DNA damage responses triggered by a highly cytotoxic monofunctional DNA alkylator, hedamycin, a pluramycin antitumor antibiotic
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Abstract

Long-term exposure (72 h) to hedamycin, a monofunctional DNA alkylator of the pluramycin class of antitumor antibiotics, decreased growth of mammalian cells by 50% at subnanomolar concentrations. Short-term treatment (4 h) rapidly reduced DNA synthesis by 50% also at subnanomolar concentrations, but substantially higher levels were needed to block RNA synthesis while protein synthesis even at very high hedamycin concentrations remained unaffected. Hedamycin treatment at concentrations below its growth IC50 induced only a transient and temporary accumulation of cells in G2. Somewhat higher concentrations resulted in substantial S-phase arrest, and at increasing concentrations, complete cell cycle arrest in G1 was observed without the appearance of a sub-G1 cell population. Neither inhibition of cell growth nor cell cycle arrest appeared to be dependent on ataxia and Rad-related kinase expression. DNA damage checkpoint proteins including p53, chk1, and chk2 were differentially activated by hedamycin depending on the concentration and duration of treatment. The level of downstream cell cycle regulators such as cdc25A, E2F1, cyclin E, and p21 were also altered under conditions that induced cell cycle arrest, but atypically, p21 overexpression was observed only in S-phase-arrested cells. Apoptotic indicators were only observed at moderate hedamycin concentrations associated with S-phase arrest, while increasing concentrations, when cells were arrested in G1, resulted in a reduction of these signals. Taken together, the responses of cells to hedamycin are distinct with regard to its effect on cell cycle but also in the unusual concentration-dependent manner of activation of DNA damage and cell cycle checkpoint proteins as well as the induction of apoptotic-associated events. [Mol Cancer Ther 2004;3(5):577 – 85]

Introduction

When cells encounter DNA damage, a cascade of signal transduction pathways activate cell cycle checkpoints leading to blocked cell cycle progression, inhibition of DNA replication, and recruitment of repair proteins to the damaged DNA site (1, 2). Typically, damage in the form of DNA double-strand breaks induced by ionizing radiation is mediated by the phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase-related kinase ataxia telangiectasia mutated, which passes signals to downstream protein kinases leading to activation of cell cycle checkpoints (3). Information regarding responses to DNA alkylators that stall DNA replication is more limited partly due to the variety of agents, which stall replication forks including compounds that block chain elongation (e.g., hydroxyurea), modify DNA structure, or induce small DNA adducts (e.g., UV or methyl methanesulfonate, respectively). In general, DNA damage that leads to stalled replication elicits a common DNA damage response mediation through ataxia and Rad-related kinase (ATR; Refs. 4, 5). Thus, regardless of the nature of the treatments used to stall DNA replication, it is thought that ATR kinase initiates the activation of a protein cascade that culminates in S-phase arrest (6). ATR activation can induce phosphorylation of chk1, subsequent loss of cdc25A activity, and, ultimately, decreased initiation of new replicons (7).

Whether the DNA damage responses would be influenced by the type of agent and the amount of DNA damage is not well understood. For example, UV irradiation induces alkylation of proteins and several types of DNA damage, among which cyclobutane pyrimidine dimers and pyrimidine-pyrimidone (6-4) photoproducts are most prevalent (8). The response of cells to UV irradiation depends significantly on the amount of DNA damage (9). At low UV doses, cells predominantly undergo cell cycle arrest at the G1-S border allowing for DNA repair, while moderate doses lead to S-phase delay and apoptosis, and high doses result in G1 arrest (10, 11). UV-DNA adducts directly activate the ATR-chk1 pathway. However, the cellular responses at low and moderate doses are p53 dependent while those at high doses are p53 independent (10). Mitomycin C, like UV, induces varying types of DNA damage including DNA cross-links and DNA monoaducts (12). Unlike UV, low-dose mitomycin C induces cell cycle arrest at S phase and also blocks at G2-M while increased drug concentrations result in apoptosis (13).

