Optimizing the development of targeted agents in pancreatic cancer: tumor fine-needle aspiration biopsy as a platform for novel prospective \textit{ex vivo} drug sensitivity assays
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Abstract

At the present time, the optimal development of molecularly targeted anticancer agents is limited by the lack of clinically applicable tools to predict drug effects. This study aimed to develop methods that might be useful in predicting the efficacy of targeted agents in a novel model system of human pancreatic cancer. A series of xenografts were established in nude mice by implanting human pancreatic cancer tissue surgically resected from cancer patients. Animals were treated with the epidermal growth factor receptor inhibitor erlotinib, the mammalian target of rapamycin inhibitor temsirolimus, or vehicle. Tumor cells were sampled by fine-needle aspiration biopsy (FNAB) before (baseline, day 0) and at the completion of 28 days of treatment. Cells obtained at baseline were exposed to erlotinib or temsirolimus in short-term cell culture conditions (\textit{ex vivo}). Western blot analysis was done to determine the degree of inhibition in the phosphorylation of extracellular signal-regulated kinase 1/2 and S6-ribosomal protein (downstream effectors of epidermal growth factor receptor and mammalian target of rapamycin, respectively) \textit{ex vivo} and \textit{in vivo}. Five of six xenografted tumors responded to temsirolimus, whereas only one tumor responded to erlotinib. The results of the \textit{ex vivo} studies correctly predicted the pharmacodynamic effect of the agents \textit{in vivo} as well as their gross anti-tumor effects. Finally, we showed the clinical feasibility of this approach, performing \textit{ex vivo} assessment of drug-target response in FNAB samples from three patients with pancreatic cancer. Cancer cells obtained by FNAB, an established minimally invasive diagnostic procedure, can be used to test \textit{ex vivo} the effects of targeted anticancer agents. These effects correlate with antitumor activity \textit{in vivo} and may therefore provide an important tool applicable to clinical trials. Ultimately, an approach of this nature may facilitate the further refinement of patient selection in favor of individuals with molecular profiles, predicting a greater likelihood of therapeutic benefit. [\textit{Mol Cancer Ther} 2007;6(2):515–23]

Introduction

Accumulating clinical experience suggests that molecularly targeted therapeutics may hold the promise of revolutionizing the treatment landscape available to cancer patients. Nevertheless, it is becoming increasingly evident that traditional drug development paradigms may not be ideally suited to realize the full clinical potential of these new agents. One logical organizing principle is that targeted therapeutics will be effective against tumors in which the target is biologically important and is adequately blocked by the drug (1–3).

The development of imatinib mesylate offers one example of a situation where conventional taxonomic schemes corresponded to a critical and, in this case, effectively treatable molecular target in a preponderance of cases (4, 5). Somewhat in contrast, more recent experience has revealed the striking differential efficacy of epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR)–targeted agents among subgroups of solid tumor patients with distinct molecular profiles (6–12). This suggests the potential of tools for rational patient selection that may define these biologically discrete subpopulations and better realize the potential of these agents for larger numbers of patients (13–15). One principal limitation in this area is the lack of sophisticated preclinical models permitting the development of tissue acquisition protocols and candidate biomarkers predictive of drug actions.

Despite substantial progress made in recent years, there are currently no clinically validated tests to predict the efficacy of a given agent for an individual patient. Although there is consensus supporting the need to develop and integrate the evaluation of predictive biomarkers in clinical trials, the practical application of such an approach is still lagging behind (16). Three main issues define the obstacles in the way of realizing this conceptual goal. As a start, robust and well-validated assays that...
faithfully predict treatment outcomes are needed. Next, such assays must be applicable to readily available clinical materials. Finally, there is a need to develop practical, minimally morbid means of collecting reliable yields of tumor material for correlation of biomarker readout with clinical response.

