigger cell death (Sup. Fig. 1).

Although leelamine has been reported in the current issue of this journal to induce the activation of caspases (Gowda et al.), it was not known whether the fate of leelamine treated cells is solely a result of caspase activation, or if there are other players that trigger cell death. In the case of caspase-dependent cell death, it would be expected that inhibition of caspase activation via pan-caspase inhibitor zVAD-fmk would rescue cells from leelamine mediated cell death. In the positive control, zVAD-fmk co-treatment completely restored the viability of cells, which was reduced to 58% by TNF-related apoptosis-inducing ligand (TRAIL) treatment (Fig. 2B). In contrast, zVAD-fmk had no effect on the viability of melanoma cells when co-treated with leelamine. Furthermore, leelamine did not induce caspase-mediated DNA fragmentation up to 24 hours following treatment when compared to Staurosporine treatment, an accepted apoptosis inducer (Fig. 2C) (19). This observation indicated that early phase of leelamine mediated cell death was triggered through a caspase independent process despite the fact that caspases are activated downstream in the cell death process.

Since various caspase-independent cell death programs require de-novo protein synthesis, the effect of inhibition of protein synthesis on leelamine-mediated cell death was examined next (20). Co-treatment of UACC 903 melanoma cells with cycloheximide, a protein synthesis inhibitor, did not affect viability of leelamine treated cells, suggesting that de-novo protein synthesis is not required for leelamine mediated cell death (Sup. Fig. 2A).

Lysosomotropic compounds can induce caspase-independent cell death through lysosomal membrane permeabilization leading to leakage of cathepsins (lysosomal peptidases) into the cytosol (17, 21). To examine whether leelamine caused lysosomal membrane permeabilization, lysosomal peptidase inhibitors, ALLN (Calpain/Cathepsin-Inhibitor1),
ALLM (Calpain/Cathepsin-Inhibitor 2), leupeptin (cysteine, serine and threonine peptidase inhibitor), Pepstatin-A (aspartic proteinase inhibitor) and AEBSF (irreversible serine protease inhibitor) were used. However, none of these inhibitors were able to alter leelamine mediated cell death suggesting that lysosomal membrane permeabilization is not involved in leelamine mediated cell death (Sup. Fig. 2B).

Since disruption of mitochondrial function plays key roles in the execution of several cell death programs, mitochondrial membrane potential (ΔΨm) of UACC 903 cells was measured after leelamine treatment (22). In the positive control, 20 µmol/L FCCP, a very potent uncoupler of oxidative phosphorylation in mitochondria significantly hindered ΔΨm of treated cells. Leelamine treatment also decreased the ΔΨm of treated melanoma cells in a time and dose dependent manner (Fig. 2D). Mitochondrial membrane potential was found to be diminished in more than 70% of the cells when melanoma cells were treated with 3 µmol/L leelamine for 24 hours, suggesting that leelamine triggers significant perturbations in mitochondrial stability.

Bcl-2-associated X protein (Bax) and BH3 interacting-domain death agonist (Bid) are two well-studied apoptosis regulators that induce the opening of the mitochondrial voltage-dependent anion channels following apoptotic signals (23). The potential involvement of Bax in leelamine mediated cell death was investigated through comparing wild-type HCT116 cells with Bax knock-out HCT116 (HCT116 Bax\(^{-/-}\)) cells. Interestingly, Bax knockout cells were more resistant to leelamine mediated cell death in contrast to their wild type counterparts (Fig. 2E). However, contradictorily pharmacological inhibition of Bax channels through Bax-Inhibiting Peptide, V5; inhibition of Bid activity through BI-6C9; or inhibition of apoptosome formation by NS3694 were not able suppress leelamine mediated cell death (Sup. Fig. 2C).

**Blockage of autophagic flux mediated by leelamine.** Lysosomotropic compounds can block autophagic flux through alkalinization of the lysosome to trigger caspase-independent cell death (17, 21). To investigate whether leelamine-mediated cell death involves inhibition of autophagic flux, autophagy deficient \(atg5\) knockout mouse embryonic fibroblasts (MEF)
cells were compared with wild-type counterparts following leelamine treatment. Atg5 knockout MEFs showed partial resistance to leelamine mediated cell death suggesting that inhibition of autophagic flux played an important role in this process (Fig. 2F). Interestingly, atg5 knockout MEF cells did not undergo vacuolization upon leelamine treatment indicating a relationship between vacuolization and autophagy (Fig. 2F). Thus, leelamine-mediated cell death was associated with its lysosomotropic property and partially involved inhibition of autophagic flux.

