Discovery and preclinical evaluation of a novel class of small-molecule compounds in hormone-dependent and -independent cancer cell lines
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Abstract
We discovered a series of salicylhydrazide class of compounds with remarkable anticancer activity against a panel of hormone receptor–positive and -negative cell lines. In the present study, we evaluated the in vitro activity of SC21 and SC23 against a range of human tumor cell types and the in vivo efficacy of compound SC21 in a PC3 human prostate cancer xenograft model in mice. We also determined the effects of SC21 on cell cycle regulation and apoptosis. Our in vitro results show that salicylhydrazides are highly potent compounds effective in both hormone receptor–positive and -negative cancer cells. SC21 induced apoptosis and blocked the cell cycle in G2/M or S phase, depending on the cell lines used and irrespective of p53, p21, pRb, and p16 status. SC21 effectively reduced the tumor growth in mice without apparent toxicity. Although the mechanism of action of SC21 is not completely elucidated, the effect on cell cycle, the induction of apoptosis and the activity against a panel of tumor cell lines of different origins prompted us to carry out an in-depth preclinical evaluation of SC21. [Mol Cancer Ther 2005;4(7):1105–13]

Introduction
There is a desperate need to develop highly active, well-tolerated, and easy to use (ideally orally active) drugs, which exploit our increased understanding of tumor biology. However, one major hurdle to overcome in a drug discovery program is the identification of a suitable lead compound having desired biological activity. Less than 1% of tested compounds will eventually become selected for further studies. Preclinical evaluation of pharmacokinetic and pharmacodynamic properties and a knowledge of drug metabolism are important in the drug development processes. After a drug candidate is selected for further study, detailed information from in vitro screening as well as an evaluation of in vivo efficacy and toxicity in animal models is required to predict the in vivo outcome of selected compounds in humans. Traditional pharmacokinetic studies, although essential, are cumbersome and time-consuming and require a large number of animals. Recent technological advances in computer simulations have allowed absorption, distribution, metabolism, excretion, and toxicity (ADMET) prediction to become a reliable and rapid means of decreasing the time and resources needed to evaluate the therapeutic potential of a drug candidate (1).

Previously, we showed that certain of our HIV-1 integrase inhibitors exhibit significant cytotoxicity due to lack of selectivity for integrase (2–5). In fact, the similarities between retroviral integrases and topoisomeraseI and II poisons against integrase (6). As a result, we have been routinely using topoisomerase as a counter screen for integrase inhibitors (4, 5, 7–9). In a more recent study, we showed that even the most selective integrase inhibitors identified thus far also inhibit RAG1/2 enzymes that are essential for VDJ recombination (10). All these enzymes share a similar chemistry of DNA binding, DNA cleavage, and recombination that require divalent metal (Mn2+ and Mg2+ but not Ca2+; ref. 11). Because integrase belongs to a large family of polynucleotidyl transferases (12), it is plausible that certain of our inhibitors could target an unknown DNA-processing enzyme.

Accordingly, we built a 10,000 compound database of reported and patented integrase inhibitors, which are in some instances likely to target additional DNA processing enzymes, possibly even more potently than integrase. Using this database, we developed various pharmacophore models followed by toxicity prediction using ADMET Predictor software package (Simulations Plus, Inc., Lancaster, CA) and cluster analysis to separate a majority of antiviral compounds from cytotoxics.4 On the basis of these pharmacophores, we identified the salicylhydrazide class of compounds as potential leads for inclusion in our
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anticancer drug discovery program. Pursuing development of this class of compounds, we searched our in-house multiconformational database of ~4.5 million compounds and identified >2,200 compounds that possess common structural features and pharmacophore fragments. We then acquired 950 analogues from commercial sources and subjected them to 3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyltetrazolium bromide cytotoxicity assays for an initial screen followed by in-depth testing of proprietary derivatives. An additional 740 compounds that did not satisfy our ADMET calculations were not tested.

