Preclinical evaluation of $^{213}$Bi-labeled plasminogen activator inhibitor type 2 in an orthotopic murine xenogenic model of human breast carcinoma
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Abstract

Tumor-associated urokinase plasminogen activator (uPA) is a critical marker of invasion and metastasis, has strong prognostic relevance, and is thus a potential therapeutic target. Experimental data published to date has established the proof-of-principle of uPA targeting by $^{213}$Bi-labeled plasminogen activator inhibitor type 2 ($\alpha$-PAI-2) in multiple carcinoma models. Here, we present preclinical toxicologic and efficacy assessment of $\alpha$-PAI-2 in mice, using both single and multiple-dose schedules, administered by an i.p. route. We also present novel data showing that human PAI-2 inhibited murine uPA and was specifically endocytosed by murine fibroblast cells. This diminishes potential problems associated with species specificity of the targeting reagent in toxicologic assessments as human $\alpha$-PAI-2 should interact with any uPA-expressing host cells. In this model, single bolus doses up to 36 mCi/kg $\alpha$-PAI-2 did not reach the maximum tolerated dose (MTD). The MTD for a multiple fractionated (once daily for 5 days) administration schedule was determined to lie between 4.8 and 6.0 mCi/kg/d $\times$ 5. Comparison of the tumor growth rates and survival using sub-MTD single and multiple-dose schedules in an orthotopic human breast carcinoma xenograft murine model indicated that 4.8 mCi/kg/d $\times$ 5 was the most efficacious schedule. In conclusion, we have determined a safe dose and schedule of $\alpha$-PAI-2 administration in mice, thus confirming that it is an efficacious therapeutic modality against tumor growth. This will allow detailed safety evaluation in a second species and for the initiation of human studies. [Mol Cancer Ther 2007;6(1):203–12]

Introduction

The inappropriate expression and activation of the urokinase plasminogen activator (uPA) system is strongly associated with tumor invasion and metastasis and has a major effect on clinical outcomes (1–6). In this system, uPA binds to its specific receptor (uPAR) and efficiently converts plasminogen into the broad-spectrum protease plasmin, which promotes cell invasion and metastasis by directly and indirectly degrading extracellular matrix (1, 2). The proteolytic activity and turnover of uPA is regulated by plasminogen activator inhibitor types 1 and 2 (PAI-1 and PAI-2; SerpinE1, SerpinB2, respectively; refs. 7–9). PAI-1 and uPA, via uPAR, can also alter cellular adhesion and migration properties through mechanisms independent of proteolysis (10).

Clinically, uPA/PAI-1 is the only biomarker that has level one evidence as a prognostic marker in early breast cancer and for predicting resistance to hormone therapy in advanced breast cancer (3, 11). These data are strongest for breast cancer but there is good evidence for prognostic significance in multiple tumor types (3). Furthermore, localization studies indicate that quiescent, normal, or benign tissues do not express significant levels of uPA/PAI-1 compared with invasive carcinoma and metastases (2). Depending on the carcinoma type, components of the uPA system are often expressed by tumor stromal cells (4) that may be highly supportive of the tumor (12, 13).

The uPA system thus represents an accessible target for therapeutic intervention. Not surprisingly, there has been a concomitant rapid expansion in the number of studies using novel uPA-targeted therapeutic strategies (14), the majority of which are based on small-molecule uPA inhibitors and uPAR-binding antagonists (3, 4). To be clinically useful, these compounds not only have to be specific but also have to be highly stable in vivo as they need to elicit a continuous inhibitory effect. To this end, protein-based cytotoxin targeting strategies are attractive because their larger size enables improved pharmacokinetic properties, while specifically delivering toxic payloads to targeted cells (15). We have used a novel approach to target metastatic tumor cells based on the nontoxic, specific
uPA-inhibitory properties of recombinant human PAI-2, which can be labeled with the cytotoxin $^{131}$I, an α-emitting radioisotope (α-PAI-2; ref. 16). α-PAI-2 was shown to specifically kill uPA-expressing tumor cells in vitro in a dose-dependent manner (16, 17) and to be efficacious in preliminary studies using mouse models of human tumors (17, 18). Radio-iodinated PAI-2 has also been shown to localize in human colorectal uPA-expressing tumor xenografts in nude mouse models (19), thus providing proof-of-principle data for the uPA-targeting capability of PAI-2.

