MCL-1 Is a Key Determinant of Breast Cancer Cell Survival: Validation of MCL-1 Dependency Utilizing a Highly Selective Small Molecule Inhibitor
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Abstract

Hyperexpression of antiapoptotic BCL-2 family proteins allows cells to survive despite the receipt of signals that would ordinarily induce their deletion, a facet frequently exploited by tumors. Tumors addicted to the BCL-2 family proteins for survival are now being targeted therapeutically. For example, navitoclax, a BCL-2/BCL-XL/BCL-W inhibitor, is currently in phase I/II clinical trials in numerous malignancies. However, the related family member, MCL-1, limits the efficacy of navitoclax and other chemotherapeutic agents. In the present study, we identify breast cancer cell lines that depend upon MCL-1 for survival and subsequently determine the mechanism of apoptosis mediated by the MCL-1 selective inhibitor A-1210477. We demonstrate that apoptosis resulting from a loss in MCL-1 function requires expression of the proapoptotic protein BAK. However, expression of BCL-XL can limit apoptosis resulting from loss in MCL-1 function through sequestration of free BIM. Finally, we demonstrate substantial synergy between navitoclax and MCL-1 siRNA, the direct MCL-1 inhibitor A-1210477, or the indirect MCL-1 inhibitor flavopiridol, highlighting the therapeutic potential for inhibiting BCL-XL and MCL-1 in breast cancer. Mol Cancer Ther; 14(8): 1837–47. ©2015 AACR.

Introduction

Programmed cell death or apoptosis is an evolutionarily conserved process that is critical for normal embryonic development and tissue homeostasis. Its dysregulation contributes to developmental malformations, neurodegeneration, autoimmunity, and cancer (1). Apoptotic signals originate from a variety of cell stressors and converge at the mitochondria. Here, the BCL-2 (B-cell lymphoma protein-2) family of proteins functions as guardians of cell fate, dictating the decision to live or die through the regulation of mitochondrial outer membrane permeabilization (MOMP). The antiapoptotic members BCL-2, BCL-XL, MCL-1, BCL-W, A1, and BCL-B contain four BCL-2 homology (BH) domains (BH1-4) and maintain cell integrity by directly binding and inhibiting the proapoptotic BCL-2 family members. The proapoptotic members can be further subdivided into the multidomain BH1-3 proteins (BAX, BAK, and BOK) and BH3-only proteins (BIM, BIK, BID, BAD, BMF, BNIP3, HRK, PLIN, and NOXA). Activation of BAX and/or BAK results in MOMP, cytochrome-c release and the commitment to apoptosis. Precisely how BAX and BAK are activated still requires elucidation, although it is likely to involve both direct activation by BH3-only proteins in addition to indirect activation through inhibition of prosurvival BCL-2 family members by BH3-only proteins (2–7).

Importantly, expression of functional BAX and BAK are required for further propagation of apoptotic signals from the mitochondria (8), and because neither individual loss of BAX nor BAK completely inhibits apoptosis, these two proapoptotic proteins are frequently considered to be functionally redundant (8, 9).

Given the central role of BCL-2 family members in governing cell fate, it is not surprising that their aberrant expression and function are associated with both the initiation and maintenance of the malignant state (10–15). Oncogene addiction proposes that cancer cells rely on a single oncogene to permit cell proliferation in the absence of apoptosis (16). Such a scenario has been described for BCL-2 (BCL2) and subsequently exploited therapeutically with the antitumorigenic agent navitoclax in non-Hodgkin lymphoma (NHIL; refs. 14, 15). Navitoclax binds with high affinity to BCL-2, BCL-XL, and BCL-W, but not MCL-1 or A1, and induces apoptosis in many cancer cell types (17). Although single-agent activity is reported in various cancer models and in clinical trials (17–21), expression of MCL-1 can limit the efficacy of this class of agents (19, 22, 23) and other antitumorigenic agents such as vincristine (24) and TRAIL (25). In addition, MCL-1 is a key driver of the malignant state in certain tumors. For example, overexpression of Mcl-1 in mice results in the development of B-cell lymphomas (12) and hematopoietic stem/progenitor cell tumors, and cooperates with Myc in lymphomagenesis.
(13). Strikingly, MCL-1 (MCL1) copy number (CN) is amplified in numerous human malignancies (26) and its elevated expression is associated with high tumor grade and poor survival of breast cancer patients (27). It is, therefore, of considerable therapeutic interest to inhibit the expression and/or function of MCL-1 in cancer and in particular, breast cancer. We recently described the synthesis of small molecules that selectively inhibit MCL-1 function (28). Here, we describe the identification of breast cancer cell lines that are addicted to the oncogene MCL-1, evaluate the mechanism of cell death resulting from selective loss of MCL-1 function, and discuss the consequences for breast cancer therapy.