**Activity of leelamine was not mediated by PDKs or Cannabinoid receptors.** Pyruvate dehydrogenase kinases (PDK) and cannabinoid receptors (CBR) are reported targets of leelamine (5, 6, 24). To determine whether, the lysosomotropic property of leelamine mediated cell death did not involve these proteins, pharmacological agents or RNA interference was used to inhibit these proteins. siRNA-mediated knockdown of PDK isoforms or dichloroacetate-mediated inhibition of PDKs did not affect the viability of melanoma cells suggesting that these proteins were not mediating the effect (Sup. Figs. 3A and 3B). Agonists of cannabinoid receptors have also been reported to promote apoptotic cell death in melanoma cells (25); however, co-treatment of neither CB1 inverse antagonist AM251, nor CB2 inverse antagonist AM630, nor a combination of them, protected UACC 903 cells from leelamine mediated cell death (Sup. Fig. 3C). Consistent with these observations, siRNA-mediated knockdown of cannabinoid receptors also did not alter the activity of leelamine (Sup. Fig. 3D). Thus, none of the reported targets of leelamine were found to mediate cancer cell killing.

**Leelamine induced intracellular cholesterol accumulation and altered cholesterol subcellular localization.** Phenotypes induced by some lysosomotropic compounds (e.g. U18666A and imipramine) resemble those occurring with Niemann Pick Type C (NPC1) disease (26, 27). NPC1 is a well-studied lysosomal storage disease, which leads to neurodegeneration and cell death through lysosomal/endosomal accumulation of unesterified cholesterol due to loss-of-function mutations in NPC proteins (28). These compounds also lead to accumulation of endosomal cholesterol upon treatment (26, 27). To investigate whether leelamine triggered a phenotype similar to NPC1, cholesterol
Localization following leelamine treatment was analyzed via Filipin-III staining. Under steady-state conditions, UACC 903 cells stained weakly for Filipin at the periphery of the nucleus (Fig. 3A). 3 μmol/L leelamine significantly altered cholesterol localization and induced a staining pattern, which was comparable to that occurring following U18666A treatment (Fig. 3A). At higher concentrations (5 μmol/L) cholesterol accumulation was more significant and observed as large droplets around the nucleus. In contrast, altered cholesterol localization was not observed in normal fibroblasts at the 3 μmol/L but was significant at 10 μmol/L. β-cyclodextrin mediated depletion of cholesterol has been reported to decrease the toxicity of cholesterol accumulation in NPC1 disease (29). Cotreatment of β-cyclodextrin prevented intracellular cholesterol accumulation even at high leelamine concentrations (Fig. 3A). Thin layer chromatography analyses of the lipid extracts from UACC 903 cells showed an increase in intracellular cholesterol accumulation following leelamine treatment (Fig. 3B).

To validate the biological significance of leelamine mediated cholesterol accumulation, β-cyclodextrin was used to deplete cellular cholesterol levels. Depletion of cholesterol from UACC 903 or 1205 Lu melanoma cells through pre- or co-treatment with β-cyclodextrin suppressed cell death mediated by leelamine treatment (Fig. 3C). In addition, electron microscopy analyses of the β-cyclodextrin co-treated UACC 903 cells showed that depletion of cholesterol prevented formation of lipofuscin-like material, membrane whorls and autophagic vesicles observed following leelamine treatment (Fig. 3D). It is important to note that, it was the inhibition of cholesterol transport but not the cholesterol synthesis that lead leads to cell death since statins were not able to induce cell death in these cell lines (Sup. Fig. 4).

