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Abstract

This study aims to systematically determine the activities and expressions of cytochrome P450s (CYP) in hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) patients to support their optimal use in personalized treatment of HCC. Activities of seven major drug-metabolizing CYP enzymes (CYP1A2, 2A6, 2C8, 2C9, 2D6, 2E1, and 3A4) were determined in tumors and pericarcinomatous tissues harvested from 26 patients with hepatitis B virus-positive HCC using probe substrates. Protein and mRNA levels of these CYPs were also measured using isotope label-free LC/MS-MS method and real-time PCR, respectively. Maximal metabolic velocity (Vmax) of CYP probe substrates was decreased by 2.5- to 30-fold in tumor microsomes, accompanied by a corresponding decrease in their protein and mRNA expression levels. However, Km values and turnover numbers of substrates in tumor microsomes were not changed. High correlations between activities and CYP protein levels were also observed, but the correlation between activities and mRNA levels was often poor. There was a major decrease in the degree of correlation in CYP expression in tumor tissues, suggesting that CYP expression levels are greatly disrupted by the tumorigenic process. Our unprecedented systemic study of the effects of HCC on CYPs demonstrated that activities of CYPs were seriously impaired and their expression patterns were severely altered by HCC. We proposed that determination of the CYP protein expression profile by LC/MS-MS in each patient is a promising approach that can be clinically used for individualized treatment of HCC.

Introduction

Liver cancer is the fifth most common cancer and the second most frequent cause of death from cancer worldwide (1). Hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) represents more than 90% of primary liver cancers and is a major global health problem. Chronic infection with hepatitis B virus (HBV) is the most clearly established risk factor for HCC, and approximately 54% of cases can be attributed to HBV infection (2). In China where 50% of the world’s liver cancer cases and deaths occurred (1), more than 90% of the HCC patients are also diagnosed as having HBV infection (3, 4). Surgical resection remains the gold standard for HCC eradication. However, more than 80% of patients with HCC are not surgical candidates and other options such as chemotherapy and chemoembolization are often considered (5).

The efficacy of anticancer therapy is limited by our inability to predict patient outcomes such as tumor response and toxicity. Drug-metabolizing enzymes in tumors or their pericarcinomatous tissues play key roles in the activation or inactivation of numerous cytotoxic drugs, and influence the susceptibility of host and neoplasm to their effects. Cytochrome P450s (CYP) play critically important roles in the biotransformation of many endogenous and exogenous compounds, including drugs and dietary components (6). In typical human livers, five subfamilies, including CYP1A, CYP2C, CYP2D, CYP1A, and CYP2E are mainly expressed, and responsible for 70% to 80% of phase I metabolism of 90% of marketed drugs (7–9). However, CYPs not only function in the detoxification of xenobiotics but may also be involved in the activation of potential (pro-) carcinogens and mutagens (10). Furthermore, it has been suggested that the local expression of CYPs in tumors is very important for the management of cancer since these functionally associated enzymes might be involved in both the development of HCC and in determining the anticancer drug sensitivity of such tumor (11, 12).

Rates and extents of drug metabolism by tumor CYPs can be used as a marker for potential mechanism of drug resistance.

1Department of Pharmaceutics, School of Pharmaceutical Sciences, Southern Medical University, Guangzhou, Guangdong, China. 2Department of Infectious Diseases, Nanfang Hospital, Southern Medical University, Guangzhou, Guangdong, China. 3Department of Translational Chinese Medicine, Guangzhou University of Chinese Medicine, Guangzhou, Guangdong, China. 4Department of Pharmacological and Pharmaceutical Sciences, College of Pharmacy, University of Houston, Houston, Texas.

Note: Supplementary data for this article are available at Molecular Cancer Therapeutics Online (http://mct.aacrjournals.org/).

T. Yan and L. Lu contributed equally to this article.

Corresponding Authors: Zhongqiu Liu, Guangzhou University of Chinese Medicine, 232, Waihuandong Road, High Education Mega Center, Guangzhou, Guangdong 510006, China. Phone: 86-20-3935-8061; Fax: 86-20-3935-8061; E-mail: liuzq@gzucm.edu.cn; and Ming Hu, College of Pharmacy, University of Houston, 1441 Moursund Street, Houston, TX. Phone: 832-842-8320; Fax: 713-832-8305; E-mail: mhui@uh.edu

doi: 10.1158/1535-7163.MCT-15-0274

©2015 American Association for Cancer Research.