In this context, we have recently shown that tumor fine-needle aspiration biopsy (FNAB) samples provide enriched cancer cells to assess the efficacy of targeted therapies in vivo (17). In that work, we showed that protein-based markers of target pathway inhibition in vivo correlated with antitumor response to EGFR and mitogen-activated protein/extracellular signal-regulated kinase (ERK) kinase/ERK blockers. To build on that conceptual foundation, we have developed a novel prospective pharmacodynamic system for drug testing in a pancreatic cancer model using patients’ tumor tissue obtained at the time of cancer resection. Tumors were heterotransplanted in athymic mice, expanded to numbers suitable for the evaluation of multiple treatments and then tested with different targeted drugs. Our prior studies showed that these tumors maintain the main features of the originating cancer (18); hence, we believe that their use in preclinical studies reproduces more accurately the clinical scenario compared with studies done with cell lines. Using this model system, in addition to validating the correlation of target pathway inhibition in vivo with antitumor response shown in our earlier work, the present study aimed to develop and evaluate a simple and reliable short-term biological (ex vivo) assay to predict the efficacy of targeted drugs before systemic treatment.

Materials and Methods

Drugs

Erlotinib (OSI-774, Tarceva, OSI Pharmaceuticals, Melville, NY) was dissolved in DMSO as a stock solution and then further diluted to desired concentrations for ex vivo experiments, or prepared for i.p. administration as described (17, 18). Temsirolimus (CCI-779, Wyeth Research, Colleville, PA) was prepared in ethanol and was further diluted for ex vivo experiments or for i.p. injection in xenograft mice as described (19, 20). Drug doses and treatment schedules were based on previous studies (19, 20).

Tumor Xenograft Development and Assessment

Four-week-old female athymic (nu+/nu+) mice were purchased from Harlan Laboratories (Washington, DC). The research protocol was approved by the Johns Hopkins University Animal Care and Use Committee, and animals were maintained in accordance to guidelines of the American Association of Laboratory Animal Care. The tissue acquisition protocol was reviewed and approved by the Johns Hopkins Institutional Review Board. Briefly, cancer tissue was obtained from surgical specimens of patients undergoing pancreatic resection for adenocarcinoma at the Johns Hopkins Hospital and established as s.c. xenografts in nude mice (F1 generation). The tumor xenografts from this initial passage were harvested and reimplanted s.c. in groups of five mice for each patient, with two small pieces per mouse (F2 generation). Tumors were allowed to grow to a size of 1.5 cm, at which point they were harvested, divided into small ~3 x 3 x 3 mm pieces, and transplanted to another 18-22 mice, with two tumors per mouse (F3 generation). Tumors from this second mouse-to-mouse passage were allowed to grow until reaching ~200 mm³, at which time mice were randomized in the following three treatment groups, with six mice in each group per index patient tumor sample: control (vehicle), erlotinib (50 mg/kg/d i.p.), and temsirolimus (20 mg/kg days 1–5 i.p.). Mice were monitored daily for signs of toxicity and were weighed three times per week. Tumor size was evaluated three times per week by caliper measurements using the following formula: tumor volume = (length x width²) / 2 as previously reported (21). Tumor growth inhibition was calculated by tumor growth differential of treated mice divided by tumor growth of control mice: (C – T/C) x 100. With this design, xenografts of each index primary human pancreatic adenocarcinoma served as an internal control for drug response across the panel of six models. Experiments were terminated on day 28. Tumor response was defined as sensitive when tumor growth inhibition was >50% on day 28.

Ex vivo Studies

Tumor cells were collected by FNAB from the xenograft animals before the start of treatment using a sterile 25G short needle, as described in Fig. 1. All samples were confirmed to be enriched for cancer cells by microscopic assessment of a staff cytopathologist at the Johns Hopkins Hospital (S.A.). Tumor samples were immediately transferred into 10-mL sterile prewarmed complete RPMI 1640 culture medium containing 10% fetal bovine serum, penicillin (200 µg/mL), and streptomycin (200 µg/mL). Cells were incubated with 0.04% trypan blue (Sigma, St. Louis, MO) dissolved in PBS [9.1 mmol/L Na₂HPO₄, 1.7 mmol/L NaH₂PO₄, and 150 mmol/L NaCl (pH 7.4)] to assess viability. The viable (membrane intact) and dead cells were then counted, and the total viable cell count was used to calculate final working volumes. Approximately 25,000 viable tumor cells were seeded into each well of a six-well polypropylene microplate. Cells were treated in duplicates with vehicle (control), erlotinib (5 µmol/L), or temsirolimus (1 µmol/L) in a humidified 5% CO₂ incubator.