**Leelamine inhibited cellular endocytosis.** Endosomes are major sorting compartments within cells functioning not only for the uptake of extracellular material but also for the maintenance of cell signaling through recycling of membrane receptors (30, 31). Since, endosomal accumulation of cholesterol has the potential to disrupt the endocytic system, the integrity of the system was assessed by measuring endocytic uptake of AlexaFluor-conjugated transferrin protein (32, 33). Fluorescence microscopy analyses showed robust
suppression of endocytosis following leelamine treatment of both UACC 903 and 1205 Lu melanoma cells (Fig. 3E). Depletion of cholesterol through β-cyclodextrin cotreatment prevented leelamine mediated inhibition of transferrin endocytosis. In contrast, although endocytosis of transferrin was restricted in fibroblasts cells, its inhibition was not seen until the leelamine concentration was increased to 10 μM (Fig. 3E). Flow cytometry based quantitation of transferrin signal, confirmed the fluorescence microscopy analyses and displayed a dose-dependent inhibition of endocytosis (Fig. 3F). 3 µmol/L leelamine treatment decreased endocytosis positive UACC 903 cells by 68% while 5 µmol/L decreased it by 88%. Collectively these data suggest that leelamine treatment inhibited cellular endocytosis in cancer cells at 3 µmol/L and required 3-fold higher levels to see a similar effect in normal cells.

**Leelamine inhibited signaling pathways driving melanoma cell survival.** Since leelamine disrupted cellular endocytosis, inhibition of this process was predicted to disrupt key signaling pathways important for melanoma survival. Therefore, signaling pathways altered following leelamine treatment were assessed next. Since cycloheximide treatment suggested that protein synthesis was not involved in the activity of leelamine, the primary effect of the compound was predicted to occur at the post-translational level. Therefore, to assess these changes, high-throughput antibody microarray analysis was undertaken using the Kinexus Bioinformatics Corporation (Vancouver, BC, Canada). This analysis simultaneously assessed the expression and phosphorylation status of various cell signaling proteins. Cell lysates were collected at various time points from 3-24 hours following leelamine or control treatment and analyzed on the Kinexus arrays. Data was normalized through Z-score transformation and significant alterations were identified by calculation of Z-ratios between treated samples and corresponding controls (Table 1) (see Supplementary Table 4 for array results). Results suggested alterations in the members of receptor tyrosine kinase (RTK) – Akt signaling pathway (e.g., IGF1R, IRS1, Alk, EphA1, Erbb2, GSK3, and FKHRL1) (Fig. 4A). Analyzes of the data through Ingenuity Pathway Analyses software suggested that the insulin and PI3K-Akt pathways were the most prominent pathways that were altered following leelamine treatment (Sup. Fig. 5).
Involvement of key proteins that were downstream of RTK signaling was subsequently validated by western blotting. Significant suppression of the active Akt (pAKT) and Stat3 proteins (pSTAT3) were identified (Fig. 4B). Suppression of several other signaling proteins (e.g. Erk, PRAS40, Creb, p70S6K) in these pathways have been validated in the manuscript by Gowda et al. in the current issue of this journal. Phosphorylation of 4E-BP1, an important regulator of cap-dependent protein translation, was significantly decreased by leelamine suggesting that the Akt/mTOR branch of the cascade was also inhibited following leelamine treatment (34). Most importantly, BafA1 co-treatment reversed the effect of leelamine on these signaling cascades suggesting that these alterations were triggered by the lysosomotropic properties of this drug (Fig. 4B).

**Leelamine disrupted receptor tyrosine kinase signaling via interference with intracellular vesicular transport systems, which was reversible by cholesterol depletion.** Since protein microarray analysis suggested alterations in receptor tyrosine kinase signaling, the activities of 42 different receptor tyrosine kinases were analyzed using a protein array that is specific to receptor tyrosine kinases (Fig. 4C). Alterations in tyrosine phosphorylation of several RTKs, such as decreases in ERBB4 and PDGFR receptors, as well as an increase in IGF1R and HGFR receptors were observed. However, identified increases in HGFR and IGF1R phosphorylation were associated with intracellular accumulation of these receptors. Western blot analyses displayed a dose and time dependent accumulation of HGFR precursor protein with significant decrease in mature forms of HGFR and IGF1R receptors (Fig. 4D). The precursor form of IGF1R also displayed slight accumulation that was more significant after 12 hours of leelamine treatment. Accumulations of these precursor proteins were possibly related to the disruption of the endocytic system (35). Immunofluorescence staining of various RTKs (IGF1R, PDGFR and TRK receptors) and IRS1, an adaptor protein in INSR/IGF1R-Akt signaling, displayed perinuclear accumulation of these proteins further supporting inhibition of the intracellular vesicular transport systems (Fig. 4E).