The data described herein identify a number of breast cancer cell lines that depend on MCL-1 for survival. MCL-1 dependency accurately predicted the efficacy of flavopiridol, an antitumorogenic CDK inhibitor described to downregulate MCL-1 expression. To further investigate the mechanisms of MCL-1 dependency, we used the recently described MCL-1 inhibitor A-1210477. Inhibition of MCL-1 function in cell lines identified to be MCL-1-dependent resulted in caspase-dependent apoptosis that required BAK but not BAX. However, MCL-1 gene expression alone did not predict susceptibility to apoptosis in response to loss of MCL-1 function. Rather, we found the ratio of MCL-1:BCL-XL to be critical. In this regard, BCL-XL but not BCL-2 overexpression afforded greater protection against apoptosis resulting from loss in MCL-1 function. Furthermore, MCL-1 siRNA, A-1210477 or flavopiridol was synergistic with navitoclax.

Materials and Methods

Reagents, cell culture, and treatment

Breast cancer cell lines obtained from the ATCC or DSMZ were cultured in the recommended media containing 10% FBS (Invitrogen Corporation) and 10 mmol/L L-glutamine. All cell lines were tested for authenticity by short tandem repeat profiling by the AbbVie Core Cell Line Facility. For apoptosis assays and determination of IC-1 fluorescence, cells were plated at a density of 250,000 cells per well in 6-well plates, in cell viability assays at 0.05 × 10^{6}/mL, and for protein extraction assays and microarrays, 3 × 10^{5} per 10 cm petri dish. A-1210477 was added in anhydrous DMSO to a stock solution of 10 mmol/L and flavopiridol in DMSO at 100 μmol/L. After overnight attachment, cells were treated for up to 72 hours with either vehicle alone, navitoclax, and for protein extraction assays and microarrays, A-1210477 or flavopiridol, or A-1210477 or the described combinations. Where indicated, cells were pretreated for 60 minutes with z-VAD-fmk (ICN). Navitoclax and A-1210477 were synthesized as described previously (28, 29). Unless otherwise indicated, all chemical reagents were obtained from Sigma-Aldrich.

Small interfering RNA system and transfection

siRNA were synthesized by Dharmacon Research Inc. Breast cancer cells were transfected with 20 nmol/L of siRNA using Lipofectamine RNAiMax (Invitrogen) according to the manufacturer's instructions. The sense sequences of the siRNAs used are MCL-1 CDS: GCAATCGAACCATCAGAGATT (#2; cat no. D004501-11) and MCL-1 3’UTR: CAGACGAAGAUCUACGGAAA (#5; cat no. J004501-17), with nontargeting siRNA duplexes used as a negative control (On-Target Plus nontargeting siRNA, cat no. D-001810-01-20). Additional MCL-1 siRNA sense sequences used were GGCAGATGGCTGGAGATTATT (#1; cat no. D004501-02), GCTAAAACCTTGAAGACCAAT (#3; cat no. D004501-12) and GATTGTGACCTTCTTT (#4; cat no. D004501-13). The sense sequence of BCL-2 On-Target Plus siRNA is GGAGGAUUGUGGCCUUCUUU (cat no. J004383-02).

Generation of MCL-1, BCL-2, or BCL-XL-overexpressing or BAK-silenced cells

BAK-silenced cells were generated as described elsewhere (30). Briefly, stable BAK silencing was attained via a shRNA for BAK (complementary sense and antisense oligonucleotides 5’-GGACGAGAACACTTATGAGGTTT-3’). The BCL-2 construct (pEF) was kindly provided by Dr. David Huang (WEHI, Australia; ref. 31). Flag-tagged MCL-1 constructs were generated in the pLHCX retroviral backbone (Clontech) from the human cDNA. The lentiviral constructs pLOC–BCL-XL was obtained from Thermo-Open Biosystems. All constructs were verified by dideoxy Sanger sequencing. Retroviral supernatants were produced through transfection of the GP2–293–packaging cell line (Clontech) using FuGENE6 transfection reagent (Roche Molecular Biochemicals). Lentiviral supernatants were produced with MISSION Lentiviral Packaging Mix (Sigma) in 293T cells. After 48 hours of culture, virus-containing supernatant was harvested and applied to SKBR3 cells in 75 cm² culture flasks (0.5 × 10^{6} per flask) for a further 48 hours in the presence of polybrene (8 μg/mL, Sigma). Cells were washed and selected after 3 days with 300 μg/mL hycromycin B (Invitrogen; pLHCX constructs), 5 μg/mL blasticidin (Sigma; pLOC constructs), or 1 μg/mL puromycin (Sigma) in the case of transduction with pPRETO-SUPER or pEF constructs in the full complement of media. The expression of BCL-2, BCL-XL, MCL-1, or BAK was confirmed by Western blot analysis and compared with vector control-transduced cells and the parental line.