Given that the efficient and rapid inhibition of carcinoma cell surface uPAR bound uPA by PAI-2 results in specific receptor-mediated endocytosis of the uPAR/uPA/PAI-2 complex (8, 20), PAI-2 is thus efficiently and specifically able to deliver and concentrate attached cytotoxins within targeted cells. As α-emitting radionuclides emit particles with a very high linear energy transfer (~100 keV/μm) but short path length of 1 to 2 cell diameters (21), only the targeted cell and possibly its immediate neighboring cells would be killed.

We have previously undertaken detailed pharmacokinetic and biodistribution analyses of PAI-2 in mice with and without tumors (19). The focus of this study was to determine a safe and efficacious dose schedule of α-PAI-2 against orthotopic human breast carcinoma xenograft growth in mice. We also present data to show that the specificity during the toxicologic assessment of α-PAI-2 was shown to protect internalized PAI-2/Alexa 488 fluorescence from quenching by the intact membrane of viable cells.

Materials and Methods

Cell Lines and Animals

MDA-MB-231 human breast carcinoma and L929 mouse fibroblast cell lines were cultured in RPMI 1640 supplemented with 5% heat-inactivated FCS at 37°C with a 5% CO₂/95% atmosphere (22). Expression of cell surface uPAR and uPA was verified by indirect immunofluorescence using dual-color flow cytometry as described (23). Female Arc(s)nu/nu mice, ages 4 to 5 weeks, were purchased from the Animal Resources Centre (Canning Vale, WA, Australia) and were housed in a ventilated storage cabinet under positive pressure in autoclaved filter-top cages. The University of Wollongong Animal Ethics Committee approved all animal experimentation.

Orthotopic Breast Carcinoma Xenograft Model

To establish orthotopic breast carcinoma xenografts, single cell suspensions of subconfluent cultures of MDA-MB-231, prepared at a concentration of 1 x 10⁶ cells/100 μL in PBS, were injected into each of the mammary fat pads of the first pair of nipples of mice. Cell preparations with >90% viability resulted in an 85% likelihood of each animal developing tumors (data not shown). For “early” tumor xenograft models, the tumor cells were allowed to grow for 1 week after cell implantation before further treatments. At this stage, tumors were not visible or palpable. “Established” tumor xenograft models were obtained by allowing 3 weeks for the implanted tumor cells to grow before further treatments. By this time, most tumors were small but palpable (mean ± SE tumor volume, 26 ± 7 mm³).

Kinetic Analysis of PAI-2 Inhibition of Soluble uPA from Various Species

Association rate constants for the inhibition of human, mouse, and rabbit uPA with human recombinant 47 kDa PAI-2 (PAI-2 Pty Ltd., Australia) were determined by kinetic and fluorimetric assays, using the substrate ZGGRAMC (Sigma-Aldrich Chemical Co., St. Louis, MO) at 0.4 nmol/L in 20 nmol/L Tris, 150 mmol/L NaCl (pH 7.4) with 0.1% gelatin, using a BioTek FL500 plate reader with excitation at 360 nm and emission at 460 nm. Data were analyzed for equation fit using KaleIdaGraph (Abelbeck Software, Reading, PA). Inhibition kinetics used the integrated form of the association rate equation (24) with the assumption of complete inhibition at steady-state conditions. To ensure pseudo-first-order kinetics, the enzyme concentrations, determined by active-site titration with the irreversible inhibitor Glu-Gly-Arg-chloromethyl ketone (Calbiochem, Germany), were used at <1 nmol/L.

PAI-2/uPAR Pull-Down and PAI-2 Internalization Assays

The interaction of human recombinant PAI-2 with receptor-bound murine uPA on mouse L929 fibroblast cells was verified using a biotinylated PAI-2 pull-down assay. Briefly, L929 and MCF-7 (human breast carcinoma positive control) cell monolayers were preincubated for 15 min in the absence or presence of receptor-associated protein (RAP; 200 nmol/L). RAP is a specific ligand of low-density lipoprotein receptor family members and is used to block other potentially interacting ligands with low-density lipoprotein receptors (20). After washing, the cells were incubated in the absence (negative control) or presence of 10 nmol/L biotinylated PAI-2 in ice-cold binding buffer for 1 h. Following this, the cells were washed twice, lysed by incubation for 20 min on ice with lysis buffer (150 mmol/L NaCl, 50 mmol/L Tris-HCl, 1% Triton-X100, 1% sodium deoxycholate, and 1 mmol/L CaCl₂), and centrifuged at 10,000 g for 5 min at 4°C. After a preclearing step using agarose beads, the resulting cleared lysate supernatant was incubated with 50 μL streptavidin-agarose beads for 1 h on ice with shaking. Following this, the beads were extensively washed and bound proteins were eluted by boiling in nonreducing sample buffer (20).
Radiolabeling of PAI-2-Diethylenetriaminetriacetic Acid with $^{213}$Bi

