Antitumor Activity of the MEK Inhibitor TAK-733 against Melanoma Cell Lines and Patient-Derived Tumor Explants
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Abstract

The goal of this study was to investigate the activity of the selective MEK1/2 inhibitor TAK-733 in both melanoma cell lines and patient-derived melanoma xenograft models. In vitro cell proliferation assays using the sulforhodamine B assay were conducted to determine TAK-733 potency and melanoma responsiveness. In vivo murine modeling with eleven patient-derived melanoma explants evaluated daily dosing of TAK-733 at 25 or 10 mg/kg. Immunoblotting was performed to evaluate on-target activity and downstream inhibition by TAK-733 in both in vitro and in vivo studies. TAK-733 demonstrated broad activity in most melanoma cell lines with relative resistance observed at IC50 > 0.1 μmol/L in vitro. TAK-733 also exhibited activity in 10 out of 11 patient-derived explants with tumor growth inhibition ranging from 0% to 100% (P < 0.001–0.03). Interestingly, BRAFV600E and NRAS mutational status did not correlate with responsiveness to TAK-733. Pharmacodynamically, pERK was suppressed in sensitive cell lines and tumor explants, confirming TAK-733–mediated inhibition of MEK1/2, although the demonstration of similar effects in the relatively resistant cell lines and tumor explants suggests that escape pathways are contributing to melanoma survival and proliferation. These data demonstrate that TAK-733 exhibits robust tumor growth inhibition and regression against human melanoma cell lines and patient-derived xenograft models, suggesting that further clinical development in melanoma is of scientific interest. Particularly interesting is the activity in BRAF wild-type models, where current approved therapy such as vemurafenib has been reported not to be active. Mol Cancer Ther; 14(2); 317–25. ©2014 AACR.

Introduction

Melanoma is the most aggressive form of skin cancer and is characterized by poor survival outcomes and limited therapeutic options. An estimated 123,590 new cases of melanoma were diagnosed in the United States in 2011, with a 5-year survival rate of advanced-stage melanoma of 15% (1). The MAPK pathways are signaling networks that transfer growth factor signals from cell surface receptors to the nucleus through protein kinase cascades. The RAS/RAF/MEK/ERK pathway is one of the best-characterized MAPK pathways and serves to transmit extracellular signals that ultimately regulate cellular proliferation, differentiation, migration, cell-cycle, angiogenesis, and survival (2–5). In many malignancies, including melanoma, the MAPK pathway has been shown to be upregulated, either through overexpression of extracellular growth factor ligands or by constitutive activation through mutations in RAS or RAF (2, 3, 6, 7). With the upregulation of this pathway, a malignant phenotype can emerge, characterized by hyperproliferation of tumor cells, decreased apoptosis, and enhanced invasion and migration (4, 7, 8). Activating mutations in BRAF have been found in approximately 60% of primary melanoma tumors (6, 9). The most common BRAF mutation is the V600E valine to glutamic acid substitution at codon 600. This mutation leads to dysregulation and constitutive activation of the RAF/MEK/ERK pathway, resulting in abnormal cell growth and ultimately malignant transformation (4, 6–8, 10). Furthermore, it has been demonstrated that many of these tumors harboring the V600E mutation are dependent on the RAF/MEK/ERK pathway for survival and proliferation (11–14). MEK is a serine/threonine kinase that is part of the BRAF downstream signaling cascade and is responsible for the phosphorylation of ERK1/2. Interestingly, ERK1/2 appears to be the sole targets of MEK, making MEK an interesting target for anticancer therapeutics (15–18).

The development of BRAF inhibitors has provided a new treatment option for BRAF-mutated melanoma. Unfortunately, despite an impressive initial response, many patients have relapsed on BRAF inhibitor therapy. Posttreatment biopsies of resistant tumors have identified RTK, NRAS, COT, and...
C-RAF–mediated activation of the MEK/ERK pathway, essentially bypassing RAF altogether (19–23). The ultimate result of MAPK pathway activation by any of the above mechanisms is MEK protein kinase activation and ERK1/2 phosphorylation with subsequent nuclear translocation and gene transcription, resulting in a proliferative and antiapoptotic, BRAF-inhibitor–resistant phenotype.