Cell viability

Cells (0.05 × 10^{6}/mL) were treated in 96-well plates for 72 hours and cell viability determined by CellTiterGlo as described by the manufacturer's instructions (Promega Corporation).

Flow cytometry

Apopotosis was determined by flow cytometric evaluation of the Sub-G1-G1/G0 content of DNA cell-cycle histograms as described in detail elsewhere (32). Inner mitochondrial membrane potential was determined by flow cytometric evaluation of JC-1 fluorescence. Briefly, 15 minutes before the termination of drug incubation periods, cells were loaded with 2.5 μg/mL JC-1 and incubated at 37°C in a humidified 95% air/5% CO₂ atmosphere for 15 minutes. Samples were harvested, washed twice with ice-cold PBS, and resuspended in 500 μL of PBS containing 0.25% BSA. Samples were immediately analyzed for the ratio of JC-1 aggregates to JC-1 monomers by flow cytometry (BD FACS Calibur).

Cytochrome c release assay

Cytochrome c release from the mitochondria to the cytosol following cell treatment was determined as described elsewhere (33).

Immunoprecipitations and Meso Scale Discovery-ELISA assays

All protein lysates were extracted with 1% CHAPS buffer containing protease and phosphatase inhibitors (Roche and Sigma,
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BAX and BAK activation

BAX activation was determined with an antibody that specifically recognizes the active form of BAK (clone TC-100; EMD Chemicals) and detected with goat anti-mouse Alexa Fluor 488 (Invitrogen) secondary antibody by flow cytometry. BAX activation was determined as described in detail elsewhere (33).

Western blot analysis

After treatment, cells were detached with trypsin, centrifuged at 1,000 r.p.m. for 5 minutes, and lysed in 50 μL of ice-cold Cell Lytic (Sigma) supplemented with protease (Roche Diagnostics Corporation) and phosphatase inhibitors (Sigma). Protein concentrations were determined by the BSA assay (Invitrogen) and 50 μg of protein electrophoresed by SDS-PAGE (Invitrogen). Separated proteins were transferred to nitrocellulose membranes using iBlot (Invitrogen). Blots were probed with anti-MCL-1 (clone S-19), anti-BAX (clone N-20; both Santa Cruz Biotechnology), anti-MnSOD (clone 19), anti-cytochrome c (clone 7H8.2C12), anti-BAX (clone N-20; both Santa Cruz Biotechnology), anti-BCL-2 (clone 7; all BD Biosciences), anti-BAX (clone Y164), anti-BIM (clone Y36) and anti-BCL-X<sub>L</sub> (E18; all Epitomics), anti–capase-3 (clone 3A1A067; Abcam) or β-actin (Sigma), followed by IRDye 680/800CW-conjugated antibodies (LI-COR Biosciences). Proteins were visualized using the Odyssey infrared imaging system (LI-COR Biosciences).

RNA isolation, microarray analysis, and CN determination

Total RNA was extracted from cell lines using Qiagen RNeasy 96 Universal Tissue Kit (Qiagen). RNA purity and integrity were checked on Agilent Bioanalyzer 2100. RNA used for microarray analysis with Affymetrix protocols. Whole-genomic expression profile of the cell lines were obtained using Affymetrix Human Genome U133A 2.0 arrays with three replicates per cell line and three technical replicates per sample. Gene-expression analysis was revealed from Sanger Institute COSMIC cell line project. The raw CN was extracted from CEU files using pooled HapMap samples as a reference and smoothed by segmentation algorithm with Partek software.

The microarray expression and CN data of all breast cancer cell lines are available at GEO with accession number GSE66072.

Statistical analysis

Line and column graphs are presented as the mean ± SEM. The Bliss independence model was used to evaluate synergy (34). Spearman rank correlation coefficient and associated statistical significance was determined using GraphPad Prism.