Recombinant human PAI-2 was conjugated with cyclic diethylenetriaminopentaacetic acid [PAI-2-diethylenetriaminetriacetic acid conjugate (PAI-2-DTTA); ref. 16]. Actinium-225 generators (U.S. Department of Energy) were prepared and washed as previously described (16), and $^{213}$Bi was eluted into 0.2 mol/L citrate buffer (pH 5.5) containing 1× PBS. PAI-2-DTTA was then added at a ratio of 1 μg protein to 3 μCi (111 kBq) $^{213}$Bi (unless specified otherwise) and reacted at room temperature for 5 min, forming PAI-2-DTTA$^{213}$Bi (referred to as α-PAI-2). The percentage of incorporation of $^{213}$Bi was determined as previously described by Ranson et al. (16) and was routinely obtained at >90% incorporation. In some experiments, the specific activity of α-PAI-2 was varied and the effect on protein structural integrity and ability to form SDS-stable complexes with uPA as well as cell cytotoxicity in vitro were assessed as previously described (16).

α-PAI-2 Administration

All α-PAI-2 and control preparations were adjusted to a final volume of 200 μL using the buffer control [0.06 mol/L HI, 0.2 mol/L citrate buffer (pH 5.5), and 1× PBS] and administered to mice by an i.p. route. Similar patterns of $^{[125]}$I PAI-2 biodistribution in nude mice were observed by i.p., i.v., or s.c. administration (19), indicating that the former is a suitable surrogate route for systemic administration of α-PAI-2 in these small animal models. The radioactivity of each injection preparation was measured using an AtomLab 100 Dose Calibrator (Protronic Technologies, Victoria, Melbourne, Australia) immediately before and following i.p. administration. Radioactivity remaining in syringes after administration was negligible, confirming that >95% of radioactivity was successfully administered. The actual dose of α-activity each animal received in mCi/kg (equivalent to 37 MBq/kg) was calculated based on the total dose administered (corrected for the $^{213}$Bi/$^{198}$Au calibration factor as previously described in ref. 25) and the mouse weight.

Toxicity Studies for Determination of Maximum Tolerated Dose in Mice

The dose-tolerance relationship was examined in nude mice for a single bolus or multiple fractionated (once daily for 5 consecutive days) administration schedule of α-PAI-2 compared with control treatments. This was done using a dose-escalation protocol noting the onset of dose-limiting toxicity defined as end points of either 15% loss of body weight or distressed behavior (i.e., loss of appetite and activity, hunched posture). Animal weights were compared with day 0 (first day of treatment administration) to determine percentage weight change. Mice were sacrificed at either 20% weight loss from day 0 or at the end of the observation period, whichever arose first. The maximum tolerated dose (MTD) was defined as the highest dose at which one third of the cohort reached dose-limiting toxicity end points (26).

After administration of α-PAI-2 or control treatments, mice were closely monitored and weighed daily for 7 days, then on days 10 and 14, and twice weekly until end points were reached or until the end of the observation period, which did not exceed 90 days. At this stage, the animals were euthanized (overdose of inhaled CO2) and the internal organs were macroscopically examined for signs of toxicity. Because previous studies found no toxicity associated with the targeting agent PAI-2 (7, 17, 18) and biodistribution of the radiolabeled compound to major organs was limited to the kidneys and liver (19), histologic assessments were restricted to these organs and one lymphoid organ, the spleen. Blood smears were taken and blood was also collected into K3 EDTA and Z serum gel minicollect tubes (Greiner Bio-one) for hematology and serum blood biochemistry to complete the toxicologic assessment. Blood biochemistry, hematology, and histopathology of animal organs and professional analyses were undertaken by an accredited animal pathology laboratory (ICP Firefly Pty Ltd., Sydney, Australia or IDEXX Laboratories, Sydney, Australia).

Efficacy Studies Using Early and Established Human Breast Carcinoma Xenograft Models

Nude mice bearing early or established breast carcinoma xenografts (see above) underwent i.p. administration of α-PAI-2 or various control treatments using either the single-dose or multiple-fractionated-dose administration schedules as described in the toxicity studies. Animals were also monitored and weighed as described in the toxicity studies. Once a tumor was visible (~5 mm at the longest diameter), it was measured twice weekly using calipers along the x and y plane of the tumor. Tumor volume was determined using the formula volume = $a \times (b^2)/2$, where $a$ is the largest diameter and $b$ is the smallest diameter. Tumor volume in both mammary fat pads was calculated and the values were combined to give a combined tumor volume for each animal. Antitumor assessment of treatments was determined by reduction in tumor growth rate compared with controls as determined by tumor volume and effect on overall survival.

