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Abstract

HER2 amplification is found in more than 15% of gastric cancers and is associated with poor clinical outcome. Lapatinib, a dual HER2 and epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) tyrosine kinase inhibitor, has shown promising in vitro results in treating HER2⁺ cancer cells. However, several studies have shown that activation of alternative receptor tyrosine kinases can mediate resistance to HER-targeted therapy. Here, we investigated whether activated MET can confer resistance to lapatinib inhibition of gastric cancer cells. A panel of gastric cancer cell lines was treated with lapatinib, and we observed that cell proliferation was reduced by 70% and that the degree of HER2 amplification corresponds to sensitivity to lapatinib. Immunoblotting analysis indicated that phosphorylation of HER2, EGFR, MET, AKT, and extracellular signal-regulated kinase was inhibited by lapatinib and presumably led to cell-cycle arrest as observed with flow cytometry. Hepatocyte growth factor (HGF) activation of MET receptors rescued cells from lapatinib-induced growth inhibition by restimulating the downstream pathways and restoring normal cell-cycle progression. This rescue effect could be abrogated by inhibiting MET with PHA-665752 (a highly specific MET inhibitor) or downregulating MET expression with short interfering RNA. No synergy in growth inhibition was observed when cells were treated with a combination of lapatinib and PHA-665752. Repeat studies using insulin-like growth factor 1 and fibroblast growth factor 3 could not uniformly rescue the lapatinib-treated gastric cancer cells. In conclusion, HGF/MET–mediated resistance to lapatinib is a novel mechanism of resistance to HER2-targeted agents in gastric cancer cells. Development of inhibitors targeting multiple receptors or common downstream signaling proteins merits further investigation.
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Introduction

Gastric cancer is the fourth most commonly diagnosed cancer and the second most frequent cause of cancer-related deaths worldwide. Approximately, 989,600 new cases were reported in 2008, with the highest incidence rates in Asia (1). Patients with gastric cancer usually present at a late stage and prognosis is poor: in patients with operable disease, median 5-year survival rate is about 36%, but in patients with advanced or metastatic disease median 5-year survival rate is only 5% to 20%, with a median overall survival of about 1 year (2). Poor patient survival, and lack of a standardized chemotherapy regimen, have prompted interest in the development of targeted therapies for gastric cancer (3, 4). HER2/neu, a member of the human epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) family, has attracted particular attention as a potential target because it is amplified and/or overexpressed in 7% to 35% of invasive gastric cancers, and high levels of HER2 are associated with worse clinical outcome (5–9). HER2 is a transmembrane receptor tyrosine kinase (RTK) activated through dimerization with members of the EGFR family, leading to a cascade of events involving the downstream signal transduction of Ras/Raf/mitogen-activated protein kinase (MAPK) and phosphatidylinositol-3-kinase/AKT/mTOR pathways. These signaling cascades initiate the rapid cell growth, differentiation, survival, and migration associated with HER2⁺ cancer cells (10–13). Overexpression of HER2 leads to tumor progression by deregulating cell proliferation and apoptosis through enhanced and prolonged signaling of the involved pathways.

Lapatinib (Tykerb, GlaxoSmithKline) is a dual tyrosine kinase inhibitor (TKI) that inhibits phosphorylation of both HER2 and EGFR, thereby interrupting the downstream signaling pathways such as MAPK and AKT (14–16). Early clinical studies with anti-HER2 therapy such as lapatinib have shown promising results; however, many of the patients who initially responded...
eventually developed resistance. One of the possible mechanisms of resistance development is the activation of an alternate RTK that restores the signaling pathways (17–19).

MET, a member of the RTK family, is frequently amplified and/or overexpressed in gastric cancer (6, 20–23). MET receptor’s only known ligand is the hepatocyte growth factor (HGF), which activates MET upon binding and triggers the signaling of MAPK and AKT, common downstream targets of the EGFR family. Studies by Engelman and others of lung, breast, and colon cancer cells have shown that activations of MET can lessen the inhibitory effects of drugs designed specifically to target members of the EGFR family (24–26). Importantly, a study involving non–small cell lung cancer has shown that MET abrogates the sensitivity of these cells to an analogue of lapatinib (27). Furthermore, our laboratory and others have shown that the reverse, wherein HER kinase activation confers resistance to MET inhibition, is true for some gastric cancer cells (28, 29).