To demonstrate that signaling alterations were induced by disrupted cholesterol homeostasis, β-cyclodextrin co-treatment was used to deplete accumulating cholesterol and
effects on inhibited signaling pathways were examined by western blotting (Fig. 4F). β-cyclodextrin co-treatment restored phosphorylation of Akt and Stat3 proteins, suppressed accumulation of IGF1R and HGFR precursors, inhibited upregulation of p27 protein, reinstated Cyclin D1 levels to control amounts, and decreased PARP cleavage (Fig. 4F). Thus, these observations suggested that leelamine mediated signaling alterations were initiated by disruption of cholesterol homeostasis leading to shutdown of cellular endocytosis.
DISCUSSION

In this study, leelamine has been identified as a lysosomotropic compound that disrupts intracellular cholesterol homeostasis to induce cell death more selectively in melanoma compared to normal cells. Cholesterol is an essential component of cell membranes and occupies vital roles in intracellular transport and signaling systems (36, 37). Its homeostasis is strictly regulated since proper functioning of several organelles such as golgi, endoplasmic reticulum and mitochondria rely on cholesterol abundance in the membranes of these organelles (38, 39). Late endosomes and lysosomes have an important role in maintaining this homeostasis. Cholesterol that is derived from the membranes of the endocytotic vesicles and cholesteryl esters that are derived from the imported LDL molecules or from the autophagic flux, converge on the lysosomal cell compartments where cholesteryl esters are hydrolyzed to free cholesterol molecules (39-41). Excess free cholesterol should be either esterified in the endoplasmic reticulum or removed from the cell through the efflux pathway (39). NPC1 and NPC2 proteins function together to export free cholesterol from the lysosomal-endosomal cell compartments and loss-of-function mutations in these genes, give rise to accumulation of free cholesterol in the lysosomal-endosomal compartments (42). Since, lysosomes are a convergent point for the endocytic and autophagic pathways, cholesterol accumulation potentially shuts down both of these pathways.

Inhibition of autophagic flux is potentially detrimental to cells due to insufficient disposal of toxic protein aggregates and inadequate recycling of unnecessary cellular components to maintain intracellular homeostasis (17). Recent studies link autophagy to cholesterol homeostasis (41). Elrick et al. (2012) identified autophagy as an important source of accumulated cholesterol in NPC1 disease (43). In their study, Atg5-null-MEF cells accumulated less cholesterol in the lysosomal-endosomal compartments upon U18666A treatment. In agreement with this observation, ATG5-null-MEF cells were more resistant to leelamine-mediated cell death compared to wild-type counterparts. These observations suggested autophagy as an important source for accumulated cholesterol in leelamine treated cells. Moreover, endosomal cholesterol accumulation not only inhibits autophagic
flux but can also induce autophagy itself (44). Leelamine treatment dose dependently increased LC3BII to LC3BI ratio as well as decreased the phosphorylation of 4E-BP1, suggesting the sustained inhibition of mTOR signaling and induction of autophagy. Thus, the autophagic process creates a vicious circle between cholesterol accumulation and autophagy induction in which endosomal cholesterol accumulation triggers autophagy and autophagy subsequently induces further endosomal cholesterol accumulation, which is summarized in Fig. 5.

In contrast to autophagy, inhibition of endocytosis disrupts intracellular signaling processes since receptor mediated signaling depends on endocytosis and endocytic recycling of internalized receptors to the cell membrane (45). RTKs are an important family of membrane receptors that are regulated through receptor-mediated endocytosis. Upon ligand binding and activation, they are internalized through endocytosis and transported to the late endosomes where they are either recycled back to the membrane or directed to the lysosomes for degradation (45). This process is important for down-regulation of initiated signal transduction and also required for transduction of various signals from the cell periphery to the nucleus (46).

Receptor tyrosine kinases play vital roles in the progression of several cancers including melanoma (47). Hyperactivation of several RTKs such as PDGFR, ERBB4, AXL, IGF1R can contribute to mutant BRAF inhibitor resistance (3). They induce PI3K/Akt, Stat and MAPK signaling cascades in response to extracellular factors. Leelamine mediated disruption of RTK signaling led to the inhibition of these three signaling cascades. Leelamine mediated inhibition of MAPK signaling was not very prominent in contrast to Akt3 and Stat3 pathway shutdown since the constitutive activation of the MAPK cascade is triggered by mutant V600E-B-Raf protein and does not require RTK activity (48). Silencing of Akt activity significantly suppresses melanoma tumor growth (49). Cell lines with over-activated Akt signaling show increased sensitivity to the inhibition of PI3K/Akt signaling pathway (50). Since leelamine inhibits Akt signaling, it is effective for killing cells in which the PI3 kinase pathway is activated. Receptor tyrosine kinases also mediate induction of Stat signaling, which is reported to be essential for the transforming activity of the various
RTKs such as IGF1R (51). Under steady state growth conditions, activity of STAT proteins is transient and tightly regulated by various signaling pathways (52). However, STATs are constitutively activated and promote tumor development in several malignancies, including melanoma (53). Niu et al. (2002) reported constitutive activation of STAT3 in more than 80% of melanoma cell lines in which hyper-activated Stat3 inhibits apoptotic pathways through induction of \textit{bcl-xL} expression (54). Our studies showed that leelamine significantly hinders Stat3 activity and decreases Bcl-xL protein levels as observed in Kinexus array analysis and in subsequent validation studies.