In addition to the experimental end points outlined above, the efficacy experiment end points were defined as a tumor size of 15×15 mm along the longest axes or that impeded animal movement, and/or end of observation period (up to 90 days). At this stage, animals were euthanized and dissected, and major organs were removed, weighed, and examined as described above. Tumors were excised, washed, weighed, and fixed for 24 h in 10% neutral-buffered formalin. After embedding in paraffin, the tissues were sectioned and stained for uPA antigen or with H&E and examined macroscopically by a pathologist.

Statistical Analyses

All graphs were created using GraphPad Prism (version 4). Combined tumor volumes and weight changes were compared using a Student’s t test (JMP version 5.1). Survival curves were analyzed by the Kaplan-Meier log-rank test (GraphPad Prism version 4). Animals that reached the end of the observation period without reaching survival end points were censored in statistical analysis of survival. $P < 0.05$ was considered statistically significant.
Results

Validation of the Xenogenic Cancer Mouse Model for Preclinical Analysis

Tumors xenografted into the mammary fat pads of mice using human MDA-MB-231 express human uPA and uPAR (4, 27). As previously shown (28), we found heterogeneous human uPA immunoreactivity in sections of the tumor xenografts and the overall degree of staining varied between individual tumors (Fig. 1A). Host stromal cells within the xenografts also stained positive for mouse uPA (Fig. 1B). Given the presence of stromal cell uPA/uPAR within the tumor xenografts, and that various murine tissues may also express uPA/uPAR (4, 29), we examined the relative species specificity of human recombinant PAI-2 to better appraise α-PAI-2–mediated toxicity and efficacy using murine xenograft models. As previously shown (7), human PAI-2 is a highly efficient and fast inhibitor of soluble human uPA (second-order rate constant of $3.6 \pm 0.5 \times 10^6$ L mol$^{-1}$s$^{-1}$). Interestingly, human PAI-2 inhibited rabbit and murine uPA with second-order association rate constants of $2.4 \pm 0.1 \times 10^6$ and $5.1 \pm 1.1 \times 10^4$ L mol$^{-1}$s$^{-1}$, respectively. Although, human PAI-2 was a comparatively less efficient inhibitor of murine uPA, this reaction rate is still considered fast and, not surprisingly, human uPA was able to form SDS-stable complexes with mouse uPA (data not shown). In addition, using uPA/uPAR–expressing murine fibroblast L929 cells as a model host fibroblast stromal cell, human PAI-2 was endocytosed in a RAP-sensitive manner by these cells (Fig. 1C). The ability of human PAI-2 to interact with uPA/uPAR on the L929 cells and human carcinoma cells, previously shown to internalize PAI-2 in a RAP- and uPA/uPAR–dependent manner (8, 20), was confirmed using a pull-down assay (Fig. 1C, inset).