Herein, we present the activity profiles of 18 of these compounds in vitro and focus on two compounds, SC21 and SC23, for detailed analyses. Our results indicate that SC21 and SC23 show remarkable activity in a panel of tumor cell lines, including androgen receptor–positive and -negative prostate cancer cells, estrogen receptor–positive and -negative breast cancer cells and an ovarian cancer line intrinsically resistant to cisplatin. Additionally, we tested the effects of SC21 on cell cycle regulation and apoptosis and evaluated the in vivo therapeutic potential of SC21 in a human prostate cancer xenograft model.

Materials and Methods

Cell Culture

Human prostate cancer cells (PC3, p53 null, AR−; DU145, p53 mutant, AR−; and LNCaP, p53 wild-type, AR+) and breast cancer cells (MCF-7, overexpressed wild-type p53, ER+; MDA-MB-468, p53 mutant, ER+; and MDA-MB-435, p53 mutant, ER−) were obtained from the American Type Cell Culture (Manassas, VA). The human ovarian carcinoma cell line (HEY) naturally resistant to cisplatin (CDDP) was kindly provided by Dr. Dubeau (University of Southern California Norris Cancer Center; refs. 13, 14). The results with CEM cells were previously described (4). Cells were maintained as monolayer cultures in RPMI 1640 supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (Gemiini-Bioproducts, Woodland, CA) and 2 mmol/L L-glutamine at 37°C in a humidified atmosphere of 5% CO2. To remove the adherent cells from the flask for passaging and counting, cells were washed with PBS without calcium or magnesium, incubated with a small volume of 0.25% trypsin-EDTA solution (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO) for 5 to 10 minutes, and washed with PBS after a gentle centrifugation at 200×g for 5 minutes. Cells were thoroughly resuspended in 0.5 mL of PBS and fixed in 70% ethanol for at least 2 hours at 4°C. Ethanol-suspended cells were then centrifuged at 200×g for 5 minutes and washed twice in PBS to remove residual ethanol. For cell cycle analysis, the pellets were suspended in 1 mL of PBS containing 0.02 mg/mL of propidium iodide, 0.5 mg/mL of DNase-free RNase A and 0.1% of Triton X-100 and incubated at 37°C for 30 minutes. Cell cycle profiles were obtained using a FACScan flow cytometer (Becton Dickinson, San Jose, CA) and data were analyzed by ModFit LT software (Verity Software House, Inc., Topsham, ME).

Apoptosis Assay

To quantify drug-induced apoptosis, annexin V/propidium iodide staining was done followed by flow cytometry. Briefly, after drug treatments (IC50 for each drug for 72 hours), both floating and attached cells were combined and subjected to annexin V/propidium iodide staining using annexin V-FITC apoptosis detection kit (Oncogene Research Products, San Diego, CA) according to the protocol provided by the manufacturer. Untreated control cells (24–72 hours) were maintained in parallel to the drug-treated group. In cells undergoing apoptosis, annexin V binds to phosphatidylserine, which is translocated from the inner to the outer leaflet of the cytoplasmatic membrane. Double staining is used to distinguish viable, early apoptotic, and necrotic or late apoptotic cells (16). The resulting fluorescence (FLH-1 channel for green fluorescence and FLH-2 channel for red fluorescence) was measured by flow cytometry using a FACScan flow cytometer (Becton Dickinson). According to this method, the lower left quadrant shows the viable cells, the upper left quadrant shows cell debris, the lower right quadrant shows the early apoptotic cells and the upper right quadrant shows the late apoptotic and necrotic cells.

Animals

Fifty male athymic nude (nu/nu) mice (Charles River Laboratories, Wilmington, MA) were used for in vivo testing. The animals were fed ad libitum and kept in air-conditioned rooms at 20 ± 2°C with a 12-hour light-dark period. Animal care and manipulation were in agreement with the University of Southern California Institutional Guidelines, which are in accordance with the Guidelines for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals.
Drug Treatment of Tumor Xenografts

PC3 cells from in vitro cell culture were inoculated s.c. in both flanks of athymic nude mice (2 × 10^6 cells/flank) under aseptic conditions. Tumor growth was assessed by biweekly measurement of tumor diameters with a Vernier caliper (length × width). Tumor weight was calculated according to the formula: TW (mg) = tumor volume (mm^3) = d^2 × D/2, where d and D are the shortest and longest diameters, respectively. Cells were allowed to grow to an average volume of 100 mm^3. Animals were then randomly assigned for control and treatment groups, to receive control vehicle or SC21 (0.3 and 3 mg/kg, dissolved in isotonic saline solution) via i.p injections once a day for 5 days. Treatment of each animal was based on individual body weight. After 5 days of treatment, the tumor volumes in each group were measured once a week for 4 weeks. Treated animals were checked daily for treatment toxicity / mortality. The percentage of tumor growth inhibition was calculated as %T/C = 100 × (mean TW of treated group / mean TW of control group).