Mol Cancer Ther; 14(12); 2874–86. ©2015 AACR.
Materials and Methods

Chemicals and reagents
Substrates and metabolite standards were obtained from the following sources: phenacetin, acetaminophen, coumarin, 7-hydroxycoimarin, tolbutamide, 4-hydroxytolbutamide, dextromethorphan, dextrophan, chloroxazone, 6-hydroxylchloroxazone, and 6β-hydroxytestosterone were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich. Testosterone, Taxol and 6-hydroxytaloxol were from Melone-Pharmaceutical. NADPH generating system was purchased from Promega. PureLink RNA Mini Kit was purchased from Life Technologies. PrimeScript RT Reagent Kit and SYPR Premix Ex Taq II (TliRnasH Plus) were purchased from Takara Bio. All other chemical were used as received.

Human liver tissues
Approvals for tissue collection and studies were obtained from the NanFang Hospital Research Ethics Committee. All subjects, who also tested positive with HBV, had undergone anatomic or limited hepatectomy for HCC resection at Affiliated NanFang Hospital of Southern Medical University, Guangzhou, China. HCC tissues and matched pericarcinomatous tissues (tissue macroscopically dissected from the tumor, which was 1 cm away from the tumor lesions; ref. 20) were obtained from 26 Chinese subjects (aged between 33 years and 74 years; 51 ± 11 years, mean ± SD). The surgical specimens were confirmed by pathologic examination and clinicopathological parameters. HCC tissues were classified into five grades (grade cannot be assessed, well differentiated, moderately differentiated, poorly differentiated and undifferentiated) according to the AJCC Cancer Staging Manual (21). Only the moderately and/or poorly differentiated cases were selected in the present study. All the pericarcinomatous tissues were free of visible tumor nodules or tumor cell invasion, and most of them suffered from hepatitis B infection and/or cirrhosis (Supplementary Table S1). HCC and pericarcinomatous tissues were kept in ice-cold saline immediately after resection and used in preparation of liver microsomes right away (30 minutes usually) or stored in liquid nitrogen before RNA extraction.

Preparation of human liver microsomes
Liver microsomes of tumors (tHLMs-individual) and matched pericarcinomatous tissues (nHLMs-individual) from 25 donors were processed using standard differential centrifugation procedures, which is essentially the same as those described previously in our publication (19). A portion of 15 tHLMs-individual or matched nHLMs-individual were pooled together, named as nHLMs-pooled or tHLMs-pooled, based on equivalent protein amount to ensure the mixture represent the average of 15 individuals. Reference pooled human liver microsomes (rHLMs-pooled, derived from a pool of 22 Caucasian, 1 Hispanic and 1 African American, average age: 48 ± 14 years) purchased from BD Biosciences were used as a control.

Total RNA extraction
Total RNA of liver tissues was isolated and purified using the PureLink RNA Mini Kit according to the manufacturer’s instructions. The concentration and purity of the total RNA were spectrophotometrically assessed using a Biospec-nano spectrophotometer (Shimadzu, Japan). The quality of RNA was determined.
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based on A260/A280 ratio, which was 1.7 to 2.0 for all RNA preparations (22).

Measurement of CYP activities in human liver microsomes

Activities of the seven most important CYP isoforms, including CYP1A2, 2A6, 2C8, 2C9, 2D6, 2E1, and 3A4, were measured using human liver microsomes and probe substrates (23). The enzyme kinetic profiles of the target CYP isoforms in hHLMs-pooled, nHLMs-pooled, and rHLMs-pooled were measured by incubation with a range concentration of probe substrates in vitro. And the activities of these isoforms in each patient were determined by incubation of microsomes with specific substrate concentrations, at which best specificity was obtained. Assay details are provided in Supplementary Table S2. The assay concentrations, at which best specificity was obtained, were typically terminated by addition of 200 μL methanol-water (50:50, v/v) for CYP3A4. Incubations were carried out in a shaking water bath (150 rpm) at 37°C for an optimized period. The final solvent concentration (acetonitrile or methanol) was ≤1%. Incubations were typically terminated by addition of 200 μL of ice-cold methanol containing internal standard (except for the CYP3A4 assay; see below). The terminated incubation mixtures, as well as standard curve and quality control samples that composed of the same matrix materials but without NADPH generating system, were centrifuged for 30 minutes at 18,000 g, and the supernatants was subjected to UHPLC for analysis. Incubations for the CYP3A4 assay were terminated by addition of 4 mL ice-cold dichloromethane containing internal standard. After centrifugation, the supernatant was transferred to another glass tube and evaporated to dryness. The residue was reconstituted with 200 μL methanol-water (50:50, v/v) for injection. All incubations were performed in triplicate.