Effect of α-PAI-2 – Specific Activity

Using the citrate buffer system, PAI-2-DTTA can be reproducibly labeled with $^{213}$Bi at a ratio of 0.3 to 30 µCi/µg with >90% incorporation within 5-min incubation at room temperature (data not shown). Furthermore, incorporated $^{213}$Bi was strongly chelated because a maximum of 15% of
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**Figure 1.** A and B, heterogeneous expression of uPA in human MDA-MB-231 breast carcinoma xenografts excised from nude mice. Four-micrometer paraffin sections of human breast carcinoma xenografts were subjected to heat-induced antigen retrieval under high pH conditions and blocked with 10% normal horse serum. Sections were incubated with (A) 7.5 µg/mL mouse anti-human uPA monoclonal antibody (American Diagnostica), (B) 10 µg/mL rabbit anti-mouse uPA polyclonal antibody (Molecular Innovations, Inc., Southfield, MI; recognizes both human and murine uPA), or mouse/rabbit IgG isotype control (inset), washed and incubated with appropriate biotinylated secondary antibodies. ABC reagent and 3,3’-diaminobenzidine substrate were used to detect the antigens as per manufacturer’s instructions (Vector Laboratories, Burlingame, CA). Tissue sections were counterstained with Mayer’s hematoyxin. Both tumor and stromal cells display positive staining for uPA (brown). Arrow, tumor cells surrounded by stromal cells. C, human PAI-2 is endocytosed by murine fibroblasts. i, cell surface expression of uPA and uPAR by mouse L929 fibroblasts was verified by dual-color flow cytometry using anti-uPA and anti-uPAR polyclonal antibodies. Columns, mean ($n$ = 3); bars, SE. ii, human PAI-2 is endocytosed by L929 cells in a specific manner as determined by the fluorescence quenching internalization assay. Attached L929 cells were preincubated for 15 min in the absence (–RAP) or presence (+RAP) of RAP before incubation with PAI-2/Alexa488. Following this, the cell monolayers were washed, detached, and resuspended in ice-cold binding buffer in the presence of anti-Alexa 488 polyclonal antibody and analyzed by flow cytometry. Percentage internalization was determined by dividing the fluorescence due to internalized PAI-2 in the presence of RAP by the total amount of internalized PAI-2 in the absence of RAP. Columns, mean ($n$ = 3); bars, SE. Inset, biotinylated human PAI-2 bound to the cell surface pulled down murine uPAR from L929 cells (lane 2) and human uPAR from MCF-7 cells (lane 3), compared with a lysate only (no PAI-2) control (lane 1).
213Bi was stripped from α-PAI-2 prepared at 3 or 15 μCi/μg, when challenged with a 50-fold molar excess of free diethylentriaminepentaacetic acid for 45 min in RPMI/5% FCS at 37°C. However, when analyzed by SDS-PAGE, bands representing α-PAI-2 at 15 and 30 μCi/μg were barely visible compared with those representing α-PAI-2 at 0.3 and 3 μCi/μg, although equivalent amounts of protein were loaded in all lanes (Fig. 2A), suggesting protein degradation at these higher specific activities. Autoradiography of the gels showed an increase in the intensity of radioactive degradation products at the bottom of the gel with increasing specific activities of α-PAI-2 (Fig. 2B). All of this corresponded with the observation of maximal uPA/PAI-2 complex formation using α-PAI-2 at 0.3 or 3 μCi/μg (note that bands representing uncomplexed α-PAI-2 were barely evident in the autoradiogram; Fig. 2B). This indicates that specific activities of α-PAI-2 >3 μCi/μg result in inactive and/or partially degraded PAI-2 protein. Indeed, the cytotoxicity of equivalent radioactive doses of α-PAI-2 against MDA-MB-231 cells decreased with increasing specific activity (Fig. 2C). Therefore, α-PAI-2 at a specific activity of 3 μCi/μg was selected for preclinical assessment in mice.

Toxicologic Evaluation of α-PAI-2

Single-Dose Tolerance Evaluation. A single-dose administration of α-PAI-2 at 12.6, 19.5, or 25.8 mCi/kg did not reach toxicity end points (Fig. 3A). A small acute weight loss of 5% to 8% from day 0 was observed in all cohorts; however, this was quickly recovered by day 14 with all mice gaining weight thereafter. Animals in the control and 25.8 mCi/kg cohort were monitored for 84 days posttreatment (data not shown) and no signs of delayed toxicity were observed. There were no macroscopic signs of chronic toxicity to major organs from any of these animals. There was also no macroscopic signs of acute organ toxicity from mice sacrificed 3 and 7 days after administration of ~24 mCi/kg α-PAI-2. We also assessed the toxicity of higher single doses of α-PAI-2 compared with free 213Bi by analyzing the weight changes from a cohort of mice prepared for efficacy studies during the period in which their tumors were not yet established (Fig. 3B). For direct comparison to the single-dose studies above, a 24 mCi/kg α-PAI-2 dose was included (Fig. 3B). This showed that the presence of an early tumor xenograft did not have a significant effect on the mean weight changes, which was <10% from day 0. Furthermore, as only 10% of mice given 34.8 mCi/kg α-PAI-2 reached toxicity end points of 15% weight loss (Fig. 3B), and none showed other signs of distress, the MTD was not reached. In contrast, 50% of mice given 36 mCi/kg free 213Bi reached toxicity end points of 15% weight loss (Fig. 3B). Moreover, other toxicity end points (hunched posture, lack of activity and grooming, and poor skin coloration) were clearly evident in the free 213Bi cohort, even at day 15 when there was no longer any weight differences between the free 213Bi and highest dose α-PAI-2 cohorts.