Figure 1. Schematic of experimental design. FNAB samples were collected before (day 0) and after (day 28) the initiation of therapy. Ex vivo and in vivo sensitivity assays were done to predict and assess the efficacy of therapy in xenograft models of human pancreatic carcinoma.
at 37°C for 6 h. No fibroblast or endothelial cell growth was observed. Following treatment, nonadherent and adherent cells (only a few, collected by scraping) were pooled together and centrifuged at 500 × g for 5 min at 4°C. After washing with PBS, cells were lysed in 100 μL of ice-cold lysis buffer [50 mmol/L Tris-HCl, 0.25 mol/L NaCl, 0.1% (v/v) Triton X-100, 1 mmol/L EDTA, 50 mmol/L NaF, and 0.1 mmol/L Na3VO4 (pH 7.4)] containing protease and phosphatase inhibitors (Roche Molecular Biochemicals, Indianapolis, IN) and analyzed by Western blot.

In vivo FNAB Studies

In parallel with the FNAB samples obtained for the ex vivo studies, additional FNAB samples were collected from each animal before (day 0) and at the end (day 28) of treatment (Fig. 1), as previously described (17). The aspirated material was smeared onto clear glass slides, and all smears were allowed to air-dry and then stained with Diff-Quick stain (Baxter Healthcare, Miami, FL). Five air-dried and Diff-Quik (AD/DQ)–stained cytologic smears were prepared from each tumor sample. The cellular composition of each aspirate was assessed by a certified staff cytopathologist (S.A.) at the Johns Hopkins Hospital under the microscope before protein extraction. To prepare whole-cell lysates, the cells were collected from AD/DQ slides by scraping into ice-cold buffer with protease and phosphatase inhibitors (Roche Molecular Biochemicals). Cell lysates were centrifuged in an Eppendorf microcentrifuge (14,000 rpm, 5 min) at 4°C, and the supernatants were used in Western blot experiments.

Tissue Preparation and Immunohistochemical Analysis

At the completion of the treatment course, xenografted tissues were harvested and fixed in formalin for 24 h. The fixed tissues were paraffin embedded and cut in 0.5-μm sections onto positively charged glass slides for immunohistochemical labeling. Pharmacodynamic effects of the drug in the targeted pathway were analyzed by immunohistochemistry. For immunohistochemical staining, slides were deparaffinized and rehydrated in graded concentrations of alcohol by standard techniques before antigen retrieval in citrate buffer pH 6 for 20 min. Next, the slides were cooled for 20 min before washing in 1 × TBST (DAKO Corp., Carpinteria, CA). All staining was done using a DAKO Autostainer at room temperature. Slides were incubated in 3% H2O2 for 10 min followed by the appropriate dilution of primary antibody for 60 min. Tris-HCl (0.2 mol/L, pH 7.5; Quality Biological, Inc., Gaithersburg, MD) was used as the antibody diluent solution. Slides were incubated in 3% H2O2 for 10 min followed by the appropriate dilution of primary antibody for 60 min. Dilutions of antibodies used were as follows: total ERK1/2 (Cell Signaling Technology, Beverly, MA), 1:25; phosphorylated ERK1/2 (Thr202/Tyr204; Cell Signaling Technology), 1:50; p70S6K (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Santa Cruz, CA), 1:50; and phosphorylated p70S6K (Cell Signaling Technology), 1:50. Negative controls were incubated for 60 min with the antibody diluent solution [0.2 mol/L Tris-HCl (pH 7.5) from Quality Biological].