Computational ADMET Analysis

Structures of all the compounds were built and minimized in the Catalyst software package (Accelrys, Inc., San Diego, CA). The possible unique conformations for each compound over a 20 kcal/mol energy range were generated using the best conformation generation method within Catconform module of Catalyst. The low-energy conformers of all the compounds were exported to Accord (Accelrys) to calculate A log P 98 and fast polar surface area. The log P values were also calculated with ADMET Predictor (Simulations Plus). The human intestinal absorption plot was constructed using the A log P 98 and the fast polar surface area values of the compounds as previously described (17, 18).

Statistical Analysis

Assays were set up in triplicate and the results were expressed as means ± SD. Statistical analysis and P value determination were done by two-tailed paired t test with a confidence interval of 95% for determination of the significance differences between treatment groups. P < 0.05 was considered to be significant. ANOVA was used to test for significance among groups. The SAS statistical software package (SAS Institute, Cary, NC) was used for statistical analysis.

Results

Selection of Compounds Based on Lipinski’s Rule-of-Five

From >2,200 compounds selected using pharmacophore modeling, toxicity prediction and clustering, a selection of 950 compounds were evaluated by a 3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyltetrazolium bromide cytotoxicity assay. Eighteen compounds exhibited superior activity profiles against a panel of cancer cell lines from different origins. The structures, physicochemical properties, and cytotoxicities of these compounds are presented in Table 1. All compounds satisfied Lipinski’s rule-of-five. This rule was based on an analysis of 2,245 compounds from the World Drug Index database that ~90% of marketed drugs have (a) molecular weight <500, (b) C log P <5, (c) hydrogen bond donors (sum of O-H and N-H) <5, (d) hydrogen-bond acceptor (sum of N and O atoms) <10 (19).

All 18 compounds showed IC_{50} values ≤20 μmol/L in either CEM or HEY cells. The range of activity varied >300-fold, with SC26 and SC27 being the most potent (IC_{50} = 0.06 μmol/L) and SC33, SC34, and SC36 the least potent (IC_{50} = 20 μmol/L).

Selection of Compounds Based on Polar Surface Area

From the original studies of Palm et al. (20–22) with a small number of compounds and the more recent studies by Kelder et al. (23) with 1,590 orally administered drugs, it was recommended that a maximum polar surface area value of ~120 Å^2 be for compounds intended to be orally absorbed by passive diffusion. Therefore, compounds with a polar surface area >140 Å^2 would tend to show poor (<10%) absorption, whereas compounds with polar surface area <60 Å^2 could be predicted to show complete (>90%) absorption. Several variants of polar surface area calculations such as dynamic, topological, and fast polar surface area are incorporated in various software packages (24). We used fast polar surface area plots to predict absorption as described (17, 18) and the data are presented in Fig. 1. Compounds that fall in the area shown by the 95% confidence ellipse are expected to have favorable absorption and oral bioavailability. All compounds showed fast polar surface areas of <140 Å^2 and log P value of <5. Therefore, no obvious violations were observed using either the 99% confidence ellipse (outer ellipse) or 95% confidence ellipse (inner ellipse; Fig 1).

SC21 and SC23 Show Remarkable Potency against a Panel of Hormone-Dependent and -Independent Cell Lines

Although many of our original 950 compounds showed favorable calculated physicochemical properties, the 16 compounds presented in Table 1 were among the most potent in our initial screen. On the basis of subsequent testing against drug-resistant cell lines, we selected SC21 and SC23 for further evaluation. The sensitivity of a panel of seven human cancer cell lines to SC21 and SC23 was assessed by a 3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyltetrazolium bromide-assay. Both drugs exhibit a high potency in this panel of cancer cell lines from different tumor origins (Table 2) and exhibited a time- and dose-dependent growth-inhibitory effect (Fig. 2). Thus, in vitro cell death increased with increasing concentrations and exposure time of SC21 and SC23.