Multiple Fractionated [Once Daily for 5 Consecutive Days (Daily × 5)] Dose Tolerance Evaluation. Based on the

Figure 2. Assessment of α-PAI-2 integrity and uPA-binding ability and in vitro cytotoxicity. A, equal amounts of PAI-2 protein from α-PAI-2 preparations made at specific activities of 0.3, 3, 15, and 30 μCi/μg were incubated at 37°C for 60 min in the absence or presence of equal amounts of excess uPA; aliquots containing equal protein amounts were fractionated by 12% SDS-PAGE stained using Coomassie blue. B, the SDS-PAGE gels were exposed to a phosphor screen for 3 h and scanned using the Storm scanner (GE Healthcare, Fairfield, CT) before Coomassie blue staining. Odd lanes, α-PAI-2 alone; even lanes, α-PAI-2/uPA complexes; lane 9, uPA alone showing the presence of high molecular weight (55 kDa) and low molecular weight (33 kDa) forms of uPA. Arrows, complexes between α-PAI-2 and high and low molecular weight uPA. C, MDA-MB-231 cells were detached and treated with the specified doses of α-PAI-2 at 3 μCi/μg (■), 15 μCi/μg (▲), and 30 μCi/μg (●). For each dose of the different specific activities, the corresponding control treatment received the same volume of elution buffer and the same amount of unlabeled PAI-2-DTTA. Survival was determined after overnight treatment compared with these controls. Points, mean (n = 3); bars, SE. Survival plots of MDA-MB-231 cells were fitted to one-phase exponential decay models. Models were selected according to the best fit; none of the data deviated from the fitted model as judged by tests done by GraphPad software. Dotted line, Do (37% survival).
Preclinical Analysis of α-PAI-2

Figure 3. Dose-tolerance relationship for escalating single-dose administration of α-PAI-2 in mice. Average percentage weight changes compared with day 0 (i.e., day of α-PAI-2 administration). A, dose-tolerance relationship for mice without tumors. Control (buffer alone; ○, black line), 12.6 ± 0.3 mCi/kg α-PAI-2 (●, black dashed line), 19.5 ± 0.3 mCi/kg α-PAI-2 (□, gray line), and 25.8 ± 0.6 mCi/kg α-PAI-2 (△, gray dashed line). Points, mean (n = 4, 3, 6, and 6, respectively); bars, SE. B, dose-tolerance relationship for mice with tumor xenografts that were not yet palpable (i.e., treatments were administered 7 d post tumor cell inoculation). Control (buffer alone; ○, black line), 24.0 ± 0.6 α-PAI-2 (□, black dashed line), 34.8 ± 0.3 α-PAI-2 (△, gray line), and 36.0 ± 0.3 mCi/kg 213Bi (△, gray dashed line). Points, mean (n = 10 in each cohort); bars, SE. *, P < 0.05, values at which all cohorts are significantly different from each other at specific time points.

The multiple-dose administration schedule was assessed against the HI/citrate/PBS buffer, PAI-2-DTTA, 213Bi, and no-injection controls to determine the toxicity of the individual components of α-PAI-2 as well as the treatment schedule. The no-injection cohort was simply handled daily as per the treatment cohorts. There was no significant difference between any of these controls, excluding 213Bi (Fig. 4B). This indicates that PAI-2-DTTA was not toxic and any weight loss may be attributed to injection stress/handling, which was minor and quickly recovered. Comparison of equivalent doses of 6.0 mCi/kg/d × 5 of α-PAI-2 (4A) to 213Bi (Fig. 4B) indicated that the latter caused larger acute weight losses (minus 15–20% for all mice), which were not regained by the end of the observation period (P < 0.05 at day 25). The 213Bi cohort also showed signs of distress, such as hunched posture and a gray skin coloration throughout.
Histopathologic and Blood Analyses. Histopathologic analysis of major organs (liver, kidney, and spleen) removed from mice in the 60 mCi/kg/d × 5 α-PAI-2 cohort at day 30, as well as from mice in the 24 mCi/kg α-PAI-2 cohort at days 3 and 7, confirmed the absence of acute organ toxicity as no abnormalities were evident (data not shown). However, an increase in WBC counts compared with controls was observed at days 3 and 7 after 24 mCi/kg α-PAI-2 administration (3.5- and 1.8-fold, respectively). This suggested either a short-term inflammatory response and/or necrosis due to the treatment, as blood cell counts taken from mice sacrificed 33 to 74 days after 24 or 36 mCi/kg α-PAI-2 administration in either of the treatment cohorts were not significantly different to controls (data not shown). In contrast, mice from the 36 mCi/kg 213Bi cohort showed signs of distress. This included evidence of failing renal function (e.g., urea levels 5-fold higher than controls) and liver dysfunction potentially associated with relatively modest cell death (e.g., liver enzyme alanine aminotransferase levels 3-fold higher than controls). Histopathologic analysis confirmed liver and kidney damage by 213Bi.