Western Blot Analysis

Protein concentrations obtained from FNAB samples were quantified before each experiment. Protein extracts (15 μg) were electrophoresed on a 10% (w/v) SDS-PAGE. After electrotransfer to Immobilon-P membranes (Millipore, Bedford, MA), membranes were blocked at room temperature using SuperBlock (Pierce, Rockford, IL) for 1 h. The primary antibodies were diluted at 1:1,000 in 1:10 dilution of SuperBlock solution in TBS, and the membranes were incubated with primary antibodies overnight at 4°C. The antibodies tested were phosphorylated ERK1/2 (Cell Signaling Technology), phosphorylated S6-ribosomal protein (S6-RP; Cell Signaling Technology), total ERK1/2 (Cell Signaling Technology), and total S6-RP (Cell Signaling Technology). The next day, the membranes were washed and incubated for 1 h at room temperature with horseradish peroxidase–conjugated secondary antibodies, rabbit IgG-horseradish peroxidase (Santa Cruz Biotechnology), or mouse IgG-horseradish peroxidase (Santa Cruz Biotechnology) at a final dilution of 1:3,000. Antibody binding was visualized using enhanced chemiluminescence (SuperSignal West Pico, Pierce) and autoradiography.

Results

Experimental Model

To determine whether cancer cells obtained by FNAB before systemic treatment can be used in an ex vivo assay to predict tumor response to targeted therapeutics in vivo, we used primary pancreatic cancer xenograft mouse models bearing tumors surgically resected from pancreatic cancer patients. Cancer cells were harvested by FNAB on day 0 (Fig. 1) and treated with temsirolimus or erlotinib for 6 h, after which signal pathway inhibition was analyzed by Western blot. We found the pharmacodynamic effects at 6 h of treatment to be representative of changes seen at longer incubation times (data not shown). Under these cell culture conditions, no fibroblast and endothelial cell growth was detected (data not shown).

Correlation of Ex vivo Assay with Sensitivity to Temsirolimus

As shown in Fig. 2, treatment with temsirolimus ex vivo inhibited phosphorylation of S6-RP, an important regulatory kinase of the mammalian target of rapamycin pathway, in cells collected from tumor A198, sensitive to therapy, but not in cells from tumor A194, and resistant to the antitumor effect of temsirolimus. Ex vivo treatment of cells did not affect the total levels of S6-RP protein (Fig. 2). The reproducibility of these findings was evaluated in a panel of six primary pancreatic cancer xenografts. Figure 3A (top) summarizes the correlation of inhibition of S6-RP phosphorylation in the ex vivo pharmacodynamic assays done with cells obtained by FNAB before systemic treatment and drug-mediated tumor growth inhibition in vivo. As shown in Fig. 3A, in xenograft animals treated with temsirolimus in vivo, the tumor growth inhibition ranged from 18% to 80%. Except for tumor A194, all other tumors were sensitive to therapy, with >50% tumor growth.
inhibition (in bold). As illustrated in Fig. 3A, temsirolimus treatment blocked S6-RP phosphorylation ex vivo only in cancer cells obtained from tumors sensitive to therapy in vivo.

**Correlation of Ex vivo Assay with Resistance to Erlotinib**

In contrast, erlotinib was less active against the panel of primary pancreatic cancers. Figure 4 illustrates that ex vivo erlotinib treatment of cells from tumor A198, sensitive to erlotinib, resulted in a dramatic inhibition of phosphorylation of ERK1/2, downstream effectors of EGFR. However, ex vivo erlotinib treatment failed to inhibit ERK1/2 phosphorylation in cells obtained from the resistant tumor A265. No changes were observed in the expression levels of total ERK1/2 in treated animals (Fig. 4). As summarized in Fig. 5A, except for A198 (in bold), all the remaining tumors had <50% tumor growth inhibition and were therefore defined as resistant to erlotinib. Consistent with the above described results for the ex vivo analyses of temsirolimus response (Fig. 3A), erlotinib therapy failed to inhibit ERK1/2 activation ex vivo in all resistant tumors (Fig. 5A). These data show that the ex vivo assays can predict tumor response to temsirolimus and erlotinib in pancreatic tumors before in vivo treatment.

