Base excision repair of reactive oxygen species–initiated 7,8-dihydro-8-oxo-2′-deoxyguanosine inhibits the cytotoxicity of platinum anticancer drugs
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Abstract
Anticancer therapy with cisplatin and oxaliplatin is limited by toxicity and onset of tumor resistance. Both drugs form platinum-DNA cross-linked adducts, and cisplatin causes oxidative DNA damage including the 7,8-dihydro-8-oxo-2′-deoxyguanosine (8-oxodG) lesion. To assess oxidative DNA damage as a mechanism of cisplatin and oxaplatin cytotoxicity, 8-oxodG-directed base excision repair was stably enhanced in human embryonic kidney cells by FLAG-tagged expression of human oxoguanine glycosylase 1 (α–OGG1) or its functional homologue, Escherichia coli formamidopyrimidine glycosylase (fpg). Both drugs increased reactive oxygen species and 8-oxodG levels, and cytotoxicity was decreased by antioxidant pretreatment. Ectopic expression of α–OGG1 or fpg in cell clones increased nuclear and mitochondrial 8-oxodG repair, and reduced death by reactive oxygen species initiators (H2O2, menadione) and both platinum drugs. Exposure to oxaplatin caused a more marked and sustained block of cell proliferation than exposure to cisplatin. We conclude that the 8-oxodG lesion is cytotoxic, and base excision repair a likely determinant of risk. The greater antitumor efficacy of oxaplatin seems unrelated to oxidative DNA damage, suggesting a novel strategy for improving the therapeutic index in cancer therapy. [Mol Cancer Ther 2009;8(7):2015–26]

Introduction
Oxidative stress can induce cell death through several mechanisms, including oxidative DNA damage. Reactive oxygen species (ROS)–induced nuclear and mitochondrial DNA damage is associated with the aging process as well as numerous pathologies in humans (1). The most common DNA base modification formed by oxidation is 7,8-dihydro-8-oxo-2′-deoxyguanosine (8-oxodG); thousands of these lesions may be formed in each cell daily and levels are increased upon exposure to a variety of xenobiotics (2). If unrepaired, postreplicative mispairing of 8-oxoG with adenine results in G:C to A:T transversion mutation (3). A major mechanism of directed prereplicative excision of 8-oxodG is the OGG1 glycosylase/apurinic/apyrimidinic (AP) lyase–initiated base excision repair (BER) pathway (4, 5). The importance of this specific enzymatic activity in 8-oxodG repair in vitro and in vivo has been well-characterized (6, 7). Overexpression of OGG1 (8, 9) or its functional bacterial homologue Fpg (10, 11) can protect against oxidative stress–induced 8-oxodG accumulation in cell culture and mouse models (12–14), and OGG1−/− knockout mice accumulate accelerated levels of 8-oxodG with aging and particularly after exposure to ROS-inducing drugs (15, 16). However, OGG1 overexpression has also been shown to promote cytotoxicity (17). In humans, defective 8-oxodG repair may increase susceptibility to cancer, as increased ROS-associated DNA alteration and mutation is thought to promote tumor initiation and progression. The OGG1 locus maps to chromosome 3p26.2, a region frequently deleted in several tumor types (18). Additionally, epidemiologic studies support a correlation between the Ser326Cys polymorphism of OGG1, which down-regulates repair activity, and increased risk of carcinogenesis (19, 20). In clinical cancer treatment, a paradoxical association is proposed, with efficient BER of oxidative DNA damage promoting tumor resistance to specific anticancer therapies.

Cisplatin has been used extensively in the treatment of several cancer types. Although initial courses of cisplatin chemotherapy are usually effective, adverse side effects can limit drug use, and if disease progression occurs, tumor resistance to treatment is a common outcome (21). Reaction of cisplatin with purines to form DNA monoadducts that can mediate intrastrand and interstrand cross-links is essential for its cytotoxic activity (22). Platinum-DNA adducts are recognized by both the nucleotide excision repair (NER) and mismatch repair (MMR) pathways; however, removal of these macromolecular lesions by NER is often inefficient, and attempted MMR can actually promote cell death via induction of mitotic crisis (21, 22). Recent evidence indicates...
that in addition to the classic mechanism of DNA cross-link formation, platinum compounds also induce free radical production leading to oxidative DNA damage. The high reactivity of cisplatin with thiols including glutathione and metallothioneins is protective, but if thiol capacity is overwhelmed, the disruption of cellular redox balance by cisplatin may promote cytotoxicity via oxidative stress (23, 24). Also, intracellular hydrated cisplatin itself may be capable of redox cycling. A number of studies in vitro and in animal models have shown that cisplatin exposure increases intracellular levels of ROS in tissues, and that antioxidant therapy using a variety of free radical scavengers protects against cisplatin-mediated toxicities (25–28). Therefore in addition to NER and MMR, altered BER capacity may also modulate the response to cisplatin therapy; however, this has not been directly tested.