Formation of 7-hydroxycoumarin (CYP2A6), 6-hydroxytaxol (CYP2C8), and dextorphan (CYP2D6) was determined using an Agilent 1290 Infinite UHPLC system coupled with Agilent 6400 triple quadruple mass spectrometer equipped with an ESI source (Agilent Technologies Inc.) in positive ionization mode. The formation of acetaminophen (CYP1A2), 4-hydroxybutylicamide (CYP2C9), 6-hydroxychloroxazone (CYP2E1), or 6β-hydroxytestosterone (CYP3A4) was monitored using Agilent 1290 Infinite UHPLC or Waters ACQUITY UPLC on an Agilent Zorbax SB-C18 column (1.8 μm, 3.0 × 100 mm), with an UV detector. All the analytical methods were validated and the optimal instrument-dependent and compound-dependent parameters were summarized in Supplementary Table S3. MassHunter Acquisition Software Rev. B.6.00 (Agilent Technologies) or Empower Software (Waters) was used for data acquisition.

Absolute quantification of CYP proteins in human liver microsomes by using an iso-pool label-free LC/MS-MS method

Protein expression levels of the seven CYP isoforms were determined simultaneously by using an isotope label-free LC/MS-MS method as described previously, with some minor modifications (19). Protein expression levels were determined by quantifying proteotypic peptides produced by trypsin digestion, using synthetic standard peptides (APeptide Co.). All samples were analyzed by using an Agilent 6400 triple quadruple mass spectrometer coupled with 1290 Infinite UHPLC system. The liquid chromatography separation was carried out on an Agilent Zorbax SB-C18 column (1.8 μm, 3.0 × 100 mm). Sample volume of 10 μL was injected to the column and flow rate was 0.3 mL/minute. Sample rack and column temperatures were 10°C and 40°C, respectively. Mobile phase A was high-purity HPLC-grade water with 0.1% (v/v) formic acid, whereas mobile phase B was 100% acetonitrile with 0.1% (v/v) formic acid. The gradient profile used was as follows: (time: mobile phase A: mobile phase B): 0 minute: 95:5, 10 minutes: 55:45, 12–14 minutes: 20:80, 15 minutes: 95:5; post time, 3 minutes. Quantification was performed in positive ion mode and the mass spectrometer was set up to run a Dynamic MRM experiment for peptides. The instrument settings were as follows: capillary voltage, 4000 V; nebulizer gas, 30 psi; gas temperature and sheath gas heater were 250 and 300°C, respectively; gas flow and sheath gas flow were 15 and 11 L/minute; MRM detection window, 60 seconds and target scan time, 600 ms. The MRM transitions selected for each peptide were the same as described previously (19) and their compound-dependent parameters were listed in Supplementary Table S4.

Real-time PCR gene expression analysis

Full-length sequences of the target genes were obtained from GeneBank, and the primers (forward and reverse) were designed using Primer Premier 6.0 (Premier Biosoft) software based on the criteria described previously (24). The uniqueness of the primer sequences designed was assessed with the BLAST search (National Center for Biotechnology Information). Details of primers and inventory used in this study are provided in Supplementary Table S5. All these oligonucleotide primers were custom-synthesized by BGI Tech).

For first-strand cDNA synthesis, 1,600 ng of total RNA was reverse transcribed in a final volume of 40 μL following the protocol for the PrimeScript RT Reagent Kit. Reactions were diluted 20 times with water for all real-time PCR experiments. Real-time PCR was performed in an ABI 7500 Fast Real-Time PCR System (Applied Biosystems) using SYPR Premix Ex TaqII. Thermocycling was carried out in a final volume of 20 μL containing 2.0 μL of the diluted cDNA samples, 40 nm each of the primers (forward and reverse), and 10.4 μL of SYBR Premix Ex Taq II (including Taq DNA polymerase, reaction buffer, and deoxynucleotide triphosphate mixture). The PCR amplification consisted of 40 cycles (95°C for 5 seconds, 60°C for 34 seconds) after an initial denaturation step (95°C for 30 seconds). All measurements were performed in triplicate. Relative expression differences were calculated using the comparative ΔΔCt method (25), and Ct values were normalized to GAPDH expression levels. The relative expression levels of the target genes were presented as 2−ΔΔCt × 105, and the LLOQ was defined as 2−ΔΔCt = 1 × 10−5.