The activity of both agents was remarkable in prostate cancer cell lines with the exception of PC3 cells, which seemed to be the least sensitive cell line to SC21 and SC23 (IC_{50} value 3.2 ± 0.2 and 2.0 ± 0.5 μmol/L, respectively). The difference in sensitivity to these agents may be independent of the status of androgen receptor (mutated in PC3 and DU145), p53 (null in PC3, mutated in DU145...
Table 1. Physicochemical properties and cytotoxicity of salicylhydrazides

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>Structure</th>
<th>Molecular weight</th>
<th>HBA</th>
<th>HBD</th>
<th>Rbond</th>
<th>$\log P$</th>
<th>Fast polar surface area</th>
<th>$IC_{50}$ (µmol/L)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SC20</td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Structure SC20" /></td>
<td>272</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1.33 (2.02)</td>
<td>101.8</td>
<td>0.1 ± 0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SC21</td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Structure SC21" /></td>
<td>322</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>2.24 (3.29)</td>
<td>101.8</td>
<td>0.4 ± 0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SC22</td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Structure SC22" /></td>
<td>246</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.97 (0.22)</td>
<td>100.1</td>
<td>NT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SC23</td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Structure SC23" /></td>
<td>372</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>3.15 (3.77)</td>
<td>90.9</td>
<td>2.3 ± 0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SC24</td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Structure SC24" /></td>
<td>322</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2.75 (3.56)</td>
<td>90.9</td>
<td>0.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SC25</td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Structure SC25" /></td>
<td>366</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>2.99 (3.86)</td>
<td>87.9</td>
<td>0.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SC26</td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Structure SC26" /></td>
<td>322</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2.75 (3.47)</td>
<td>90.9</td>
<td>0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SC27</td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Structure SC27" /></td>
<td>322</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2.75 (3.47)</td>
<td>90.9</td>
<td>0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SC28</td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Structure SC28" /></td>
<td>431</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>2.96 (2.66)</td>
<td>118.9</td>
<td>10 ± 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SC29</td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Structure SC29" /></td>
<td>464</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>3.84 (2.75)</td>
<td>98.17</td>
<td>7 ± 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SC30</td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Structure SC30" /></td>
<td>401</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>2.83 (2.45)</td>
<td>118.99</td>
<td>6.5 ± 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SC31</td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Structure SC31" /></td>
<td>412</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>3.02 (4.14)</td>
<td>95.71</td>
<td>10 ± 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SC32</td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Structure SC32" /></td>
<td>320</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1.54 (3.16)</td>
<td>74.89</td>
<td>2 ± 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SC33</td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Structure SC33" /></td>
<td>282</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1.97 (2.86)</td>
<td>81.03</td>
<td>20 ± 2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(Continued on the following page)
and wild-type in LNCaP), p21 (mutated in DU145), or p16 (mutated in DU145; Table 2). Interestingly, SC23 exhibits a high potency in pRb-mutated cell lines (DU145 and MDA-MB-468). SC21 and SC23 also showed remarkable potency in the three breast cancer cell lines irrespective of estrogen receptor (ER+ in MCF-7 and MDA-MB-435) and p53 status (mutated in MDA-MB-435 and MDA-MB-468). The activity of SC21 in ovarian tumor–derived cell line HEY was also remarkable considering that this cell line seemed to be practically resistant to cisplatin, the most commonly used drug in ovarian cancer (13, 14). This cell line however seemed to be the least sensitive to SC23.

**SC21 Treatment Induces a G_1 and S Phase Cell Cycle Arrest**

Cell cycle perturbations induced by SC21 were examined in DU145 and PC3 prostate cancer cells as well as in highly metastatic MDA-MB-435 breast cancer cells and cisplatin-resistant HEY ovarian cancer cells. The analysis of DNA profiles by flow cytometry indicated that SC21 induced cell cycle arrest in G_0/G_1 phase in DU145 (Fig. 3). At 72 hours...
Flow cytometric analysis of the cell cycle profiles of DU145, PC3, MDA-MB-435, and HEY cells treated with SC21. Cells were exposed for 24, 48, and 72 h to SC21 (IC50) then harvested, stained with propidium iodide and analyzed for perturbation in the cell cycle. SC21 induced a G0/G1 phase arrest in DU145 and MDA-MB-435 cells and S phase arrest in PC3 and HEY cells. Control cells shown were measured at 24 h and, as expected, no significant changes were observed in the control cells at 48 and 72 h.