In this study, we show that activated MET can mediate resistance to lapatinib inhibition in HER2-amplified gastric cancer cell lines with MET coexpression. We also show that inhibition of MET can abrogate the rescue effects and restore growth inhibition of gastric cancer cells. Our data provide a strong rationale for targeting multiple RTKs with a broad inhibitor or developing a drug that targets common downstream signaling proteins.

Materials and Methods

Cell lines

Human gastric cancer cell lines NCI-N87 and SNU-16 were purchased from American Type Culture Collection. SNU-216 gastric cancer cells were obtained from Korean Cell Line Bank. NCI-N87, SNU-16, and SNU-216 were passaged for fewer than 6 months and their identities were authenticated by short tandem repeat analyses by the respective cell banks. The GTL-16 cell line was a gift from Dr. Silvia Giordano of the Institute for Cancer Research and Treatment at the Torino School of Medicine (Turin, Italy). DiFi, a human colorectal cancer cell line, was provided by Dr. Josè Baselga of the Vall d’Hebron University Hospital (Barcelona, Spain). Both GTL-16 and DiFi were passaged for fewer than 6 months and their identities were not confirmed by this laboratory when they were received for fewer than 6 months and their identities were not confirmed by this laboratory when they were received from the respective donors. NCI-N87 cells were grown in RPMI-1640, SNU-216 were grown in RPMI-1640 + 25 mmol/L HEPES + 25 mmol/L sodium bicarbonate, and SNU-16 were grown in RPMI-1640 + 2 mmol/L L-glutamine + 10 mmol/L HEPES + 1 mmol/L sodium pyruvate + 4.5 g/L glucose. GTL-16 cells were cultured in Dulbecco’s Modified Eagle’s Medium (DMEM) + high glucose. DiFi cells were grown in DMEM + high glucose supplemented by Ham’s F-12. All media were supplemented with 10% fetal calf serum maintained at 37°C in a humidified atmosphere containing 5% CO2.

Chemicals and growth factors

Lapatinib was purchased from GlaxoSmithKline. PHA-665752 was provided by Pfizer Global Research and Development. Chemical structures of lapatinib and PHA-665752 are shown in Fig. 1A. Human fibroblast growth factor 3 (FGF-3), HGF, and insulin-like growth factor 1 (IGF-1) were purchased from R&D Systems Inc.

Quantitative PCR for analysis of gene genomic amplification

Primers and probes for MET, HER2, EGFR, and the single-copy reference gene RNase P were obtained from Applied Biosystems. Primer and probe sequence for MET were (5’–3’): forward GGAGGAAAGTCTTTTCATC-TGTA, reverse GCAATGGATGATCGGAAATAT- GAAGAAT, and FAM-CGGTTTCAACTTC. Primer and probe sequence for HER2 were (5’–3’): forward CCGTGAGAAAGATCTACAGATT, reverse TGGC-TGGTCTGATCTCTT, and FAM-CTCGACTCGT- TGTCC. Primer and probe sequences for EGFR were (5’–3’): forward TTGGGAAACCTGAGATCATCAGA, reverse AGTCGGTTTTATTTTGATCATAGTTAGA and FAM-AAATATATCACGAAAATTC. QuantitativePCR assay of genomic DNAs was conducted as previously described (28).

Western blot

Cells were treated with/without growth factors and/or inhibitors in serum-supplemented (10% fetal calf serum) medium. After removal of growth medium, the tissue culture flasks were placed on ice and the cells washed twice with ice-cold Tris-buffered saline (TBS). Cells were then scraped off and placed in ice-cold RIPA lysis buffer (Millipore Corp.) containing protease and phosphatase inhibitor cocktails (Thermo Fisher Scientific). After being shaken for 15 minutes at 4°C, the cells were centrifuged at 20,000 × g for 15 minutes and the lysate stored at −80°C until further use. For Western blotting, equal amounts of protein (50 μg) were boiled in Laemmli buffer for 5 minutes, resolved by 10% SDS-PAGE (Invitrogen Corp.), and electrophoretically transferred onto a polyvinylidene difluoride membrane (Bio-Rad Laboratories). After blocking nonspecific binding sites with 5% nonfat dry milk in TBS + 0.05% Tween 20 (TBS-T), the membrane was incubated with the respective antibodies overnight at 4°C. After 3 washes with TBS-T, the membrane was incubated for 1 hour at room temperature with a horseradish peroxidase–HRP–linked secondary antibody, followed by several washes with TBS-T. The immunocomplexes were visualized with the ECL Plus Western Blotting detection system (GE Healthcare).