Results

The antiapoptotic BCL-2 family protein MCL-1 has been identified as both an intrinsic and acquired resistance factor that limits the efficacy of a variety of antitumorigenic agents. However, overreliance on a single antiapoptotic gene to maintain survival, as has been described for BCL-2 hyperexpression in NHL, may actually serve as the cancer cell’s Achilles’ heel, a phenotype coined oncogene addiction. Selective inhibition of such oncogene targets is, therefore, a promising means of inducing cancer cell death (14, 15). In light of this hypothesis, we aimed to determine the MCL-1 dependency of a panel of breast cancer cell lines using gene silencing. Interpretation of siRNA screens is often complicated by off-target activities. To address this issue, we first evaluated the efficacy of a panel of MCL-1–specific siRNAs in SKBR3 cells, correlating degree of MCL-1 silencing with degree of apoptosis (Supplementary Fig. S1A). MCL-1 siRNA #5 induced the most dramatic phenotypic response, targeting the 3′-untranslated region (UTR) of MCL-1. Overexpression of MCL-1 inhibited cell death induced by MCL-1 siRNA #5, but did not rescue the cell death induced by MCL-1 siRNA that targeted the CDS (MCL-1 siRNA #2) as measured by caspase-3 activation and PARP cleavage (Fig. 1A) or the appearance of sub-G<sub>0</sub>–G<sub>1</sub> DNA content (Supplementary Fig. S1B). Subsequently, we screened a large panel of breast cancer cell lines for susceptibility to cell death following treatment with MCL-1 siRNA #5. MCL-1 dependence was defined as a greater than 30% loss in cell viability upon MCL-1 siRNA treatment when compared with control-treated cells. Of note, 47% (15/32) of breast cancer cell lines were found to depend on MCL-1 for survival (viability <70% following MCL-1 siRNA treatment; Fig. 1B and Supplementary Table S1). Susceptibility to MCL-1 siRNA or MCL-1 CN did not segregate according to breast cancer histologic subtype (Supplementary Fig. S2A and S2B). Gene-expression analysis revealed that cell survival specifically correlated with the ratio of MCL-1:BCL-X<sub>L</sub> expression but not MCL-1:BCL-2 (Fig. 1C), indicating that BCL-X<sub>L</sub> may actually serve as the cancer cell’s Achilles’ heel, a phenotype coined oncogene addiction.
siRNA (Supplementary Fig. S2D). SKBR3 was further validated as an MCL-1–dependent cell line through overexpression of BCL-XL or BCL-2 (Supplementary Fig. S1C and S1D). BCL-XL overexpression limited apoptosis of SKBR3 cells treated with MCL-1 siRNA to a greater extent than that of BCL-2 overexpression, corroborating BCL-XL expression as a factor that most dramatically alleviates the survival dependency of breast cancer cells for MCL-1 (Fig. 1D). Furthermore, siRNA directed against BCL-XL, but not BCL-2, sensitized breast cancer cell lines such as HCC-1806 to cell death as a result of MCL-1 silencing (Fig. 1E).

To further validate the dependence of breast cancer cell lines on MCL-1 for survival, we examined the effect of flavopiridol on MCL-1–dependent versus MCL-1–independent cell lines (determined herein with MCL-1 siRNA). Flavopiridol inhibits various cyclin-dependent kinases (CDK) and has been reported to reduce expression of MCL-1 (35, 36). Flavopiridol caused a rapid down-regulation of MCL-1, to a similar extent and with similar kinetics, in both MCL-1–dependent (SKBR3) and MCL-1–independent (HCC-1806) cell lines (Supplementary Fig. S3A). Importantly, apoptosis occurred more rapidly in SKBR3 cells than in HCC-1806 cells. Cell death, as indicated by PARP cleavage and appearance of sub-G0–G1 DNA content, more closely paralleled loss of MCL-1 expression in SKBR3 cells than in HCC-1806 (Supplementary Fig. S3A), recapitulating the rapid kinetics of apoptosis that result from MCL-1 gene silencing in SKBR3 (Supplementary Fig. S3B and S3C).

Recently, we described a new class of selective MCL-1 inhibitors that bind to the BH3-binding groove of MCL-1, including the small molecule A-1210477 (Fig. 2A; ref. 28). A-1210477 treatment disrupted the association of BIM with MCL-1 in SKBR3 cells (Fig. 2B), resulting in caspase-dependent apoptosis (Fig. 2C). BIM siRNA reduced the expression of BIM in SKBR3 cells and inhibited...
A-1210477–induced caspase-3 activation (Fig. 2D). This compound also induced classical features of mitochondrial-mediated apoptosis in MCL-1–dependent cell lines that were synonymous with apoptosis resulting from MCL-1 silencing, including cytochrome c release (Fig. 2E) and loss of inner mitochondrial membrane potential (Fig. 2F). As with MCL-1–directed siRNA, apoptosis induced by A-1210477 was substantially inhibited by BCL-X_L overexpression, but to a much lesser extent by BCL-2 overexpression (Fig. 3).

Navitoclax is a small-molecule inhibitor of BCL-2 and BCL-X_L that is currently being assessed in phase I/II clinical trials for the treatment of multiple malignant states. However, MCL-1 has been identified as a key resistance factor that limits the efficacy of this class of antitumorigenic agent (19, 22, 23), with approximately 66% (21/32) of breast cancer cell lines studied here being resistant to navitoclax (EC_{50} > 5 μmol/L; Fig. 4A, and Supplementary Table S2). Reciprocally, the data described here implicate BCL-X_L as a factor that limits breast cancer cell death in response to pharmacologic blockade or genetic loss of MCL-1 function. Treatment of multiple breast cancer cell lines with A-1210477 sensitized them to navitoclax (Fig. 4A) with significant synergy (Fig. 4B) that was recapitulated with MCL-1–specific siRNA (Fig. 4C and D and Supplementary Table S2).