Data analysis

Shapiro–Wilk test of normality was used to check the distribution shape of the data, using the SPSS Statistics 20.0 software. Paired-samples t test was used to analyze normally distributed data. For non-normally distributed data, Wilcoxon sign rank test was used. Correlation analyses were performed using Pearson product-moment correlation for normally distributed data, and Spearman rank correlation for non-normally distributed data.
were 19-fold lower than that in nHLMs-individual. In contrast, tissues of patients with HCC significantly decreased (ranging from 2 to 95-fold) in tumors compared with their corresponding pericarcinomatous tissues. The activity of CYP1A2 involved in precarcinogen activation was also drastically declined (35-fold) in tHLMs-pooled (0.28 µmol/mg/min) compared with that in nHLMs-pooled (9.68 µL/mg/min). In addition, the activities of other major CYP isoforms expressed in human liver, including CYP2C8, 2A6 and 2E1, were also assessed, and remarkable decreases (ranging from 2.5- to 11-fold) in the CL were observed in HCC tumors. CYPs activities in individuals with HCC were also measured. The results showed that CYP3A4, 2D6, 1A2, 2C8, and 2E1 were unequivocally expressed in all samples of HCC tumors and paired nontumor tissues (Fig. 3 and Supplementary Table S9). In contrast, CYP2C9 and CYP2A6 were undetectable in 1 (No. 13) and 3 samples (No. 7, 12, and 13) of tumors, respectively. We found that in pairwise comparisons, a loss of CYP expression in tumors was evident in most patients. The mRNA levels of CYP3A4 and CYP1A2 were drastically decreased (by 23- and 16-fold on average, respectively) in tumors of all subjects, and greater than 10-fold decrease were observed in over 75% of subjects. On the other hand, mRNA expression levels of CYP2D6 were decreased less than 3-fold in 42% of subjects by only a more modest extent of 2.5-fold. The average mRNA expression levels of CYP2C9, 2C8, 2E1, and 2A6 were decreased significantly by 6.3- to 9.0- fold, 7.4, and 5.6-fold, respectively.

Correlation of protein and mRNA expression levels with activities of CYP enzymes

The activities of seven CYPs were compared with their protein and mRNA expression levels. As shown in Table 1, there was a much better correlation of enzyme activity to protein expression than to mRNA expression. For all the measured CYPs in pericarcinomatous tissues, high correlations between activities and protein expressions were observed with correlation coefficient values of more than 0.69 (in particular, correlation coefficient for CYP2D6 and 2A6 were 0.93 and 0.92, respectively). In HCC tumors, CYPs showed a similar correlation between activities and protein levels with correlation coefficient of better than 0.54. Moreover, slopes of correlation between protein and activity of CYPs in tumors were comparable with that in pericarcinomatous tissues with maximal difference of 1.7-fold, suggesting the similar catalytic efficiencies for CYP enzymes present in tumors and pericarcinomatous tissues.
Figure 1.
Enzyme kinetic analysis for measuring activities of seven CYPs in rHLMs-pooled (A1–G1, circles and dotted line), nHLMs-pooled (A2–G2, diamonds and dashed line), and tHLMs-pooled (A3–G3, triangles and solid line) by using specific probe substrates. In each figure, the inset shows Eadie-Hofstee plot. A4–G4, comparison of activity of each CYP isoform in rHLMs-, tHLMs-, and nHLMs-pooled. Each data point represents an average of three determinations and the error bar represents SD of the mean (n = 3).
Figure 2.
Activities and protein expression levels of seven CYP enzymes in human liver microsomes prepared from HCC tumors (HLMs-individual) and matched pericarcinomatous tissues (nHLMs-individual; A–G). Activities of CYPs were measured using probe substrates, and absolute protein amounts were quantified using an isotope label-free LC/MS-MS method. All the experiments were performed in triplicate, and data are presented as mean ± SD. Paired-samples t test was used for data analysis. H, average activity and protein expressions of each CYP isoform in 25 tumors and pericarcinomatous tissues. Wilcoxon sign rank test was used for data analysis. *, statistical significance (P < 0.05).
Protein expression levels of CYPs were also compared with mRNA levels. As shown in Table 1, a moderate correlation was observed between protein and mRNA levels of CYP3A4, 2C9, and 2C8 in both tumors and pericarcinomatous tissues with correlation coefficient of 0.6. For CYP2D6 and CYP2E1, better correlations were observed in tumors (0.83 and 0.56, respectively) compared with that in pericarcinomatous tissues (no correlation).

Catalytic capacity of CYP enzyme was not seriously impaired in HCC tumors
As shown in Table 2, with the exception of CYP3A4, TONs of CYP enzymes in HCC tumors were nearly identical to the values observed in pericarcinomatous tissues with similar ranges of 95% confidence intervals (P > 0.05), suggesting that the catalytic efficiency of CYPs was not seriously impaired in HCC tumors. Catalytic efficiency of CYP2D6 in tumors was significantly lower than that in matched pericarcinomatous tissues, whereas catalytic efficiency of CYP2C9 was significantly higher in tumors but the maximal difference was only 1.6-fold. There is no significant difference between TONs of CYP3A4 tHLMs- and nHLMs-pooled. However, both of them are more than 2-fold lower than that in rHLMs-pooled. Moreover, a large interindividual variability of TON of CYPs was observed in human livers (Table 2). TONs of CYP3A4 and CYP2C8 in pericarcinomatous tissues exhibited the greatest
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interindividual differences, with about 19-fold difference between the donors with the highest and lowest levels (57.0 vs. 3.0 pmol 6β-hydroxytestosterone/min/pmol CYP3A4, and 1.7 vs. 0.1 pmol 6-hydroxyltaxol/min/pmol CYP2C8). The other isoforms, including CYP2C9, 2D6, 1A2, 2E1, and 2A6 varied from modest 2.7- to 4.5-fold. This may be caused by the genetic polymorphisms of CYPs, by which people were divided into groups of poor, intermediate, extensive, and ultrarapid metabolizers (28).

