Trastuzumab causes antibody-dependent cellular cytotoxicity–mediated growth inhibition of submacroscopic JIMT-1 breast cancer xenografts despite intrinsic drug resistance
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Abstract
Trastuzumab is a recombinant antibody drug that is widely used for the treatment of breast cancer. Despite encouraging clinical results, some cancers are primarily resistant to trastuzumab, and a majority of those initially responding become resistant during prolonged treatment. The mechanisms of trastuzumab resistance have not been fully understood. We examined the role of antibody-dependent cellular cytotoxicity (ADCC) using JIMT-1 cells that are ErbB2 positive but intrinsically resistant to trastuzumab in vitro. Unexpectedly, in experiments mimicking adjuvant therapy of submacroscopic disease in vivo (JIMT-1 cells inoculated s.c. in severe combined immunodeficiency mice), trastuzumab was able to inhibit the outgrowth of macroscopically detectable xenograft tumors for up to 5–7 weeks. The effect is likely to be mediated via ADCC because trastuzumab-F(ab′)2 was ineffective in this model. Moreover, in vitro ADCC reaction of human leukocytes was equally strong against breast cancer cells intrinsically sensitive (SKBR-3) or resistant (JIMT-1) to trastuzumab or even against a subline of JIMT-1 that was established from xenograft tumors growing despite trastuzumab treatment. These results suggest that ADCC may be the predominant mechanism of trastuzumab action on submacroscopic tumor spread. Thus, measuring the ADCC activity of patient’s leukocytes against the tumor cells may be a relevant predictor of clinical trastuzumab responsiveness in vivo. [Mol Cancer Ther 2007;6(7):2065–72]

Introduction
Overexpression of ErbB2 (HER2), a member of the epidermal growth factor (EGF) receptor (EGFR) family of receptor tyrosine kinases, occurs in 20–30% of invasive breast cancers (1–3) and is associated with poor prognosis and rapid relapse (4). Because cancer cell growth is often driven by highly overexpressed oncogenes, even late-stage tumors can be successfully treated with therapies directed against the overexpressed oncoprotein (5, 6). The finding that some of the anti-ErbB2 antibodies can inhibit the growth of cancer cells overexpressing ErbB2 on their surface was a breakthrough in anticancer therapy (7) and led to the development of trastuzumab (Herceptin), a recombinant humanized monoclonal antibody against the extracellular domain of ErbB2. Trastuzumab has a remarkable antitumor effect and is currently used worldwide for the treatment of breast cancer (8, 9). Although the mechanisms underlying the action of trastuzumab are still not fully understood, several molecular effects have been observed. In vitro trastuzumab treatment leads to (a) down-regulation of cell surface ErbB2 (10); (b) inactivation of the mitogen-activated protein kinase and phosphoinositide-3-kinase pathways (11, 12); (c) cell cycle arrest in G1 (13); (d) induction of apoptosis (10); (e) increase in HLA-I–restricted antigen presentation of ErbB2 (14). Furthermore, in in vivo models, trastuzumab decreased the microvessel density of breast cancer xenografts (15).

In addition to the direct effects on cancer cells, several lines of evidence suggested that antibody-dependent cellular cytotoxicity (ADCC) plays an important role in the anti-tumor activity of trastuzumab. Clynes et al. showed that the activity of trastuzumab on breast cancer xenografts was attenuated in knock-out mice lacking activating FcγRIII receptors. Furthermore, the administration of trastuzumab lacking functional FcR binding capability resulted in similarly attenuated response in wild-type mice (16). In addition, Spriidion et al. found that trastuzumab-F(ab′)2 and a mixture of F(ab′)2 fragments of three anti-ErbB2
immunoglobulin G (IgG) exerted significantly reduced responses compared with those of trastuzumab IgG and the mixture of the three anti-ErbB2 IgGs in mice xenografted with trastuzumab-sensitive breast cancer cells (17).

Despite encouraging clinical results, some cancers are primarily resistant to trastuzumab, and a majority of those initially responding become resistant during prolonged treatment (8, 18). The mechanisms of trastuzumab resistance have not been fully elucidated. The following mechanisms have been implicated: (a) autocrine production of EGF-related ligands (19); (b) activation of the insulin-like growth factor-I (IGF-I) receptor pathway (20); (c) masking of the trastuzumab epitope by MUC4, a cell surface sialomucin (21, 22); (d) loss of PTEN function (23); (e) impaired ADCC reaction (24, 25).