TAK-733 (Takeda California) is an investigational potent, selective, non-ATP–competitive allosteric inhibitor of MEK kinase (24, 25). In in vitro assays, TAK-733 inhibits MEK kinase selectively, and potently inhibits growth in a broad range of cell lines (24, 25). In mouse xenograft efficacy studies, TAK-733 has demonstrated substantial tumor growth suppression and shrinkage in a wide range of tumor types (24). As MEK inhibitors act downstream of RAF, resistance to RAF inhibitors via activation of C-RAF, COT, or N-RAS in melanoma would be blocked and tumor growth would theoretically be slowed or suppressed altogether. Indeed, MEK inhibitors have been shown to provide increased survival benefit in patients with BRAF or N-RAS–mutant melanoma both as a single agent or in combination with RAF inhibition in initial clinical trials (11, 13, 26–30). In this study, in vitro and in vivo characterization of TAK-733 was carried out in preclinical models of malignant melanoma, including pharmacodynamic analyses. In addition, the antitumor activity of TAK-733 was assessed using patient-derived human tumor explant (PDTX) melanoma xenograft models. Finally, acquired resistance to TAK-733 was induced in a PDTX model by chronic administration of TAK-733 for 72 hours. Postdrug administration, media were removed and cells were fixed with cold 10% trichloroacetic acid, followed by stain solubilization with 0.4% SRB (Fisher Scientific) for 30 minutes at room temperature, washed again with 1% acetic acid, followed by stain solubilization with 10 mmol/L tris at room temperature. The absorbance at 565 nm was measured on a plate reader (Biotek Synergy 2). Cell proliferation curves were derived from the raw absorbance (OD) data. Statistical analyses and graphical representation of data were obtained using GraphPad Prism version 5.00 (GraphPad Software).

Materials and Methods

Reagents and cell lines

TAK-733 (Supplementary Fig. S1) was provided by Takeda Cambridge US, Inc. under a materials transfer agreement and prepared as a 10-mmol/L stock solution in DMSO. For in vivo studies, this compound was prepared as a suspension in 0.5% methylcellulose in sterile water by brief vortexing followed by incubation overnight before exposure with increasing concentrations of TAK-733 for 72 hours. Postdrug administration, media were removed and cells were fixed with cold 10% trichloroacetic acid for 30 minutes at 4°C. Cells were then washed with water and stained with 0.4% SRB (Fisher Scientific) for 30 minutes at room temperature, washed again with 1% acetic acid, followed by stain solubilization with 10 mmol/L tris at room temperature. The absorbance at 565 nm was measured on a plate reader (Biotek Synergy 2). Cell proliferation curves were derived from the raw absorbance (OD) data. Statistical analyses and graphical representation of data were obtained using GraphPad Prism version 5.00 (GraphPad Software).

Immunoblotting

For in vitro studies, cell lines were seeded into 6-well plates and allowed to grow in complete media without drug for 24 hours. Cells were then switched to FBS-free media for 24 hours. On day 3, cells were exposed to DMSO or TAK-733 (0.125 μmol/L) in FBS-free media for one hour, with and without addition of 10% FBS, was added to each well for 30 minutes. The cells were then scraped into RIPA buffer containing protease inhibitors, EDTA, sodium fluoride (NaF), and sodium orthovanadate. Total protein levels in samples were determined using the Bio-Rad DC Protein Assay (Bio-Rad). Thirty micrograms of total protein were loaded onto a 8% to 12% gradient gel, electrophoresed, and then transferred to PVDF using the I-Blot system (Invitrogen). The membranes were blocked for 1 hour at room temperature (RT) with 5% nonfat dry milk in TBS containing tween-20 (0.1%) before overnight incubation at 4°C with the following primary antibodies (clone): Cell Signaling Technology: pAKT (D9E), Akt (40D4), pERK (D13.14.4E), ERK (L34F12), pS6RP (D57.2.2E), S6RP (54D2), pPI3K (poly), PI3K (19H8), pBAD (40A9), cleaved caspase-3 (5A1E), α-tubulin (DM1A), and β-actin (13E5). After primary antibody, blots were washed three times 20 minutes in TBS-Tween (0.1%), incubated with the appropriate secondary anti-rabbit or anti-mouse IgG infrared-linked antibody at 1:20,000 for 1 hour at RT, washed three times, and developed using the Licor Odyssey (Licor Inc).