Evaluation of Efficacy of α-PAI-2 against Early (7-Day) and Established (21-Day) MDA-MB-231 Tumor Xenografts

Single-Dose Efficacy against Early (7-Day) Tumors. The effect of a single bolus dose of α-PAI-2 at 24.0 and 34.8 mCi/kg on tumor growth rates and host survival was assessed using the early tumor model. As 25.8 mCi/kg α-PAI-2 did not reach MTD, the higher dose was incorporated for the purposes of observing a dose response for efficacy and extending our knowledge of the toxicity of high single doses of α-PAI-2 (discussed above). Although not significant at all time points nor dose dependent, decreased tumor growth rates in treatment cohorts compared with the control cohort were observed (Fig. 5A). However, compared with controls, a significant survival advantage was conferred by administration of 34.8 mCi/kg but not 24.0 mCi/kg α-PAI-2 (Fig. 5B).

Multiple Fractionated (Daily × 5) Dose Efficacy against Early (7-Day) and Established (21-Day) Tumors. The effect of ∼4.8 mCi/kg/d × 5 α-PAI-2 administered from 7 days (Fig. 6A and B) and 21 days (Fig. 6C and D) after tumor cell inoculation against tumor growth rates and host survival were examined. There was a significant delay in the tumor growth rate using either tumor model after completion of this α-PAI-2 administration schedule (Fig. 6A and C), suggesting that α-PAI-2 is equally effective against established (palpable) tumors. Furthermore, in either model, multiple-fractionated-dose administration of α-PAI-2 conferred a significant survival advantage compared with controls (Fig. 6B and D). For example, at day 40 after α-PAI-2 treatment, all animals were alive, whereas 66% of control mice were sacrificed due to reaching tumor size end points (Fig. 6D). Given the lack of toxicity at these doses, it is conceivable that a second dose of α-PAI-2 could be administered at day 40 to prolong survival time.

Discussion

There is extensive experimental, preclinical, and clinical data that supports the role of the uPA system as a marker of malignancy (2, 3, 13). We have previously shown the potential of α-PAI-2 as an efficacious anti-uPA–targeted therapy against metastatic breast carcinoma models (16–18). Herein, extensive preclinical safety and efficacy evaluation data of α-PAI-2 is described. Variation of the specific activity of 0.3 to 30 μCi/μg had pronounced effects on the α-PAI-2 preparations and their cytotoxicity in vitro. At specific activities of ≥15 μCi/μg, α-PAI-2 started to degrade, probably due to radiolysis, and this was likely responsible for the reduced cytotoxicity in vitro. The specific activity of 3 μCi/μg was thus selected to conduct the extensive preclinical evaluation of α-PAI-2 as (a) this preparation did not affect the structural integrity of PAI-2.
or its uPA-binding ability and (b) \(^{213}\text{Bi}\) incorporation was stable, at least over the time frame incorporating \(^{213}\text{Bi}\) half-life of 46 min.

We took advantage of the uPA-expressing MDA-MB-231 human tumor cell line to prove the concept of specific receptor-bound uPA targeting by PAI-2 in vivo. However, it has been suggested that xenogenic tumor models may not be suitable surrogates for anti–uPA/uPAR targeting strategies in human cancer (4). This is particularly the case for uPAR antagonists as there are significant species specificity differences in the uPA/uPAR interaction (4, 13). However, because human PAI-2 inhibited mouse uPA and was internalized by a murine fibroblastic cell line via receptor-bound uPA in an low-density lipoprotein receptor family–dependent manner, then host tissue and/or host stromal cells expressing active uPA should also internalize α-PAI-2. It is acknowledged that at the probable low concentrations of α-PAI-2 in tissues, the reaction rate may be too slow to allow PAI-2 to act as an efficient inhibitor of murine uPA. For example, from the second-order association rate constants, the calculated time for effective inhibition of mouse uPA by human PAI-2 would be ~70-fold slower than equivalent concentrations of human PAI-2 against human uPA. However, any cytotoxic effect may only require a fraction of the actual enzyme inhibition due to the high cellular toxicity of the α particle emissions. Therefore, the use of a mouse model should provide a reasonable estimation of nonspecific toxicity due to human α-PAI-2.

Thus, the dose-tolerance relationship for both single and multiple fractionated dose administration schedules of α-PAI-2 were examined. Single-dose administration up to 34.8 mCi/kg α-PAI-2 did not reach toxicity end points, indicating that the MTD for a single-dose regimen is >34.8 mCi/kg. Higher single doses of α-PAI-2 were not examined because, based on a body surface area calculation, this dose is higher than that which was possible to administer to humans using \(^{213}\text{Bi}\)CD33 against myeloid leukemia in a phase 1 clinical trial (30). No mice reached toxicity end points when given 4.8 mCi/kg/d × 5 (an accumulated dose of 24 mCi/kg) compared with 75% of mice given 6.0 mCi/kg/d × 5 α-PAI-2 (an accumulated dose of 30 mCi/kg), indicating that the MTD lies between 4.8 and 6.0 mCi/kg/d × 5. However, all animals recovered their pretreatment weights well within the observation period, and abnormal blood and histopathologic variables...
of both acute and chronic toxicity to major organs were not evident. Our estimation of the MTD for α-PAI-2 is thus probably conservative, and higher doses per kilogram for the human equivalent MTD should not be ruled out in a clinical trial setting, especially if tumor control cannot be established without 15% weight loss.

