The DNA Repair Inhibitor DT01 as a Novel Therapeutic Strategy for Chemosensitization of Colorectal Liver Metastasis

Nirmitha I. Herath1,2, Flavien Devun1,2, Marie-Christine Lienafa1,2, Aurélie Herbette1,2, Alban Denys3, Jian-Sheng Sun2, and Marie Dutreix1,4,5

Abstract

Metastatic liver disease from colorectal cancer is a significant clinical problem. This is mainly attributed to nonresectable metastases that frequently display low sensitivities to available chemotherapies and develop drug resistance partly via hyperactivation of some DNA repair functions. Combined therapies have shown some disease control; however, there is still a need for more efficient chemotherapies to achieve eradication of colorectal cancer liver metastasis. We investigated the tolerance and efficacy of a novel class of DNA repair inhibitors, Dbait, in association with conventional chemotherapy. Dbait mimics double-strand breaks and activates damage signaling, consequently inhibiting single- and double-stranded DNA repair enzyme recruitment. In vitro, Dbait treatment increases sensitivity of HT29 and HCT116 colorectal cancer cell lines. In vivo, the pharmacokinetics, biodistribution and the efficacy of the cholesterol-conjugated clinical form of Dbait, DT01, were assessed. The chemosensitizing abilities of DT01 were evaluated in association with oxaliplatin and 5-fluorouracil in intrahepatic HT29 xenografted mice used as a model for colorectal cancer liver metastasis. The high uptake of DT01 indicates that the liver is a specific target. We demonstrate significant antitumor efficacy in a liver metastasis model with DT01 treatment in combination with oxaliplatin and 5-fluorouracil (mean: 501 vs. 872 mm², P = 0.02) compared to chemotherapy alone. The decrease in tumor volume is further associated with significant histologic changes in necrosis, proliferation, angiogenesis and apoptosis. Repeated cycles of DT01 do not increase chemotherapy toxicity. Combining DT01 with conventional chemotherapy may prove to be a safe and effective therapeutic strategy in the treatment of metastatic liver cancer. Mol Cancer Ther; 15(1); 15–22. ©2015 AACR.

Introduction

Secondary hepatic tumors or liver metastases account for approximately 95% of all hepatic malignancies. A majority of liver metastases arise through primary tumors of the gastrointestinal tract (1). Liver resection remains the principle choice of treatment for early stage liver metastases (2, 3). However, most patients are diagnosed at advanced stages making these patients unsuitable for surgery. Treatment alternatives such as local ablative and transarterial therapies demonstrate a limited effect with low survival benefit (3, 4).

Chemotherapy is widely used in the treatment of metastatic colorectal carcinoma. However, patients respond poorly to chemotherapy regimens combining folic acid, 5-fluorouracil and either oxaliplatin (FOLFOX) or irinotecan mainly due to multidrug resistance, preventing the eradication of metastatic disease (5, 6). Therefore, improvements in overcoming colorectal cancer chemoresistance are an urgent need and should be a focus of investigation. Here, we investigate how combination with the recently developed DNA repair inhibitors (Dbait) could improve efficacy of conventional chemotherapy in xenografted animal models.

Chemoresistance presents a major obstacle to the efficacy of cancer treatment. DNA repair plays a key role in chemoresistance by eliminating the damage induced on chromosomes by the chemotherapeutic agents and inhibitors of DNA repair pathways may provide novel opportunities for restoring tumor sensitivity to these treatments (7). Dbait molecules are a new class of DNA repair inhibitors triggering false DNA damage signaling in cancer cells (8). These molecules are short double-stranded DNA with a free double-strand blunt end, which target key damage signal transducers such as DNA-dependent protein kinase (DNA-PK; ref. 9) and PARP (10), triggering their activation and amplifying false damage signaling. Consequently, the recruitment of downstream DNA repair enzymes is impaired, inhibiting several DNA repair pathways such as homologous recombination (9), non-homologous end joining (8, 9), base excision repair, and single-strand break repair (10) leading to an accumulation of unrepaired damage causing cell death.