Current clinical use of the newer generation platinum analogue oxaliplatin in combination chemotherapy exhibits increased efficacy in the treatment of cisplatin-resistant cancers. In initial drug screening experiments, oxaliplatin displayed a spectrum of activity distinct from that in first- and second-generation platinum compounds, facilitating reduced cross-resistance in cisplatin-insensitive cancer cell lines (29). The molecular mechanisms underlying this improved potency remain to be fully identified. Although oxaliplatin produces less platinum-DNA adducts and interstrand DNA cross-links than equimolar concentrations of cisplatin, it is highly cytotoxic (23). Upon reaction with DNA, the bulky diaminocyclohexane moiety of oxaliplatin may induce a unique repair response that may account for the increased tumor sensitivities observed (30). For example, guanine diadducts formed after oxaliplatin-DNA reaction seem to be recognized exclusively by the NER pathway (31). Cancer cells exhibiting MMR response down-regulation that are resistant to cisplatin treatment would accordingly remain susceptible to oxaliplatin-mediated destruction. A recent clinical study indicates that, as observed with cisplatin, oxidative stress may constitute a mechanism of neurotoxicity associated with oxaliplatin therapy (32).

In the present study, we examined the specific role of the 8-oxodG lesion in platinum compound toxicity, distinct from other protein or DNA adduct formation. The ability of stably-induced BER of 8-oxodG to alter cytotoxicity from acute exposure to ROS (H₂O₂) or a redox-cycling quinone (menadione; ref. 33), and to cisplatin or oxaliplatin, was evaluated in a human cell culture (HEK 293) model. Enhancement of BER activity was accomplished via stable expression of bacterial (fpg-FLAG-NLS) or human (α-OGG1-FLAG) glycosylase/AP lyase constructs in a series of cell clones. Increased 8-oxodG excision activity by Fpg and α-OGG1 was observed in both the nuclear and mitochondrial compartments, and these activities reduced both endogenous and drug-induced 8-oxodG levels. The majority of fpg-FLAG-NLS and α-OGG1-FLAG clones displayed significantly increased resistance to all treatments. Protection against cisplatin-mediated cytotoxicity was more robust than that observed with oxaliplatin treatment. Pretreatment with the H₂O₂ scavenger catalase also reduced cell death from exposure to both platinum compounds. Intracellular ROS and nuclear 8-oxodG levels were increased by cisplatin and to a slightly lesser extent by oxaliplatin. 8-OxodG level induction associated with cytotoxicity was immediate and subsided within the first hour after acute treatments, unlike a more prolonged increase in ROS production. The results identify 8-oxodG lesion as a mechanism of the cytotoxic activity of platinum drugs and BER capacity as a determinant of cellular response to platinum drug exposure. A larger role for the 8-oxodG lesion in the cytotoxicity of cisplatin compared with the more efficacious oxaliplatin suggests that treatments less reliant upon oxidative DNA damage for the induction of target cell death may improve anticancer therapy.

Materials and Methods

Cell Culture and Reagents

Human embryonic kidney cells (HEK 293; ATCC CRL-1573) and derived clones were propagated in minimal essential media (Eagle α modification) plus 2 mmol/L l-glutamine, 1% penicillin/streptomycin solution (Sigma-Aldrich), and 10% heat-inactivated fetal bovine serum (HyClone). Cultures were maintained in a humidified incubator at 37°C with 95% air, 5% CO₂ (B.O.C./Canox). Thirty percent H₂O₂ solution, menadione, cisplatin and oxaliplatin were from Sigma-Aldrich.

