STAT3 Inhibition Overcomes Temozolomide Resistance in Glioblastoma by Downregulating MGMT Expression
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Abstract

Glioblastoma multiforme (GBM) is one of the most aggressive human tumors with a poor prognosis. Current standard treatment includes chemotherapy with the DNA-alkylating agent temozolomide concomitant with surgical resection and/or irradiation. However, a number of cases are resistant to temozolomide-induced DNA damage due to elevated expression of the DNA repair enzyme O⁶-methylguanine-DNA methyltransferase (MGMT). Here, we show that upregulation of both MGMT and STAT3 was accompanied with acquisition of temozolomide resistance in the GBM cell line U87. Inactivation of STAT3 by inhibitor or short hairpin RNA (shRNA) downregulated MGMT expression in GBM cell lines. MGMT upregulation was not observed by the treatment of interleukin (IL)-6 which is a strong activator of STAT3. Contrarily, forced expressed MGMT could be downregulated by STAT3 inhibitor which was partially rescued by the proteasome inhibitor, MG132, suggesting the STAT3-mediated posttranscriptional regulation of the protein levels of MGMT. Immunohistochemical analysis of 44 malignant glioma specimens showed significant positive correlation between expression levels of MGMT and phosphorylated STAT3 (p-STAT3; P < 0.001, r = 0.58). Importantly, the levels of both MGMT and p-STAT3 were increased in the recurrence compared with the primary lesion in paired identical tumors of 12 cases. Finally, we showed that STAT3 inhibitor or STAT3 knockdown potentiated temozolomide efficacy in temozolomide-resistant GBM cell lines. Therefore, STAT3 inhibitor might be one of the candidate reagents for combination therapy with temozolomide for patients with temozolomide-resistant GBM.
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controlling cell growth, and subsequently, STAT3 has been shown to play an important role for cellular transformation (18). In fact, aberrant activation of STAT3 has been identified in GBM as well as in a number of other human cancers (19–21).

To date, profiling analysis has proposed molecular-based classification of GBM as proneural, proliferative, and mesenchymal type. Primary tumor tends to be a proneural type, however along with the recurrence, GBM becomes mesenchymal type with invasion and angiogenesis (22, 23). Recently, STAT3, C/EBP, bHLH-B2, RUNX1, FOSL2, and ZNF238 have been shown to collectively control more than 74% of the mesenchymal gene expression signature gene (24). Furthermore, expression of STAT3 correlates with mesenchymal differentiation and predicts poor clinical outcome in human glioma (24). While STAT3 is considered to be a key molecule regulating mesenchymal phenotype, MGMT is not classified into any specific subtype according to the previous microarray data comparing each subtype (22). Furthermore, methylation status of MGMT was not associated with subtype (25). Several studies have shown that STAT3 inhibitors suppressed STAT3 activation and expression of its downstream target genes including cyclin D1 and VEGF (26–29). However, the relation between STAT3 and temozolomide resistance has not yet been assessed.

In this study, to elucidate the regulatory mechanism of MGMT and identify suppressive reagent for MGMT expression in GBM for the increase of efficacy of temozolomide, we generated temozolomide-resistant cells and evaluated the elevation of STAT3 expression in these cells. Temozolomide was assumed to be 100%, and temozolomide-induced decreases in S-phase were calculated as a percentage of the control FBS–treated cells. Each sample was titrated by colorimetric evaluation (31). Absorbance of cells treated with 10% FBS without temozolomide was measured at a density of 2,500 cells per well and were incubated with DMEM supplemented with 10% FBS in the plates at a density of 2,500 cells per well and were incubated with DMEM supplemented with 10% FBS in the wells at a density of 2,500 cells per well and were incubated with DMEM supplemented with 10% FBS in the wells.