The results of kinetic analysis showed that the Kᵣ, values in different groups (nHLMs-, tHLMs-, and rHLMs-pooled) were comparable (with maximal difference of <1.9-fold) for all the measured CYPs, indicating that liver disease did not alter the affinity of CYPs (Fig. 1 and Supplementary Table S6). In addition, Edie–Hofstee plots in Fig. 1 showed that, with exception of CYP1A2, the kinetic mechanisms of CYPs were not changed in human livers with cirrhosis or HCC compared with healthy livers.

Activities of CYP enzymes were not seriously impaired in pericarcinomatous tissues of HCC tumors

Although suffering from hepatitis B infection and/or cirrhosis, the activities of all the determined CYPs in nHLMs-pooled were comparable with that in rHLMs-pooled. As shown in Fig. 1 and Supplementary Table S6, the CL and Vₘₐₓ values of probe substrates metabolized by the seven measured CYPs enzymes in nHLMs-pooled were similar to that in rHLMs-pooled with a maximal difference of 1.6-fold, which were consistent with the alterations of protein and mRNA expressions reported previously (19, 29). In addition, further studies with large sample size of healthy human livers were necessary for reaffirming this initial observation.

Comparison of activity or expression levels between seven CYP isoforms

The activities, mRNA or protein expression levels of the seven measured CYPs were compared. As shown in Fig. 4A, mRNA expressions of CYPs were generally correlated to each other in pericarcinomatous tissues of HCCs. A high degree of correlation was observed between mRNA expressions of CYP2C8 and CYP2C9, which are both transcribed from a cluster of CYP genes located on chromosome 10q24, with a correlation coefficient of 0.906. mRNA expression of CYP2A6, which is located on chromosome 19q13, was also found to be highly correlated to that of CYP2C9 and CYP2C8 (r² = 0.866 and 0.794, respectively). Medium correlations were observed between CYP3A4 and the other isoforms except for CYP2D6 and 2E1 mRNA expressions of CYP2D6 and CYP2E1 showed only weak to medium correlations to the other CYP expressions. In HCC tumors, correlations of the mRNA expressions of CYPs were generally weakened or even lost, although correlations between CYP2D6 and CYP2C9/2C8/2E1 got slightly better (Fig. 4B).

As shown in Fig. 4C, protein expressions of CYP3A4, 1A2, 2C8, 2C9, and 2D6 were significantly correlated to each other with a similar degree of correlation between their mRNA expression levels in pericarcinomatous tissues of HCC. In contrast, no significant correlation was observed when

---

**Table 1.** Correlation of enzyme activity to protein level and mRNA expression level of CYP enzymes

| Isoforms | Pericarcinomatous Tumor | Activity vs. protein | | Activity vs. mRNA | | Protein vs. mRNA |
|----------|-------------------------|----------------------|----------------|------------------|------------------|
|          | r² | Slope | r² | Slope | r² | Slope | r² | Slope | r² | Slope |
| CYP3A4   | 0.804c | 35.6 | 0.728c | 25.5 | 0.492c | 4.5 | 0.426 | 23.1 | 0.690c | 0.12 |
| CYP2D6   | 0.928c | 3.0 | 0.767c | 1.7 | <0.100 — | 0.002 — | 0.259 | 0.03 | 0.827c | 0.01 |
| CYP2C9   | 0.799c | 4.6 | 0.853c | 4.6 | 0.204 | 2.9 | 0.565c | 2.6 | 0.543c | 0.66 |
| CYP2C8   | 0.797c | 1.0 | 0.907c | 1.5 | 0.458 | 0.6 | 0.712c | 1.4 | 0.584c | 0.59 |
| CYP2E1   | 0.772c | 32.2 | 0.687c | 33.1 | <0.100 — | 0.001 — | <0.100 — | 0.11 | 0.564c | 0.31 |
| CYP2A6   | 0.971c | 30.3 | 0.828c | 29.2 | 0.337 | 3.2 | 0.269 | 4.9 | 0.412 | 0.11 |
| CYP1A2   | 0.688c | 13.4 | 0.544c | 13.7 | 0.590c | 4.3 | 0.577c | 4.8 | 0.477c | 0.29 |

aStatistical significance of association (P < 0.05).
bSlope of regression line.