Possible mechanisms of trastuzumab resistance have been studied in detail using the breast cancer cell line JIMT-1 established from the pleural metastasis of a patient who was clinically resistant to trastuzumab. JIMT-1 cells are resistant to trastuzumab in vitro and also in vivo, if therapy is initiated 45 days after establishing xenografts (26). In the current paper, we show that in spite of trastuzumab resistance of JIMT-1 cells in vitro and their macroscopic tumors in vivo, proliferation of these cells inoculated s.c. into severe combined immunodeficiency (SCID) mice is effectively inhibited for up to 5–7 weeks if trastuzumab treatment is started immediately. Because trastuzumab-F(ab')2 exerts no similar effect, the mechanism of early-stage trastuzumab sensitivity is likely based on ADCC. We found no difference in the in vitro ADCC activity of human leukocytes against JIMT-1 or SKBR-3 breast cancer cells, although the latter are intrinsically trastuzumab-sensitive in vitro, suggesting that the compromised immune response of the host or in vivo masking of the tumor cell surface may play key roles in the development of the trastuzumab nonresponsive phenotype.

Trastuzumab and trastuzumab-F(ab')2 were given at a dose of 5 and 25 μg/g, respectively, by weekly i.p. injection. The five times greater amount of administered F(ab')2 was chosen based on the different half-lives of IgG and F(ab')2 in vivo (17). Control mice were treated with weekly i.p. injection of 100 μL physiologic saline (saline) or rituximab (a humanized anti-CD20 antibody) at a dose of 5 μg/g. Animals were euthanized by CO2 inhalation. The experiments were done with the approval of the Ethical Committee of the University of Debrecen.

Establishment of Sublines from JIMT-1 Xenografts

The JIMT-1 X− and the JIMT-1 X+ cell lines were derived from the tumor of mice treated continuously with saline and trastuzumab, respectively. Animals were euthanized, and the tumors were removed and cut into small pieces with a sterile blade, washed twice with sterile PBS, and placed in culture dishes in medium containing F-12/DMEM (1:1) supplemented with 20% FCS, 60 units/L insulin, and antibiotics. The medium of JIMT-1 X+ cells also contained 10 μg/mL trastuzumab (28). After 3 days, debris and dead cells were removed, and the medium was refreshed. Confluent cultures were trypsinized and split at a ratio of 1:2, using the same medium but with only 10% FCS. JIMT-1 X+ cells have been grown in the presence of 10 μg/mL trastuzumab ever since the establishment of the cell line.

Antibodies

Herceptin (trastuzumab) and Mabthera (rituximab) were purchased from Roche Ltd. Monoclonal antibody (mAb) against ErbB2 (erbB2-76.5 IgG1) was produced from the supernatant of the erbB2-76.5 hybridoma (kindly provided by Y. Yarden, Weizmann Institute of Science, Rehovot, Israel) and purified using protein A affinity chromatography.

Conjugation of Antibodies with Fluorescent Dyes

Covalent binding of AlexaFluor 546 (Molecular Probes) to the erbB2-76.5 antibody was carried out according to the manufacturer’s instructions. The dye/protein labeling ratio was ~3:1.

Preparation and Testing of Trastuzumab-F(ab')2 Fragment

Trastuzumab IgG (20 mg) was dissolved in 20 mmol/L acetate buffer (pH, 4.5) and dialyzed thrice into the same buffer and concentrated using Centricon-10 tubes (Millipore Corp.). Digestion was done by adding 0.5 mL immobilized pepsin (Pierce Biotechnology) at 37°C for 6 h. The reaction was stopped by 10 mL 2 mol/L Tris-HCl (pH, 8.2), and digested trastuzumab was filtered through a 0.22-μm Millex filter (Millipore Corp.) to remove immobilized pepsin bound to agarose beads and concentrated to 1 mL using Centricon-50 tubes (Millipore Corp.). Trastuzumab-F(ab')2 fragments and undigested trastuzumab were separated by high-performance liquid chromatography using a column (10 × 800 mm) filled with Sephacryl S-300 (Pharmacia LKB) and an eluant of 50 mmol/L sodium phosphate (pH, 7.0). Fractions of 0.5 mL were collected and tested using nonreducing SDS-PAGE analysis. Fractions containing trastuzumab-F(ab')2 without contamination of undigested trastuzumab were merged.