The hierarchical interaction of the BCL-2 family members is under considerable debate and several models exist that attempt to explain their regulation of apoptosis. The “direct activation” model proposes that the antiapoptotic BCL-2 family members act as a sink to sequester certain BH3–only proteins, thereby preventing their activation of BAX and/or BAK (2–4, 7). To further understand the mechanism of synergistic cell death induced by A-1210477 and navitoclax, we used HCC-1806 cells. HCC-1806 is resistant to apoptosis in response to single agent treatment with navitoclax, A-1210477, MCL-1 siRNA, BCL-2 siRNA, or BCL-X_L.

Figure 2.
The MCL-1 inhibitor A-1210477 inhibits MCL-1–BIM interaction and induces classical features of apoptosis that are synonymous with MCL-1 silencing. The chemical structure of the MCL-1 inhibitor A-1210477 is shown in A. SKBR3 cells were treated with the MCL-1 inhibitor A-1210477 for 4 hours and the interaction of BIM with MCL-1 determined by immunoprecipitation (IP) followed by Western blot analysis. Western blots of the IP input and supernatants are shown (B). SKBR3 cells were pretreated with the caspase inhibitor z-VAD-fmk (75 μmol/L) for 1 hour before treatment with A-1210477, MCL-1 siRNA (#5, 20 nmol/L), or scrambled siRNA (Sc, 20 nmol/L) for a further 24 hours and the induction of apoptosis compared to the nontreated controls. The degree of MCL-1 silencing in the presence or absence of z-VAD-fmk is shown by Western blot analysis, along with the associated changes in caspase-3 and PARP cleavage (C). SKBR3 cells were pretreated with BIM siRNA or Sc siRNA (both 20 nmol/L) for 48 hours before treatment with A-1210477 for an additional 6 hours, and expression of BIM, MCL-1, caspase-3, and β-actin determined by Western blot analysis (D). Cytochrome c (Cyt C) release (E) or dissipation of the inner mitochondrial membrane potential using JC-1 (2.5 μg/mL; F) was determined in SKBR3 cells treated with Sc siRNA (20 nmol/L), MCL-1 siRNA (#5, 20 nmol/L) or treatment with A-1210477 for 6 hours. MnSOD and BAX expressions were also determined and serve as loading controls.
siRNA (Fig. 4D and Supplementary Fig. S4). However, simultaneously targeting \( \text{BCL-XL} \) with navitoclax or siRNA, and \( \text{MCL-1} \) with siRNA or A-1210477, results in rapid apoptosis in this cell line (Fig. 4 and Supplementary Fig. S4). Treatment of HCC-1806 cells with navitoclax results in disruption of \( \text{BCL-2} - \text{BIM} \) and \( \text{BCL-XL} - \text{BIM} \) complexes that is accompanied by elevated \( \text{MCL-1} - \text{BIM} \) interaction (Fig. 5A), consistent with a lack of cell death (Fig. 4D). Conversely, treatment of cells with \( \text{MCL-1} \) siRNA enhances BIM

Figure 3. BCL-XL limits the efficacy of A-1210477 to a greater extent than BCL-2. SKBR3 cells overexpressing BCL-XL, or BCL-2 were treated with A-1210477 for 24 hours and the percentage of apoptosis determined from the sub-G1 DNA content of DNA cell-cycle histograms and compared with vector control (Vct Ctrl) or parental cell lines (wild-type, WT). Data are presented as the mean ± SEM of three independent experiments.