The administration of $^{213}$Bi alone, using either administration schedule, resulted in greater weight losses that took longer to recover than with the equivalent dose of α-PAI-2. In addition, mice showed other signs of toxicity (chronic kidney and liver dysfunction) not apparent in the α-PAI-2 treatment cohorts. This suggests that PAI-2 targets the radiotoxin to uPA-expressing cells only, thus providing a significant therapeutic advantage. Indeed, the presence of a tumor significantly increases $^{125}$I-PAI-2 blood clearance rates compared with tumor-free mice and causes less $^{125}$I-PAI-2 to accumulate in the major organs (19), possibly via enhanced binding and degradation of PAI-2 through tumor/stromal cell uPA. Given the potential targeting ability of host (murine) uPA-expressing cells by α-PAI-2, and the lack of significant toxicity in mice, these differences in toxicity further confirm uPA-targeting selectivity and lack of nonspecific toxicity of PAI-2. This also suggests that, as was shown in vitro, the majority of $^{213}$Bi remains incorporated with PAI-2-DTTA in vivo.

A second, nonrodent species is usually chosen for full toxicologic assessment of a new therapeutic before proceeding to human trials. However, as the second-order association rate constants between human PAI-2 and rabbit uPA are of the same magnitude as human PAI-2 and human uPA, rabbits would be an appropriate second species for such studies. Confirmation of the MTD and detailed toxicology should be done in rabbits using the multiple-dose schedule of α-PAI-2 before proceeding to human trials. In addition, whole-body imaging of rabbits treated at the MTD could also be done with α-PAI-2 to provide data for dosimetry calculations as described by McDevitt et al. (31).

Although both single- and multiple-fractionated-dose administration schedules resulted in early tumor growth delay, a survival advantage was not significant for the 25.8 mCi/kg dose compared with the 4.8 mCi/kg/d × 5 dose. Furthermore, the sub-MTD dose of 4.8 mCi/kg/d × 5 α-PAI-2 also conferred a significant survival advantage against established tumors. Using the single-dose schedule, the effect on tumor growth rate was not dose dependent nor was it significantly different from controls at all time points, even at 36 mCi/kg. Thus, the 4.8 mCi/kg/d × 5 dose of α-PAI-2 was the safest and most efficacious schedule against breast carcinoma growth in mice. Because this dose of α-PAI-2 caused little toxicity and did not result in radiation damage to kidneys and livers in mice, a second cycle of treatment could possibly be administered to prolong the survival conferred by α-PAI-2 in tumor-bearing mice.

The fact that the multiple-dose administration schedule tested here did not eradicate tumors may be attributed to the conservative dose used, as well as to an uneven distribution of cell surface uPA expression in this tumor model (as would be expected in human carcinoma) and the short path-length and half-life of $^{213}$Bi. Nevertheless, the significant reduction in primary tumor growth is noteworthy because targeted α radionuclides are usually most effective for treating small-volume diseases, such as leukemias and micrometastases, or residual disease post surgical removal of the tumor burden (15, 31, 32). The relatively small size of PAI-2 (47 kDa) may assist tumor penetration (15). It is possible that α-PAI-2 also targeted cell surface uPA–expressing stromal cells in the tumor tissue and that this may have assisted in tumor growth retardation as the stroma is thought to support tumor growth (4, 13, 19). Moreover, there has been growing appreciation for the nontargeted effect of radiation in general (33) and α particles specifically (33–35), referred to as the “bystander effect.” This effect is related to the release of enzymes and factors (e.g., cytokines) from directly hit cells that may have a significant biological effect on the surrounding cells (33). Whether α-PAI-2 also initiates this bystander effect in tumors remains to be determined.

Clinical trials using targeted monoclonal antibody approaches and traditional chemotherapy have shown significant synergistic effects leading to much improved outcomes (36). Although efficacious against a primary tumor model as a single agent, we envisage that α-PAI-2 would be most useful as an adjuvant therapy following conventional therapy to prevent invasion and metastasis and control micrometastases without the serious side effects associated with current therapies.
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