Expression Vectors and Cell Transfections

The pC3/pCAGGS expression vector was constructed by ligation of PvuI and EcoRI digest fragments from pcDNA3 (Invitrogen) and pCAGGS (34). Escherichia coli fpg cDNA was obtained as described (14) and human α-OGG1 cDNA was produced from HEK 293 cell mRNA using MBI Fermentas first strand cDNA synthesis kit and sequence-specific PCR. FLAG tag sequence (Sigma-Aldrich), SV40 Large Tumor Antigen nuclear localization signal (SV40 LT NLS) sequence, and EcoRI sites were added to cDNAs by sequence-specific PCR. cDNAs were incorporated into the EcoRI site of the pC3/pCAGGS multiple cloning site. Competent DH5α bacteria (Invitrogen) were transformed for plasmid propagation. Sequencing was done at the Centre for Applied Genomics, Hospital for Sick Children, Toronto. HEK 293 cells were transfected with plasmids using TransFectin lipid reagent protocol (Bio-Rad), and stable clones selected with 800 to 1,000 μg/mL G418 disulfate (Sigma-Aldrich).

Immunocytochemistry and Immunoblotting

Antibodies for FLAG tag (M2 mouse monoclonal; Sigma-Aldrich), E. coli Fpg (rabbit polyclonal; RR+D Systems), human α-OGG1 (pAb rabbit polyclonal; Novus Biologicals), human proliferating cell nuclear antigen (mouse monoclonal 610664; BD Biosciences), and activated caspase-3 (rabbit polyclonal #9661; Cell Signaling Technology, Inc.) were used. For immunocytochemical analysis, cells were fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde, and endogenous peroxidase activity quenched with 0.1% H₂O₂. Cells were incubated in avidin D/MOM IgG blocking reagent (Vector...
Labeled particles were used for detection. Amersham Biosciences) and exposure onto Bioflex MSI enhanced chemiluminescence (ECL detection reagents; Bio-Rad). After antigen blocking and antibody incubations, enhanced chemiluminescence (ECL detection reagents; Amersham Biosciences) and exposure onto Bioflex MSI film (Clonex) were used for detection.

8-OxodG Incision Activity Assay

Protein lysates were prepared as described under Immunochemistry and Immunoblotting. Lysis buffer was a negative control for 8-oxodG incision, and 5 units of Fpg enzyme (R+D Systems), a positive control. Buffers and polyacrylamide gels were as described (9). 49mer oligonucleotide containing 8-oxodG at base 22 was synthesized by Sigma Genosys; 10 pmol of this oligonucleotide was labeled with 50 μCi γ-32P-ATP (Amersham Pharmacia) in a 10 μL, 30-min T4 polynucleotide kinase (Invitrogen) reaction, followed by heat-inactivation. Twenty microliters of annealing buffer were added and sample run through Bio-Rad Micro-Spin 6 columns for removal of free ATP. Complementary oligonucleotide (100 pmol; Sigma Genosys) was added, and samples were heated at 95°C for 5 min and then cooled. Three-hour repair reactions (20 μL) at 37°C each contained 1 μL of annealed oligonucleotide and 20 μg sample lysate in repair enzyme buffer (Trevigen). Reactions were stopped with 10 μL 3× gel loading buffer and heating at 95°C for 5 min. Samples were placed on ice, loaded onto prerun (150 V, 1 h, in Tris-borate EDTA buffer) 20% polyacrylamide gels, and resolved by electrophoresis (150 V for 1.5 h). Gels were dried (Bio-Rad gel dryer) and labeled oligonucleotides observed by autoradiogram (Bioflex MSI film; Clonex). Densitometry of scanned autoradiogram films was done using NIH Image J 1.34s software.

Cell Viability and Toxicity Assays

Colonies were counted after 6 d of growth at 37°C. Three-hour repair reactions (20 μL) at 37°C each contained 1 μL of annealed oligonucleotide and 20 μg sample lysate in repair enzyme buffer (Trevigen). Reactions were stopped with 10 μL 3× gel loading buffer and heating at 95°C for 5 min. Samples were placed on ice, loaded onto prerun (150 V, 1 h, in Tris-borate EDTA buffer) 20% polyacrylamide gels, and resolved by electrophoresis (150 V for 1.5 h). Gels were dried (Bio-Rad gel dryer) and labeled oligonucleotides observed by autoradiogram (Bioflex MSI film; Clonex). Densitometry of scanned autoradiogram films was done using NIH Image J 1.34s software.