Figure 4. Inhibition of MCL-1 function sensitizes breast cancer cell lines to navitoclax. A panel of breast cancer cell lines was cotreated with the MCL-1 inhibitor A-1210477 (0, 2.5, 5, and 10 μmol/L) and navitoclax for 72 hours in 10% FBS. The effect on cell viability was determined with CellTiterGlo and synergy determined by Bliss analysis. Typical dose-response curves are shown in A, and the Bliss sums (synergy) shown in B. Alternatively, HCC-1806, MDA-MB-231, DU-4475, and SKBR3 cells were treated with navitoclax in the presence or absence of MCL-1 or scrambled (Sc) siRNA for 72 hours and viability was determined with CellTiterGlo (C). The effect of MCL-1 siRNA on the efficacy of navitoclax in an extended panel of breast cancer cell lines is depicted in Supplementary Table S2. HCC-1806 (MCL-1 independent) cells were pretreated with MCL-1 (#5) or scrambled (Sc) siRNA (20 nmol/L each) before navitoclax exposure and the effect on apoptosis was determined by Western blot analysis or flow cytometry (D). Alternatively, HCC-1806 cells were cotreated with navitoclax and the MCL-1 inhibitor A-1210477 at the described concentrations for 24 hours and apoptosis was quantified by flow cytometry (E). Data are presented as the mean ± SEM of three independent experiments.
interaction with BCL-2 and BCL-XL without apoptosis induction (Fig. 5B). In accordance with the binding mode of A-1210477 (28), treatment of HCC-1806 cells with A-1210477 disrupted MCL-1–BIM interaction and, as observed with MCL-1 siRNA, promoted the association of BIM with BCL-2 and BCL-XL (Fig. 5C), as well as the protection against MCL-1–mediated apoptosis (Fig. 4). The total amount of BIM bound to BCL-2, BCL-XL, and MCL-1 is not altered by BCL-2/BCL-XL inhibition with navitoclax, MCL-1 inhibition with A-1210477 or MCL-1 silencing (Fig. 5D and E) and suggests that these antiapoptotic factors act as redundant sinks to bind-free BIM and prevent apoptosis. Only when BIM’s interactions with MCL-1 and BCL-XL (and/or BCL-2) are simultaneously disrupted (Fig. 5D and E) does apoptosis ensue in HCC-1806 cells (Fig. 4).

Expression of BAX and/or BAK is critical for the efficient propagation of apoptotic signals that converge at the mitochondria and regulate the release of mitochondrial related proapoptotic factors such as cytochrome c. Treatment of MCL-1–dependent SKBR3 cells with MCL-1 siRNA or A-1210477 resulted in upregulation of BAK protein levels (Fig. 6A) independent of any changes in BAK mRNA (Fig. 6B). Although caspase inhibition abrogated A-1210477–induced apoptosis (Fig. 2C), z-VAD-fmk did not prevent BAK protein upregulation following MCL-1 inhibition (Fig. 6C). Both MCL-1 siRNA and A-1210477 induced BAK activation as visualized by flow cytometry using an antibody that specifically recognizes the active conformation of BAK (Fig. 6D). Treatment of MCL-1–dependent SKBR3 cells with A-1210477 resulted in dissociation of the MCL-1–BAK interaction (Fig. 6E). Together, these data suggested that BAK is of significant importance to cell death that results from inhibition of MCL-1 function. We therefore generated SKBR3 cells with diminished BAK expression using shRNA that specifically targets BAK (Fig. 7A). BAK silencing resulted in protection against apoptosis mediated by MCL-1 siRNA or A-1210477 in SKBR3 cells (Fig. 7B). Interestingly, BAX siRNA did not protect against apoptosis resulting from A-1210477 or MCL-1 siRNA treatment in SKBR3 cells and did not afford further protection in the presence of diminished BAK expression (Fig. 7C and D). BAX was only activated in MCL-1–dependent SKBR3 cells in the context of MCL-1 inhibition combined with navitoclax treatment (Fig. 7E). These data in MCL-1–dependent cell lines indicate that cell death following loss in MCL-1 function requires BAK and not BAX.

Discussion
The mitochondria function as a point of convergence for apoptotic cell signaling initiated in response to a variety of death-promoting stimuli. Upon activation, the BCL-2 family members BAX and BAK accumulate on the outer mitochondrial membrane, leading to the formation of the apoptosis-inducing mitochondrial pores. The proapoptotic BAK is a key determinant of breast cancer cell survival, and its expression is critical for the efficient propagation of apoptotic signals that converge at the mitochondria and regulate the release of mitochondrial related proapoptotic factors such as cytochrome c. The data presented here demonstrate that BAK is of significant importance to cell death that results from inhibition of MCL-1 function. We therefore generated SKBR3 cells with diminished BAK expression using shRNA that specifically targets BAK (Fig. 7A). BAK silencing resulted in protection against apoptosis mediated by MCL-1 siRNA or A-1210477 in SKBR3 cells (Fig. 7B). Interestingly, BAX siRNA did not protect against apoptosis resulting from A-1210477 or MCL-1 siRNA treatment in SKBR3 cells and did not afford further protection in the presence of diminished BAK expression (Fig. 7C and D). BAX was only activated in MCL-1–dependent SKBR3 cells in the context of MCL-1 inhibition combined with navitoclax treatment (Fig. 7E). These data in MCL-1–dependent cell lines indicate that cell death following loss in MCL-1 function requires BAK and not BAX.
physiologic, environmental, or pharmacologic agents. BCL-2 family proteins regulate the decision to live or die at the mitochondrial level and their aberrant expression can contribute to the malignant state, providing a survival advantage by which cancerous cells can escape deletion and consequently flourish (2, 3, 7).