Materials and Methods

Cells

The human GBM cell lines T98G (ATCC#CRL-1690), U138 (ATCC#HTB-16), and U87 (ATCC#HTB-14) were purchased from American Type Culture Collection. KM4 cell line was kindly provided by Dr. Kazuo Tabuchi (Saga University, Saga, Japan; ref. 30). LN235 cell lines were kindly provided by Dr. Toshikoh Kohsaka (Saga University, Saga, Japan; ref. 30) and were cultured in Dulbecco's Modified Essential Medium (DMEM; Wako) supplemented with 10% FBS. Cell line authentication was not carried out by the authors within the last 6 months.

Reagents

STAT3 inhibitor III WP1066 (Santa Cruz Biotechnology), STAT3 inhibitor IV Static (Santa Cruz Biotechnology), STAT3 inhibitor VI NSC 74859 (Calbiochem), Janus—activated kinase (JAK) inhibitor I (Calbiochem), MET inhibitor PHA 665752 (Santa Cruz Biotechnology), and Src-family kinase inhibitor dasatinib (LC Laboratories) were used following the manufacturer's instruction.

STAT3 phosphorylation by IL-6 stimulation

Glioma cells were cultured in DMEM supplemented with 10% FBS for 24 hours. The cells were treated with 10 ng/mL of interleukin (IL)-6 (Sigma) for 24 hours.

Preparation of retrovirus and establishment of stable cell line

For retrovirus production, the pCX4 vector system was used (33, 34). The complete sequences of pCX4pur (puromycin) is available from the GenBank database (AB086386). Full-length cDNAs for human MGMT and IL-6 were subcloned into pCX4pur. Retroviruses were obtained by using 293T cells as packaging cells and were infected to GBM cell lines and selected with puromycin (2 µg/mL).

Preparation of shRNA stably introduced cell lines by lentivirus system

For targeting STAT3, 3 sequences were designed by the BLOCK-It RNAi Designer (Invitrogen). The preparation of lentiviral vectors expressing human STAT3 short hairpin RNA (shRNA) was carried out using the BLOCK-It Lentiviral RNAi Expression System (catalog no. K4934-00; Invitrogen), following the manufacturer's instruction. Briefly, 3 pLent6.4./shSTAT3 expression vectors containing the human STAT3 shRNA-expressing cassette were constructed. Replication-incompetent lentivirus was produced by cotransfection of the pLent6.4./shSTAT3 expression vector and ViraPower Packaging Mix (Invitrogen) containing an optimized mixture of 3 packaging plasmids: pLP1, pLP2, and pLP/VSVG into 293FT cells with Lipofectamine 2000 (Invitrogen). Viral supernatant was harvested 48 hours after transfection, filtered through a 0.45-µm cellulose acetate filter, and frozen at −80°C. The lentivirus-only containing pLent6.4./U6 mock vector was used as control. STAT3 shRNA lentiviral construct and control lentiviral constructs were introduced into glioblastoma cell lines, followed by selection with blasticidin S (5 µg/mL).

Bromodeoxyuridine assays

BrdU Labeling ELISA Kit (Roche Molecular Biochemicals) was used to examine the effects of temozolomide on the proliferation of glioma cell lines. Bromodeoxyuridine (BrdUrd) is a thymidine nucleotide analogue that is incorporated during S-phase (instead of thymidine) only in the DNA of proliferating cells. Cells were plated in 96-well plates at a density of 2,500 cells per well and were incubated with DMEM supplemented with 10% FBS in the presence or absence of temozolomide for 5 days. The rate of BrdUrd incorporation was measured by ELISA technique, and the percentage of cells in S-phase was quantitated by colorimetric evaluation (λ = 450 nm). The absorbance of cells treated with 10% FBS without temozolomide was assumed to be 100%, and temozolomide-induced decreases in S-phase were calculated as a percentage of the control FBS–treated cells. Each sample was
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carried out in triplicate, and the entire experiment was repeated twice.