NRAS and BRAF mutation analyses

For both melanoma cell lines and human tumor explants, DNA was isolated using the Qiagen DNA extraction kit (Qiagen). BRAF and NRAS mutations were analyzed by PCR amplification and direct sequencing of the products. The following PCR primers used: BRAF forward primer 5’-AACAACCTTTCAAGCCCCAAA-3’; BRAF reverse primer 5’-GAACTGTGTTCGCTATATTCCGGT-3’; NRAS forward primer 5’-CAGGATCTTACGAAAACATGG-3’; NRAS reverse primer AACCTAAAACCAACTCTTCCCA-3’.

PD and PK sample collection and handling

Mice bearing A375 human melanoma xenografts were dosed by oral gavage. Animals were sacrificed using carbon dioxide at various time points following administration Plasma was separated and frozen on dry ice, then stored at −80°C before being transferred for analysis. Subcutaneous tumors were removed from mice and divided into two parts. Both halves of each tumor were frozen on dry ice and stored at −80°C before determination of compound concentration or analyzed for pERK pharmacodynamic (PD) response.
For PD assessment, excised tumors were homogenized in an SDS-based lysis buffer using a BeadBeater (BioSpec Products). Lysates were spun at 2,000 rpm for 5 minutes at 4°C. Of note, 700 μL was transferred to new tubes and spun at 12,000 rpm for 10 minutes at 4°C. Supernatants were transferred to 96-deepwell blocks, and protein concentrations were determined using a microBCA assay (Pierce Biotechnology). Each sample was normalized to 3.0 mg/mL of total protein in 62.4 mmol/L Tris-HCl, pH 6.8, 2% SDS, 1% glycerol, 10% and 2% bromophenyl blue solution. Proteins were separated by electrophoresis using NuPage Bis-Tris gels (4%) using 2-(N-morpholine) ethanesulfonic acid buffer, and transferred to polyvinylidene difluoride membranes (Millipore). Anti-pERK-1/2 (Thr202/Tyr204) and anti-total ERK-1/2 rabbit polyclonal antibody (Cell Signaling Technology) were incubated overnight at 4°C to detect pERK-1/2 and anti-total ERK-1/2 proteins in the A375 xenograft model. Images of blots were collected on an Odyssey infrared imaging system (Li-Cor Biosciences) and signals corresponding to pERK-1/2 and anti-total ERK-1/2 were quantified by NIH Image J software.

Quantitation of TAK-733

Compound concentrations in plasma were determined after precipitation of proteins in acetonitrile (Fisher Scientific). Tumor samples were homogenized in 5 volumes of water (i.e., 1 g tumor:4 mL water) using an Omni-prep homogenizer (Omni International). Samples were vortexed and then centrifuged at 3,000 rpm for 5 minutes at 4°C in a bench top Beckman Coulter Allegra 25R Centrifuge (Beckman Coulter). One hundred microliters of supernatant were transferred to 1.5 mL 96-well plate and dried down under a steady stream of nitrogen. Samples were reconstituted with 300 μL of mobile phase (20:80 acetonitrile:water). Processed plasma samples were loaded by a Leap CTC PAL (Leap Technologies) autosampler onto a 2.5-μm Synergi Polar-RP 100A, 2.0-mm internal diameter, 50-mm high-performance liquid chromatography column (Phenomenex, Inc.) and separated by gradient elution using a mixture of acetonitrile and water each containing 0.04% formic acid with the mobile phase at a flow rate of 0.5 mL/min. The amount of acetonitrile in the mobile phase was 45%, at which it was held for 3 minutes from the beginning of the run. This was increased linearly to 95% over 0.7 minutes, and then increased linearly to 100% over 0.1 minutes and held at 100% until 1.6 minutes, whereupon it was decreased back to 45%. The run time was approximately 3.5 minutes. A PerkinElmer Sciex API 4000 LC/MS-MS system with an atmospheric pressure chemical ionization interface (Perkin-Elmer, Inc.) was used for detection. Multiple-reaction monitoring with dwell times of 100 and 80 msec was used to detect positive ions resulting from the mass-to-charge ratio (m/z) 504.96 → 431.00 transitions for TAK-733 and the m/z 509.09 → 435.00 transition for the SYR154628Z (internal standard), respectively. Quantitation was based upon integrating peaks corresponding to elution of the drug and internal standard in the extracted product ion chromatograms. The LLOQ for plasma was 0.25 ng/mL and tumor samples was 1.25 ng/g.