Recently, studies of extraordinarily cytotoxic (inhibit cell growth at ≤1 nM) DNA minor groove binding cyclopropylpyrroloindoies such as the monofunctional...
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and bifunctional DNA alkylating agents adozelesin and bizelesin, respectively, have begun to reveal significant differences in their DNA damage responses. For example, adozelesin slowed cell cycle progression through S phase and blocked cells at the G2-M interface, while bizelesin only induced a G2-M block (14). In addition, at higher concentrations, adozelesin induced significant amounts of apoptosis, while bizelesin only caused senescence. Another highly cytotoxic monofunctional alkylator, Et743, a member of the echinomycin family of antibiotics, first induces a modest increase of S-phase cells and then ultimately an accumulation of cells blocked in G2. At higher concentrations, Et743 caused time-dependent apoptosis with no further change in cell cycle (15). DNA damage responses to these highly cytotoxic DNA alkylators appear to differ between monofunctional and bifunctional DNA alkylators.

In this study, we investigated DNA damage responses with another monofunctional alkylator, hedamycin, a member of the pluramycin family of highly cytotoxic antitumor agents (16). Little is known regarding the behavior of hedamycin in mammalian cells, but based on its DNA binding mode, it would be expected to stall DNA replication and induce a cell cycle checkpoint response like other DNA damaging agents such as adozelesin and Et743. Hedamycin consists of a planar anthrapyrantrione chromophore to which are attached two aminosugar rings at one end and a bisepoxide-containing side chain at the other end (16, 17). The anthrapyrantrione chromophore intercalates the DNA duplex and threads the helix with the two aminosugar groups placed in the minor groove and the bisepoxide side chain in the major groove (18–20). It is not known whether the unique DNA binding properties of hedamycin would contribute to the type of DNA damage responses elicited in mammalian cells.

DNA damage responses, including inhibition of cell growth, macromolecular synthesis, and expression of proteins associated with interference with cell cycle progression and apoptosis, were evaluated in hedamycin-treated HCT116 cells. Changes in checkpoint proteins were measured both immediately following drug treatment and treated HCT116 cells. Changes in checkpoint proteins were evaluated in hedamycin-supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum at 37°C in a 5% CO2 incubator. HeLa cells were purchased from American Type Culture Collection (Rockville, MD) and grown in DMEM supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum at 37°C in a 5% CO2 incubator.

**Growth Inhibition Assay**

Cells grown in 96-well plates were treated with drugs for 4 h and washed and incubated in fresh, drug-free medium. Following a 3-day incubation, plates were washed and cell numbers were determined by sulfonhodamine B assay (21). Cell growth inhibition was calculated by comparing the absorbance of treated cells to nontreated controls.

**Macromolecular Synthesis Analysis**

Thymidine incorporation into acid-insoluble material was determined as described previously (22). Briefly, [14C]thymidine-prelabeled cells were treated with drug for 4 h and [methyl-3H]thymidine was added to a final concentration of 1 μCi/ml for the last 30 min of drug treatment. The acid-insoluble radioactivity in samples was measured by LS-3800 liquid scintillation counter. Inhibition of thymidine incorporation into cellular DNA was calculated from the ratio of 3H to 14C in drug-treated samples compared with nontreated controls. For measurements of RNA and protein synthesis inhibition, [5-3H]uridine and [4,5-3H]leucine were added to final concentrations of 1 μCi/ml for the last 30 min of the drug treatment and cells were harvested as described above.

**Cell Cycle Progression Analysis**

Cells were plated and treated with hedamycin as described above. After 4 h, drug was removed, plates were rinsed with PBS, and fresh medium (drug-free) was added. Cells were harvested 24 h later by trypsinization and centrifuged at 1000 rpm for 5 min. The cells were washed and fixed by the gradual addition of ice-cold 70% ethanol. Cells were stained with propidium iodide, cell cycle distribution was determined by flow cytometry analysis using a Becton Dickinson (San Jose, CA) fluorescence-activated cell sorting (FACS) Calibur flow cytometer, and data were analyzed using CellQuest software.