Materials and Methods

Cells

JIMT-1, the ErbB2-positive human breast cancer cell line was grown in Ham’s F-12/DMEM (1:1) supplemented with 10% FCS, 60 units/L insulin, and antibiotics (26). SKBR-3 and BT-474 cell lines were obtained from the American Type Culture Collection and cultured according to specifications.

Xenograft Tumors

The SCID C.B-17 scid/scid (originated from the laboratory of Fox Chase Cancer Center, Philadelphia, PA) and nu/nu nude mouse population (Harlan Nederland, Horst, the Netherlands) were housed in a pathogen-free environment. Only nonleaky SCID mice with murine IgG levels below 100 ng/mL were used in this study (27). Seven-week-old female nude or SCID mice were given a single s.c. injection of 5 × 10⁶ JIMT-1 cells suspended in 150 μL Hanks’ A buffer and mixed with an equal volume of Matrigel (BD Matrigel, BD Biosciences). Tumor volumes were derived as the product of the length, width, and height of the tumor measured once a week with a caliper.
concentrated, and stored at −20°C. The quality of trastuzumab-F(ab')2 was tested on SKBR-3 cells; trastuzumab-F(ab')2 bound to the cells competed with intact trastuzumab and bound no Fc-specific secondary antibodies (data not shown).

**In vitro Assay of Drug Sensitivity**

The effects of trastuzumab and trastuzumab-F(ab')2 on the growth of JIMT-1, SKBR-3, and BT-474 cells were evaluated using the AlamarBlue method (TREK Diagnostic Systems, Inc.). Exponentially growing cells were harvested and plated in single wells of a 96-well flat-bottomed tissue culture plate at defined densities, ranging from 4,500–8,000 cells per well depending on the cell line. After overnight culture, the regular medium was exchanged to medium containing 0, 1, 10, or 100 μg/mL trastuzumab or trastuzumab-F(ab')2. Cell viability was tested after 72 h of treatment according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Fluorescence was detected at an excitation of 544 nm, and emission was detected at 590 nm using a Wallac Victor2 plate reader (Perkin-Elmer).

**Immunohistochemistry**

S.c. tumors were removed from anesthetized mice, covered with Shandon Cryomatrix (Thermo Electron Corporation, Anatomical Pathology USA Clinical Diagnostics), and stored in liquid nitrogen. Cryosections, 20 μm thick, were cut using a SHANDON AS-620E Cryotome (Thermo Electron Corporation) on silanized slides. Samples were fixed in 4% formaldehyde for 30 min and were washed twice in PBS with 1% bovine serum albumin (BSA; pH, 7.4) for 20 min at room temperature. Slides were labeled with a saturating concentration (10–20 μg/mL) of dye-conjugated antibody in 100 μL PBS containing 1% BSA overnight on ice, washed twice with PBS, and covered with 15 μL Mowiol (Merck) to avoid bleaching of the fluorescent dyes.

**Confocal Microscopy**

A Zeiss LSM 510 confocal laser-scanning microscope (Carl Zeiss AG) was used to image samples. AlexaFluor 546 was excited with the 543-nm line of a green He-Ne laser, and emission measured between 560 and 615 nm. Fluorescence images were taken as 1-μm optical sections using a 63× (numerical aperture = 1.4) oil immersion objective.

**Image Analysis**

Confocal microscopic images were analyzed with the DipImage toolbox (Delft University of Technology, Delft, the Netherlands) under Matlab (Mathworks Inc., Natick, MA). The cell membrane was identified by a manually seeded watershed algorithm (29) using a custom-written interactive algorithm implemented in DipImage/Matlab. The cell membrane was used as a mask, and the fluorescence intensity was evaluated only in pixels under the mask.

**In vitro ADCC Assay**

ADCC assays were done as described previously (17, 30) with modifications. Peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMC) were separated from the blood of healthy donors by Ficoll density gradient centrifugation (Histopaque-1077, Sigma-Aldrich). The fraction containing PBMCs was resuspended in DMEM containing 10% FCS. JIMT-1, JIMT X−, JIMT X+, and SKBR-3 tumor cells were harvested, washed once in PBS containing 1% bovine serum albumin (BSA), and labeled with 5- (and 6)-carboxyfluorescein.
Trastuzumab, rituximab, or trastuzumab-F(ab')2 target ratios (E: containing 10% FCS and mixed with PBMCs at effector/target ratios of 2:1, 6:1, 15:1, 30:1, and 60:1. Trastuzumab, rituximab, or trastuzumab-F(ab')2 were added to the mixed suspensions at a concentration 100 μg/mL. The samples were incubated at 37°C for 8 h followed by staining of dead cells with 50 μg/mL propidium iodide. Cells were analyzed on a Becton Dickinson FACScan flow cytometer (Mountain View). The negative control sample was prepared identically, but did not contain PBMCs. Tumor cells killed by 4% formaldehyde served as the positive control. The percentage of cells killed was calculated according the following formula: (% of living cells in the negative control − % of living cells in the sample) /% of living cells in the negative control.