In vivo xenograft studies

Five- to six-week-old female athymic nude mice (Harlan–Sprague–Dawley) were used. Mice were caged in groups of 5 and kept on a 12-hour light/dark cycle and provided with sterilized food and water ad libitum. Animals were allowed to acclimate for at least 7 days before any handling. A375 human melanoma (ATCC) xenograft tumors were generated by harvesting cells from mid-log phase cultures using Trypsin–EDTA (Invitrogen, Inc.). Approximately 5 × 10^6 cells suspended in Hanks' balanced salt solution (GIBCO, Invitrogen Corp.) were injected s.c. into the right flank of 6- to 8-week-old mice. Administration of TAK-733 was initiated when tumors in all mice in each experiment ranged in size from 100 to 200 mm³ for antitumor efficacy studies and from 300 to 500 mm³ for PD studies. All drug administrations were administered orally by gavage on the basis of group mean weight once daily for approximately 2 weeks. For administration, TAK-733 was formulated in a suspension of 0.5% methylcellulose 400 (Wako Chemical) in water.

Tumor growth was monitored at least twice weekly using vernier calipers, and tumor volume was calculated using the formula (length × width^2)/0.52. Administrations producing >20% lethality and/or >20% net body weight loss were considered toxic. Antitumor effects were measured as the incidence of complete regressions (CR), partial regressions (PR), and tumor growth delay (TGD). CRs were defined as tumors that were no longer palpable. PRs were defined as tumors that were reduced by more than 50% but less than 100% of their initial size. A minimum duration of 7 days was required for a CR or PR to be considered durable. TGD was calculated by subtracting the time it takes (in days) for the median tumors in the untreated control groups to attain the evaluation size for that experiment from the time it takes for the median tumors in the treated group to reach the same size. Tumor growth inhibition (Treated/Control, % IC50) was calculated on the last day of administration. A negative %IC50 ratio indicated not only effective tumor growth inhibition in comparison with control, but also effective tumor volume reduction from day 1.

The PDTX melanoma xenograft models were generated according to previously published methods (32). Briefly, surgical specimens of patients undergoing either removal of a primary melanoma or metastatic tumor at the University of Colorado Hospital were cut into 3 mm^3 sections and implanted subcutaneously into 5 mice for each patient. Tumors were allowed to grow to a size of 1,000 to 1,500 mm³ (F1) at which point they were harvested, divided, and transplanted to another 5 mice (F2) to maintain the tumor bank. After a subsequent growth passage, tumors were excised and expanded into cohorts of mice for administration of TAK-733. All experiments were conducted on F3–F5 generations. Tumors from this cohort were allowed to grow until reaching approximately 150 to 300 mm³, at which time they were equally distributed by size into the two groups (vehicle control and TAK-733 administered). Mice were administered with either vehicle or TAK-733 (25 or 10 mg/kg) once daily by oral gavage. Mice were weighed twice weekly as a means of monitoring toxicity of TAK-733. Tumor size was evaluated three times per week by caliper measurements using the following formula: tumor volume = (length × width^2)/0.52. Tumor volume and body weight data were collected using the Study Director software package (Studylog Systems). The tumor growth inhibition index was determined by calculating the tumor volume of TAK-733–administered mice at study end (T end) minus volume of day 1 of administration (T start) of administration divided by control at end of study (C end) minus volume at day 1 (C start). Tumors with a negative [(T end-T start)/(C end-C start)] ratio, which indicates regression, were considered sensitive. Those which showed statistically significant increases were considered resistant.
significant growth inhibition compared with controls, but did not regress were considered intermediate responsiveness, and tumors that did not demonstrate significant growth inhibition compared with controls were considered resistant. All of the in vivo studies were conducted in accordance with the NIH guidelines for the care and use of laboratory animals was conducted in a facility accredited by the American Association for Accreditation of Laboratory Animal Care. The study protocol was approved by University of Colorado Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee before initiation. Tissue acquisition from consented melanoma patients at the time of removal of a primary tumor or biopsy was conducted under a Colorado Multi-Institutional Review Board-approved protocol.