We previously showed Dbait to be effective in combination with radiotherapy on several radio-resistant tumors, both in vitro and in vivo (8, 11–13). Furthermore, studies on an intestinal tumor rodent model revealed that oral administration of Dbait,
in association with the chemotherapy agents 5-fluorouracil and camptothecin leads to increased chemosensitization of intestinal tumors and to a low systemic exposure (14). To increase the efficiency of cellular uptake, the Dbait molecule was modified by covalently linking a cholesterol moiety to the 5′-end (DT01; ref. 11). We demonstrated that local administration of DT01 by intratumoral injection in association with radiotherapy increases survival of xenografted human melanoma models (13). However to date, the efficacy of systemic administration of DT01 in association with chemotherapy has not been investigated.

The aims of the current study were to firstly demonstrate the efficacy of DT01 in vitro, secondly to assess the pharmacokinetics and the distribution of DT01 in the liver, and thirdly to demonstrate the concomitant impact of systemic DT01 administration in combination with conventional chemotherapy (oxaliplatin with 5′-fluorouracil) in a colorectal cancer metastatic liver tumor model.

**Materials and Methods**

**Cell culture, constructs, Dbait molecules, immunofluorescence, and Western blotting**

Colorectal cancer cell lines: HT29 (mutated p53, ATCC: HTB-38) and HCT116 (wild-type p53, ATCC: CCL-247) were purchased directly from ATCC. These cells were authenticated by ATCC by generating human short tandem repeat profiles by simultaneously amplifying multiple STR loci and amelogenin (for gender determination) using the Promega PowerPlex Systems. These cells were cultured in the laboratory for less than 6 months from the date of purchase in DMEM medium supplemented with 10% FBS, 1% sodium pyruvate, 100 mg/mL streptomycin, and 100 mg/mL penicillin (Invitrogen), when the current study was performed. HT29 cell line stably expressing luciferase was established in-house using a pGL4.5 luciferase reporter vector (Invitrogen); luciferase cells were supplemented with 200 μg/mL hygromycin B. All cell lines were additionally subjected to mycoplasma testing in-house and were free of mycoplasma contamination (Biovalley).

Cells were transfected with 2.5 μg of Dbait (5′-GGTGTGCCCA-CAACCGACAAAAGCTTAGA-(H) TCTAGCTGTGTTGCTG-GGTGTGGGCAAGC-3′; Eurogentec) where H is a hexaethylene-glycol linker and underlined nucleotides are phosphorothioate. The cells were sham transfected with an 8 bp oligonucleotide control (8H) complexed with 11 kDa polyethyleneimine (PEI) as previously using a monoclonal anti-phospho-Histone H2AX (Ser139) antibody, clone JBW301 (1:500 dilution; 05-636, Millipore), and live cell counts were performed on days 1, 3, 5, 6, 7, 10). We demonstrated that local administration of DT01 by intratumoral injection in association with radiotherapy increases survival of xenografted human melanoma models (13). However to date, the efficacy of systemic administration of DT01 in association with chemotherapy has not been investigated.
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Colorectal cancer cell lines: HT29 (mutated p53, ATCC: HTB-38) and HCT116 (wild-type p53, ATCC: CCL-247) were purchased directly from ATCC. These cells were authenticated by ATCC by generating human short tandem repeat profiles by simultaneously amplifying multiple STR loci and amelogenin (for gender determination) using the Promega PowerPlex Systems. These cells were cultured in the laboratory for less than 6 months from the date of purchase in DMEM medium supplemented with 10% FBS, 1% sodium pyruvate, 100 mg/mL streptomycin, and 100 mg/mL penicillin (Invitrogen), when the current study was performed. HT29 cell line stably expressing luciferase was established in-house using a pGL4.5 luciferase reporter vector (Invitrogen); luciferase cells were supplemented with 200 μg/mL hygromycin B. All cell lines were additionally subjected to mycoplasma testing in-house and were free of mycoplasma contamination (Biovalley).