Statistical Analysis
Data are expressed as the mean ± SE. The statistical significance of the differences between means were determined using Student’s t test for two samples after verifying that data passed the normality test and the groups compared have equal variance. Differences were statistically significant at P < 0.05.

Results

Effect of Trastuzumab on Established JIMT-1 Xenograft Tumors
We have shown previously that established JIMT-1 xenograft tumors (tumor volume 200−500 mm³) are insensitive to trastuzumab treatment in immunodeficient nu/nu or nude mice (26). First, we tested the effects of trastuzumab on smaller xenograft tumors of 100−200 mm³ volume in SCID mice that had been inoculated s.c. with 5 × 10⁶ JIMT-1 cells in suspension only 9 days before treatment. Weekly treatment with trastuzumab or saline was continued until the end of the experiment. Tumor growth was partially but significantly inhibited by trastuzumab from days 16 to 44 (P < 0.05) when compared with the control group (Fig. 1A).

Effect of Trastuzumab on Nonestablished JIMT-1 Tumors in Nude or SCID Mice
Because the growth of small JIMT-1 xenograft tumors was partially inhibited by trastuzumab, we next studied the effect of trastuzumab treatment started at the time when 5 × 10⁶ JIMT-1 cells were inoculated s.c. into nude or SCID mice.

Tumors were formed in all of the seven nude mice treated with rituximab (a negative control antibody directed to CD20, a transmembrane protein not expressed by JIMT-1 cells; data not shown), whereas palpable tumors developed only in two of seven trastuzumab-treated animals. Trastuzumab administration was suspended on day 21 and tumors started to grow in the two nude mice whose tumors were palpable earlier (Fig. 1B).

The growth of tumors in all trastuzumab-treated SCID mice was minimal for up day 42. From the fifth week onward, the tumors started to grow exponentially in all (8/8) trastuzumab-treated mice. At this time point, trastuzumab treatment was suspended in half of the treated animals and continued for another 6 weeks in the rest of the animals. There was no difference in the rate of growth between the tumor-bearing animals and control mice that were treated with rituximab.

Figure 2. In vitro and in vivo effects of trastuzumab-F(ab')2. A, trastuzumab-F(ab')2 acts in vitro similar to whole trastuzumab. Trastuzumab-F(ab')2 inhibited the growth of trastuzumab-sensitive cell lines SKBR-3 and BT-474 in vitro as effectively as trastuzumab IgG. JIMT-1 cells were resistant in vitro to both trastuzumab-IgG and trastuzumab-F(ab')2. T, trastuzumab; TF, trastuzumab-F(ab')2. Doses: black column, 1 μg/mL; cross-hatched column, 10 μg/mL; hatched column, 100 μg/mL. Neither trastuzumab whole IgG nor trastuzumab-F(ab')2 fragment had any inhibitory effect on JIMT-1 cells in vitro, whereas both had significant inhibitory effect on SKBR-3 and BT-474 cells as compared with their effect on JIMT-1 cells. *, P < 0.05. B, lack of effect of trastuzumab-F(ab')2 on JIMT-1 xenografts. SCID mice injected s.c. with 5 × 10⁶ JIMT-1 cells were treated i.p. on a weekly basis (arrows) with saline (○, n = 8), trastuzumab IgG (○, n = 8), or trastuzumab-F(ab')2 (●, n = 8), starting on the day of inoculation. Tumor growth was significantly reduced in the trastuzumab-treated group versus the saline-treated group between days 14 and 42. *, P < 0.05. There was no significant difference between the trastuzumab-F(ab')2 and the saline-treated groups.

The statistical significance of the differences between means were determined using Student’s t test for two samples after verifying that data passed the normality test. The percentage of living cells in the negative control was calculated according the formula: (％ of living cells in the negative control − % of living cells in the sample) /% of living cells in the negative control.