Antibodies

EGFR, MET, FGF receptor 1, FGF receptor 2, IGF 1 receptor (IGF-1R), and secondary goat antimouse immunoglobulin G (IgG) HRP antibodies were purchased from Santa Cruz Biotechnology Inc. Antibodies against AKT, phospho-AKT (Ser473), phospho-EGFR (Tyr1068),
phospho-HER2 (Tyr1221/1222), p44/42 MAPK, and phospho-p44/42 MAPK (Thr202 and Tyr204) phospho-MET (Tyr1234/1235) were purchased from Cell Signaling Technology Inc. Anti-HER2 was obtained from LabVision Corp. Anti-α-tubulin was obtained from Calbiochem. Secondary donkey antirabbit IgG HRP was purchased from GE Healthcare.

Cell proliferation assay
Cellular proliferation was measured by a commercially available bromo-deoxyuridine (BrdUrd) cell proliferation assay kit (Roche). Briefly, the cells were seeded in sextuples in flat-bottomed 96-well plates at 3,000 to 5,000 cells per well and were allowed to adhere for 24 hours. Thereafter, the cells were treated for 24 hours, as indicated. After incubation with BrdUrd labeling reagent for 2 hours, the cells were fixed, and BrdUrd incorporation into newly synthesized DNA was assessed by incubation with an anti-BrdUrd peroxidase-conjugated antibody for 90 minutes, followed by addition of substrate solution and colorimetric detection at 370 and 492 nm, respectively. 

Cell-cycle analysis
Cells were seeded in 100 mm dishes at a density of $5 \times 10^5$ per dish. Twenty-four hours later, the cells were treated with inhibitors, growth factors, or media for 24 hours. Both adherent and floating cells were harvested and stained with ethidium bromide. Quantification for the cell-cycle distribution and the sub-G1 population was done by flow cytometric analysis.

Cleaved caspase-3 assay
Cells were treated with/without growth factors and/ or inhibitors in serum-supplemented (10% fetal calf serum) medium for 12 hours. Lysates were prepared in the same buffer used for Western blotting. One hundred micrograms of protein lysates were used for the PathScan cleaved caspase-3 sandwich ELISA (Cell Signaling Technology Inc.), following the manufacturer’s instructions. In brief, extracts were mixed with IC₅₀ values were calculated by the GraphPad Prism version 5.0 software (GraphPad Software Inc.).
sample diluent and incubated in antibody-coated microwell strips. One hundred microliters of cleaved caspase-3 detection antibodies was added to each well. Binding was detected with 100 μL of HRP-linked streptavidin antibody and 100 μL of TMB substrate solution. The colored reaction product was measured in a microplate reader at 450 nm.

**Statistical analysis**

The statistical significance of differences was analyzed by 1-way ANOVA. In cases in which the P values for the overall comparisons were less than 0.05, post hoc pairwise comparisons were done with the Neuman-Keuls Multiple comparison test. Statistical analyses were completed using GraphPad Prism version 5.0 software.

**Short interfering RNA**

For short interfering RNA (siRNA) experiments, cells were seeded in sextuples in 96-well plates at 5,000 cells per well in antibiotic-free complete medium and allowed to adhere for 24 hours at 37°C. Thereafter, the cells were transfected with Dharmacon siGenome ON-TARGET plus human MET (sense 5'-GAAUGGUCCGGAGAUAUUU-3', antisense 5'-AUUCCGGACACCAUGUCU-3') siRNA or nontargeting siRNA (#3) according to the manufacturer's instructions (Dharmacon Inc.). After 24 hours, the transfection medium was removed and the cells were treated as indicated. Cell proliferation was determined as outlined above after 24 hours of incubation.

**Real-time PCR**

Taqman Gene Expression Assays for MET and 18s rRNA were purchased from Applied Biosystems. Gene expression was measured with the ABI Prism 7900HT Sequence Detection System from Applied Biosystems. Real-time PCR of cDNA specimens was conducted as previously described (28).