**MTT assay**

MTT assay was used to determine cell viability following the manufacturer’s protocols (Cayman Chemical Company). Cells were plated in 96-well plates at a density of 2,500 cells per well. The cells were cultured in 100 μL of the medium with indicated concentration of temozolomide. After 5 days, 10 μL of MTT solution (5 mg/mL) was added to each well, and the plates were incubated at 37°C for 4 hours. Then, the media was removed and 100 μL of crystal dissolving solution was added to each well to solubilize the formazan crystals. The absorbance of the plates was measured on a microplate reader (Bio-Rad) at a wavelength of 570 nm. Each sample was carried out in triplicate, and the entire experiment was repeated twice.

**Clonogenic assay**

Clonogenic survival assays were conducted by seeding 500 cells in 6-well plates and exposing them to temozolomide (10–1,000 μmol/L) for 48 hours, followed by further observation for 7 to 14 days. Cell density or colonies were assessed with crystal violet staining. Colonies of more than 50 cells were counted. In some clonogenic survival assays, the cells were cotreated with STAT3 inhibitor VI.

**Transwell migration assay**

Chemotactic migration was quantified by a Boyden chamber Transwell assay (8-μm pore size; Corning Costar) using uncoated filters. A total of 5 × 10⁴ cells were trypsinized and introduced into the upper chamber. After incubation for 4 hours, using a 10% serum stimulus in the lower chamber, the remaining cells were removed from the upper side of the membrane by wiping, and the cells that had passed through to the lower side of the insert were fixed with 100% methanol and stained with 0.04% crystal violet. The number of cells that had migrated was then quantified by counting those in 5 random fields (×20) of each membrane. Experiments were done in triplicate.

**Immunoblotting**

Immunoblotting was carried out by the method described elsewhere. Cells were lysed with buffer containing 0.5% NP-40, 10 mmol/L Tris-HCl (pH 7.4), 150 mmol/L NaCl, 1 mmol/L EDTA, 50 mmol/L NaF, 1 mmol/L PMPS, and 1 mmol/L Na₃VO₄. Proteins were subjected to SDS-PAGE, and separated proteins were transferred to a polyvinylidene difluoride filter (Immobilon-P; Millipore). Filters were probed with antibodies obtained from the following sources: anti-STAT3 monoclonal antibodies (mAAb; Cell Signaling Technology); anti-MGMT (MT3.1) mAAb and anti-actin mAAb (Chemicon); anti-phospho-STAT3 (Tyr705) polyclonal antibody (Cell Signaling Technology). Bound antibodies were detected with peroxidase-labeled goat antibody to rabbit IgG or goat antibody to rabbit IgG, and visualized by enhanced chemiluminescence reagents (Amersham Pharmacia Biotech).

**Reverse transcriptase PCR analysis**

Total RNA was isolated from cells with TRI Reagent (Sigma) and resuspended in RNA secure resuspension solution (Ambion). Reverse transcription was carried out with Superscript II RT (Invitrogen). Resulting first-strand cDNA was used as a template and amplified by PCR using KOD-Plus DNA polymerase (Toyobo). The following primer sets were used. For STAT3, 5’-CAC GAG ACT GAG CAT-3’ (sense) and 5’-GCC AGA CCC AGA AGG AGA GCC-3’ (antisense); for MGMT, 5’-ATG GAC AAG GAT TGT GAA-3’ (sense) and 5’-GTT TCG GCC AGC AGG-3’ (antisense); for IL-6, 5’-TCC AAT GAG GAG ACT TGC CTG-3’ (sense) and 5’-ACA ACA AAT TCA GGT GCC GCT-3’ (antisense); for glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase (GAPDH), 5’-TGG ACC ACA GTG CAT GC-3’ (sense) and 5’-TGA CTC CTT GTA GGA GGC GT-3’ (antisense).

**Immunohistochemical analysis**

Formalin-fixed paraffin-embedded tissues were sectioned and stained using anti-MGMT (MT3.1; Chemicon) and anti-phospho-STAT3 (Tyr705) polyclonal antibody (Cell Signaling Technology). Scoring was as follows: 0 (negative), 1+ (10%–25% of cells weakly positive), 2+ (>25% of cells weakly positive or <10%–25% of cells strongly positive), and 3+ (>25% of cells strongly positive).