In summary, this study identifies leelamine as a lysosomotropic cholesterol transport inhibitor that triggers cell death through cholesterol accumulation in lysosomal/endosomal cell compartments. The accumulated cholesterol inhibits autophagic flux, disrupts receptor mediated endocytosis and subsequently inhibits signaling pathways that are key to melanoma development. These findings not only suggest significant potential of leelamine for the treatment of melanoma but also identify a new approach for induction of melanoma cell death and possibly that of other cancer types.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Target Protein</th>
<th>Phospho Site</th>
<th>3 hr</th>
<th>6 hr</th>
<th>12 hr</th>
<th>24 hr</th>
<th>3 hr</th>
<th>6 hr</th>
<th>12 hr</th>
<th>24 hr</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>IRS1</td>
<td>Y1179</td>
<td>1.50</td>
<td>2.95</td>
<td>2.38</td>
<td>1.45</td>
<td>113</td>
<td>907</td>
<td>406</td>
<td>120</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IRS1</td>
<td>S312</td>
<td>1.81</td>
<td>1.82</td>
<td>1.89</td>
<td>2.19</td>
<td>142</td>
<td>307</td>
<td>324</td>
<td>288</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IR/IGF1R</td>
<td>Y1189/Y1190</td>
<td>1.21</td>
<td>1.83</td>
<td>1.81</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>328</td>
<td>220</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p38a MAPK</td>
<td>Pan-specific</td>
<td>1.35</td>
<td>5.17</td>
<td>1.95</td>
<td>0.70</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>2654</td>
<td>205</td>
<td>42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PKC/ι</td>
<td>T564</td>
<td>1.82</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>1.66</td>
<td>174</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>163</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STAT4</td>
<td>Pan-specific</td>
<td>* 7.70</td>
<td>1.54</td>
<td>4.10</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>11598</td>
<td>108</td>
<td>1162</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GCK</td>
<td>Pan-specific</td>
<td>1.39</td>
<td>2.23</td>
<td>7.44</td>
<td>1.68</td>
<td>2677</td>
<td>5468</td>
<td>38746</td>
<td>138</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tau</td>
<td>T548</td>
<td>1.73</td>
<td>1.50</td>
<td>* 2.14</td>
<td>-0.62</td>
<td>141</td>
<td>261</td>
<td>298</td>
<td>-27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Erk1/2</td>
<td>Pan-specific</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>2.24</td>
<td>0.89</td>
<td>1.82</td>
<td>-3</td>
<td>509</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>138</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hsp90</td>
<td>Pan-specific</td>
<td>* -10.83</td>
<td>4.99</td>
<td>* -0.48</td>
<td>1.51</td>
<td>-99</td>
<td>75219</td>
<td>2736</td>
<td>107</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GFAP</td>
<td>S8</td>
<td>-1.07</td>
<td>0.83</td>
<td>-1.69</td>
<td>-2.12</td>
<td>-33</td>
<td>168</td>
<td>-52</td>
<td>-63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lyn</td>
<td>Y508</td>
<td>-0.54</td>
<td>-0.86</td>
<td>-1.56</td>
<td>-1.99</td>
<td>-18</td>
<td>-35</td>
<td>-29</td>
<td>-61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAP2K4</td>
<td>Pan-specific</td>
<td>-2.02</td>
<td>-1.54</td>
<td>-1.18</td>
<td>-0.83</td>