Cells were transfected with 2.5 μg of Dbait (5′-GGTGTGCCCA-CAACCGACAAAAGCTTAGA-(H) TCTAGCTGTGTTGCTG-GGTGTGGGCAAGC-3′; Eurogentec) where H is a hexaethylene-glycol linker and underlined nucleotides are phosphorothioate. The cells were sham transfected with an 8 bp oligonucleotide control (8H) complexed with 11 kDa polyethyleneimine (PEI) as previously using a monoclonal anti-phospho-Histone H2AX (Ser139) antibody, clone JBW301 (1:500 dilution; 05-636, Millipore), and live cell counts were performed on days 1, 3, 5, 6, 7, and 9.

**In vitro proliferation assay**

Cells were seeded at a density of 3 × 10⁴ cells/60 mm dishes and transfected with Dbait. Following treatment, cells were washed and left untreated or treated with a combination of 5 μM/OXA (OXA, Sigma) and 2.5 μM/L of 5-fluorouracil (5-FU, Sigma) and live cell counts were performed on days 1, 3, 5, 6, 7, and 9.

**Clonogenic assay**

Cells were transfected with Dbait and left untreated or treated with 5 μM/L of OXA and 2.5 μM/L of 5-FU for 1 hour. The cells were diluted, allowed to grow for 14 days, and the clones were stained with crystal violet and counted.

**In vivo experiments**

The current study was carried out in strict accordance with the European Union guidelines for animal care. All animal experimentation was approved by the ethics committees of the Institut Curie and the French ministry. Surgical procedures were performed under anesthesia with local analgesia to minimize suffering.

**Animals**

Six-week-old female NMR5/NMR5 mice (Janvier) weighing 20 to 22 g were housed in specific pathogen-free environment on a 12-hour light and 12-hour dark schedule with food and water ad libitum. No more than 6 animals were housed per cage and they were acclimated for at least one week prior to initiating in vivo studies.

**Intrahepatic HT29L grafting.** HT29 Luciferase (HT29L) cells were implanted by direct injection of cell suspensions (1 × 10⁷/10 μL of PBS) onto the upper surface of the left lobe. Tumor growth was monitored through bioluminescence analysis (IVIS, Caliper Sciences).

**DT01 molecule.** For in vivo studies, DT01 (Dbait with a cholesterol triethylene glycol incorporated at the 5′-end) was used (Agilent technologies; ref. 11).

**Pharmacokinetics of DT01.** HT29L-grafted mice were treated with a single intraperitoneal (i.p., n = 4) or intravenous (i.v., n = 3) injection of 5 mg of DT01. Blood samples were harvested prior to treatment and 1, 5, 10, 30 minutes, 1, 2, 4, and 6 hours after treatment. Plasma was recovered through centrifugation and assayed by ELISA.

**Fluorescence measurement of organs.** As the ELISA technique failed to produce reliable quantification in tissues, we used fluorescent imaging, a reliable technique for assessing molecule distribution (15). NMR5/NMR5 mice were injected with 1 mg of the DT01 fluorescent molecule (DT01-Cy5) through i.p. (n = 3) or i.v. (n = 3) administration. The fluorescent DT01 (DT01-Cy5) incorporates a cyanine 5 at the thymidine located immediately after the linker. Six hours after injection, fluorescence imaging was performed using a Typhoon scanner (GE Helathcare).

**DT01 and chemotherapy treatment.** HT29L-grafted animals (n = 49) were allocated into treatment groups and administered one cycle of treatment (Supplementary Table S1). DT01 was systemically administered through i.p. injection at a dose of 5 mg/day for 5 consecutive days starting on day 0 (D0). OXA (6 mg/kg, 1 × per cycle, day 1) and 5-FU (25 mg/kg, 3 × per cycle. Days 1–3) were administered 2 or 4 hours after DT01 treatment. These mice were sacrificed 22 days after treatment. An additional group treated with DT01 and OXA/5-FU at the 4-hour interval (n = 10) were kept after treatment until the termination guidelines were met to assess the duration of treatment efficacy.

**Liver function assessment.** Blood samples were obtained through submandibular bleeding in lithium heparin tubes (Sarstedt) on
Histone variant H2AX (8, 9, 14). We have previously shown that Dbait acts by activating DNA-PK kinase, which phosphorylate numerous targets including the histone variant H2AX (8, 9, 14). We first confirmed the activity of Dbait in two colorectal cancer cell lines (HCT116 and HT29) by monitoring the pan-nuclear phosphorylation of H2AX (Fig. 1A).