**Results**

**Establishment of temozolomide-resistant U87 GBM cell line**

First, the expression levels of MGMT and temozolomide sensitivity in 7 GBM cell lines were investigated, and 3 of them T98G, U138, and LN382, were found to highly express MGMT than the other 4 cell lines KMG4, LN308, LN235, and U87 (Fig. 1B, Supplementary Fig. S1). To identify the responsible molecules for temozolomide resistance, comparatively temozolomide-sensitive U87 cell line was treated with a low dose of temozolomide in culture media for 3 weeks and established temozolomide-resistant cells designated as U87R. Half maximal inhibitory concentration (IC₅₀) for the growth inhibition of temozolomide to U87 was less than 40 μmol/L, but it was more than 150 μmol/L in U87R (Supplementary Fig. S2A). To ensure the temozolomide resistance of U87R cells, we used clonogenic survival assay (35), and found that the IC₅₀ value of U87 was less than 10 μmol/L and that of U87R was more than 400 μmol/L, suggesting that temozolomide sensitivity was altered more than 40-fold decrease in resistant cells (Supplementary Fig. S2B). According to the increase of
resistance, protein levels of MGMT were elevated in U87R cells (Fig. 1C).

As U87R seemed to exhibit morphologic change as cuboidal cells (Fig. 1D), we examined biologic phenotype such as migration by Transwell assay, and found that after 4 hours, increased number of U87R cells (1.38-fold increase) passed the filter compared with U87 cells (Fig. 1E). We also investigated the expression of several cytokines, which are previously reported to promote invasion or angiogenesis in glioma (36, 37). The expression of IL-2, IL-6, and IL-10 were upregulated in U87R cells compared with U87 cells (Fig. 1F). These results suggested that U87R cells possess the mesenchymal signature of GBM. Therefore, we further investigated the expression levels of STAT3, C/EBP, bHLH-B2, RUNX1, FOSL2, and ZNF238, which were reported to regulate the mesenchymal signature of GBM (24), and among them the mRNA level of STAT3 was found to be dominantly
upregulated in U87R cells. Small increase of RUNX1 and almost no significant alteration of expression of others were observed (Fig. 1G).

**STAT3 inhibitor downregulated MGMT expression in GBM cell lines**

As concomitant upregulation of STAT3 with MGMT was observed with acquisition of temozolomide resistance in U87 cells, we investigated whether STAT3 regulates MGMT expression in GBM. STAT3 inhibitor VI, NSC 74859, reduced MGMT levels in a dose-dependent manner in T98G and U87R cells (Fig. 2A). The efficacy of STAT3 inhibitor was validated by the reduction of phosphorylated levels of STAT3 (Fig. 2A). Furthermore, time-dependent suppression and recovery of MGMT levels by STAT3 inhibitor VI were also showed (Fig. 2B). We also examined effect of other STAT3 inhibitors such as inhibitor III and inhibitor V; however, these inhibitors were cytotoxic and specific inhibition of STAT3 was not observed (data not shown).
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**Figure 2.** STAT3 inhibition downregulated MGMT expression in GBM cell lines. A, immunoblot analysis of MGMT in T98G and U87R treated with STAT3 inhibitor VI (top). Dose of the STAT3 inhibitor is indicated at the top. The level of p-STAT3 and STAT3 were also evaluated (second and third from the top). Actin is shown as a loading control (bottom). B, immunoblot analysis of MGMT in T98G treated with 200 μmol/L of STAT3 inhibitor VI. Duration of the treatment is indicated at the top as 0 to 48 hours. Medium change indicated removal of STAT3 inhibitor. The level of p-STAT3 was also evaluated (middle). Actin is shown as a loading control (bottom). C, immunoblot analysis of MGMT and STAT3 in T98G, U87R, and U138 expressing STAT3 shRNA as S3sh1, S3sh2, and S3sh3 (top and middle). Cont indicates negative control. Actin is shown as a loading control (bottom). D, immunoblot analysis of MGMT (top) and p-STAT3 (middle) in T98G treated with JAK inhibitor I. Dose of the JAK inhibitor I is indicated at the top. Actin is shown as a loading control (bottom).
To exclude the possible but unpredictable effect of STAT3 inhibitor VI rather than STAT3 inactivation on the reduction of MGMT level, we used shRNA for STAT3 by using lentiviral vector system and found that depletion of STAT3 diminished the expression of MGMT in representative GBM cell lines as T98G, U87R, and U138 cells (Fig. 2C). For further confirmation of the involvement of STAT3, we examined the effect of JAK inhibitor which is upstream of STAT3, together with the inhibitors for Src-family kinase (dasatinib), MET (PHA665752), and MEK (U0126), and among them only JAK inhibitor could inhibit phosphorylation of STAT3 and decreased the expression of MGMT (Fig. 2D, Supplementary Fig. S3).