<td>-54</td>
<td>-71</td>
<td>-41</td>
<td>-32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bad</td>
<td>S75</td>
<td>-1.27</td>
<td>-2.14</td>
<td>-1.44</td>
<td>-1.63</td>
<td>-46</td>
<td>-80</td>
<td>-63</td>
<td>-64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>eIF4E</td>
<td>S209</td>
<td>-0.54</td>
<td>-1.69</td>
<td>-1.36</td>
<td>-1.74</td>
<td>-24</td>
<td>-68</td>
<td>-54</td>
<td>-62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ALK</td>
<td>Pan-specific</td>
<td>-1.42</td>
<td>-2.64</td>
<td>-1.75</td>
<td>-1.70</td>
<td>-50</td>
<td>-87</td>
<td>-69</td>
<td>-63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bcl-xS/L</td>
<td>Pan-specific</td>
<td>* -6.5</td>
<td>-6.51</td>
<td>-3.60</td>
<td>-0.34</td>
<td>-90</td>
<td>-80</td>
<td>-93</td>
<td>-22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IkBb</td>
<td>Pan-specific</td>
<td>* -2.33</td>
<td>-7.45</td>
<td>-2.71</td>
<td>-0.06</td>
<td>-37</td>
<td>-100</td>
<td>-56</td>
<td>-7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bcl2</td>
<td>Pan-specific</td>
<td>-1.52</td>
<td>-0.54</td>
<td>-1.93</td>
<td>* -0.66</td>
<td>-44</td>
<td>-34</td>
<td>-53</td>
<td>-27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DAXX</td>
<td>Pan-specific</td>
<td>-1.64</td>
<td>-1.34</td>
<td>-2.17</td>
<td>-2.58</td>
<td>-49</td>
<td>-64</td>
<td>-70</td>
<td>-77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Erk1/2</td>
<td>Pan-specific</td>
<td>-1.69</td>
<td>-1.04</td>
<td>-2.00</td>
<td>-1.80</td>
<td>-46</td>
<td>-47</td>
<td>-50</td>
<td>-58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GSK3ab</td>
<td>Pan-specific</td>
<td>-3.11</td>
<td>-1.35</td>
<td>-1.88</td>
<td>-1.88</td>
<td>-72</td>
<td>-59</td>
<td>-53</td>
<td>-62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GSK3ab</td>
<td>Pan-specific</td>
<td>-2.07</td>
<td>-1.01</td>
<td>-1.57</td>
<td>-0.64</td>
<td>-54</td>
<td>-39</td>
<td>-43</td>
<td>-18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mos</td>
<td>Pan-specific</td>
<td>-1.93</td>
<td>* -1.87</td>
<td>-1.60</td>
<td>-1.13</td>
<td>-52</td>
<td>-81</td>
<td>-49</td>
<td>-46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DFF35/45</td>
<td>Pan-specific</td>
<td>-0.88</td>
<td>-0.86</td>
<td>-1.95</td>
<td>-2.49</td>
<td>-29</td>
<td>-47</td>
<td>-53</td>
<td>-53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DNAPK</td>
<td>Pan-specific</td>
<td>-0.74</td>
<td>-1.12</td>
<td>-2.36</td>
<td>-1.97</td>
<td>-25</td>
<td>-60</td>
<td>-75</td>
<td>-68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EphA1</td>
<td>Pan-specific</td>
<td>-1.30</td>
<td>-0.76</td>
<td>-1.65</td>
<td>-2.73</td>
<td>-42</td>
<td>-42</td>
<td>-52</td>
<td>-75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ErbB2</td>
<td>Pan-specific</td>
<td>-1.48</td>
<td>-0.90</td>
<td>-1.98</td>
<td>-2.36</td>
<td>-46</td>
<td>-47</td>
<td>-55</td>
<td>-67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FKHRL1</td>
<td>T32</td>
<td>-0.99</td>
<td>-0.75</td>
<td>-3.70</td>
<td>-1.71</td>
<td>-26</td>
<td>-42</td>
<td>-64</td>
<td>-46</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Note:** Grey shadowed boxes indicates Z-Ratio >1.5
Astrix (*) indicates antibody spots which were not reliable due to technical issues.
%CFC: Percentage change from control treatment
FIGURE LEGENDS

