Chemogenetic Evaluation of the Mitotic Kinesin CENP-E Reveals a Critical Role in Triple-Negative Breast Cancer

Introduction

Breast cancer is many diseases coarsely stratified into distinct patient populations using three immunohistochemistry tumor markers: estrogen receptor (ER), progesterone receptor (PR), and the human epidermal growth factor receptor-2 (HER2/neu, erbB2; refs. 1, 2). The segment that does not express any of these markers (triple-negative breast cancer, TNBC) constitutes 10% to 20% of all patients with breast cancer, often premenopausal women and members of specific ethnic groups such as African descent (3). Genomic analysis has refined the classification of breast cancer with the majority of TNBC tumors classified as basal-like (4). Basal tumor cells are further segmented into basal-a and basal-b (claudin-low). Understanding breast cancer tumor biology has powered dramatic improvements in survival for patients with therapies that target their specific disease biology. ER-positive breast cancer has effective therapies such as ER antagonists (e.g., tamoxifen, FDA-approved in 1977) and estrogen biosynthesis inhibitors (aromatase drugs, FDA-approved in the 1990s). HER2-driven disease has effective therapies: trastuzumab (FDA-approved in 1998), lapatinib (FDA-approved in 2007, pertuzumab (FDA-approved 2012), and kadcyla (FDA-approved 2013). In contrast, "triple-negative" breast has no approved targeted therapies and as a consequence, patient prognosis remains poor (5).

Recent clinical studies suggest that patients with TNBC respond to standard chemotherapy cytotoxic...
regimens (e.g., taxanes; ref. 6), which are also the backbone to most breast cancer treatments. Taxanes block the microtubule contribution to mitotic spindles, alter chromosomal segregation, block the cell-cycle progression, and therefore inhibit cell proliferation. Unfortunately, the clinical benefit for patients with TNBC is not durable (6). In addition, taxanes are known as spindle poisons because they inhibit functions conserved in normal physiology and cause severe toxicities (e.g., neutropenia, neuropathy; refs. 7, 8) A better approach would be if this process could be selectively modulated in cancer cells. From both efficacy and tolerability perspectives, improved TNBC therapies are needed.

Genomic analysis of a broad array of breast cancer samples identified CENP-E as a candidate gene for targeting TNBC. CENP-E is a component of the kinetochore complex critical to chromosome congression and alignment in mitosis as part of the spindle assembly checkpoint (SAC, mitotic checkpoint; refs. 9–11). CENP-E uses an ATPase activity (motor function) to slide nonaligned chromosomes along mitotic spindles toward the equatorial plate (metaphase plate) and establish metaphase chromosomes. Potent, specific, small-molecule inhibitor of CENP-E motor function before chromosome segregation, block the cell-cycle progression, and therefore inhibit cell proliferation. Unfortunately, the clinical benefit for patients with TNBC is not durable (6).

Materials and Methods

Cell lines

Cell lines described in this work were obtained from ATCC. Cell cultures were expanded by serial passaging and stocks frozen after 3 to 5 passages.

Chromosomal instability index analysis

Chromosomal instability (CIN) index is a measure of deviation from a diploid state (aneuploidy). To quantify the degree of global copy-number change in each cell line (16 lines analyzed), a CIN index was calculated from genome-wide SNP array data by taking into account both the length and mean amplitude (on log2 scale) of each copy-number segment, respectively, and L corresponds to total number of segments in the genome (chromosomes 1 to 22 and X). Perfectly diploid cells have a CIN score of zero.

Inducible small hairpin RNA studies

Basal-like breast cancer HCC1806 cells that were engineered with small hairpin RNA (shRNA) constructs were exposed to doxycycline (1 µg/mL) to induce their expression (CENP-E specific, GCACAAGATGAAGCGACCAAA, luciferase shRNA). Purified mRNA (Illustra RNAspin Mini Isolation Kit; GE Healthcare Lifesciences) was converted into cDNA (qScript cDNA SuperMix; Quanta BioSciences) and analyzed by qPCR in triplicate (hydrolisis probes and Master Mix purchased from Applied Biosystems). HCC1806 cell viability was measured after the shRNA induction. Cells were seeded the day before doxycycline addition, incubated with shRNA for 3 days after addition of doxycycline concentrations, PBS washed, trypsinized, stained with 0.4% trypan blue (Invitrogen), and counted under microscope.