Posttranscriptional regulation of MGMT expression by STAT3

To investigate whether STAT3 regulates MGMT transcription, we examined the mRNA levels of MGMT after treatment of STAT3 inhibitor in T98G cells. mRNA levels of MGMT were unchanged by the treatment of STAT3 inhibitor VI in T98G cells (Fig. 3A). As STAT3 is one of the downstream effectors of IL-6 (38, 39), we investigated whether IL-6 stimulation could activate STAT3 and induce MGMT expression in GBM cells. While IL-6 successfully phosphorylated STAT3, induction of MGMT could not be observed in any GBM cell line (Fig. 3B). mRNA expression levels of MGMT was mildly increased by IL-6 overexpression in KMG4 cells whereas IL-6 over-expression did not increase the level of MGMT in U138 cells (Fig. 3B).

As transcriptional regulation did not seem to be involved in STAT3-dependent MGMT expression, involvement of the protein degradation system was analyzed and partial recovery of MGMT was observed in T98G treated by proteasome inhibitor, MG132 (Fig. 3C). To confirm nontranscriptional role for STAT3-dependent expression of MGMT, we investigated whether ectopically expressed MGMT could be downregulated by STAT3.
inhibitor, and observed the reduction of MGMT protein levels in LN308 cells stably expressing MGMT after STAT3 inhibitor VI treatment (Fig. 3D).

**Correlation between p-STAT3 and MGMT in malignant glioma by immunohistochemical analysis**

To investigate the presence of STAT3-dependent regulation of the levels of MGMT, immunohistochemical analysis was conducted by using 44 surgical specimens of human malignant glioma (Supplementary Table S1). Positivities of MGMT and p-STAT3 were evaluated as 0 to 3+ (Fig. 4A), and significant correlation between the score of p-STAT3 and MGMT was showed (Fig. 4B). Proliferation marker KI-67/MIB-1 index did not significantly correlate with MGMT levels (Supplementary Table S2). No specific localization of positive tumor cells was observed, in terms of the tumor cells in the perivascular region, leading edge of the lesion, or vicinity of pseudopalisading necrosis. As we have previously reported that lymphocytes, vascular endothelial cells, and macrophages/microglia exhibited positivity of MGMT (13), and it was also reported that p-STAT3 staining was confined to the nucleus and positive for lymphocytes and vascular endothelial cells (40), we carefully scored MGMT and p-STAT3 of tumors excluding nonneoplastic brain components.