Acquired TAK-733 resistance model
To develop acquired resistance to TAK-733 in a PDTX model, mice bearing the TAK-733–sensitive PDTX MB1374 on both flanks were administered QD with 100 mg/kg TAK-733 until visible outgrowth of individual tumors was observed. After approximately 2 months of administration, one flank tumor out of ten demonstrated outgrowth and was harvested when it reached a volume of approximately 300 mm³ (data not shown). Total RNA was extracted sequentially from: (i) tumor regrowth, (ii) readministration to sensitive, and (iii) administer to resistance tumors and gene expression was analyzed using Affymetrix Human 1.0 ST gene chips (accession number: GSE36133). Unsupervised hierarchical clustering was performed on these profiles and functional analysis of genes was performed using NIH DAVID (33).

Statistical analysis
To determine the statistical significance of BRAF mutational status and sensitivity to TAK-733, the Fisher exact test was performed using GraphPad Prism Software. Differences were considered significant at \( P < 0.05 \).

Results

**In vitro** antiproliferative effects of TAK-733 on melanoma cell lines
Thirty-four melanoma cell lines were exposed in vitro to increasing concentrations of TAK-733 for 72 hours. Of the 34 cell lines, 27 were BRAF\(^{V600E}\) mutant and seven were wild-type. SRB proliferation assays were performed and the resulting IC\(_{50}\) concentrations allowed stratification of cell lines into three categories: relatively resistant, intermediate, and highly sensitive. Relatively resistant and highly sensitive lines were assigned on the basis of an IC\(_{50}\) that differed by at least 10-fold (Fig. 1). Of note, there was no statistically significant association between BRAF status and response to TAK-733 in vitro \( (P > 0.05) \). Of note, these results were comparable with the approved MEK inhibitor trametinib in a subset of sensitive and resistant cell lines, whereas the activity of the approved BRAF inhibitor vemurafenib was much less potent (Supplementary Table S1).

**Pharmacodynamic effects of TAK-733 on melanoma cell lines**
To assess the impact of TAK-733 on downstream targets of the RAS/RAF/MEK pathway and to gain mechanistic insights into TAK-733 antitumor activity, immunoblotting studies were performed. One highly sensitive and three relatively resistant cell lines were exposed to TAK-733 for one hour and then harvested for isolation of total cellular protein. Immunoblotting of several canonical MEK pathway effectors and alternative effectors was analyzed on these profiles and functional analysis of genes was performed using NIH DAVID (33).
Pharmacokinetic/pharmacodynamic relationship of TAK-733 in vivo  
To assess PK/PD relationships, TAK-733 concentrations in plasma and tumor over time were quantitated in the sensitive A375 tumor-bearing mice. These data were then compared with the inhibition of tumor ERK phosphorylation over time as described in Materials and Methods (Fig. 3). Following an oral dose of 1 mg/kg, TAK-733 concentrations peaked rapidly and corresponded with a rapid reduction in pERK. Peak mean inhibition of pERK (100%) was observed at 2 hours postdose and was associated with peak plasma (250 ng/mL) and tumor (170 ng/g) concentrations (Fig. 3A). Similar results were observed at a dose of 10 mg/kg (Fig. 3B). Tumor concentrations of TAK-733 remained elevated throughout the 24-hour time course and correlated with a mean inhibition of pERK of approximately 39% to 99% (Supplementary Table S2). The time course of pERK reduction corresponded approximately with the tumor concentrations, as depicted in Fig. 3A. Mean plasma concentration of TAK-733 decreased rapidly from 8 hours to 16 hours postdose. A summary of the 24 and 48 plasma and tumor concentrations of TAK-733 is presented in Supplementary Table S3.