Biochemical assay of CENP-E enzymatic activity

Microtubule-activated CENP-E kinesin ATPase activity was measured spectrophotometrically by coupling the hydrolysis of ATP to ADP to NADH oxidation (decrease in 340 nm absorbance) through the activities of pyruvate kinase (PK) and lactate dehydrogenase (LDH). Reactions contained 2 mmol/L phosphoenolpyruvate, 0.28 mmol/L NADH, 5 mmol/L MgCl2, 1 mmol/L DTT, 15 µmol/L taxol, 0.7 µmol/L MT (preformed porcine microtubules; Cytoskeleton Inc.), 50 µmol/L ATP, 10 U/mL PK, and 10 µU/mL LDH in 15 mmol/L PIPES buffer (pH 7.0). Reactions were initiated with a 20 nmol/L CENP-E addition (Human: 1-342; WT) at 30°C. The IC50 values were determined by selecting the least squares fit of the data to the four-parameter dose–response curve equation (GraphPad Prism). PF-2771 kinesin biochemical selectivity toward other kinesins (Cytoskeleton Inc.) was tested in triplicate at both 1 and 10 µmol/L PF-2771 in a variant of the CENP-E enzymatic assays that had the following enzyme concentrations: 200 nmol/L chromokinesin motor domain, 200 nmol/L Eg5 motor domain, 226 nmol/L MCAK motor domain. PF-2771 biochemical mechanism was determined by measuring CENP-E enzymatic activity as a function of ATP and PF-2771 concentrations (0, 2.5, 5, 10, 20, 30, 45 nmol/L PF-2771; 1,000, 500, 250, 125, 62.5, 31.2, 15.6, 7.81, 3.90, 1.95, 0.977 µmol/L ATP).

Cell proliferation assays

PF-2771 was added to cells seeded in 96-well plates. The number of cells seeded (1,000–3,000) depended on growth characteristics of each cell type and normalized proliferation rates. Ten different concentrations of compound used were based on a half-log increment between 1 nmol/L and either 1 or 25 µmol/L. Cells were incubated at 37°C for 7 days before assessing viability with the CellTiter-Glo reagent (Promega). Untreated control cells were 80% to 90% confluent after 7 days of culture. Data were fitted into a sigmoidal curve-fitting program to calculate IC50 values.

Cellular ELISA assay for phosphorylation of histone H3-Ser10

Cells were seeded in a 96-well plate in RPMI-1640 media supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS)
and 1% penicillin-streptomycin at 37°C with 5% CO2 until 80% to 90% confluence. Cells were then treated with PF-2771 at desired concentrations for 8 to 9 hours. Phospho-HH3-Ser10 was measured in triplicate using the PathScan phospho-HH3-Ser10 Sandwich ELISA Kit (Cell Signaling Technology). Data were exported and analyzed using a four-parameter IC50 (GraphPad Prism). In 20% FBS, PF-2771 is 48% unbound (free fraction).

Analysis of cell-cycle proteins in tumor cells
MDA-MB-468 cells were treated with PF-2771 (75 nmol/L) for 72 hours. Lysates (with protease and phosphatase inhibitors added) were resolved by NU-PAGE gels (Invitrogen), transferred to nitrocellulose membranes, and probed using antibodies for actin and cyclin B (Santa Cruz Biotechnology Inc.), AuroraB/AIM1, phospho-AuroraA(T288)/B(T232)/C(T198); Cell Signaling Technology; cat.#2914; 1:500 dilution), phospho-HH3-Ser10, cleaved PARP (all from Cell Signaling Technology); anti-phosphohistone-H2AX Ser139 (Millipore), securin (Abcam), anti-BubR1 (Bethyl Laboratories, Inc.), and 1:500 dilution anti-CENP-E (cat. #AKIN04-A, Cytokeleton Inc.) overnight at 4°C. HRP-conjugated secondary antibodies (GE Healthcare) were incubated (2 hours, room temperature), detected using a chemiluminescent substrate (Pierce SuperSignal West Dura; Thermo Fisher Scientific), and imaged (Alpha Innotech imager; Cell Biosciences).