To first investigate the effects of Dbait on cell survival to chemotherapy, we determined the number of living cells, at different time points after treatment with Dbait or OXA and 5-FU or a combination of Dbait with chemotherapy (Fig. 1B). Treatment with OXA and 5-FU resulted in a decrease in cell proliferation. However, the level of proliferation was significantly reduced by day 9 in cells transfected with Dbait prior to chemotherapy treatment in both HCT116 and HT29 cell lines compared with chemotherapy alone.
To confirm the chemosensitization effect of Dbait in combination with OXA and 5-FU, clonogenic survival assays were performed on HCT116 and HT29. HCT116 cells showed approximately 30% (P < 0.01) lethality after Dbait treatment alone (Fig. 1C) revealing their dependency on repair activity for survival, whereas no significant effect was noted in HT29. As the sensitivity of HCT116 to Dbait was not detected during the first 8 days of proliferation (Fig. 1B), this result suggests that the cells growing with Dbait accumulate lethal lesions that impair their survival later on. Treatment with chemotherapy alone (OXA/5-FU) resulted in a significant decrease in the survival of HCT116 (P < 0.001), whereas only a trend was observed with the HT29 cell line (P = 0.08). However, combination of Dbait with chemotherapy resulted in a significant reduction in survival in both cell lines (P = 0.05). HCT116 and HT29 differ by many parameters including their P53 status (HCT116 being proficient whereas HT29 is mutated). In this instance, despite some differences in their sensitivity to standalone Dbait treatment both cell lines were equally sensitive to the combination of chemotherapy with Dbait.

Pharmacokinetic and biodistribution analyses of i.p. versus i.v. administration of DT01

To avoid transfecant adjuvant toxicity, all in vivo studies were performed with DT01, a Dbait–cholesterol conjugate facilitating the cellular uptake of these molecules without added toxicity (11). To determine the best route for systemic administration of DT01 mice were treated with either a single i.p. or an i.v. dose of 5 mg of DT01. I.p. administration resulted in a C<sub>max</sub> of 578 µg/mL, a t<sub>max</sub> of 1 hour and an AUC<sub>0→6</sub> of 799, whereas i.v. administration led to a C<sub>max</sub> of 1,917 µg/mL, a t<sub>max</sub> of 0.08 hours and an AUC<sub>0→6</sub> of 799 (Fig. 2A). Pharmacokinetic analyses revealed that after i.p. injection, the plasmatic exposure of DT01 was longer than that of i.v. bolus injection with an AUC corresponding to approximately 70% of the AUC with i.v. administration (Fig. 2A).

We used a fluorescent-labeled cy5-DT01 molecule to monitor the biodistribution in excised whole organs (Fig. 2B). Both cy5-DT01 and DT01 have similar properties in terms of pharmacokinetics and DNA-PK activation (11). The maximal DT01 fluorescence was observed in the liver, intestines, and the kidneys by both routes with the highest intensities observed in the liver and intestines following i.p. administration. The high fluorescence emitted by the kidneys and urine observed in mice suggest that DT01 is preferentially eliminated by the kidneys. Although there was no measurable DT01 in the blood 6 hours after injection (Fig. 2A), significant amounts of DT01 were still detectable in the liver indicating a specific retention in this organ (Fig. 2C).

As already demonstrated in vitro, DT01 activation of DNA-PK in tissue can be revealed by the phosphorylation of the histone H2AX (9). We monitored DT01 activity by analyzing distribution of H2AX phosphorylation in livers bearing HT29-grafted tumors. Interestingly, a high level of γH2AX was specifically observed in...
the tumor and not in the surrounding healthy tissues (Fig. 2D) indicating a preferential uptake or activity of the DT01 molecules in the tumor cells of the liver.