ROS, 8-oxodG, and AP-Site Measurement

For measurement of intracellular ROS, 20,000 cells per well (96-well plate) were allowed to adhere and treated. Nine percent w/v Triton X-100 was a positive control for cell membrane rupture. At selected times after treatment, resazurin substrate was added and LDH-catalyzed fluorescence was measured.

Statistical Analysis

Data graphing and statistical analysis were done using GraphPad PRISM 3.02 and GraphPad InStat 3.0 software. Means and SDs for all measured biological parameters are displayed in the appropriate graphs. Significant differences in a single parameter (i.e., treated versus untreated) between samples were determined by one-way ANOVA and Tukey’s multiple comparison test. Significant differences in two parameters (i.e., treatment and time) between samples were determined by two-way ANOVA.
were determined by two-way ANOVA and Bonferroni post tests. To determine significant differences in treatment concentrations required to reduce cell survival by 50% (LC50) between groups, log transformation of drug dose was done, and colony-forming counts were normalized to percent survival (0–100% parameters). The resulting curves were analyzed by nonlinear regression and multiple groups compared by one-way ANOVA.

Figure 1. Expression, subcellular localization, and activity of Fpg-FLAG-NLS and α-OGG1-FLAG in stable HEK 293 clones. A, detection of FLAG-tagged ectopic protein expression by immunocytochemistry (transient transfections). B and C, stable expression of Fpg-FLAG-NLS (B) and α-OGG1-FLAG (C) in both nuclear (N) and mitochondrial (Mt) compartments, as observed with detection of ectopic proteins and FLAG tag by Western blotting. Membranes were reprobed for proliferating cell nuclear antigen (PCNA) to confirm purity of subcellular fractions. D and E, in vitro 8-oxodG incision assay shows increased 8-oxodG repair activity in nuclear and mitochondrial lysates from fpg (D) and α-OGG1 (E) clones. Values beneath sample lanes represent the ratio of cut (21mer) to uncut (49mer) substrate as determined by densitometry.
Results and Discussion

Characterization of Transgenic Cell Clones Possessing Enhanced BER of the 8-oxodG Lesion

The experimental model in this study uses two distinct 8-oxodG glycosylase/AP lyase functional homologues, *E. coli* Fpg and human α-OGG1, for up-regulation of BER. Over-expression of Fpg and OGG1 protects against cytotoxicity resulting from xenobiotic-induced oxidative stress (12-14). We generated six independent stably transfected cell clones, three each for expression of FLAG-tagged *E. coli* Fpg (clones fpg 4a, 6a, 6c) or FLAG-tagged human α-OGG1 (clones 1d, 2d, 3e). The α isoform (transcript variant 1a) of OGG1 was
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*Figure 2.* Increased 8-oxodG repair via ectopic Fpg expression inhibits cytotoxicity from acute exposure to ROS and ROS-inducing drugs. **A to D,** cell viability of stable fpg-expressing clones was compared with pC 1c control cells by colony forming assays, 7 d after 1 h exposure to the indicated concentrations of H2O2 (**A**), menadione (**B**), cisplatin (**C**), and oxaliplatin (**D**). Viability response for all clones (left) and survival curve of control versus most active clone (right) is shown. Specific clones with enhanced glycosylase/AP lyase activity exhibit increased resistance to most or all treatments. * and **, *P < 0.05 of LC50 comparable with control.*
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used as it displays DNA glycosylase activity in both the nucleus and mitochondria, as opposed to the mitochondria-localized β-OGG1 (transcript variant 2a), which has been reported to lack such activity (38). Detection of ectopic Fpg and α-OGG1 by immunocytochemistry and immunoblotting was aided by incorporation of a FLAG epitope tag sequence into the 3' end of transgene cDNA, for detection of protein products by a monoclonal anti-FLAG antibody. FLAG epitope addition did not inhibit the enzymatic activity of transgene products. Robust expression and nuclear localization of transgene products were observed (Fig. 1A). High level nuclear and lower level mitochondrial localization of transgene expression was confirmed in fpg clones (Fig. 1B) and OGG1 clones (Fig. 1C) by immunoblotting of fractionated