Many lymphomas solely depend on the hyperexpression of BCL-2 for survival (14, 15), an "oncogene addiction" achieved through enhanced BCL-2 CN or the t(14;18) chromosomal translocation (37, 38). Herein, we use a highly selective small-molecule inhibitor of MCL-1 to demonstrate that MCL-1 is a key determinant of breast cancer cell survival. Consequently, we describe for the first time, the in vitro efficacy and mechanism of action of A-1210477 in breast cancer cell lines. We further define MCL-1 dependency as a BAK–dependent process. In addition, we demonstrate synergy between loss in MCL-1 function and navitoclax is BCL-XL driven. Classification of breast cancer cell lines according to MCL-1 dependency was also predictive of susceptibility to flavopiridol, a CDK9 inhibitor and transcriptional repressor of MCL-1.

Using MCL-1–specific siRNA, we found approximately 47% (15/32) of breast cancer cell lines to depend on MCL-1 for survival. Although the mRNA expression of any single antiapoptotic factor was not predictive of susceptibility to MCL-1 siRNA, the ratio of MCL-1 to BCL-XL mRNA was. Overexpression of BCL-XL but not BCL-2, conferred significant resistance to MCL-1 siRNA in the MCL-1–dependent cell line SKBR3, validating this correlative analysis and confirming similar findings reported in NSCLC (39). Moreover, gene-silencing experiments confirm that synergy between loss in MCL-1 function and navitoclax is BCL-XL driven. Amplification of MCL-1 (>3 copies) was present in 44% (14/32) of breast cancer cell lines studied (Supplementary Table S1), reflecting data obtained in primary breast cancer samples (26). However, MCL-1 CN did not predict dependency upon MCL-1 for survival in breast cancer cell lines treated with MCL-1 siRNA (Supplementary Fig. S2D). Similarly, MCL-1 CN or susceptibility to MCL-1 siRNA did not segregate according to breast cancer sub-type (Supplementary Fig. S2A and S2B).

**Figure 6.** Loss of MCL-1 function modulates BAK expression. SKBR3 cells were treated with MCL-1 siRNA (#5), scrambled siRNA (Sc; both 20 nmol/L) or the MCL-1 inhibitor A-1210477 for 8 hours and the effect on MCL-1, PARP, and BAK determined by Western blot analysis (A) or by RT-PCR (B). In addition, SKBR3 cells were treated for 24 hours in the presence or absence of z-VAD-fmk (75 μmol/L) for 24 hours, and the effect on BAK and PARP expression determined by Western blot analysis (C). SKBR3 cells were treated with MCL-1 siRNA (#5), Sc siRNA (both 20 nmol/L) or the MCL-1 inhibitor A-1210477 for 6 hours and BAK activation was determined by flow cytometry using an antibody that detects BAK in its activated conformation (D). SKBR3 cells were treated with the A-1210477 for 6 hours and the interaction between BAK and MCL-1 was determined by immunoprecipitation (IP) followed by Western blot analysis. Western blots of MCL-1 and BAK expression from the total SKBR3 cell lysate before (input) and after (supernatant) IP are also shown (E).
We recently described the development of highly selective inhibitors of MCL-1 (28) that can be further used to examine the mechanism of cell death that results from inhibition of MCL-1 function. A-1210477 induced apoptosis in a mechanistically identical manner to death resulting from MCL-1 gene silencing. A-1210477 and MCL-1 siRNA induced caspase-dependent apoptosis in SKBR3, an MCL-1–dependent cell line, which was associated with the release of mitochondrial cytochrome c, loss in ΔψM and BAK activation. BAK has been described to associate with MCL-1 (40–42) and in vivo deletion of Bak rescues the survival of Mcl-1–deficient murine thymocytes (43). Accordingly, we found that apoptosis induced via a loss in MCL-1 function required BAK expression. Furthermore, BCL-XL overexpression in SKBR3 prevented MCL-1–mediated apoptosis to a greater extent than that observed with BCL-2 overexpression, further substantiating the notion that the ratio of MCL-1:BCL-XL gene expression and not the ratio of MCL-1:BCL-2 is a determinant of MCL-1 dependency in breast cancer cell lines. These conclusions are consistent with previous data indicating that BAK does not significantly bind BCL-2, but is sequestered by MCL-1 and BCL-XL (42, 44). Similarly, Bcl-2 overexpression does not rescue Mcl-1–deficient mouse thymocytes (43). BAX was not activated by A-1210477 or MCL-1 siRNA, and BAX silencing did not prevent the ensuing cell death, consistent with the low-binding affinity of MCL-1 for BAX and the fact that overexpression of MCL-1 does not block BAX-induced apoptosis (45). Unlike in vivo deletion of Bak, Bax deletion does not rescue Mcl-1–deficient thymocytes (43). BAX was only activated upon loss of MCL-1 function in the context of coincident BCL-2/BCL-XL inhibition with navitoclax. Collectively, these data indicate that apoptosis resulting from a loss in MCL-1 function requires BAK and not BAX and that these two gatekeepers of the intrinsic apoptotic pathway do not foster complete functional redundancy in breast cancer cell lines.