Figure 4. Correlation between p-STAT3 and MGMT in immunohistochemical analysis in malignant glioma. A, representative photographs of immunohistochemical analysis for p-STAT3 and MGMT in surgical specimens of malignant glioma as score 0 to 3+ (left). Each scoring was determined by combination of both intensity and proportion of immunohistochemical positivity schematically showed (right), and also described in Materials and Methods. B, correlation between p-STAT3 and MGMT in 44 cases of malignant glioma specimens. The x- and y-axes indicate score of positivity of p-STAT3 and MGMT, respectively. The z-axes indicates the number of cases. n = 44, correlation coefficient r = 0.58, P < 0.001. C, immunohistochemical analysis of 12 cases of recurrent malignant glioma. The score of MGMT and p-STAT3 in primary or recurrent tumor was shown as graph. Photographs of p-STAT3 and MGMT in the representative case (no. #7) in which primary tumor with no p-STAT3 and recurrent tumor with p-STAT3 positivity together with MGMT expression are shown.
To ensure clinical significance, we analyzed the 12 sets of malignant glioma as primary and recurrent tumors of identical cases. These primary tumors were surgically resected, temozolomide treatment was carried out, and later on, recurrent tumors appeared and they were surgically removed (Supplementary Table S3). In these sets, the scores of both MGMT and p-STAT3 were increased in the recurrent tumors (Fig. 4C).

**Discussion**

In this study, we showed a novel role for STAT3 in regulation of cellular protein levels of MGMT. STAT3 activation was found to be necessary for elevated levels of MGMT in GBM. Although STAT3 is known as a transcriptional factor, STAT3-mediated regulation of MGMT did not depend on its transcriptional activity. Recently, it has been reported that active STAT3 is involved in protein stabilization through inhibition of the ubiquitylation of the target molecule (41), and consistent with this report, we observed that MGMT downregulation by STAT3 inhibitor was recovered partially by the proteasome inhibitor MG132 treatment. However, it should be noted that ubiquitylated levels of MGMT were unchanged after STAT3 inhibitor treatment (data not shown). Complex formation of p-STAT3 and MGMT was not detectable by immunoprecipitation in regular cell lysis condition (data not shown). Therefore, other than protein degradation such as translational regulation of MGMT might be regulated by STAT3. Especially, recent advance in research for miRNA (miR) raised the possibility that STAT3 may regulate miRNAs which inhibit translation of MGMT. It has been reported that STAT3-regulated miR-17 played a critical role in MAP–ERK kinase (MEK) inhibitor resistance (42), as well as that STAT3 directly increased the levels of miR-21 and miR-181b-1 (43). Currently only correlation of some specific miRNAs such as miR-21 or miR-195 and temozolomide resistance were reported (44, 45). We should address whether miRNAs are involved in the regulation of MGMT in the future.

In MGMT-overexpressed GBM cells, the increased levels of IL-6 and enhanced phosphorylation of STAT3 were observed (Fig. 3B and D). It was reported that IL-6 increased the expression of MGMT by induction of the methylation of MGMT promoter in glioblastoma (46). Thus, overexpressed MGMT induces IL-6 possibly to decrease the MGMT levels as negative feedback mechanism to maintain constant MGMT levels in the cell. As a result, STAT3 is phosphorylated by IL-6. Further analysis should be required for the mechanism of MGMT-induced IL-6 expression.

For the relation between STAT3 status and representative molecular abnormalities for glioma such as amplification/mutation of EGFR, PDGFR, or PTEN, it was previously reported that constitutive active STAT3 frequently coexpressed with EGFR in high-grade gliomas (26). In this article, we used T98G and U87 cells and EGFR status is wild-type in U87 cells and the expression level of EGFR is known to be amplified and STAT3 was highly expressed (47). In case of PTEN, both U87 and T98G have been shown to be mutated (48). Downregulation of STAT3 by PDGFR inhibitor was reported in mesangium cells in kidney (49), but there is no report for the correlation of PTEN/PDGFR and phosphorylation status of STAT3.

To ensure the biologic and clinical significance of possible STAT3-mediated regulation of MGMT, correlation between the elevated levels of MGMT and p-STAT3 was shown by using human malignant glioma specimens. Cellular proliferative marker KI-67 index was not correlated to MGMT or p-STAT3, thus enhancement of cell cycle is not essential factor for MGMT resistance. Although the double staining of MGMT and p-STAT3 is not technically available, the distributions of positivities of MGMT and p-STAT3 were carefully analyzed by using serial sections of the human GBM specimens. Further clinical significance of our data is shown by the increase of the levels of both MGMT and p-STAT3 observed in recurrent tumor comparing to the primary GBM of identical patients. To date, it was reported that targeting STAT3/JAK2 sensitizes GBM to treatment of temozolomide with unknown mechanism (26). In this study, STAT3 seems to regulate MGMT posttranscriptionally, thus it is...
strongly suggested that STAT3 is a therapeutic target for temozolomide resistance in GBM.