Flow cytometry
Analysis of cell-cycle distribution of cells was performed by flow cytometry. A MDA-MB-468 cell culture containing 1 to 2 × 10⁶ cells was treated with the inhibitor (100 nmol/L) for 96 hours. The cells were fixed in 70% ethanol and stained with propidium iodine using the Cycletest Plus Kit (Becton Dickinson). The samples were analyzed on a Becton Dickinson FACSCalibur Instrument. The proportion of cells in G1, S, G2/M, aneuploidy or nongated (sub-G1) was determined using CellQuest v. 2.0 software (Becton Dickinson).

In vivo tumor model studies
HCC1806 tumor cells (3 × 10⁶) were implanted in the mammary fat pad of CB17/lcr.Cg-PrkdcscidLystbg female mice (Charles River Laboratories). PF-2771 was administered intraperitoneally (i.p.) to groups of 12 mice at 3, 10, and 30 mg/kg every day for 14 days and at 100 mg/kg every day for 4 days followed by 3 days off and then another 4-day cycle. Tumor volumes were recorded twice weekly by calipers with the final measurement taken 3 days after the last dose. Tumor growth inhibition (TGI) was calculated using the formula 100 × (1 – ΔV/ΔC), where ΔV (treated) and ΔC (control) are the mean tumor volume changes between 1 day after the last dose and the first-day treatment. Time-to-progression endpoint and associated tumor growth delay determinations were calculated using median days to reach to two doublings of initial tumor size. Statistical comparisons were made using one-way ANOVA with Dunnett posttests. Other tumor models had similar methodology except where noted. PDX-AA1077 is a patient-derived xenograft model developed from tumor tissue from a triple-negative patient engrafted to the mammary glands of NSG female mice to create passage 1 tumor-bearing mice. Surgically resected tumor tissue was cut into 2- to 4-mm³ fragments and subcutaneously implanted into the flank of SCID female mice (Charles River Laboratories). Mice with palpable tumors (passage 6) were randomized before dosing. An HCC1599 tumor cell line xenograft model was established from tumor fragments of established tumors and reimplanted into flank of female SCID mice. When average tumor size reached 250 mm³, animals were randomized into five groups of 10 mice. Animals were treated with vehicle (daily dosing), 10 mg/kg docetaxel (once per week dosing), 25 mg/kg docetaxel (once per week dosing), 20 mg/kg paclitaxel (twice per week dosing), or 100 mg/kg of PF-2771 (every day, i.p.).

PK/PD analysis
Plasma drug concentrations and the pharmacodynamic endpoint (PD) tumor phospho-HH3-Ser10 responses in SCID mice bearing orthotopically implanted HCC1806 xenograft tumors (300–500 mm³) were evaluated for vehicle or a single intraperitoneal dose of PF-2771. Tumors and plasma were collected at 1, 4, 8, 24, or 32 hours after dose (3 mice per time point). For plasma pharmacokinetics, mouse plasma was collected in heparinized vacutainers following intracardiac puncture. Plasma concentrations of PF-2771 were measured quantitatively with liquid chromatography–tandem mass spectrometry. The mass spectrometry was performed using electrospray ionization in the positive mode with multiple reaction monitoring (MRM). The plasma-free fraction of PF-2771 in SCID mouse plasma was measured using the equilibrium dialysis technique. Samples were extracted with 200 μL of acetonitrile containing an internal standard and analyzed by liquid chromatography—tandem mass spectrometry. The free fraction in plasma (f_u) was calculated by the equation f_u = R_{buffer}/R_{plasma}, where R_{buffer} and R_{plasma} represent the ratio of PF-2771. In mouse plasma, the free fraction of PF-2771 is calculated to be 0.022.

Results
CENP-E expression is highest in basal-like subtype among breast cancer patients
Gene expression in samples from 1,163 patients with breast cancer and 160 normal breast tissue samples were analyzed to identify dysregulated genes (public database; ref. 12). Although CENPE mRNA is significantly elevated across all segments of breast cancer relative to normal tissue, it is the highest in the basal-a subtype (P = 2.22 × 10⁻⁷ by two-tailed t test, fold change = 2.93; Fig. 1A). Analysis of breast cancer patient survival data reveals that the level of CENPE expression is strongly negatively correlated with disease-specific survival (P = 3.68 × 10⁻⁷ by log-rank test; Fig. 1B), indicating that CENP-E likely is involved in breast cancer biology. These patterns
are subsequently corroborated using an independent cohort from The Cancer Genome Atlas (TCGA; ref. 4). An evaluation of other mitotic regulators showed that their expression was also upregulated but the biology of CENP-E pointed to its potential driver role as a kinesin in cancer cells. To establish preclinical model systems, CENP-E protein expression was shown to be present in three basal-a breast cancer cell lines (HCC70, HCC1806, and MDA-MB-468). The response of CENP-E to spindle inhibitor treatment was evaluated in these cell lines (100 nmol/L docetaxel; 16 hours). CENP-E protein accumulates and the tumor cells undergo mitotic arrest (Fig. 2).