**Correlation of In vivo Assay to Ex vivo Assay and In vivo Tumor Growth Inhibition**

To further analyze the pharmacodynamic efficacy of temsirolimus and erlotinib in vivo, AD/DQ–stained smears were prepared from FNAB samples obtained from tumor tissue before initiation (day 0) and at the end (day 28) of treatment (Fig. 1), as previously described (17). Morphologic assessment of the cytologic smears showed that, on average, 90% of the cells were neoplastic with some RBC and negligible amount of connective tissue fragments in the background. No significant apoptosis or necrosis was detected in tumors of control- or drug-treated animals (data not shown), indicating that these agents had a cytostatic rather than cytotoxic effect on tumor cells. Following morphologic evaluation, whole-cell extracts were prepared from AD/DQ–stained tumor FNAB samples, and the expression levels of total and phosphorylated S6-RP and ERK1/2 proteins were determined on Western blot analysis. Overall, across the panel of xenografted primary pancreatic tumors, the pharmacodynamic effect of each drug ex vivo (top) was concordant with in vivo target effect (middle) as well as with changes in tumor volume (Figs. 3A and 5A). The phosphorylation of S6-RP and ERK1/2 was inhibited in vivo only in tumors sensitive to temsirolimus (Fig. 3A) or erlotinib (Fig. 5A), respectively.

**Immunohistochemical Analysis**

To corroborate the changes observed by FNAB analysis, we did immunohistochemical staining of tumor tissue resected from vehicle- and drug-treated animals (Figs. 3B and 5B) and compared results with the Western blot data obtained from in vivo FNAB samples (Figs. 3A and 5A). As illustrated in Fig. 3B, in tumor A198, which was sensitive to treatment, temsirolimus strongly decreased staining for the phosphorylated form of p70S6K (pS6K), a kinase in the mammalian target of rapamycin pathway that regulates the activity of S6-RP. However, no
effect was seen in tumor A194, which did not respond to temsirolimus in vivo. No significant changes were observed in total pS6K staining in treated tumors. These immunohistochemical results correlate with findings observed in Western blot analysis of FNAB specimens from the in vivo treated tumors (Fig. 3A). With erlotinib, however, the immunohistochemical results were rather inconclusive, partly due to the low intensity and focal staining pattern of phosphorylated ERK protein in both vehicle- and erlotinib-treated tumor samples (A198 and A265 xenografts depicted in Fig. 5B). This observation is likely due to the low sensitivity of the immunohistochemical assays to detect phosphorylated ERK1/2 proteins in selected cases, rather than problems associated with the antibody used in these assays, because the same antibody was able to detect ERK1/2 expression in immunohistochemical assays done with other pancreatic tumor samples (data not shown). These results show that the FNAB-based approach is a viable alternative to conventional immunohistochemistry to evaluate morphologic and molecular features of tumor cells in small tumor samples.

Feasibility of Ex vivo Assay in Routine Diagnostic FNAB Material

Finally, to determine whether routine clinical FNAB specimens provide adequately cellular tumor samples to perform ex vivo prediction assays, adenocarcinoma cells were collected by ultrasound-guided FNAB technique from pancreatic cancer patients during routine diagnostic procedures. Tumor cells were isolated by centrifugation from the needle rinse suspensions and treated with vehicle (control), erlotinib, or temsirolimus ex vivo for 6 h. As illustrated in Fig. 6, adenocarcinoma cells with similar cytomorphic features showed variation in their responses to targeted therapeutics ex vivo. In cancer cells collected from patient 1, erlotinib dramatically blocked ERK1/2 phosphorylation, whereas temsirolimus only partially decreased S6-RP phosphorylation ex vivo. In tumor cells of patients 2 and 3, however, erlotinib did not effectively block ERK1/2 activity, whereas temsirolimus inhibited S6-RP phosphorylation. No inhibition was observed in the expression of total ERK1/2 and S6-RP proteins in drug-treated cells (Fig. 6). Although these patients were not subsequently treated with the same agents to correlate ex vivo drug effects with clinical outcome, these results support the conjecture that the ex vivo drug sensitivity assay employed in our preclinical model can be applied to clinical studies for evaluation as a predictor of patient response to targeted therapeutics before the initiation of treatment.