Figure 3. Increased 8-oxodG repair via ectopic α-OGG1 expression inhibits cytotoxicity from acute exposure to ROS and ROS-inducing drugs. A to D, cell viability of stable α-OGG1–expressing clones was compared with pC1c control cells by colony forming assays, 7 d after 1 h exposure to the indicated concentrations of H2O2 (A), menadione (B), cisplatin (C), and oxaliplatin (D). Viability response for all clones (left) and survival curve of control versus most active clone (right) are shown. Specific clones with enhanced glycosylase/AP lyase activity exhibit increased resistance to most or all treatments. *, **, and ***, P < 0.05 of LC50 comparable with control.
cell protein lysates for both enzyme and FLAG epitopes. Reprobing for nuclear proliferating cell nuclear antigen indicated the purity of sample lysate fractions. The presence of Fpg in the mitochondrial fraction was unexpected, as unlike OGG1, Fpg does not possess a mitochondrial targeting signal. However, a mitochondrial targeting signal is not essential for proteins to localize to mitochondria and be identified in the mitochondrial compartment as assessed by immunoblotting/immunochemical staining (39–41). It was not determined if Fpg was present in the mitochondrial matrix.

All fpg and OGG1 clones displayed markedly increased 8-oxodG:C-directed glycosylase/AP lyase activity in the nucleus and mitochondria compared with the pC 1c control (Fig. 1D and E). Activity was induced approximately 10- to 30-fold (nucleus) and 2- to 8-fold (mitochondria) by Fpg-FLAG-NLS, and approximately 12- to 25-fold (nucleus) and 2- to 7-fold (mitochondria) by α-OGG1-FLAG. Thus, stable ectopic expression of either functional homologue up-regulated BER-directed repair of 8-oxodG to a comparable degree in our model system. Increased glycosylase/AP lyase activities in fpg and OGG1 cell clones induced 8-oxodG repair; however, overexpression of one component of the BER pathway in the absence of adequate levels of other enzymes in the pathway may cause deleterious effects through the accumulation of toxic repair intermediates. For example, overexpression of MAG 3-methyladenine DNA glycosylase in AP endonuclease activity–deficient yeast cells increases spontaneous mutation frequency, presumably due to an inability to repair glycosylase-generated abasic sites in the absence of endonuclease activity (42).

Overexpression of OGG1 in HEK 293 clones did not increase total AP site levels, and these levels were only slightly elevated with overexpression of Fpg (Supplementary Fig. S1).3 This is consistent with studies in human B-lymphoblastoid TK6 cells where no increase in double strand breaks are observed in untreated hOGG1 overexpressing cells compared with control cells (43, 44). In addition, stable expression of Fpg and OGG1 transgenes did not affect HEK 293 clone morphology, adherence, proliferative capacity, or viability, consistent with observations in other cells (12–14, 45). Overexpression of hOGG1 in human B-lymphoblastoid TK6 cells protects the cells from exposure to H2O2, which predominantly generates single site DNA lesions; however, this sensitizes the cells to γradiation, possibly through the formation of radiation-specific cluster lesions that may be converted into lethal double strand breaks by abortive BER (43, 44, 46). Holt and Georgakalas (47) have shown that human acute lymphoblastic leukemia pre-B NALM6 cell treatment with γradiation but not H2O2 results in the induction of oxypurine cluster lesions. Intriguingly, exposure of radiation-resistant human 28SC monocytes to therapeutic doses of γradiation increases levels of persistent abasic cluster lesions without any resulting production of double strand breaks, indicating that cluster lesions themselves may mediate cytotoxicity (48).

Fpg and αOGG1 Activities Inhibit Cell Death from Acute ROS and ROS-Inducing Drug Exposures