**DT01 significantly increases sensitivity to OXA and 5-FU in vivo**

To explore the interest of associating DT01 with the front-line treatment for metastatic colorectal cancer, we used a HT29 xenograft liver tumor model, as previous reports and our in vitro data demonstrate this line to be highly chemoresistant mainly due to the V600E BRAF mutation (16, 17). The animals were treated with OXA and 5-FU, a treatment close to the traditional FOLFOX protocol for patients, using two different schedules based on biodistribution data (Fig. 3A). The two schedules consisted of either 2 or 4-hour intervals between the two treatments, as the maximum DT01 levels in the liver were observed at 1 and 3 hours after treatment (Fig. 2C). In previous studies we established that DT01 must be administered prior to the genotoxic treatment in order to act as a chemosensitizer.

As previously observed in vitro, the tumors were highly resistant to chemotherapy alone and DT01 had only a moderate effect when administered alone (Fig. 3B and C). Interestingly, the association of DT01 to OXA and 5-FU significantly decreased the liver tumor size in both combination treated groups compared with chemotherapy alone when administered at 2 (mean volume: 525.80 vs. 872.01 mm², \( P = 0.03 \)) and 4 hours (mean volume: 501.05 vs. 872.01 mm², \( P = 0.02 \)) before chemotherapy (Fig. 3B and C). This effect was not observed when DT01 was associated with a single chemotherapy agent, either OXA or 5-FU (Supplementary Fig. S1). Detailed blinded histologic analyses including measures of the viable tumor area, necrosis, and apoptosis were assessed in hematoxylin–eosin–saffron (HES)-stained sections, by an experienced pathologist. Both groups with DT01 and chemotherapy combined treatment showed higher treatment efficacy than the groups receiving single treatment, with a marked increase in necrosis in the group treated with a 4-hour interval between chemotherapy and DT01 (\( P < 0.0001 \)) than

---

**Figure 3.**

DT01 significantly increases sensitivity to chemotherapy in a colorectal cancer (HT29) liver metastatic model. Intrahepatic tumor-bearing NMP10/10 mice were treated as described in the Materials and Methods, and sacrificed 22 days after treatment. Livers were sampled for macroscopic and microscopic examination.

A, sequence of DT01 and CT therapy. Chemotherapy was administered 2 or 4 hours after DT01 treatment. C, representative macroscopic and HES sections of liver tumors in each group. D, mean liver tumor volume (mm²) in each treatment group. E, necrotic component assessed through HES staining. Necrosis is expressed as a proportion (%) of the total tumor surface of the tissue section analyzed. F, immunohistochemistry. Proliferation of the viable tumor component and the average microvessel density were determined by Ki67 (E) and CD31 (F) staining, respectively. Results are expressed as an average ± SEM.
2 hours \((P < 0.01)\), compared with chemotherapy alone (Fig. 3D). Furthermore, a high apoptotic index was apparent in both groups treated with DT01 and chemotherapy (Supplementary Fig. S2). Similar to other histologic parameters, the extent of apoptosis was elevated in animals treated with a 4-hour delay \((P < 0.0001)\). These histologic findings were not apparent in the DT01 or chemotherapy alone–treated groups.

For many solid tumors, proliferation and microvascularization are indispensable prerequisites for tumor development and metastasis. To further investigate these parameters, immunostaining for Ki67 and CD31, markers of cell proliferation and angiogenesis respectively, were performed in the viable tumor component (Fig. 3E and F). Ki67 immunoreactivity indicated that tumors treated with either DT01 or chemotherapy alone were densely packed with a high degree of proliferation. Treatment with a 2-hour interval between DT01 and chemotherapy resulted in a moderate decrease in proliferating cells \((P = 0.02; \text{Fig. 3E})\). Strikingly, immunoreactivity of Ki67 was 10-fold reduced in the group treated with a 4-hour interval between DT01 and chemotherapy \((P < 0.001; \text{Fig. 3E})\). In this group, immunoreactivity was detected only in the tumor rim due to the high degree of necrosis observed in the center core region of the tumor. In addition, diminished intratumoral vessel densities were detected in groups treated with a combination of DT01 and chemotherapy, compared with chemotherapy alone (Fig. 3F). However, the mean microvessel density was even more notably reduced in the group treated with a 4-hour interval \((P < 0.001)\) compared to 2 hours \((P = 0.02)\). Despite similarities in the antitumor effect on tumor growth at both the 2 and 4-hour treatment schedules, histologically the efficacy was significantly more pronounced at the 4-hour timepoint, in terms of necrosis, apoptosis, proliferation, and angiogenesis.