Figure 1. Lysosomotropic property of leelamine inhibits autophagic flux.  
A, Light microscopic images shows vacuolization of melanoma cells after leelamine treatment;  
B, Transmission electron micrographs shows DMSO treated control cells (a), leelamine treated cells displaying formation of lipofuscin-like material (b), web-like membrane whorls (c) and increased number of autophagosomes (d);  
C, Western blot analyses showing LC3B and P62 protein levels as a marker of autophagic flux, Erk-2 as a loading control.  
BafA1 treatment was a positive control for inhibition of autophagic flux. 
Bottom panel showing confocal microscopy of GFP tagged LC3B accumulation in leelamine or BafA1 treated UACC 903 cells;  
D, Light microscopic images of melanoma cells following leelamine treatment either alone or in combination with V-ATPase inhibitors, Conc-A and BafA1;  
E, Kinetics of $^3$H labeled leelamine uptake;  
F, Viability of cells exposed to conditioned media that is collected from leelamine treated melanoma cells at different time points;  
G, Histogram showing lysosomotropic property of leelamine, assessed by its competition with Lysotracker Red DND-99 dye, which was similar to chloroquine, a well-known lysosomotropic compound.

Figure 2. Lysosomotropic property of leelamine induced caspase independent cell death leading to disruption of mitochondrial membrane potential.  
A, Viability of melanoma cells treated with leelamine in the absence or presence of V-ATPase inhibitors, BafA1 or Conc-A;  
B, Caspase dependence of leelamine mediated cell death measured through treatment of melanoma cells with leelamine in the absence or presence of pan caspase inhibitor, z-VAD-fmk;  
C, DNA laddering assay showing absence of DNA fragmentation following leelamine treatment.  
Staurosporine was used as positive control for apoptosis mediated DNA fragmentation;  
D, Histogram showing mitochondrial membrane potential following leelamine or FCCP (positive control) treatment;  
E, Viability of wild type or Bax-knockout HCT116 cells after 24 hours treatment with increasing concentrations of leelamine;  
F, Viability of wild type or atg5-knockout MEF cells after 24 hours treatment with increasing concentrations of leelamine.  
Images show that leelamine did not cause vacuolization of atg5-knockout MEF cells.
Figure 3. Leelamine mediated cell death depends on intracellular cholesterol accumulation causing inhibition of cellular endocytosis. A, Fluorescence microscopy of cholesterol localization following leelamine or U18666A treatment. β-cyclodextrin mediated depletion of cholesterol prevents leelamine mediated intracellular cholesterol accumulation (right panel); B, High performance thin layer chromatography showing cholesterol accumulation in melanoma cells with increasing leelamine concentrations. The numbers under the cholesterol band show the quantitation of the cholesterol band with respect to the DMSO treatment; PC, Phosphatidylcholine; PE, phosphatidylethanolamine; C, Viability of melanoma cells following leelamine treatment alone or in combination with co- or pre-treatment with β-cyclodextrin; D, Transmission electron micrographs of leelamine and β-cyclodextrin co-treated UACC 903 cells; E, Fluorescence microscopic images showing endocytosis of Alexa fluor conjugated transferrin protein following DMSO control or leelamine treatment in the absence or presence of β-cyclodextrin mediated cholesterol depletion; F, Flow cytometry based analyses of Alexa fluor conjugated transferrin protein endocytosis following leelamine treatment.

Figure 4. Leelamine mediated alterations in signaling pathways. A, Schematic summary of signaling alterations in melanoma cells occurring following leelamine treatment based on Kinexus antibody array analysis; B, Western blot analysis of pAkt (S472), total Akt, p4E-BP1(T70), pStat3(Y705), Stat3 and Erk-2 proteins in melanoma cells treated with increasing concentrations of leelamine with or without Bafilomycin A1; C, Receptor Tyrosine Kinase (RTK) protein array analysis showing activity of various RTKs following leelamine treatment; D, Western blot analyses of pAkt (S472), total Akt, pStat3 (Y705), cleaved PARP, HGFR, IGF1R and Erk-2 proteins following leelamine treatment of UACC 903 cells; E, Immunofluorescence staining showing perinuclear accumulation (arrow heads) of RTK signaling members (nucleus marked with dashed circles in treated cells) following leelamine treatment of UACC 903 cells; F, Western blot analyses shows restoration of leelamine mediated signaling alterations in pAkt...
(S472), total Akt, pStat3(Y705), Stat3, p27, Cyclin D1, cleaved PARP, HGFR and IGF1R proteins following cholesterol depletion using $\beta$-cyclodextrine treatment.

**Figure 5.** Schematic summary of cellular alterations mediated by leelamine in melanoma cells.
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