Table 2. Sensitivity of breast, ovarian, and prostate cancer cell lines to SC21, SC23, and CPT

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cell line</th>
<th>Origin</th>
<th>Hormone receptor</th>
<th>p53</th>
<th>pRb</th>
<th>p16</th>
<th>p21</th>
<th>IC50 values (mean ± SD)*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>SC21 (nmol/L)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC3</td>
<td>prostate</td>
<td>AR−</td>
<td>null</td>
<td>WT</td>
<td>WT</td>
<td>WT</td>
<td>3,250 ± 106</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DU145</td>
<td>prostate</td>
<td>AR−</td>
<td>Mut</td>
<td>null</td>
<td>Mut</td>
<td>Mut</td>
<td>120 ± 50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LNCaP</td>
<td>prostate</td>
<td>AR+</td>
<td>WT</td>
<td>WT</td>
<td>WT</td>
<td>WT</td>
<td>200 ± 70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HEY</td>
<td>ovarian</td>
<td>AR+</td>
<td>WT</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>WT</td>
<td>WT</td>
<td>400 ± 60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MCF-7</td>
<td>breast</td>
<td>ER+</td>
<td>WT</td>
<td>WT</td>
<td>WT</td>
<td>WT</td>
<td>35 ± 7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MDA-MB-435</td>
<td>breast</td>
<td>ER−</td>
<td>Mut</td>
<td>WT</td>
<td>WT</td>
<td>WT</td>
<td>200 ± 2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: AR, androgen receptor; ER, estrogen receptor; WT, wild-type; Mut, mutated; ND, not determined.
*Cytotoxic concentration (IC50) is defined as drug concentration causing a 50% decrease in cell population.
of exposure to SC21, 65% of the cells were still retained in G₀/G₁ phase compared with 46% in controls. The observed increment in G₀/G₁ was accompanied by a decrease in the number of cells in S and G₂-M phases. Similar effects were obtained on asynchronous breast cancer MDA-MB-435 cells (Fig. 3).

It was noteworthy that SC21 induced S phase arrest in PC3 and HEY cell lines (Fig. 3). SC21 treatment for 72 hours resulted in 52% and 69% accumulation in S phase in PC3 and HEY cells, respectively. The effect observed on both cell lines was comparable to the arrest induced by CPT (data not shown).

The maximum arrest in MDA-MB-435 and PC3 cells was observed at 48 hours of SC21 treatment, which was sustained up to 72 hours. This property of SC21 to induce cell cycle arrest makes it an ideal agent for combination with drugs acting at different stages of cell cycle, such as taxanes.

SC21 Treatment Induces Apoptosis

SC21 and CPT-induced apoptosis was measured by flow cytometry (Fig. 4). SC21 at an IC₈₀ dose for 72 hours induced 12% to 15% apoptosis as measured by calculating sub-G₀/G₁ population. CPT resulted in 30% apoptosis under similar conditions (Fig. 4). An early event in apoptotic cell death is the translocation of the phosphatidyl-serine residues to the outer region of the cell membrane. This event precedes nuclear breakdown, DNA fragmentation, the appearance of most apoptosis-associated molecules, and is readily measured by annexin V binding assay. By this method, we compared SC21 with CPT. As shown in Fig. 5, the percentage of early plus late apoptotic cells reached 72% and 59% after 72 hours exposure to SC21 and CPT, respectively.