**Results**

**HER2 amplified cells are sensitive to lapatinib inhibition**

The gastric cancer cell lines selected for this study, NCI-N87, SNU-216, and SNU-16, displayed varying degrees of HER2 and EGFR gene amplification and protein expression as determined by quantitative PCR and Western blot (Fig. 1B). The NCI-N87 line was highly amplified for the HER2 gene, the SNU-216 line moderately amplified, and the control cell line SNU-16 was not HER2 amplified. The degree of HER2 amplification in NCI-N87 and SNU-216 also corresponds to overexpression of HER2 proteins in these cells. EGFR gene copy number did not differ significantly between the 3 gastric cancer cell lines, although there was significant EGFR expression in NCI-N87 compared with SNU-216 and SNU-16.

To determine the sensitivity of the 3 gastric cancer cell lines to a TKI targeting both HER2 and EGFR, each cell line was exposed to increasing dosages of lapatinib, to measure its effects on cell proliferation (Fig. 1C). NCI-N87, showing the most HER2 amplification of the 3 cell lines tested, displayed a 70% growth inhibition at the lowest concentration of lapatinib when compared with SNU-216 and SNU-16. In contrast, SNU-216, with a modest amplification of HER2, required more than 5-fold the concentration of lapatinib used in NCI-N87 to achieve the same degree of growth inhibition. SNU-16, the control cell line with no HER2 amplification, was, of the 3 gastric cancer cell lines, the least sensitive to the effects of lapatinib, except at high concentrations.

**Activation of MET RTK by HGF can rescue HER2+ gastric cancer cells from lapatinib inhibition**

Figure 2A shows that MET was not amplified in NCI-N87, SNU-216, and SNU-16, and that all 3 gastric cancer cell lines expressed the MET receptor. To determine whether MET activation can rescue the HER2-amplified gastric cancer cell lines from lapatinib-induced growth inhibition, NCI-N87 and SNU-216 were treated with lapatinib and increasing concentrations of the MET ligand HGF for 24 hours. The results showed that, at concentrations of 50 ng/mL (NCI-N87) and 25 ng/mL (SNU-216) HGF, the growth inhibitory effects of lapatinib were reversed (Fig. 2B).

We then assessed other growth factors for their ability to rescue gastric cancer cells from lapatinib. Receptors for FGF-3 and IGF-1 are present on NCI-N87 and SNU-216 (Supplementary Fig. 5A), and cell proliferation assays with these growth factors (50 ng/mL for NCI-N87 and 25 ng/mL for SNU-216) and lapatinib (0.1 μM for NCI-N87 and 1 μM for SNU-216) were then carried out. As seen in Fig. 2C, only HGF showed a significant abrogation of lapatinib inhibition in NCI-N87, whereas both FGF-3 and HGF were able to rescue inhibited SNU-216. A 2-fold increase in the concentrations of FGF-3 and IGF-1 did not alter the results (Supplementary Fig. 5B). Addition of FGF-3 to lapatinib-treated gastric cancer cells could not restore MAPK signaling to the same degree as HGF while IGF-1 has no noticeable effects on the phosphorylation of MAPK (Supplementary Fig. 5C). IGF-1 and FGF-3 could not restore AKT phosphorylation in NCI-N87 as effectively as HGF, and both FGF-3 and IGF-1 modestly restore AKT phosphorylation in SNU-216.

**MET confers resistance to lapatinib inhibition by restoring MAPK and AKT signaling**

HER2, EGFR, and MET receptors, as well as MAPK and AKT, were dephosphorylated in lapatinib-treated gastric cancer cells (Fig. 3A). The degradation of the MAPK signaling pathway presumably induced growth inhibition in both gastric cancer cell lines via G1 cell-cycle arrest, shown by an increased proportion of cells in the G1 phase as measured by flow cytometry (Figs. 3B and 4A). Correspondingly, the percentage of cells in S phase decreased from 21% to 6% in NCI-N87 cells and from 35% to 20% in SNU-216. We observed an increase in the number of cells...
in the sub-G1 population and cleaved caspase-3 proteins, indicating that lapatinib induced apoptosis in NCI-N87 as well (Figs. 4B and C). The addition of HGF to lapatinib-treated gastric cancer cells phosphorylated MET RTK and restored MAPK and AKT signaling (Fig. 3A). The reactivated MAPK pathway may mediate the escape from lapatinib-induced growth inhibition by allowing G1-arrested NCI-N87 and SNU-216 cells to exit G1 and enter normal cell-cycle progression (Figs. 3B and 4A). The population of apoptotic cells in NCI-N87 also decreased when AKT was rephosphorylated by MET RTK (Fig. 4C).