---

**Table 2.** Summary of turnover numbers of probe substrate metabolized by CYP enzymes

| Isoforms | TON (HLM-pooled) | | TON (nHLMs-individual) | | TON (rHLMs-individual) |
|----------|-----------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|
|          | n   | t   | r   | Mean SD | Max | Min | Max/Min | 95% CI | Mean SD | Max | Min/Max | 95% CI |
| CYP3A4   | 2881 | 24.7 | 17.4 | 50.3 | 27.5 | 17.5 | 57.0 | 3.0 | 19.0 | 14.9–40.1 | 24.2 | 20.7 | 62.1 | 5.1 | 12.2 | 9.4–39.0 |
| CYP2D6   | 6.5 | 4.8 | 6.4 | 2.9 | 11 | 5.6 | 1.3 | 4.5 | 2.3–3.5 | 1.8f | 1.2 | 5.1 | 0.3 | 16.8 | 1.2–2.5 |
| CYP2C9   | 5.3 | 5.1 | 6.7 | 4.0 | 1.2 | 6.4 | 2.4 | 2.7 | 3.3–4.8 | 6.4f | 3.5 | 16.0 | 3.3 | 4.9 | 4.3–8.5 |
| CYP2C8   | 1.5 | 1.5 | 3.0 | 1.0 | 0.4 | 1.7 | 0.1 | 18.3 | 0.7–12 | 1.5 | 1.0 | 4.3 | 0.04 | 107.5 | 0.9–21 |
| CYP2E1   | 13.3 | 13.3 | 14.0 | 31.2 | 15.7 | 60.4 | 17.7 | 3.4 | 20.0–42.4 | 35.2 | 25.5 | 91.6 | 9.9 | 9.2 | 17.0–53.4 |
| CYP2A6   | 20.4 | 19.0 | 12.9 | 34.0 | 9.6 | 45.8 | 16.0 | 2.9 | 25.1–42.8 | 27.8 | 12.2 | 41.3 | 11.2 | 3.7 | 16.5–39.0 |
| CYP1A2   | 30.0 | 41.3 | 37.7 | 11.6 | 5.1 | 19.8 | 5.9 | 3.3 | 6.9–16.4 | 12.2 | 5.8 | 19.8 | 2.4 | 8.1 | 6.8–17.6 |

aTurnover numbers of probe substrates metabolized by CYP enzymes in nHLMs-, tHLMs- and rHLMs-pooled, which were calculated as the ratio of maximal metabolic turnover (TON) to the total amount of CYP enzyme reported previously (19).
bTurnover numbers of probe substrates metabolized by CYP enzymes in nHLMs- and tHLMs-individuals. Each value was calculated at a specific concentration of probe substrate that is shown in Supplementary Table S2.

---
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comparing the protein expression of CYP2A6 and CYP2E1 to the other CYP isoforms (except for correlation between CYP2E1 and 1A2), even though their mRNA expressions showed a medium to high correlation to each other. This indicated that CYP2A6 and 2E1 might be regulated in a unique post-transcriptional mode such as alteration of the translational efficiency and/or stability of mRNA and protein (30). A similar pattern of correlations observed for protein expression and enzyme activity (Fig. 4C and D). The correlations between activities of CYP1A2 and CYP2D6 ($r^2 = 0.438$, $P < 0.05$) were...
Clinicopathological relevance of CYP expression levels in HCC

Activities, protein and mRNA expression levels were statistically compared with histology and clinicopathological parameters of HCC patients. However, no correlation was observed, and we suspected that a larger number of samples are probably required to establish such relationships.

Discussion

Our unprecedented systemic study of the effects of HCC on CYPs has provided strong evidence to argue strongly for the development of individualized therapy for each HCC patient. This is because all the major drug-metabolizing CYPs are severely dysregulated by liver cancer carcinogenesis such that the different drugs will be affected differently in different patients (i.e., there is no general pattern to follow for all HCC patients). Because liver biopsies are available, our results also support the use of highly sensitive LC/MS-MS method that requires small sample volume as a means to quantify the protein and activity levels of CYPs in both HCC and pericarcinomatous tissues. We believe that these levels are indicative of relevance of CYP activities and can be used to guide clinical selection of drugs for developing an individualized treatment plan for the HCC patients.