Antitumor activity of TAK-733 in athymic nude mice bearing A375 human melanoma tumors 
Daily oral administration of 1, 3, 10, and 30 mg/kg of TAK-733 for 14 days (days 10–23) resulted in tumor growth delay in the A375 cell-implanted mice [5/group; Fig. 4 and Supplementary Table S4]. TAK—733 (35, 70, 100, and 160 mg/kg) also significantly inhibited tumor growth on an intermittent dosing schedule of 3 days per week for 2 weeks (days 10, 13, 15, 17, 20, and 22). Three PRs, a 60% response rate, were observed in mice administered with 30 mg/kg of TAK—733 daily and in mice adminis-
tered with 160 mg/kg of TAK-733 intermittently. Responses, CR, and FR were also observed in mice administered with 70, 100, and 160 mg/kg of TAK—733 intermittently. The tumor regression rate was more pronounced with the intermittent administration regimen; the greatest reduction in tumor volume was observed at 160 mg/kg (57.29%), versus a maximum reduction of 46.97% at 30 mg/kg once daily (Supplementary Table S4). By the last day of administration, tumor growth was significantly (P < 0.05 for %T/C, Student t test) inhibited in mice administered 3, 10, and 30 mg/kg once daily or 35, 70, 100, and 160 mg/kg intermittently.

To assess the potential effects of TAK-733 against human melanoma tumors, PDTX models were established in athymic nude mice. Because they are never cultured on plastic, PDTX models recapitulate the human tumor from which they were derived with respect to tumor architecture, stroma, mutational status, etc. and are thus considered more stringent models for efficacy studies (32, 34). The nude mice carrying the PDTX were then randomized into two groups: control and TAK-733 administered (10 or 25 mg/kg) once daily by oral gavage as described in Materials and Methods, and tumors were measured by caliper three times per week. Figure 5A depicts the percent change in volume from baseline in administered tumors measured at the end of study. A total of eleven individual PDTXs were administered with TAK-733. Of these, six harbored the BRAFV600E mutation, five were BRAFWT, one model carried an NRASQ61H mutation, and one model carried an NRASQ61K mutation. Amongst these
models, BRAF and NRAS mutations were mutually exclusive. In all but one PDTX, MB947 (BRAFV600E, $P = 0.41$), TAK-733 demonstrated statistically significant antitumor activity when compared with controls ($P < 0.0001–0.03$). Furthermore, in 45% of the mice administered with TAK-733, tumor regression was observed. Representative growth curves are depicted in Fig. 5B–E. Somewhat surprisingly, there was no association between BRAFV600E mutational status and responsiveness to TAK-733 in these PDTX models ($P > 0.5$). A representative PDTX model, MB 1255, is depicted in Supplementary Fig. S4, demonstrating increased antitumor activity.

To assess tumor regrowth kinetics after administration of TAK-733, administration was discontinued in one model, MB1374, and tumor regrowth was observed after approximately 60 days. Dosing with TAK-733 was then restarted 111 days after the prior cessation and tumor regression was again observed (Fig. 6). A similar pattern of tumor growth and regression was observed after a second TAK-733 holiday followed by the reinstitution of dosing.

The doses and schedule used in this study (10 and 25 mg/kg/day) were within the tolerability limits for TAK-733 in mice and no animals exhibited obvious signs of toxicity as indicated by outward morbidity or weight loss. Tumor samples harvested at the end of the study were collected 1 hour after TAK-733 administration and evaluated for pharmacodynamic response. As depicted in Supplementary Fig. S5, pERK was downregulated in the TAK-733 administered mice compared with controls, regardless of BRAFV600E mutational status, confirming inhibition of MEK by TAK-733. Interestingly, pS6 protein, a downstream substrate of P70 S6 kinase and an effector of the PI3K pathway, was robustly inhibited (particularly taking into account the loading control of $\alpha$-tubulin) in the resistant MB947 PDTX, which was also observed in MB1547 but not MB1337.