**Terminal Deoxynucleotidyl Transferase-Mediated Nick End Labeling Assay**

Cells were plated and treated with hedamycin for 4 h and incubated in fresh, drug-free medium as described above. Cells were harvested (including those cells floating in medium) and fixed with methanol for 24 h at ~20°C. Cells were washed once with PBS and once with terminal deoxynucleotidyl transferase (TdT) buffer [200 mM sodium cacodylate, 25 mM Tris-HCl (pH 6.6), 0.25 mg/ml BSA] and resuspended in 50 μl TdT reaction buffer containing 10 pmol FITC-dUTP, 2.5 mM CoCl2, and 12.5 units TdT. The reaction was incubated for 30 min at 37°C and stopped by adding 1 ml cold PBS containing 50 mM EDTA. After 10 min, cells were pelleted by centrifugation and resuspended in PBS. FITC incorporation was determined by using a Becton Dickinson FACS Calibur flow cytometer, and data were analyzed using CellQuest software.

Dr. B. Vogelstein, Johns Hopkins Oncology Center, Baltimore, MD) was grown in McCoy’s medium supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum at 37°C in a 5% CO2 incubator. HeLa cells were purchased from American Type Culture Collection (Rockville, MD) and grown in DMEM supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum at 37°C in a 5% CO2 incubator.
IC50 (0.1 nM) values were obtained with both human fibroblasts. Almost equivalent results lacking functional ATR due to overexpression of a kinase-dead ATR protein was also compared in ATR-positive and ATRkd (cells enhanced by loss of ATR, a phenomenon observed for data not shown). To determine if cell growth inhibition is needed to inhibit cell growth by 50%) was 0.2 nM. Because the extraordinary growth inhibitory activity is not unique to HCT116 cells (IC50 of HeLa cells was 0.04 nM; literature, a second cell line (HeLa) was used to confirm there was little hedamycin cell growth inhibition data in the literature). Hedamycin inhibits cell growth. Proliferating cells were treated with hedamycin for 4 h, washed, incubated in drug-free medium for another 3 days, and counted. Results are expressed as a percentage of untreated control cells. Average of three individual values for each drug concentration. Points, mean; bars, SE (n = 3).

**Results**

**Hedamycin Inhibits Cell Growth**

Cell growth was evaluated by treating cultured HCT116 cells with hedamycin for 4 h to allow sufficient time for alkylation of genomic DNA followed by sulforhodamine B assay as described in Materials and Methods. As shown in Fig. 1A, cell growth was decreased at subnanomolar concentrations. The IC50 (the concentration of alkylator needed to inhibit cell growth by 50%) was 0.2 nm. Because there was little hedamycin cell growth inhibition data in the literature, a second cell line (HeLa) was used to confirm that the extraordinary growth inhibitory activity is not unique to HCT116 cells (IC50 of HeLa cells was 0.04 nm; data not shown). To determine if cell growth inhibition is enhanced by loss of ATR, a phenomenon observed for many types of DNA damaging agents, growth inhibition was also compared in ATR-positive and ATRkd (cells lacking functional ATR due to overexpression of a kinase-dead ATR protein) human fibroblasts. Almost equivalent IC50 (0.1 nm) values were obtained with both (data not shown).

**Hedamycin Inhibits Macromolecule Synthesis**

Typically, monofunctional DNA alkylators such as adozelesin and Et743 are rapid and potent inhibitors of DNA synthesis while showing substantially less activity at blocking RNA and protein synthesis (23). To examine for such immediate effects of hedamycin on these biological processes, the ability of short-term treatments to block DNA synthesis was determined. Pulse labeling of cells with [3H]thymidine following a 4 h treatment with 0.1 nm hedamycin showed that DNA synthesis was inhibited by 50% (Fig. 1B). RNA synthesis was also inhibited by hedamycin, but the concentration needed to inhibit by 50% was about 10-fold higher (i.e., 1 nm) than that required for DNA synthesis inhibition. Protein synthesis was essentially unaffected by similar concentrations of hedamycin (Fig. 1B) and no inhibition was observed even when cells were exposed to high hedamycin concentrations (5 nm; data not shown).