Our systemic studies of the impact of HCC on liver CYPs are unprecedented. First, we derived our results using human HCC tumors removed from patients, whereas most previous publications have focused on the effect of other chronic liver diseases (e.g., cirrhosis) on CYPs (32, 33). Second, we used three complementary sets of measurements: activities, protein determination by LC-MS, and qPCR whereas in a few earlier studies on HCC, the authors used primarily qPCR (15, 29). We and others have shown that the PCR-derived gene expression levels are often not correlated with CYP activities, which were commonly viewed as a consequence of posttranscriptional regulations (e.g., mRNA and protein stabilization/degradation; ref. 34). Third, our studies provided the first sets of evidence that are enriched with correlations between activities, mRNA and protein levels to support the theory that HCC tissues generally express much less as well as more variable (or less predictable) levels of seven CYPs that are important for drug metabolism. Therefore, for patients suffering from inoperable HCC, liver biopsy followed by LC/MS-MS CYP quantitation may be a good starting point for the development of an individualized chemotherapy or chemoablation therapy. Ideally, selection of drugs based on HCC CYP levels could identify drugs that are highly active in the tumor but less or nontoxic in normal liver cells. Because we can use a small amount of tissues to rapidly quantify these CYPs, liver biopsy samples should provide sufficient amount of tissues for determining the CYP levels.

Our results may also explain why sorafenib, a first-line therapeutic drug for liver cancer, was quite effective against HCC and yet have only manageable side effects. The compound was recently shown by us to have significantly decreased metabolism in HCC tumor microsomes (35), probably as a consequence of lost of CYP3A4 and UGT1A9 activities. The results of our studies, which showed a large decrease in CYP3A4 activities in HCC tissues, suggest that sorafenib could serve as an ideal drug for both chemoembolization and hepatic drug infusion. Indeed, a PubMed search conducted in March 19, 2015 using chemoembolization and sorafenib produced more than 300 hits, most of which are relevant for its use in chemoembolization.

We could apply this approach of individualized therapy to other anticancer drugs that are substrates of these seven CYPs since we found that the activities of seven major CYPs in human livers were significantly decreased in HCC tumors. Interestingly, enzyme activities in adjacent nontumor (or pericarcinomatous) tissues were similar to that in healthy human livers (rHLM-pooled; Fig. 1). This suggests that the decreases in CYP activities were localized mainly to the malignant regions or tumor tissues. The latter is similar to what Hong and colleagues observed previously (29), where mRNA expressions of CYP1A2, 2C9, 2E1, and 3A4 were drastically decreased in HCC tumors but not in the matched pericarcinomatous tissues or liver tissues with HBV cirrhosis.

We have stated that changes in protein levels as measured by LC/MS-MS could be particularly suitable for determining the corresponding CYP activity in HCC patients. However, metabolic rates of a substrate are impacted by the expression levels of CYPs or the structures of the enzymes (via mutation for example). How could we solve this concern? First of all, we found high degree of correlations between activities and CYP protein amounts in both tumors and matched pericarcinomatous tissues (Fig. 2 and Table 1). We then determined the catalytic efficiency of an enzyme, where changes in enzyme structures due to posttranslational modifications and/or noncovalent binding of allosteric effectors could be measured (36). In this study, similar turnover numbers (with maximal difference of ~2-fold) of probe substrates metabolized by CYPs (except for CYP3A4) were observed (Table 2), suggesting that the catalytic efficiencies of CYPs were not seriously impaired in HCC tumors. Moreover, kinetic analysis also showed same kinetic mechanisms with similar Km values (Fig. 1 and Supplementary Table S6). This indicated that the functional properties of CYPs were not impaired seriously by HCC. Therefore, the drastic decrease in activities of CYPs in HCC tumors was likely to be caused by lower protein expression levels, not lower catalytic efficiency of the expressed enzymes. The latter suggest that there were no significant amount of CYP mutants present in the HCC or they would have been measured and counted as normal CYPs, unless the mutation occurred in our proteotypic peptide region (which is highly unlikely).

We have also said that it would be especially important to derive individualized treatment plan for HCC because each HCC patient has a unique CYP expression profile. The unique expression profile was the result of CYP dysregulation in HCC as shown in Fig. 4. The dysregulation could be demonstrated in multiple levels. First, the mRNA expressions of CYPs were generally correlated to each other in pericarcinomatous tissues, but those correlations worsened considerably in HCC samples. Good correlation is expected in absence of major diseases since CYPs gene expressions are tightly regulated by overlapping nuclear receptors. For example, CYP 2C9, 2C8, and 2A6 could be regulated by the same nuclear receptors, including PXR (NR1I2), CAR (NR1I3), HNF4A, and PPARα, which...
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might explain the high correlation between their mRNA expression levels (Fig. 4A; refs. 37, 38). The correlations between CYPs mRNA expressions were often drastically reduced (albeit not always) in HCC samples, which indicate less coregulation of these CYPs in HCC tumors (Fig. 4B). Second, there is significant alteration of expression of nuclear receptors governing CYP expression. It was reported that expression levels of nuclear receptors such as PXR, CAR, and AHR were significant decreased in HCC tumors compared with matched pericarcinomatous tissue (29). These changes in nuclear receptors expression should impact the expression of CYPs, as shown in the current study. Finally, changes in other expression modulators or the epigenetic alterations such as hypermethylation may also result in decreased expression of CYP genes (39, 40). Changes in inflammation signaling through the Toll-like receptor (TLR) pathway may also affect the expression of CYPs in these patients who are all HBV-positive (41, 42). For example, Rauno and colleagues (43) reported that CYP2A6 protein could be overexpressed selectively in a subpopulation of HCC tumor cells. They also found that chronic inflammation associated with HCC could lead to an elevation of CYP2A6 content in areas adjacent to inflammation. Taken together, there is strong evidence to conclude that CYP expression in HCC is severely dysregulated compared with the matching pericarcinomatous tissues, and multiple factors may be involved to cause this dysregulation.