Discussion

The advent of targeted therapy offers the potential of new paradigms in the treatment of human cancer. In spite of
encouraging early results in select settings, contemporary experience has begun to illuminate the relatively substantial challenges in the way of realizing the full promise of this new field. To the extent that we accept the relatively straightforward concept that a given agent will be most effective against those tumors where its target is biologically critical, the obvious next step is developing clinically useful means of recognizing that scenario. The identification and validation of clinically relevant biomarkers of treatment efficacy could provide tools applicable to the enrichment of clinical trials and perhaps, ultimately, individualized tailoring of therapy. Nevertheless, at present, we are limited by the dearth of reliable tools to rationalize treatment selection and monitor efficacy of a given regimen. In this context, we sought to evaluate a novel prospective pharmacodynamic assay in xenograft mouse models of human pancreatic cancer, with source tissue obtained from primary clinical material. Our prior studies showed that these xenograft tumors maintain the features of the index tumor and are representative of the genetic heterogeneity of pancreatic cancer (18).

The results of this study show that relatively small samples of tumor cells obtained by a well-established, minimally invasive diagnostic technique (FNAB) can be used for reproducible assays to predict how tumors will respond to targeted anticancer agents before initiation of therapy. In our panel of xenografts, we found a strong correlation between the pharmacodynamic effects of the drugs on activation of downstream targets in ex vivo conditions and tumor response to therapy with temsirolimus and erlotinib in vivo. These findings build on our earlier work showing the potential utility of pharmacodynamic markers of drug sensitivity (17) with the added value of predicting response before systemic treatment. An additional strength of our study lies in the fact that the source material for the xenograft models was obtained from primary clinical specimens. We believe that such models add value to translational research in oncology, to the extent that they more closely recapitulate the biology of clinical cancers, without the potential confounding selection pressures inherent to the development of cell lines.

We found no meaningful correlation between tumor responsiveness to erlotinib and EGFR expression level (data not shown). Previously published sequencing of the EGFR gene in this panel of tumor xenografts revealed no mutations in the EGFR gene (18). The resistance to erlotinib observed in the majority of our xenograft panel may be due, at least in part, to the high prevalence of activating mutations of K-ras, in pancreatic cancer (22), also seen in this panel of tumor xenografts (18). In fact, studies in lung cancer have shown associations of K-ras mutation with resistance to EGFR-targeted interventions (9, 23). Remarkably, however, primary human pancreatic adenocarcinomas evaluated in this study were highly sensitive to temsirolimus, supporting the importance of mammalian target of rapamycin signaling to proliferation in pancreatic cancer (24). These findings illustrate the importance of validating candidate target markers, a prerequisite for pharmacodynamic-driven drug development.

The impediments to further development in this area may be organized under several broad themes. These relate to the selection and validation of end points or criteria of drug efficacy, the development of assays to evaluate those criteria, and tissue collection and sampling. Prospective determination of antibiotic sensitivity and resistance has been the standard of care in infectious diseases for many years. In contrast, due in part to the lack of reproducible predictive assays, treatment protocols for cancer patients have been empirical and driven by the taxonomy of tumor

---

**Figure 4.** Representative tumor growth (right) and ex vivo assay data (left) from an erlotinib-susceptible (A198) and an erlotinib-resistant (A265) pancreatic cancer xenograft. Tumor cells were obtained by FNAB before systemic treatment. Treatment with 5 μmol/L erlotinib ex vivo inhibited phosphorylated (P-ERK) ERK1/2 in the tumor cells obtained by FNAB from the susceptible tumor (A198), but not from the resistant tumor (A265). No changes were observed in total (T-ERK) levels of ERK1/2 in cells treated ex vivo.
histology rather than a tumor’s sensitivity to a given chemotherapeutic agent. Growth inhibition or cell death has been used in previous iterations of assays of sensitivity to conventional chemotherapeutic agents (25–31). However, due to poor tumor growth under assay conditions, labor-intensive and time-consuming methods, and the use of uncertain criteria for defining “sensitivity” or “resistance,” these assays have not gained wide clinical acceptance. In this context, we present a strategy for rational sensitivity testing predicated upon pharmacodynamic markers specific to the molecular pathways targeted by individual agents.