As ROS and ROS-initiating drugs induce the 8-oxodG lesion, the response of cell clones stably expressing Fpg and αOGG1 to exposure to ROS (H2O2), a redox cycling and adduct forming quinone (menadione; ref. 33), and adduct forming cisplatin (23, 24) was investigated and compared with the response to oxaliplatin. In addition to the well-documented DNA cross-link formation by cisplatin-DNA monoaduct reactions, the induction of free radicals leading to oxidative DNA damage and the inhibition of oxidative DNA damage repair may also contribute to its cytotoxic activity (21, 49). Oxaliplatin also forms adducts with DNA and is reported to stimulate intracellular ROS production (50). Survival of HEK 293 clones exposed to a concentration range of acute (1 hour) treatments was assessed by colony-forming assay (Figs. 2 and 3). Cytotoxicity of all treatments was reduced in the majority of both fpg (Fig. 2, clones 4c and 6a) and OGG1 (Fig. 3, clones 1d and 3e) clones compared with the pC1c empty vector control. At high dose levels, the viability of fpg clones was lower than OGG1 clones. This may be a result of differential substrate specificity of the two enzymes, or the low AP lyase activity of OGG1 in the absence of comparable levels of AP endonuclease 1 (51). With increasing 8-oxodG levels at high doses, efficient AP lyase activity (Fpg) in the absence of appropriate downstream repair may result in the promotion of lethal DNA damage (44, 51). The functional redundancy obtained using two models of 8-oxodG glycosylase/AP lyase up-regulation (Fpg and αOGG1) shows a common mechanism of 8-oxodG-directed, BER-mediated resistance to this variety of oxidative stressors. Like platinum drugs, menadione is a source of intracellular ROS and can affect cellular redox balance by adduct formation with proteins and DNA. The coexistence of cell clones exhibiting enhanced BER to platinum drugs and menadione that we observe is in support of such a common mechanism of action. All cell clones were more sensitive to oxaliplatin treatment than to cisplatin treatment. Twice the concentration range (10–40 μmol/L) of cisplatin was required to induce levels of cell death comparable with oxaliplatin (5–20 μmol/L). As well, treatment-resistant fpg and OGG1 clones exhibited an approximate 2-fold increase in colony survival after cisplatin exposure (Figs. 2C and 3C), whereas this survival increase was marginal following oxaliplatin exposure (Figs. 2D and 3D). These disparities indicate differences in the mechanisms of cytotoxicity for these two platinum compounds that are independent of BER capacity.

Differential Response of Cell Clones to Cytotoxic and Cytostatic Effects of Cisplatin or Oxaliplatin Treatment

Our study reveals a differential cellular response to cisplatin versus oxaliplatin; specifically, increased sensitivity to both the cytotoxic and cytostatic activities of oxaliplatin seems to be independent of oxidative stress and 8-oxodG. One fpg and one OGG1 clone exhibiting comparable resistance to platinum compounds were used for further analysis.

3 Supplementary material for this article is available at Molecular Cancer Therapeutics Online [http://mct.aacrjournals.org/].
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drug effects. To determine the temporal onset of drug-induced cell death, viable cell numbers were quantified 16 hours after treatment and compared with untreated control (Fig. 4A and B). The reduction in viable cells observed at this time point compared with that observed by colony forming assay. This indicates that cytotoxicity was rapid and independent of 8-oxodG-mediated G:C to A:T transversion mutations, as the average period of HEK cell division was ~24 hours. A high (2-fold) increase in resistance to cisplatin (Fig. 4A) and lower (1.2-fold) increase in resistance to oxaliplatin (Fig. 4B) in fpg 6a and OGG1 1d clones was reconfirmed. LDH release from the cytosol into the culture media was measured as a marker of cytotoxicity at selected time points during the initial 24 hours following drug administration (Fig. 4C). LDH release was modestly enhanced at 4 hours by both drugs, with a maximal increase from cisplatin exposure observed after 12 hours (~120% over untreated), and a maximal increase from oxaliplatin exposure after 24 hours (~50% over untreated). The relatively low enhancement of LDH release overall compared with untreated samples was consistent with a lack of necrotic cell morphology observed in cultures. Immunohistochemical staining for activated caspase-3 in PC 1c control cells revealed a 3.8- and 5.6-fold increase in the percentage of positive cells 16 hours following treatment with cisplatin and oxaliplatin, respectively (Supplementary Fig. S2). These observations are
consistent with a recent report showing p53-dependent apoptosis in cisplatin-treated HEK 293 cells (52).

The rapid onset of cell death observed from acute platinum drug exposure suggests that cell death may have resulted from a stress response caused by cell cycle arrest. HEK clone proliferative capacity after drug treatment was observed by quantifying cell numbers over 6 days (Fig. 4D). After initial cell loss, cisplatin inhibited the proliferation of all clones tested (including control) by ∼50%. In contrast, the cytostatic effect of oxaliplatin upon all clones was more potent, with an almost complete block of cell division over 6 days that resumed 7 to 8 days after treatment. These diverse activities of cisplatin and oxaliplatin on clonal proliferation seem to be independent of 8-oxodG-mediated effects, as all cells reacted in a similar fashion regardless of stable BER transgene expression. In clinical anticancer therapy, similar doses of cisplatin (75 mg/m²) or oxaliplatin (60 mg/m²) have been given without causing significant adverse toxicity (53, 54). Thus, the enhanced efficacy of oxaliplatin therapy in the treatment of cisplatin-resistant cancers may relate to a higher level of cytotoxicity in tumors at comparable drug concentration.