The efficacy of anticancer therapy is usually limited by an inability to predict tumor response and toxicity of chemotherapy drugs (12). Therefore, an important requirement for HCC therapy would be the large differences (mostly down-regulation and sometimes drastic) in the activities of seven major CYPs (Fig. 2) observed here make a significant difference in outcome if they were integrated into design of chemotherapy or chemoablation therapy? We hypothesize that an improvement is highly likely although a perspective clinical would be needed. There are several evidences to support our hypothesis. First of all, some anticancer drugs need activation via CYPs. For example, doxorubicin and tegafur that are activated by CYP3A4 and CYP2A6, respectively, are the most commonly described agents for systemic or chemoembolization HCC therapy (13, 44, 45). For patient NO.3, in which CYP2A6 was overexpressed and CYP3A4 was decreased in tumors (Fig. 2A and F), tegafur (activated by CYP2A6) would be a better choice. In contrast, doxorubicin (activated by CYP3A4) could be a better choice for the treatment of patient NO.2, in which CYP3A4 was overexpressed in tumors. On the other hand, many anticancer drugs are inactivated by CYPs. For example, etoposide, which was inactivated by CYP3A4, 1A2, and 2E1 (13, 46), could be more widely used in patients with HCC, since these CYP isoforms were poorly decreased in most HCC tumors. Taxol, another important anticancer drug used in HCC, was used as a probe substrate of CYP2C8 (responsible for 85% of taxol metabolism; ref. 47) in the current study and was found with drastically decreased clearance rate in most HCC tumors (Figs. 1 and 2). Hence, we believe that the CYP expression levels should be applied to HCC therapy, and together with evidence of susceptibility of HCC to particular drugs derived from other pharmacodynamic or pharmacogenetic studies, they can be integrated to design the best individualized therapy for HCC patients.

It is expected to predict the effect reliably for drugs mainly metabolized by a single isof orm (e.g., taxol). However, it will be complicated to predict the effects of drugs disposed by multiple isoforms such as etoposide (inactivated by CYP3A, 1A2, and 2E1), and contributions of each enzyme to drug metabolism should be taken into account, together with the alterations of enzyme activities. In addition, significant interindividual variations of CYP expressions were observed among the patients, which was probably due to genetic polymorphisms and/or other confounding factors such as diet and alcohol consumption. These factors should also be considered for personalized therapy. For example, expression of CYP3A4 in pericarcinomatous tissue of patient NO.3 was approximately 10-fold higher than in NO.4 (Fig. 2A). Therefore, sorafenib was expected to be inactivated by CYP3A4 more rapidly and has less toxicity in the nontumor tissues of NO.3 than in NO.4, whereas high degree of cytotoxicity was expected to be observed in tumors of both patients.

Finally, we argue strongly that LC/MS-MS derived CYP levels are the most relevant readout for clinical action. The primary reason is that high correlations between enzymes activities and protein expressions of CYPs were observed in both HCC tumors and pericarcinomatous tissues. This result suggested that activities of CYPs are better predicted by their protein levels than by mRNA expressions levels. This conclusion is supported by earlier investigation reported by this and other laboratories (34). Although our current methods are somewhat demanding technically, the use of isotope-labeled signature peptides could substantially decrease this burden (16, 17).

In conclusion, the activities and protein amounts of seven major CYP enzymes were found to be significantly and sometimes drastically decreased in HCC tumors, probably as a result of either decreased transcriptions or decreased mRNA stabilities. However, the catalytic capacities of these enzymes were not changed with similar turnover numbers of substrates in tumors and pericarcinomatous tissues. High correlations between enzymes activities and protein expression levels of CYPs were observed in both tumors and pericarcinomatous tissues of HCC, suggesting that activities of CYP enzymes could be well predicted by their protein amounts. Therefore, we proposed that determination of the CYP protein expression profile by LC/MS-MS in each patient is a promising approach that can be clinically used for individualized treatment of HCC.
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