Statistical Analysis
Data are expressed as the mean ± SE. The statistical significance of the differences between means were determined using Student’s t test for two samples after verifying that data passed the normality test and the groups compared have equal variance. Differences were statistically significant at P < 0.05.
tumor growth between these two subgroups, indicating complete resistance to trastuzumab (Fig. 1C). The effect of trastuzumab on tumor growth was significant from days 14 to 91 \( (P < 0.05) \).

**Treatment of JIMT-1 Tumors with Trastuzumab-F\((ab\)^2\)**

Because JIMT-1 cells are intrinsically resistant to trastuzumab, we hypothesized that the effects on submacroscopic xenografts are owed to ADCC reaction. We have therefore generated trastuzumab-F\((ab\)^2\), which binds ErbB2 with high affinity and exhibits *in vitro* growth inhibition equivalent to that of intact trastuzumab IgG in both SKBR-3 and BT-474 cell cultures (Fig. 2A). When using this trastuzumab-F\((ab\)^2\) for weekly treatment of SCID mice inoculated with \( 5 \times 10^6 \) JIMT-1 cells, on a weekly basis, no effect on xenograft tumor growth was seen as compared with saline treatment. In the parallel positive control, intact trastuzumab IgG significantly inhibited the growth of JIMT-1 tumors (from days 14 to 42; \( P < 0.05 \)) similarly to findings in the previous experiment (Fig. 2B).

**Cell Surface Expression Level of ErbB2 in JIMT-1 Xenografts**

To investigate whether trastuzumab IgG and trastuzumab-F\((ab\)^2\) were able to down-regulate ErbB2 *in vivo*, fast frozen tissue sections were labeled with a fluorescent anti-ErbB2 antibody erbB2-76.5. The ErbB2 expression level of trastuzumab-treated tumors was \( \leq 50\% \) lower than that of saline-treated cells. Quantitative image analysis revealed that trastuzumab-F\((ab\)^2\) and trastuzumab IgG were equally effective in down-regulating ErbB2 expression. Six weeks after suspension of trastuzumab treatment, the ErbB2 expression level returned to almost as high as in control mice (Fig. 3A and B).

**In vitro ADCC Activity against Trastuzumab-Sensitive and Trastuzumab-Resistant Breast Cancer Cell Lines**

The fact that trastuzumab-F\((ab\)^2\), unlike trastuzumab IgG, was ineffective against JIMT-1 xenografts *in vivo* suggested that the immune effector cells of SCID mice are responsible for growth retardation, irrespective of intrinsic cellular resistance. We next did *in vitro* ADCC assays using human peripheral leukocytes (PBMCs) as effector cells. The baseline killing of tumor target cells by PBMCs in the presence of rituximab (IgG control) was low; there was no significant difference in target cell killing between assays done with rituximab or with trastuzumab-F\((ab\)^2). In contrast, the presence of trastuzumab IgG significantly increased killing of all breast cancer cell lines \( (P < 0.05) \). The ADCC activity of PBMCs evoked by trastuzumab was equally strong against trastuzumab-sensitive (SKBR-3) or trastuzumab-resistant (JIMT-1) breast cancer cells, with dose-dependent cell death reaching \( \sim 50–60\% \) killing at an effector/target ratio of 60:1. In addition to the native JIMT-1 cells, two sublines, JIMT-1 X−, recovered from xenografted mice treated with saline, and JIMT-1 X+, a superresistant subline recovered after continuous treatment with trastuzumab, were as sensitive to ADCC as SKBR-3 (Fig. 4).

**Discussion**

It is well documented that trastuzumab acts directly in cancer cell signaling, as well as indirectly via the immune system. We studied the *in vivo* effects of trastuzumab using JIMT-1 cells that are intrinsically resistant to trastuzumab *in vitro*, despite ErbB2 gene amplification and receptor...
overexpression. The JIMT-1 cell line is a unique patient-derived model system, which allows for separately studying the direct and immune-mediated growth-inhibitory mechanisms of trastuzumab when using \textit{in vitro} and xenograft models (26).