**SC21 Shows In vivo Efficacy in Mice Xenograft Models**

The in vivo efficacy of SC21 was evaluated in nude mice inoculated with human prostate PC3 cells. A schematic outline of the experimental procedure is shown in Fig. 6A. Animals were treated with daily i.p. injections of saline (controls) and SC21 at 0.3 or 3 mg/kg. After 5 days of dosing, the drug treatment was discontinued and the animals were monitored biweekly for 5 weeks. Figure 6B shows the volume (mean ± SD) for SC21-treated PC3 xenografts over time. SC21 significantly reduced tumor burden in prostate xenografts (Fig. 6C) without apparent toxicity. Treatment with SC21 was well-tolerated and did not result in any drug-related deaths and changes in body weight. The untreated control mice had an average weight of 33.2 ± 1.45 g before the experiments and 34.3 ± 2.79 g after the experiment. Mice treated with 0.3 mg/kg of SC21 had an average weight of 32.1 ± 1.92 g and mice treated with 3.0 mg/kg of SC21 had an average weight of 33.3 ± 1.89 g.

**Discussion**

Using pharmacophore models to distinguish antiviral compounds from anticancer compounds, we have successfully identified a new class of leads with remarkable activity profiles both in vitro and in vivo. Two members of this new class of compounds, SC21 and SC23, were...
Tumor weight (mean for 5 d). Tumor growth was monitored for 5 wks. Values represent the fold more potent in ER+ and ER− breast cancers.

Consistent with the effect of SC21 on cell growth inhibition, our data also show the ability of this compound to arrest cell cycle progression. This property of SC21 opens the possibility to investigate innovative combinations with other agents acting at different stages of the cell cycle, such as taxanes. Notably, the different cell lines used in the present study displayed different cell cycle perturbations following SC21 treatment. SC21 arrested DU145 and MDA-MB-435 cells in G0/G1 phase, and PC3 and HEY cells in S phase. Otherwise, similar observations reported with different drugs were attributed to different cell cycle checkpoint status and susceptibility to apoptosis (25–27). It is well-established that p53 plays a major role on cell cycle retention in G0/G1 phase. We can conclude that the cell cycle arrest induced by SC21 in these cell lines may be independent of the p53 status (mutated in DU145, null in PC3). Further studies using various p53 mutant and p53 null cell lines are required to better understand the role of p53 in response to SC21 treatment.

It is known that apoptosis-signaling pathways and cellular events controlling them, have a profound effect both on cancer progression and in response to chemotherapy (28–31). Based on annexin V/propidium iodide staining and sub-G0/G1 fractions, it is clear that SC21 activity is mediated by apoptosis in a fashion comparable to that of CPT. SC21 also showed in vivo antitumor efficacy against PC3 tumor xenografts. Significant reduction in tumor growth was found for all doses tested. Furthermore, SC21 was well-tolerated and did not result in drug-related deaths. Finally, the fact that SC21 exhibited in vivo efficacy against the PC3 prostate cancer xenografts despite PC3 cells being the least sensitive in vitro model, clearly show its potential as a novel anticancer agent. Studies including other models such as breast and lung cancer are currently in progress.

In conclusion, considering their cytotoxicity profiles in a variety of in vitro systems, including different cell lines having intrinsic or acquired resistance to known drugs, and their favorable in vivo properties, salicylhydrazides seem to represent a novel class of anticancer drugs that function by a new mechanism of action. These agents could have promising therapeutic potential. Proteomic studies are currently under way to better understand the mechanisms involved in the activity of salicylhydrazides.

References

Molecular Cancer Therapeutics

Discovery and preclinical evaluation of a novel class of small-molecule compounds in hormone-dependent and -independent cancer cell lines

Carmen Plasencia, Raveendra Dayam, Qingcai Wang, et al.


Updated version  Access the most recent version of this article at:
http://mct.aacrjournals.org/content/4/7/1105

Cited articles This article cites 29 articles, 9 of which you can access for free at:
http://mct.aacrjournals.org/content/4/7/1105.full.html#ref-list-1

Citing articles This article has been cited by 1 HighWire-hosted articles. Access the articles at:
/content/4/7/1105.full.html#related-urls

E-mail alerts Sign up to receive free email-alerts related to this article or journal.

Reprints and Subscriptions To order reprints of this article or to subscribe to the journal, contact the AACR Publications Department at pubs@aacr.org.

Permissions To request permission to re-use all or part of this article, contact the AACR Publications Department at permissions@aacr.org.