Unexpectedly, tumors treated with a delay of 4 hours between DT01 and chemotherapy and sampled 22 days after treatment presented with a proportion of lysed hepatocytes within the tumor and slight edema in the adjacent nonmalignant liver (Fig. 4A), in the absence of further clinical signs of toxicity such as loss of weight (Supplementary Fig. S3). Histologic analyses did not reveal morphologic signs of toxicity in the other groups (Fig. 3). In addition, liver enzyme tests did not reveal significant differences between the control and the combination treated groups (Supplementary Fig. S4).

Interestingly, no further edema was observed when animals receiving the same treatment were sacrificed between 30 and 65 days (Fig. 4A). This suggests that the edema observed at day 22, is reversible over time. Despite the significant tumor efficacy observed 22 days post treatment, tumors monitored after this time point resumed progression (Fig. 4B). Histologic

---

**Table 1.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time Point</th>
<th>Mean Tumor Volume (mm³)</th>
<th>Mean Tumor Surface Components (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>V (22)</td>
<td>1,000</td>
<td>30%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E (22)</td>
<td>3,000</td>
<td>50%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I (30–45)</td>
<td>2,000</td>
<td>60%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L (45–65)</td>
<td>4,000</td>
<td>80%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Figure 4.**

Tumor properties of mice receiving DT01 and chemotherapy at early, intermediate, and later time points. A, representative HES sections of tumor \((T)\) and adjacent nonmalignant \((N)\) tissues harvested early \((E)\) on day 22, intermediate \((I)\) between days 30 and 45 and late \((L)\) between days 45 and 65, receiving combination treatment. B, comparison of mean liver tumor volumes \((mm³)\) in the vehicle-treated \((V)\) and after treatment with DT01 + chemotherapy with a 4-hour interval when sacrificed at E, I, or L time points. C, restart of proliferation and regrowth of tumor when harvested at I and L time points compared with E time point.
analysis revealed that the proliferative component reached approximately 50% at 30 to 45 days after treatment, only slightly below the level observed in nontreated tumors (Fig. 4C).

To confirm that combination treatment did not induce additional toxicity to the liver, we analyzed the tolerability of DT01 in association with OXA or 5-FU for extended treatment cycles. We determined the toxicity of escalating doses of DT01 (total doses of 30, 50, or 80 mg) following systemic administration for two cycles (5×DT01 administrations per treatment cycle) associated to OXA or 5-FU in a cohort of 50 mice. No loss of weight was observed in animals during or after treatment (Supplementary Fig. S5A). Similarly, other clinical signs of toxicity such as diarrhea or behavioral changes were not noted in these mice. At autopsy 6 weeks after the second cycle of treatment, all abdominal organs, the thoracic cavity, and contents appeared normal. No major variations in liver weights or histology were observed between the vehicle and combination treated groups (Supplementary Fig. S5B).

These results suggest that the reversible edema detected after combined treatment (Fig. 4) in animals bearing hepatic tumor is likely an acute reaction to the tumor response to efficient combination treatment.

Peritoneal metastasis treatment

Colorectal cancer often metastasizes to the liver and the peritoneum. Interestingly, 90% of the mice intrahepatically xenografted with colorectal cancers developed peritoneal metastasis. This property allowed us to monitor the effect of DT01 not only on liver tumors but also on peritoneal metastasis. Animals receiving a combination of DT01 and chemotherapy displayed significantly decreased peritoneal tumor volumes when compared with chemotherapy alone at both the 2 (mean volume: 300.31 vs. 867.20 mm³, respectively, P < 0.01) and 4-hour time intervals (mean volume: 259.51 vs. 867.20 mm³, respectively, P < 0.01; Fig. 5A and B). Although a slight decrease in tumor volume was observed in the group treated with DT01 alone, this did not reach statistical significance.