**Addition of PHA-665752 can suppress the rescue effects of MET RTK**

NCI-N87 and SNU-216 cells were treated with a highly selective MET tyrosine kinase inhibitor, PHA-665752 and showed no significant growth inhibition (Fig. 3B). When the gastric cancer cells were treated with a combination of lapatinib, HGF, and PHA-665752, MET-mediated resistance to lapatinib inhibition was completely abrogated and growth inhibition was restored (Fig. 3B). Figure 3A shows that the addition of PHA-665752 was able to prevent activation of the MET RTK by blocking phosphorylation of the MET tyrosine kinase domain (Tyr1234/1235). Inactivation of MET, HER2, and EGFR by lapatinib and PHA-665752 were able to abolish the downstream phosphorylation of MAPK and AKT, seen in untreated and in HGF-treated cells. We noted restoration of G1 arrest in both gastric cancer cell lines, characterized by an increase in the G1 population (62% to 84% in NCI-N87 and 45% to 61% in SNU-216; Fig. 4A). Apoptotic cells also increased in NCI-N87, as shown by a return to the lapatinib-treated level (Fig. 4B and C).
RNA interference silencing of MET restores lapatinib-induced growth inhibition

To confirm that MET RTK is responsible for lapatinib resistance, both gastric cancer cell lines were transfected with either nonsilencing siRNA, MET-targeting siRNA, or no siRNA, respectively. In Fig. 5A, cells transfected with nonsilencing siRNA showed no significant decrease in MET mRNA expression compared with cells not transfected with any siRNA. In contrast, MET mRNA was significantly downregulated (~85%–90%) in both NCI-N87 and SNU-216 following transfection with MET siRNA. When both transfected groups in NCI-N87 and SNU-216 were additionally treated with lapatinib and HGF, MET-mediated resistance to lapatinib-induced growth inhibition was lost (Fig. 5B).

Discussion

Molecular therapies targeting specific RTKs responsible for cell proliferation, survival, and migration have become more attractive as therapeutic strategies in gastric cancer, in which, despite modern surgery and chemotherapy, survival remains poor (2–4). HER2 is of particular interest as a drug target because it has been shown to be amplified and/or overexpressed in a subset of gastric cancers (5–9). Knockdowns of HER2 protein expression also results in decreased cell viability in HER2+ gastric cancer cell lines and reduced tumor growth in vivo (30, 31). Lapatinib, a dual TKI that targets both HER2 and EGFR, is one of the drugs currently being assessed in clinical trials for potential use in gastric cancer therapy. Lapatinib, which has shown promising in vitro results in the treatment of HER2+ cancer cells, is already approved for the treatment of specific subsets of HER2+ breast cancer patients. However, numerous studies have revealed a particular weakness in the therapeutic strategies targeting single receptors such as HER2, wherein drug resistance can be conferred through the activation of compensatory/overlapping survival pathways (32–35).

We hypothesized that MET may confer resistance to HER2-directed therapy in gastric cancer. The MET RTK has been implicated as a mediator of resistance to therapies targeting members of the HER family of RTKs in breast, colon, and lung cancer cells (24–27). Furthermore, HER2 and MET are coexpressed in 12% of unselected gastric cancer but 24% of the intestinal subtype (36).
Our results show that the HER2⁺ gastric cancer cell lines NCI-N87 and SNU-216 are more sensitive to lapatinib-induced growth inhibition than a non-HER2 amplified cell line (SNU-16). Growth inhibition in the gastric cancer cells, induced by decreased MAPK signaling, is likely mediated through G1 cell-cycle arrest. Despite suppression of AKT signaling in both gastric cancer cell lines, we noted apoptotic events only in NCI-N87 and not in SNU-216. Kim and colleagues, who made similar discoveries, have suggested that the HER2 gene amplification ratio may be an important factor in determining susceptibility to lapatinib-induced apoptosis, and/or that there are different mechanisms of action for lapatinib in various cell lines (15).

Interestingly, we noted dephosphorylation of MET receptors in the NCI-N87 and SNU-216 lines treated with lapatinib (Fig. 3A). A similar phenomenon was also observed in a NSCLC cell line, in which an analogue of lapatinib disrupted the physical complex between MET and HER2 receptors and inhibited MET activity (27). These findings are consistent with several studies that support cross-activation between MET and EGFR receptors (24, 37, 38). In our gastric cancer cell lines, the mechanism of baseline MET phosphorylation remains unclear. We cannot clearly implicate direct cross-talk through HER2/MET heterodimers as coimmunoprecipitation experiments only showed heterodimerization of HER2 and EGFR but not MET and HER2/EGFR (data not shown; ref. 27). Other intermediaries or indirect cross-talk may be important as has been shown with the intracellular Src kinase (39, 40). Alternatively, lapatinib may have off-target effects on MET; however, this has not been shown.
in a model using A549 NSCLC cells in which MET activation is not significantly affected by treatment with lapatinib (41).