### Acquired resistance to TAK-733 in PDTX model

Acquired resistance to TAK-733 was observed as outgrowth of a single MB1374 tumor administered chronically with 25 mg/kg TAK-733 for 2 months (data not shown). To examine genetic alterations associated with the acquired resistance to TAK-733, samples from tumor regrowth, readminister to sensitive and administer to resistance from the sensitive explant model MB1374 (Fig. 6) were harvested. Global gene expression profiling identified transcriptional changes after acquired resistance to TAK-733. Unsupervised hierarchical clustering on these profiles demonstrated that the tumor regrowth and administer to resistance samples clustered together, suggesting that there are similar transcriptional profiles and differences from the readminister to sensitive profile (Supplementary Fig. S6). Using gene expression changes of 1.5-fold change as cutoff, we identified that 289 and 133 genes were up- and downregulated in the two resistant samples as compared with the readminister to sensitive. Functional analysis of these genes by NIH DAVID (33) revealed that the upregulated genes in the resistant samples were enriched with cell adhesion molecules ($P = 8.7 \times 10^{-5}$, FDR = 0.009) and axon guidance ($P = 0.009$, FDR = 0.09), whereas downregulated genes were involved in homologous recombination ($P = 0.002$, FDR = 0.02) and systemic lupus erythematosus ($P = 0.01$, FDR = 0.1), according to KEGG pathway definitions (35).

### Discussion

Although the significance of the discovery of the BRAFV600E/K mutation and its role as a driver mutation in the development and progression of malignant melanoma has been substantial, the clinical translation of this discovery into effective therapeutics has been relatively short-lived due to de novo or acquired resistance (11, 22, 23). Viable alternative therapies for this patient population include the recently approved use of MEK inhibitors either as single agents or in combination with RAF inhibitors (17, 27, 28). However, the clinical efficacy of MEK inhibitors tested to date has been modest, likely due to a narrow therapeutic window, highlighting the need for the development of more potent compounds with improved toxicity profiles. The goal of this study was to evaluate the activity of a potent and selective MEK inhibitor, TAK-733, against preclinical models of melanoma. Although previous studies have been conducted demonstrating TAK-733 activity against melanoma cell lines in vitro (25), we sought to expand these studies into more clinically relevant in vivo murine models of melanoma. The translation of preclinical evidence into clinical practice success has historically been challenging and often fruitless. A number of factors, including genetic changes and divergence in cell lines grown outside the normal tumor environment, genetic divergence between the primary tumor and corresponding cell line, and heterogeneous patient tumors, are cited as likely culprits for the lack of successful preclinical to clinical translation (34, 36). The use of PDTX is increasingly being applied in oncology drug development as these models retain the molecular and genetic heterogeneity of human tumors and thus provide a more clinically relevant model for analysis of drug activity and predictive biomarker development (32, 34, 37, 38).

Here, we utilized 11 distinct PDTX models, representing varying genetic backgrounds to assess the antitumor activity of TAK-733. Preclinical and clinical studies have demonstrated that tumors harboring BRAFV600E mutations confer an oncogene addiction to the MAPK pathway for survival, providing an opportunity for therapeutic intervention (11, 14, 29, 39–43). Although targeting BRAF is a logical and effective therapeutic strategy, these tumors...
Figure 5.
A, tumor growth inhibition index of 11 PDTX tumor models administered with TAK-733 (10 mg/kg) for 30 days. B–E, representative tumor growth inhibition curves of four melanoma PDTX models administered with vehicle or TAK-733 (10 mg/kg) for the indicated study days.
In summary, TAK-733 demonstrated potent antitumor activity in vitro and in vivo in preclinical melanoma models of melanoma. Tumor regression was observed in 45% of murine PDTX models which is remarkable and suggests that further studies in humans are warranted. On the basis of the recently characterized resistance mechanisms of targeted BRAF inhibitors in patients with melanoma, the combination of TAK-733 with other agents such as BRAF or PI3K pathway inhibitors could potentially exhibit synergistic effects and may lead to more durable clinical benefit in subsequent studies.
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