Discussion

Approximately 50% of patients with colorectal cancer will present either with liver and/or peritoneal metastases or develop them throughout the course of their disease (18). A majority of patients with colorectal cancer hepatic metastases present with nonresectable disease and systemic chemotherapy represents the main if not the only form of therapy. However, the therapeutic window of chemotherapy is limited due to tumor resistance and high toxicity to nontargeted tissue. In such clinical situations, an aggressive chemotherapy regimen alone may not only fail to improve survival, but may also adversely affect the quality of life. Consequently, the mortality of these patients remains high. Therefore development of new agents specifically targeting DNA repair to circumvent chemoresistance and sparing healthy tissues is imperative in the treatment of these cancers. DT01 is an attractive drug candidate based on its central role in DNA repair.

In the current study, we show for the first time that systemic DT01 treatment sensitizes colorectal cancer cells to conventional chemotherapies by in vitro and in vivo assays. In a colorectal cancer metastatic model, we demonstrate significant antitumor efficacy in the liver and the peritoneum (regarded as a terminal condition) with DT01 treatment in combination with OXA and 5-FU. It is of interest to note, that the significant antitumor effect was limited to DT01 association with both OXA and 5-FU and not with single-agent chemotherapy (Supplementary Fig. S1). This demonstrates that in agreement with the clinical conventional setting, combination with DT01 must be associated to double chemotherapy rather than single-agent chemotherapy in the treatment of colorectal cancer metastases. This study further highlights that tumors receiving double chemotherapy combined with DT01 restart proliferation and regrowth at later time points (post 22 days). Therefore repeated cycles of treatment would be necessary to achieve long-term disease control similar to current conventional chemotherapy protocols. This would be possible as no added toxicity was observed with DT01 alone or in combination with OXA or 5-FU.

DT01 preferentially accumulate in the liver and intestines after systemic injection. Although the entire liver appeared to be uniformly fluorescent after Cy5-DT01 injection, the activation of DNA-PK revealed by the phosphorylation of H2AX was observed exclusively in tumor cells and not in the healthy tissue surrounding the tumor. This observation indicates that either DT01 does not enter nontumor cells and/or that DT01 is not active in healthy liver tissue. DT01 was specifically designed by cholesterol conjugation, first, to increase the bioavailability and second, to play on the difference in the substrate uptake between cancer and normal cells. Low-density lipoproteins (LDL) are a major component of...

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Veh.</th>
<th>DT01</th>
<th>CT</th>
<th>DT01 + CT 2 h</th>
<th>DT01 + CT 4 h</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mean peritoneal tumor volume (mm³)</td>
<td>1,200</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>800</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>210</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>P = 0.01</td>
<td>P &lt; 0.01</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 5. Association of DT01 with chemotherapy significantly decreases the peritoneal tumor volume. Intrahepatic tumor-bearing NMR1667 mice were treated as described in the Materials and Methods, and sacrificed 22 days after treatment. The presence of peritoneal metastasis was visually monitored during the duration of treatment and measured at the time of sacrifice. A, mean peritoneal tumor volume (mm³) in each treatment group. B, representative macroscopic images of peritoneal tumors in each group. Results are expressed as an average ± SEM.
the cholesterol pathway (19). High requirement for LDL by malignant cells and thus the consequent overexpression of LDL receptors has been shown in many types of cancer cells making tumor cells specific targets of DT01 (20, 21). In addition, an extensive analysis of normal and cancerous human tissues by immunohistochemistry revealed that either DNA-PKcs or Ku80 were consistently absent in the liver and the mammary epithelium, a specific post-transcriptional regulation that was not found in the other tissues and most of the tumors (22). Taken together, these data highlight that DT01 is likely to be an efficient drug for the treatment of liver cancers (Supplementary Table S2).

In conclusion, there is an urgent need for new treatment options targeting secondary hepatic malignancies, a rapidly progressive disease with a poor prognosis and an alarming rate of mortality. Our study strongly suggests that combining systemic administration of DT01 with conventional chemotherapy may prove to be a safe and effective therapeutic strategy in the treatment of colorectal cancer metastasis of the liver and the peritoneum.
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