For the evaluation of possible combination therapy for temozolomide-resistant GBM with temozolomide and STAT3 inhibitor, we examined the efficacy of several STAT3 inhibitors and found that STAT3 inhibitor VI potentiated temozolomide efficacy in temozolomide-resistant glioma cell lines in vitro. In this study, we established temozolomide-resistant cell line by using U87 which is relatively sensitive for temozolomide. Considering the clinical condition, the maximum plasma concentration of the patients administrated temozolomide with daily dose of 150 mg/m², was 8.22 µg/mL (42 µmol/L; ref. 50). The concentration of temozolomide in

Figure 5. STAT3 inhibitor and STAT3 knockdown potentiate temozolomide (TMZ) efficacy in temozolomide-resistant glioma cell lines. A, MTT assay of GBM treated by STAT3 inhibitor. T98G and U87R cells were cultured in the presence or absence of indicated concentration of STAT3 inhibitor and temozolomide for 5 days. Error bars represent SD of 3 independent experiments. *, P < 0.01 versus temozolomide (-); #, P < 0.01 versus temozolomide 100 µmol/L; †, P < 0.01 versus temozolomide 150 µmol/L. B, clonogenic assay of GBM treated by STAT3 inhibitor. Five hundred cells of T98G and U87R were seeded in 6-well plates and exposed to temozolomide (0–200 µmol/L) and STAT3 inhibitor VI (0–200 µmol/L) for 48 hours. After 7 days, colonies of more than 50 cells were counted. Error bars represent SD of 3 independent experiments. *, P < 0.01 versus temozolomide (-); †, P < 0.01 versus temozolomide 100 µmol/L. C, MTT assay of GBM in which MGMT was suppressed by shRNA. U138 and U138-shSTAT3 cells were cultured in the presence or absence of indicated concentrations of temozolomide for 5 days. Error bars represent SD of 3 independent experiments. *, P < 0.01 versus U138 control. NS, not significant.
this experiment was approximately 40 to 150 μmol/L, the alteration of the sensitivity to temozolomide of U87 and U87R might closely mimic the clinical concentrations. Comparing the several genes expression between U87 and U87R, we discovered upregulation of STAT3 expression in U87R.

We also observed that JAK inhibitor I downregulated the expression of MGMT. However, STAT3 phosphorylation and MGMT expression did not completely synchronize compared with STAT3 inhibitor VI. This might be because JAK inhibitor I also inhibited Jak1, Jak2, Jak3 and Tyk2 and blocked STAT5 (51), and these off-target effects possibly inhibited the decrease of MGMT.

In this study, we could not conclude that combination of temozolomide and STAT3 inhibitor VI was effective for the regression of GBM in vivo. In fact, even after STAT3 inhibitor treatment, neither inhibition of p-STAT3 nor MGMT was observed in mice xenograft (data not shown). One possible explanation why the STAT3 inhibitor did not function in vivo is the quick metabolism of STAT3 inhibitor VI in vivo. In addition, considering the short half-life of temozolomide in vivo as about 2 hours (52, 53), continuous supply of inhibitors for both temozolomide and STAT3 should be required for the appropriate evaluation of this combination therapy in vivo.

It has been reported that MGMT expression was suppressed by IFN-β through the inactivation of transcriptional activity of p53 and suppressed the promoter of MGMT (54, 55). As our findings suggested the possible posttranscriptional suppression of MGMT protein levels by STAT3 inhibitor, one might hypothesized that combination of both IFN-β and STAT3 inhibitor might further potentiate temozolomide efficacy hopefully applied to GBM cases in the future.
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