Antioxidant Therapy Protects Against Death by Platinum Drug-induced Intracellular ROS

To confirm that the altered responses of fpg and OGG1 clones to platinum drug therapy resulted from BER-mediated resistance to ROS-induced 8-oxodG accumulation, the ability of cisplatin and oxaliplatin to increase intracellular ROS and 8-oxodG levels, and the effect of antioxidant pretreatment on cytotoxicity were assessed. PC 1c cells were exposed to 80 μmol/L cisplatin or oxaliplatin for 1 hour, and the generation of ROS in cultures was quantified by intracellular DCF-DA fluorescence (Fig. 5A). ROS accumulation over 3 hours was increased 2-fold by both cisplatin and oxaliplatin treatments, and to a greater extent (6-fold) by exposure to menadione. DCF-DA fluorescence from all clones (untreated) was analyzed to ensure that transgene introduction was not itself altering basal intracellular ROS levels (Fig. 5B). All clones produced comparable levels of ROS in culture. The contribution of ROS level
enhancement by platinum drugs to their cytotoxic activities was assessed. The effect of preincubation with a membrane-permeable conjugate of the H2O2 scavenging enzyme catalase (PEG-Cat) before exposure to platinum compounds on cell viability was measured (Fig. 5C and D). Increasing catalase concentration (100–500 U/mL of culture media) produced comparable increases in resistance (approximately 10–20%) to cisplatin and oxaliplatin, whereas 500 U/mL of heat-inactivated catalase had no such effect. These results indicate that ROS production is a cytotoxic mechanism for both platinum compounds in our model. This comparable degree of protection conferred by catalase reflects the comparable level of ROS induction by both drugs.

**BER Up-Regulation Lowers Endogenous 8-oxodG Levels and Blocks Rapid 8-oxodG Accumulation from Platinum Drug Treatment**

Cisplatin is reported to increase intracellular ROS and 8-oxodG levels (27). As Fpg and α-OGG1 overexpression has been shown to lower 8-oxodG and oxypurine-clustered DNA damage levels, and OGG1-deficient mice exhibit tissue-specific increases in 8-oxodG accumulation following ROS-inducing xenobiotic exposure (12–16, 55), we measured nuclear 8-oxodG/dG ratios in untreated and drug-treated cell clones (Fig. 5E and F). Ectopic expression of Fpg (clone fpg 6a) or α-OGG1 (clone OGG1 1d) significantly lowered endogenous nuclear 8-oxodG levels compared with the pC1c clone control. In addition, stable transgene expression markedly inhibited an immediate increase in 8-oxodG generation following cisplatin treatment (30 μmol/L; Fig. 5E). A maximal 1.5-fold increase in 8-oxodG/dG occurred 30 minutes after treatment compared with untreated sample and was diminished by 2 hours after treatment. Oxaliplatin exposure produced a slightly lower increase in 8-oxodG/dG that also was inhibited in fpg and α-OGG1 transgenic clones. The rapid and temporary increase in nuclear 8-oxodG levels observed following treatment with both platinum drugs indicates that this DNA lesion was not a result of intracellular oxidative stress via platinum adduct formation with cellular thiols such as glutathione.

Many anticancer therapies including cisplatin treatment destroy tumor cells at least in part through the induction of acute oxidative stress (21, 24). However, nontoxic oxidative stress is also associated with cancer initiation and progression, as ROS can induce carcinogenic DNA mutation and stimulate cell survival and proliferation (18, 36). Indeed, a variety of antioxidants are currently being tested for their prophylactic potential and as adjunct therapies in the treatment of existing cancers (56). This raises the potential dilemma of antioxidants blocking the anticancer effect of a drug that relies upon toxic ROS accumulation for cancer cell destruction. Our results indicate that antioxidant therapy may affect platinum drug activities. The specific promotion of the 8-oxodG lesion by ROS as a mechanism of drug toxicity has been well-characterized (57, 58). Here, we provide additional evidence for this mechanism in platinum drug toxicity. 8-OxodG induction is not indicated as the sole factor causing the premitotic onset of cytotoxicity in our experimental model. The reported modulation of cisplatin toxicity by cellular signal transduction cascades independent of a DNA damage response implicates other stress pathways as part of this process. One such signal is the c-Jun NH2-kinase/c-Jun pathway (59). c-Jun NH2-kinase is activated by ROS and is part of the antioxidant response element-mediated transcriptional response that is a major stimulus for protective antioxidant up-regulation (36). It is possible that ROS- and platinum drug-mediated JNK activation may also induce 8-oxodG-directed BER activity as a cell survival mechanism.