**Hedamycin Inhibition of Cell Cycle Progression Induces Substantial S-Phase Arrest**

Hedamycin was examined for its effects on HCT116 cell cycle progression. Incubation of HCT116 cells with hedamycin for 4 h followed by removal of the drug and further incubation for 24 h resulted in concentration-dependent changes in cell cycle progression (Fig. 2A). Cells treated with 0.1 nm hedamycin accumulated in G2 (44%) compared with a control value of 24%, but the block was temporary.
because it was reversed 48 h after drug removal (data not shown). In contrast, S-phase cell arrest was observed following hedamycin treatments of 0.5 and 1 nM (43%) versus control cells (21%). Unlike the transient G₂ block, cells remained trapped in S phase after 48 h (data not shown). Somewhat higher concentrations of hedamycin (2.5 and 5 nM) caused persistent G₁ arrest (46% and 59% versus 34% in the control) without a detectable sub-G₁ cell population and cell loss. Again, cell cycle changes were sustainable 48 h after release (data not shown). Similar results were obtained with other mammalian cell lines including ATR-deficient cells (data not shown).

**Hedamycin Interference with Cell Cycle Progression Was Accompanied by Changes in Several Checkpoint Proteins Known to be Associated with S-Phase Arrest**

Significant changes in cell cycle distribution were seen when cells were incubated in fresh (alkylator-free) medium for 24 h following a 4-h treatment. To determine what checkpoint proteins were associated with these cell cycle alterations, lysates from hedamycin-treated cells were analyzed by Western blotting. As shown in Fig. 3, activation of p53 via phosphorylation of Ser15 increases significantly at all concentrations from 0.5 nM where cells arrest in S or G₁, while with 0.1 nM, which caused transient accumulation in G₂, no signal increase was detected. Subsequent induction of the p53 downstream target p21, which usually mediates G₁ or G₂-M arrest when DNA replication forks are stalled, was also observed (24, 25). As shown in Fig. 3, p21 was not induced in G₁-arrested cells (5 nM) and was only weakly induced with 0.1 or 2.5 nM concentrations that cause cells to arrest in G₂ or G₁-S. p21 was overexpressed only by alkylator treatments that resulted in S-phase arrest (0.5 and 1 nM).

Treatments with DNA damaging agents that cause S-phase arrest are also known to be associated with phosphorylation/activation of chk1 and chk2 kinases (26). Figure 3 shows that only chk1 phosphorylation was detected at the lowest hedamycin concentration (0.1 nM). However, both chk1 and chk2 were phosphorylated at 0.5–2.5 nM hedamycin, which caused cells to strongly arrest in S phase or at the G₁-S boundary. In contrast, phosphorylation of both chk proteins was reduced at 5 nM treatments where cells were arrested in G₁.

**Cdc25A Is Reduced in Parallel with S-Phase Arrest while E2F1 and Cyclin E Are Induced**

Activation of chk1 protein associated with DNA damage-induced S-phase arrest is accompanied by loss of cdc25A, a protein involved in stimulating initiation of DNA replication (27, 28). When cells were treated with hedamycin for 4 h and further incubated in alkylator-free medium for 24 h, a condition that induced significant S-phase arrest, cdc25A expression was markedly reduced (Fig. 4). At the same time, cdc25C, a protein that is decreased in G₂-arrested cells (29), was unaffected. Two other proteins known to be required for G₁-S transitions, E2F1 and cyclin E, were also tested for their sustained expression during hedamycin-induced S-phase arrest (30, 31). Cyclin E, which is required for G₁-S transitions, was found to be elevated in cells that were blocked primarily in S phase (0.5–2.5 nM) but was reduced at the highest hedamycin concentration (5.0 nM), which resulted in almost complete cell cycle arrest. Similarly, E2F1 expression is associated with entry of cells...
into S phase and is enhanced at hedamycin concentrations that induce increased S-phase arrest. Unlike cyclin E, E2F1 levels remained elevated even when cells were prevented from cycling at the highest hedamycin level (5.0 nM).