We used three approaches to inhibit MCL-1 function: MCL-1 gene silencing with specific siRNA, direct inhibition with A-1210477, and indirect knockdown through transcriptional repression of MCL-1 expression with the CDK9 inhibitor flavopiridol (Supplementary Figs. S3 and S5). Inhibition of MCL-1 function was synergistic with navitoclax in multiple breast cancer cell lines irrespective of the approach. Using the cell line HCC-1806, a cell line that does not depend solely on MCL-1 for cell survival, BAK was required for apoptosis resulting from loss of MCL-1 function. SKBR3 cells stably expressing BAK shRNA were generated and expression was compared with the vector ctrl (Vct Ctrl) and parental cell line by Western blot analysis (A). Wild-type, Vct Ctrl, and BAK shRNA-expressing SKBR3 cells were treated with A-1210477, scrambled siRNA (Sc), or MCL-1 siRNA (#5; both 20 nmol/L) for 24 hours and the effect on apoptosis was determined by flow cytometric quantification of the sub-G0–G1 DNA content of DNA cell histograms. MCL-1 silencing was confirmed by Western blot analysis (B). Vct and BAK shRNA SKBR3 cells were pretreated with BAX siRNA (20 nmol/L) or scrambled siRNA (Sc; 20 nmol/L) for 24 hours before treatment with A-1210477 (10 μmol/L; C) or MCL-1 siRNA (20 nmol; D) for a further 8 hours. Proteins were subsequently extracted and the expression of BAK, BAX, MCL-1, β-actin, and PARP was determined by Western blot analysis (C and D). Alternatively, SKBR3 cells were treated with A-1210477 (10 μmol/L) and/or navitoclax (1 μmol/L) for 8 hours and BAX activation was determined by immunoprecipitation with activated BAX antibody (clone 6A7) and BAX expression was determined by Western blot analysis (E).
survival and is resistant to navitoclax (EC_{50} > 5 μmol/L), we demonstrate a mechanism by which the antiapoptotic BCL-2 family members are capable of compensating for loss in expression or function of a related antiapoptotic protein. Inhibition of MCL-1 function pharmacologically with A-1210477 or genetically with MCL-1 siRNA resulted in redistribution of the BH3-only protein BIM from MCL-1 to BCL-2 and BCL-X_{L}. Thus, in response to a loss of MCL-1 function, BCL-2 and BCL-X_{L} collectively acted as a sink to sequester displaced BIM and prevent the initiation of apoptosis. This process serves to prime the cells for BCL-2/BCL-X_{L}–dependent death as evidenced by their subsequent vulnerability to navitoclax. Conversely, MCL-1 serves as a sink to bind-free BIM upon BCL-2/BCL-X_{L} inhibition with navitoclax, making these cells impervious to navitoclax-mediated apoptosis. Notably, A-1210477 did not activate BAK in MCL-1–independent cells despite being able to displace BIM (data not shown).

Collectively our data also potentially inform on the hierarchical interaction of the BCL-2 family members. Several models have been proposed to describe their dynamic interactions that consequently modulate apoptotic function. We hypothesize that a balance between MCL-1 and BCL-X_{L} expression, and therefore the status of MCL-1 dependency, can switch the mode by which the BCL-2 family of proteins interact to initiate apoptosis: from mode 2 in MCL-1–dependent cells to mode 1 in those cells dependent upon two or more antiapoptotic BCL-2 family members for survival (2, 5–7). The combined use of highly selective inhibitors of BCL-2 (ABT-199; ref. 46), BCL-X_{L} (A-1155463; ref. 47), or MCL-1 as described herein, may facilitate resolution of the complex question as to precisely how BCL-2 family members interact to dictate cell fate, and hence therapy in specific clinical oncology settings.

Taken together, these data provide a preclinical rationale for targeting MCL-1 in breast cancer. We have demonstrated MCL-1 dependency in a significant proportion of breast cancer cell lines using gene silencing techniques and have validated our observations with the selective MCL-1 inhibitor A-1210477. Subsequently, we demonstrated that apoptosis resulting from a loss in MCL-1 function requires BAK and not BAX. Expression of BCL-X_{L} provides a means by which breast cancer cell lines can escape MCL-1–dependent death, although this leaves them susceptible to navitoclax treatment. In this regard, we demonstrate substantial apoptotic synergy between agents that impair MCL-1 function and navitoclax in breast cancer.
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