We found that MET is coexpressed with HER2 and EGFR in our gastric cancer cell lines, and HGF-mediated activation of MET can rescue NCI-N87 and SNU-216 from lapatinib-induced growth inhibition. Smaller concentrations of HGF were required to confer resistance in SNU-216 than in NCI-N87, most likely due to reduced sensitivity to lapatinib in SNU-216 (Fig. 2B). Phosphorylation of MET reversed the growth inhibitory effects of lapatinib through reactivation of the downstream signaling pathways MAPK and AKT. Presumably, the restored signaling of MAPK and AKT pathways allowed the gastric cancer cells to exit G1 arrest and to enter normal cell-cycle progression, and decreased the frequency of apoptotic events in NCI-N87.

We investigated other RTKs including IGF-R1 and FGFR because IGF-1R can promote resistance to anti-EGFR treatment and FGF-3 is amplified/overexpressed in selected gastric cancers (42, 43). Coculture of lapatinib-treated gastric cancer cell lines with IGF-1 or FGF-3 showed that only HGF significantly rescued NCI-N87 cells from lapatinib-induced growth inhibition while FGF-3 conferred resistance to lapatinib similar to HGF in SNU-216. Increasing the concentrations of FGF-3 and IGF-1 showed no significant change in proliferation of lapatinib-treated gastric cancer cell lines. Immunoblot analysis of both cell lines showed that neither FGF-3 nor IGF-1 could restore MAPK signaling as strongly as HGF. It is possible that such rescue by FGF-3 in SNU-216 is possible because of the cell line’s reduced sensitivity to lapatinib when compared with NCI-N87.

Recently, Liu and colleagues examined a panel of cancer cells with HER1/HER2 amplification coupled with MET overexpression and reported synergy in growth inhibition, when cells are treated with dual inhibitors (44). They conclude that these receptors cooperate in promoting cell proliferation and survival and that simultaneous inhibition is necessary to achieve maximal clinical effect. In our study, synergy was not observed in NCI-N87 and SNU-216 treated with dual inhibitors, despite HER2 amplification and MET overexpression (Fig. 3B). Inhibition of HER2 was sufficient to induce significant growth arrest without requiring combinatorial treatment with a MET inhibitor, suggesting that dual inhibition is not necessary until resistance develops. This indicates that genotype alone may not predict response and that lineage may be important.

Our findings are consistent with those of Engelman and colleagues, who found that “oncogenically-addicted” cells do not require dual therapy until resistance develops with activation of a compensatory RTK pathway (45). They argue that dual therapy should not be initially used to avoid increased toxicity and that intermittent, short duration multidrug therapy could prevent the development of resistance (46).

Our findings support the hypothesis that, in gastric cancers that coexpress HER2, EGFR, and MET, lapatinib-
induced growth inhibition are abrogated through the activation of MET RTK, which restores shared downstream signaling pathways such as MAPK and AKT. Importantly, PHA-665752, a highly selective MET tyrosine kinase inhibitor, prevents MET-mediated mechanisms of resistance to lapatinib inhibition. PHA-665752 resensitizes NCI-N87 and SNU-216 to the effects of lapatinib by inhibiting MET phosphorylation and suppressing MAPK and AKT signal transduction. We also confirmed our finding that downregulation of MET expression with siRNA can bypass the rescue effects of MET and restore growth inhibition of the gastric cancer cells by lapatinib. In addition, we associated degree of HER2 amplification with response to lapatinib. Further experiments showed that cells less sensitive to lapatinib-induced growth inhibition are more susceptible to the rescue effects of other growth factors. This suggests that quantitative analysis of HER2 amplification might better predict response to lapatinib.

This study illustrates the potential limitations of molecular therapies targeting a dominant RTK and serves as another example of acquired drug resistance mediated by activation of secondary RTK restoring downstream signaling pathways (32–35). In developing more effective and durable treatment strategies, multitargeted therapy should be considered.
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