The majority of available studies attempting to correlate candidate biomarkers and response to targeted agents have been retrospective in nature and focused on static measurements of drug target expression and molecular evidence of dysregulation or activation in tissues (6–12). There are several limitations with this approach. First, the detection of target protein expression in archived pretreatment samples may be inadequate to predict the activity of drugs because the anticancer effect of a given agent may, in actuality, depend upon alterations in signaling both upstream and downstream of the target protein (9, 12). This biological complexity provides a point of departure to begin to understand the range of responses to targeted therapies among individual patients with apparently identical target protein expression levels (32, 33). Furthermore, the conventional approach does not account for potential changes in the biological status of targets over the natural history of an individual case. This is underscored by recent demonstrations of spatial and temporal variation in EGFR expression following chemotherapy as well as in paired primary and metastatic colorectal cancers (34, 35).

We propose that the pharmacodynamic ability of a drug to inhibit the target pathway is more important as a predictor of efficacy than the expression or activation of the target per se. Taking this view, the challenge lies in identifying and characterizing the features of signaling nodes corresponding to biologically important pathway effectors. A distinct advantage of targeted agents is the potential to develop assays specific to the molecular actions of the drug. For this purpose, we used S6-RP and ERK1/2 phosphorylation as two well-validated and frequently used pharmacodynamic markers of mammalian target of rapamycin and EGFR pathway blockade, respectively (36, 37).

Because the positive predictive value of pharmacodynamic assays of target inhibition may be tempered by cross-talking pathways downstream of the marker of interest and by factors such as tumor vasculature, metabolism, and...
drug distribution to the tumor tissue, we believe that the potential utility of assays such as those described herein may be greatest as a tool with high negative predictive value.

The development of assays to predict tumor response to treatment is also hindered in the past by problems of tissue acquisition. Previously explored chemosensitivity assays required relatively large tumor specimens (i.e., surgical biopsies) that necessitated general anesthesia for safe and reliable acquisition (38). FNAB is a minimally invasive, established diagnostic procedure that allows acquisition of enriched tumor cell populations to perform analytic molecular tests (39–45). Our results show that cytologically confirmed tumor FNAB samples can yield viable tumor cells and sufficient protein quantities to analyze the efficacy of targeted drugs before \textit{ex vivo} and during \textit{in vivo} systemic treatment. Given its safety, minimal morbidity, and relative technical ease, FNAB is also suitable for serial sampling over the course of treatment to monitor therapy effect \textit{in vivo}.

The performance of the FNAB studies seems quite feasible in xenograft tumors that, at the size sampled here, contain viable tumor cells with minimal necrotic contamination. An obvious question is whether similar materials can be obtained from patients’ tumors. To address this concern, we evaluated the feasibility of \textit{ex vivo} assays in FNAB materials from diagnostic biopsy materials. The results presented herein suggest that similar results as seen in the animal studies can be obtained from standard clinical materials. Future studies will determine the degree to which the results of such assays correspond to clinically observed treatment effects in humans.

In summary, in a novel \textit{in vivo} model system for drug development and biomarker discovery in pancreatic cancer, FNAB-guided \textit{ex vivo} drug assays seem to be a promising candidate tool to aid in the clinical development of targeted agents. Implementation of approaches such as those outlined herein in clinical studies may result in improved patient selection to maximize potential benefit while sparing patients unlikely to respond to a given agent. Furthermore, this approach theoretically provides a platform for the incorporation of multiple dynamic molecular analytic methods as well as the evaluation of more than one agent simultaneously. In the immediate term, this approach may offer a means of enriching clinical trials to better identify effective candidate regimens for patients with given tumor types. Ultimately, if validated in clinical trials, tools such as these may afford a means of tailoring the most efficient therapeutic regimen for individual patients.
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Figure 6. Tumor FNAB samples were collected from three pancreatic cancer patients, and cancer cells were treated \textit{ex vivo} with vehicle (control), temsirolimus, or erlotinib for 6 h. Whole-cell extracts were prepared, and total expression and phosphorylation levels of ERK1/2 or S6-RP were analyzed on Western blot.
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