**Checkpoint Proteins Are Rapidly Activated by Hedamycin**

Several of the key DNA response proteins including chk1, chk2, and p53, the alteration of which was sustained under conditions where hedamycin induced inhibition of cell cycle progression (24 h after drug removal), are also known to be quickly phosphorylated following introduction of DNA damage. Short-term hedamycin-induced activation of DNA damage responses were evaluated by incubating HCT116 cells with drug for 4 h after which cell lysates were prepared and analyzed by Western blotting. Figure 5A shows that increasing hedamycin concentrations cause increased levels of phosphorylated p53. As little as 0.1 nM hedamycin is sufficient to induce activation of p53 through enhancement of Ser15 phosphorylation, and the phosphorylation level approaches a plateau at concentrations above 0.5 nM. An increase in total p53 protein levels was observed in parallel with Ser15 phosphorylation, although Ser20 phosphorylation (data not shown) and p21 induction were undetectable.

Chk1 and chk2 phosphorylation are also rapidly stimulated as part of a checkpoint response following DNA damage. As shown in Fig. 5A, chk1 phosphorylation was first detected at 0.1 nM hedamycin and reached a peak at 1 nM before decreasing at higher concentrations (2.5 and 5 nM). In comparison, chk2 phosphorylation was induced at drug concentrations above 0.1 nM and decreased in parallel with chk1.

Cdc25A is a cyclin-dependent kinase phosphatase, which controls the G1-S cell cycle transition and would be expected to decrease under treatment conditions that would result in blocking the G1-S transition. At low and moderate concentrations of hedamycin, there was little decrease in cdc25A (0.1 nM; Fig. 5A), while an almost complete lose was observed at higher concentrations, which would prohibit cell entry into S phase (2.5 and 5 nM; Fig. 5A).

A time course depicting the speed with which these protein changes can occur in response to hedamycin-induced DNA damage was determined by incubating cells under conditions that would induce strong S-phase arrest (0.8 nM hedamycin) for various times (15 min to 4 h). The concentration chosen induced similar phosphorylation levels for p53, chk1, and chk2 after 4 h. As shown in Fig. 5B, phosphorylation of p53 Ser15, chk1, and chk2 was observed within 30 min treatment. The phosphorylation level of p53 Ser15 increased in a time-dependent manner for 180 min, while phosphorylation of chk1 and chk2 was complete after 30 min. Levels of cdc25A increased within 15 min and decreased between 30 and 120 min. These results demonstrate that several checkpoint proteins are very rapidly activated following DNA damage induction by hedamycin.

**PARP Cleavage and TdT-Mediated Nick End Labeling—Positive Staining Are Induced after Exposure to Moderate but not High Concentrations of Hedamycin**

The FACS profiles showed only minor increases in the sub-G1 population under conditions that blocked cell cycle progression (0.5–5 nM; see Fig. 2A), indicating that cells showing the advanced stages of apoptosis were limited. To determine whether any apoptotic-associated events were being induced, hedamycin-treated cells were assayed for TdT-mediated nick end labeling (TUNEL) staining as a result of apoptosis-related DNA degradation. As shown in Fig. 6A, TUNEL-positive cells were easily detected 24 h after exposure to moderate concentrations of hedamycin (0.5–1 nM), which were shown to induce S-phase arrest. However, at higher alkylator levels (2.5 and 5 nM), the...
This study explored cellular responses to hedamycin, a partially cleaved at 2.5 nM. Increasing the drug concentration to 5 nM resulted in complete loss of PARP cleavage. Blots were probed with PARP antibody.

Changes in the amount of PARP cleavage were concordant with cells showing positive TUNEL staining. Overall, the results show that induction of apoptotic signaling events occurs predominantly with treatments that result in strong S-phase arrest.

**Discussion**

This study explored cellular responses to hedamycin, a highly cytotoxic monofunctional DNA alkylator that both covalently binds DNA through N7 of guanine in the major groove and through threading intercalation that involves both DNA grooves. Hedamycin was found to alter HCT116 cell cycle distribution in a concentration-dependent manner that resulted in a strong S-phase arrest under moderate treatment conditions. At very low concentrations, some cells transiently accumulated in G2, while moderate concentrations (0.5–1 nM) resulted in most cells accumulating in S phase. At higher concentrations (≥2.5 nM), cells were unable to cycle.