Phosphorylation of BubR1 and HH3 were evaluated to provide mechanistic evidence for the activation of the SAC. Docetaxel treatment induced phosphorylation of serine residue 10 of histone H3 as well as BubR1 (super-shifted bands in the SDS-PAGE; Fig. 2). Next, an inducible shRNA approach is used to characterize the consequences of CENP-E protein depletion on the in vitro viability of HCC1806 basal-like breast tumor cells. The CENP-E shRNA causes an 83% to 88% reduction of CENP-E mRNA 24 and 48 hours after transfection of cells (Supplementary Fig. S1A) and a greater than 90% reduction in CENP-E protein levels compared with the untransfected cells (Supplementary Fig. S1B). Upon doxycycline induction of specific CENP-E shRNA, only 20% of the HCC1806 tumor cells remain viable after 72 hours (Supplementary Fig. S1C). From this analysis, CENP-E is hypothesized to be critical in basal-a breast cancer cell biology.

**PF-2771 is a potent, noncompetitive, selective inhibitor of CENP-E motor function**

Because CENP-E is known to have both catalytic (ATPase motor activity) and noncatalytic functions (13), a more specific approach than shRNA knockdown is needed to study CENP-E ATPase motor function. To
facilitate this analysis, the potent, selective inhibitor PF-2771 was synthesized, (Fig. 3, Supplementary Methods). This molecule inhibits CENP-E motor activity with an IC\textsubscript{50} of 16.1 ± 1.2 nmol/L (\(n = 18\)) and a Hill slope of 0.94.

Kinetic analysis of PF-2771 inhibition conducted as a function of ATP and PF-2771 concentrations is consistent with it being noncompetitive toward ATP (1/velocity vs. 1/[ATP]) at varied [PF-2771] lines do not converge at the 1/v axis). PF-2771 does not inhibit the ATPase activities of highly related kinesins (0% inhibition of Eg5/KSP, chromokinesin, and MCAK at both 1 or 10 \(\mu\)mol/L PF-2771). PF-2771 is inactive toward a panel of 74 protein kinases (all <23% inhibition with 1 \(\mu\)mol/L PF-2771, <40% with 10 \(\mu\)mol/L PF-2771; Supplementary Table S1). The characterization of PF-2771 as a selective inhibitor of CENP-E motor activity enables its use as a probe of CENP-E biology in more complex systems.

**PF-2771 is a specific CENP-E inhibitor critical to basal-like breast cancer tumor cell survival**

Appropriate breast cancer contexts for studying PF-2771 were initially identified by measuring the sensitivity of breast cell lines to inhibition of CENP-E motor activity. The antiproliferative potency of PF-2771 (EC\textsubscript{50} after 72 hours of treatment) was measured in 16 cell lines that span the following breast segments: normal breast epithelial cells, premalignant, basal-a, basal-b (claudin-low), luminal, and HER-2 positive (Fig. 4 and Supplementary Table S2). Cell line models lacking the receptors the define TNBC (HER-2, ER, and PR) encompass both basal-a and basal-b models. PF-2771 potency varies by three orders of magnitude across one cell line (0.01 to >10 \(\mu\)mol/L). All six cell lines sensitive to PF-2771 (EC\textsubscript{50} < 0.1 \(\mu\)mol/L) are basal-like with five of these in the basal-a subset. In contrast, the normal and premalignant cell lines are not sensitive to PF-2771 treatment (EC\textsubscript{50} > 5 \(\mu\)mol/L). These results suggest that CENP-E motor activity is necessary for breast cancer cell proliferation but dispensable for normal breast cell proliferation. Because PF-2771 is a potent inhibitor of basal-a tumor cell growth, two representative cell lines were selected for mechanistic analyses (MDA-MB-468 and HCC1806).