NER and MMR capacities are associated with altered tumor response to cisplatin and oxaliplatin, with lowered NER and functional MMR correlating to increased drug activity (22, 30, 31). We show that BER-mediated repair of the 8-oxodG lesion is an additional determinant of treatment response. It is anticipated that human tumors expressing high levels of OGG1 such as the colorectal adenomas and carcinomas described by Saebe et al. (60) would exhibit increased resistance to cisplatin and, perhaps oxaliplatin to a lesser extent. The effect of transgenic OGG1 expression in these tissues could be variable. BER capacity may also influence cancer susceptibility in a paradoxical manner, with lowered BER promoting tumor incidence and progression through unchecked oxidative DNA damage. Epidemiologic investigations of genetic BER polymorphisms and cancer risk by Hung et al. (20) indicate that the functionally inhibitory OGG1 Ser326Cys polymorphism correlates with a small but significant increase in cancer incidence, particularly for lung adenocarcinoma (19). Our observations suggest that specific OGG1-directed repair of 8-oxodG may also modulate tumor response to oxidative stress and DNA damage-inducing therapies. The glycosylase/AP lyase reaction at the 8-oxodG lesion creates a single strand break that must be subsequently repaired by other downstream BER enzymes to complete repair (44, 51). Therefore, a high level of Fpg or OGG1 activity may promote cytotoxic DNA damage via accumulation of single strand breaks. Zhang et al. (17) have reported enhanced cisplatin cytotoxicity upon the specific overexpression of OGG1 in mitochondria; an observation that conflicts with our findings. In that study, an alternate isoform of OGG1 was ectopically expressed (β-OGG1; transcript variant 2a) that may have varying 8-oxodG glycosylase activity compared with α-OGG1 (38), and this β-OGG1 was targeted to the mitochondrial matrix. Certainly cell type and environment, the subcellular localization of 8-oxodG glycosylase/AP lyase activity, and the level of this activity relative to activity of other BER enzymes all can act as determinants of cellular response.

This is the first study to show 8-oxodG level induction by oxaliplatin treatment, and to implicate 8-oxodG as a pathogenic macromolecular lesion in the mechanism of platinum compound-induced cytotoxicity. Unlike the proven mutagenic role of 8-oxodG in the initiation of cancer, the underlying mechanism of cytotoxicity involves nonmutagenic effects of 8-oxodG, as two rounds of cell mitosis are required for G:C to A:T transversion mutation and toxicity occurred within hours after treatment. Such 8-oxodG-mediated effects could include induction of stress responses...
and alterations in gene expression. This would be consistent with the known effects of 8-oxodG in stalling RNA polymerase and altering the binding of nuclear transcription factors to DNA (61, 62). Additionally, BER capacity as determined by 8-oxoguanine glycosylase/AP lyase activity is identified as a protective factor against this toxicity. Up-regulation of BER reduced cytotoxicity from ROS, a ROS-initiating quinone and platinum compounds, and the resistance to cisplatin was significantly higher than resistance to oxaliplatin. As well, only oxaliplatin treatment produced a prolonged cytostatic effect lasting several days after treatment. This differential response is independent of the comparable levels of ROS and 8-oxodG induction observed from both cisplatin and oxaliplatin exposures. Such distinct oxaliplatin effects seem to diminish the contribution of 8-oxodG accumulation to its specific mechanisms of cytotoxicity. These findings indicate that the potency of oxaliplatin therapy against cisplatin-resistant cancers is distinct from the induction of 8-oxodG. Therefore, the use of anticancer drugs less reliant upon oxidative DNA damage for the induction of target cell death may represent a therapeutic strategy for reducing tumor resistance to therapy.
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