The S-phase block was reminiscent of the DNA alkylating drug adozelesin, which, at a comparable cytotoxicity to hedamycin in HCT116 cells (twice its D$_{10}$, the concentration needed to reduce clonogenic survival by 90%), also induced a S-phase slowdown, albeit to a much lower extent (14). However, unlike adozelesin, which at higher drug concentrations (>12 times its D$_{10}$), induces a substantial increase in the sub-G$_1$ population, hedamycin at 12 times its D$_{10}$ (5 nM) does not. The inability of a DNA damaging agent to drive cells toward apoptosis under extreme treatment conditions (>50 times its D$_{10}$) was also observed for bizelesin, a bifunctional DNA alkylator. At the same time, bizelesin, unlike hedamycin, was unable to block cells in S phase and induced only G$_2$ arrest. Indeed, the type of DNA damage that elicits a cell cycle progression inhibitory response that is most similar to hedamycin is UV radiation, a treatment that does not induce alkylation but rather creates pyrimidine dimers and various other photogenerated products (8). Moderate UV treatment induces S-phase arrest and apoptosis, albeit not to the extent observed with hedamycin, while more severe treatments result in G$_1$ arrest (10).

While the nature of hedamycin-induced cell cycle arrest is distinct from what is observed with certain DNA alkylators, the activation of associated cell cycle checkpoint proteins is consistent with profiles observed for DNA damage leading to an inhibition of initiation of DNA replication. First, the general stress response protein p53 is activated via phosphorylation of Ser$_{15}$ (Ser$_{39}$ was not rapidly phosphorylated; data not shown) at hedamycin levels that induce significant S-phase arrest as well as a G$_1$ block (Figs. 3 and 5). As expected, expression of p21, a downstream target of p53, was also enhanced but only under conditions where cells arrest in S phase.

Cells cannot arrest in S phase for too long or replication forks can collapse leading to cell death (32). Consistent with this perception, maximal apoptotic signaling events were observed when cells were trapped in S phase and coincided with induction of p53 and its major downstream target p21. p21 is known to protect cells from apoptosis induced by a variety of cellular stresses including DNA damaging agents like doxorubicin, IR, and camptothecin (33–35). However, its antiapoptotic effects are believed to rely on induction of G$_1$ or G$_2$ arrest but not S phase (36–38). Interestingly, the highest levels of apoptosis-associated events (e.g., TUNEL signaling and PARP cleavage) were observed when p21 levels were elevated; hence, p21 does not appear to play a protective role against hedamycin-induced apoptotic signaling status (Figs. 3 and 6). On the other hand, for adozelesin, p21 levels are decreased when cells become apoptotic (14).

Hedamycin induction of high levels of p21 during S-phase arrest was also found to be associated with proliferating cell nuclear antigen (PCNA) based on coimmunoprecipitation experiments (data not shown). As a
cause a G1 block. In contrast, many DNA damaging signaling was associated with a S-phase block while cisplatin (52). However, hedamycin-induced apoptotic signaling events. The increases in these markers for damage response proteins such as chk1 more evident at higher concentrations were similar to that observed with apoptosis at moderate concentrations and decreases into an active form leading to apoptosis (51). Another study suggested that E2F1 forms a complex involving E2F1, which serves to activate proapoptotic or antiapoptotic genes (46–48). Alternatively, E2F1 may enhance the apoptotic function of p53 (49). Recent studies showed that expression of E2F1 increases p53 accumulation, coinciding with the induction of apoptosis (50). Another study suggested that E2F1 forms a complex with p53 following DNA damage and converts latent p53 into an active form leading to apoptosis (51).

Chk1 is known to activate cell cycle checkpoint by mediating degradation of cdc25A resulting in loss of cdk2/cyclin E activation and preventing G1-S transition (44, 45). Although chk1 can activate S-phase checkpoint by promoting the degradation of cdc25A, the concentration-dependent and time-dependent decrease of cdc25A (Figs. 3A and 5) indicates that cells were able to entry S phase when they first encounter hedamycin-induced DNA lesions.