Our main and unexpected finding was that trastuzumab caused a significant growth inhibition of the outgrowth of macroscopic JIMT-1 xenograft tumors in both nude and SCID mice. The effect was probably mediated via the Fc portion of trastuzumab IgG because the F(ab')$_2$ fragment of trastuzumab was ineffective in the SCID mouse model system, in spite of inhibiting proliferation of trastuzumab-sensitive cells \textit{in vitro} equally well as intact trastuzumab IgG. We attribute the Fc-mediated effects of trastuzumab to ADCC because both nude and SCID mice have functioning macrophages and natural killer cells (31–33) capable of killing tumor cells by ADCC. The antitumor effect of trastuzumab was stronger in nude mice because it prevented the formation of palpable tumors in five out of seven nude mice, whereas it only slowed the growth rate of JIMT-1 xenografts in SCID mice. This phenomenon can be explained by the more severe immunodeficiency of SCID mice lacking both T and B cells (31) compared with nude mice capable of mounting a B cell response against thymus-independent antigens (34, 35). These findings reflect the central role of the immune system in mediating the effects of trastuzumab \textit{in vivo}. In a previous study, Clynes et al. inoculated trastuzumab-sensitive BT-474 cells into knock-out mice lacking activating FcRyIII receptors. In this model system, the antitumor activity of trastuzumab was reduced but not ablated: about 25% of the effect was retained (16). In the same manner, treatment of wild-type mice with the mutated form of 4D5, the parent antibody of trastuzumab, which was made unable to bind to Fc receptors, had a similar partial effect (16). These results indicate that in the case of BT-474 cells, trastuzumab probably triggers both the intrinsic growth-inhibitory and apoptotic regulatory pathways, as well as evokes ADCC. In the case of the intrinsically resistant JIMT-1 cells, the mechanism of action of trastuzumab seems to be exclusively ADCC.

The mutual independence of the intrinsic and immune-mediated effects was further evidenced by \textit{in vitro} ADCC experiments using human peripheral leukocytes as effector cells. The capacity of these cells to kill JIMT-1 and SKBR-3 cells by ADCC was the same despite significant differences in the direct drug-sensitivity assays. Moreover, we found that the down-modulation of ErbB2 receptor from the cell surface upon trastuzumab treatment, which has previously been postulated as the central phenomenon for direct growth inhibition, (10, 36) seems to be mechanistically unrelated to the action of trastuzumab \textit{in vivo}.

The other main finding in our study was that the capacity of the ADCC reaction to inhibit growth of xenograft tumors was clearly dependent on tumor cell load and possibly also the accessibility of tumor cells to effectors. Whereas s.c.
inoculated JIMT-1 cells were initially sensitive to trastuzumab, this sensitivity was gradually lost as the tumors grew larger in size. These results contrast those published for intrinsically trastuzumab-sensitive BT-474 xenografts, where trastuzumab treatment is highly effective also in the case of larger established tumors (17, 37).

Theoretically, it is possible that JIMT-1 xenografts had acquired new resistance mechanisms against ADCC during trastuzumab treatment. However, we find this unlikely because the subline JIMT-X+, generated from a trastuzu- 
mab-treated JIMT-1 xenograft, did not differ from the parental JIMT-1 cells in the in vitro ADCC assays. JIMT-1 X+ cells were able to form xenografts in SCID mice, and the growth of the xenografts was reduced by trastuzumab administration, suggesting that JIMT-1 X+ cells were initially sensitive to trastuzumab also in vivo, although trastuzumab resistance has developed after 3 weeks (data not shown). Thus, it is more likely that in SCID mice, the capacity for ADCC is gradually exhausted with increasing tumor load. These results are coherent with recent clinical observations indicating a surprisingly high efficacy of adjuvant trastuzumab therapy applied at early stages of the tumor, compared with less striking results achieved in overtly metastatic disease (38–40). If the clinical effects of trastuzumab on submacroscopic disease are mainly derived from ADCC, which, based on the present observations, seems to lose its efficacy as the tumor grows, it is possible that clinical benefits may decrease during extended follow-up.

Finally, our results may have important implications in the search for putative trastuzumab resistance mecha- 
nisms. Many groups, including ours, have characterized breast cancer cell lines and clinical tumor specimens for 
intrinsic cellular features such as ErbB2 protein turnover, 
autocrine production of EGF-related ligands (19), activa-
tion of the IGF-I receptor pathway (20), loss of PTEN 
function (23), steric hindrance of ErbB2 on the cell surface 
(22, 41). Although these intrinsic mechanisms may partly 
underlie nonresponsiveness to trastuzumab, our results 
clearly stress the importance of immune-mediated me-
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