Hedamycin-enhanced sustained E2F1 expression (Fig. 4) might also contribute to the apoptotic signaling events that were seen in S-phase-arrested cells. Treatments such as γ-irradiation or cisplatin induce cell death via apoptosis involving E2F1, which serves to activate proapoptotic or suppress antiapoptotic genes (46–48). Alternatively, E2F1 may enhance the apoptotic function of p53 (49). Recent studies showed that expression of E2F1 increases p53 accumulation, coinciding with the induction of apoptosis (50). Another study suggested that E2F1 forms a complex with p53 following DNA damage and converts latent p53 into an active form leading to apoptosis (51).

Throughout the analysis of cellular responses to hedamycin, it was noticed that not only were expression of damage response proteins such as chk1 more evident during S-phase arrest but also were indicators of apoptotic signaling events. The increases in these markers for apoptotic stress at moderate concentrations and decreases at higher concentrations were similar to that observed with cisplatin (52). However, hedamycin-induced apoptotic signaling was associated with a S-phase block while cisplatin caused a G1 block. In contrast, many DNA damaging agents such as camptothecin, Adriamycin, and monofunctional alkylators adozesin and E743 typically induce a concentration-dependent increase in apoptosis and a G2 block (53–56).

At the highest hedamycin concentration tested (5 nM), cells were permanently arrested in G1. Both chk proteins can activate a G1 checkpoint via p53-dependent or p53-independent pathways resulting in induction of p21 (57). Although p53 Ser15 phosphorylation was detected, its downstream target p21 was not present in G1-arrested cells. On the other hand, hedamycin-induced G1 arrest possibly resulted from permanent loss of cdc25A, which disappeared within 4 h of drug treatment (Fig. 5A).

Whether due to high levels of DNA lesions or their physical characteristics, the cells appear unable to mount a complete apoptotic response, but rather the combination of direct inhibition of DNA replication and blocking of cell cycle progression appears to hold the cells in G1. The cell numbers also did not change and cells remained attached to the plate with intact morphology even when treatment times were extended to 48 h.

Overall, many of the damage responses of cells to hedamycin are dissimilar to many types of DNA damaging drugs and unexpectedly more similar to those induced by UV. Among these similarities are a failure to show strong G2 arrest but rather a block at G1-S, induction of chk1 phosphorylation before chk2, induction of rapid cdc25A degradation, an increase in cyclin E levels at moderate doses, induction of apoptosis, and induction of an association of p21 and PCNA. However, hedamycin also differs from UV in several significant aspects. The most notable differences are as follows: hedamycin induces an extremely strong S-phase arrest while UV induces only a partial S-phase slowdown; the cellular effects of hedamycin are p53 independent while UV-induced cell cycle effects and apoptosis are p53 dependent at low and moderate doses and p53 independent G1 arrest at high doses; p21 association with PCNA coincides with S-phase arrest after hedamycin treatment but with G1 arrest following UV irradiation; and hedamycin induces permanent loss of cdc25A and not the transient loss observed after UV irradiation.

This study was the first to examine cellular DNA damage responses to the highly cytotoxic monofunctional DNA alkylator, hedamycin. In comparison with many types of DNA damaging agents, which induce strong G2 arrest, hedamycin blocked cells in S phase or at the G1-S border. Moreover, unlike a typical DNA alkylator such as adozesin, treatments at high concentrations do not induce sub-G1 cell populations associated with apoptosis. It is of interest to understand why cells arrest so strongly in S phase after hedamycin treatment. Detailed mechanism studies including the role of ATR/chk1 in hedamycin-induced S-phase arrest are currently underway. While preliminary data show that interference of cell cycle progression by hedamycin is independent of ATR, further studies are needed to define the role of ATR or other phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase-related kinase in the regulation of cellular responses to hedamycin-DNA adducts.
Additionally, hedamycin like adozelesin appears to induce replication stalling by blocking initiation, but unlike the later, which occurs in trans, hedamycin uses a cis-acting mechanism (Tu and Beerman, unpublished observation; Ref. 58). Apparently, hedamycin, which is one of the most powerful monofunctional alkylator inhibitors of DNA replication, may be dual acting by blocking S-phase transition by both induction of checkpoint responses and a direct effect on DNA synthesis.
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