The molecular consequences of PF-2771 treatment in basal-a breast cancer cells were subsequently characterized to determine if they are consistent with CENP-E inhibition. CENP-E is known to be required for cell-cycle progression from metaphase to anaphase (13). Phosphorylation of the histone H3 Ser-10 (HH3-Ser10) is used as a marker of CENP-E motor function because it is mediated by Aurora-B, coincident with chromosomal condensation, and decreases upon transition to anaphase (PF-2771 is not an Aurora-B inhibitor, Supplementary Table S1; ref. 14).

The EC\textsubscript{50} for the elevated phospho-HH3-Ser10 was determined to be 21 and 22 nmol/L in MDA-MB-468 and HCC1806 cells, respectively. The elevation of HH3-Ser10 phosphorylation is well correlated with inhibition of tumor cell proliferation (EC\textsubscript{50} 14–20 nmol/L) as well as inhibition of ATPase motor activity in a biochemical assay (IC\textsubscript{50} 16 nmol/L). A broader analysis of cellular effects of PF-2771 was undertaken by investigating other mitotic checkpoint proteins that are directly or indirectly associated with CENP-E (15, 16). PF-2771 treatment (75 nmol/L) of MDA-MB-468 tumor cells for 8 hours increases levels of phosphorylated BubR1, Aurora-B, securin, and cyclin B (Fig. 5). These results are consistent with PF-2771–dependent induction of mitotic arrest and the engagement of the SAC. At 24 hours after treatment of PF-2771, these markers of mitosis decrease, whereas increases in \(\gamma\)H2AX (DNA damage) and cleaved PARP (apoptosis) proteins are observed.

The functional effects of PF-2771 treatment on tumor cells were characterized to better define the role of CENP-E motor activity in basal-like breast cancer biology. As CENP-E regulates the spindle assembly cell-cycle checkpoint, alterations in the cell-cycle profile are evaluated. Treatment of basal-a breast cancer tumor cells for 6 hours with 100 nmol/L PF-2771 causes an increase in the G2–M cell population of basal-like tumor cells (43% MDA-MB-468 cells in G2–M) relative to asynchronous, untreated cells (24% G2–M; Supplementary Table S3). The time
The course of the cell-cycle effects caused by PF-2771 treatment shows a rapid accumulation of cells at the G2–M stage followed by a large increase in the sub-G0 population (Supplementary Table S3). It should be noted that normal breast cells have a small G2–M population (G0–G1/C2485%; ref. 17). After 96 hours, the sub-G1 cell population is 23% compared with 5% in control cells; consistent with onset of apoptosis following mitotic delay (Supplementary Table S3). Because this cell-cycle phenotype is not exclusive to CENP-E, other more specific functional effects were investigated such as chromosome congression—a process necessary for the establishment of bidirectional chromosome/kinetochore attachments before the onset of anaphase (18). Exposure of tumor cells to a bona fide CENP-E inhibitor should result in mitotic delay due to the presence of uncongressed chromosomes. Basal-a tumor cells (MDA-MB-468) were engineered to constitutively express the fluorescent reporter histone-2B green fluorescent protein (H2B-GFP) to follow chromosome dynamics through fluorescent live-cell video microscopy (Fig. 6). Vehicle-treated cells complete mitosis in approximately 1 hour as measured by nuclear envelope breakdown to onset of cytokinesis. In contrast, 100 nmol/L PF-2771-treated tumor cells display a delayed metaphase–anaphase transition (∼3 hours) followed by either mitotic apoptosis or mitotic slippage with subsequent apoptosis (Fig. 6). In contrast, PF-2771 does not exhibit the characteristic phenotype associated with inhibition of the related kinesin EG5/KSP. EG5/KSP inhibitors (e.g., ispinesib) trigger the formation of monopolar spindles (19), a phenotype that is not observed in tumor cells exposed to PF-2771 (Supplementary Fig. S2). A chromosome congression defect is observed in the PF-2771–treated cells because chromosomes remained distant from the metaphase plate for at least twice as long as vehicle-treated cells. Taken together, PF-2771 modulates CENP-E dependent molecular markers in basal-like breast cancer cells and has the functional hallmarks of a selective CENP-E inhibitor.

**Cellular sensitivity to inhibition of CENP-E motor function is correlated with degree of CIN**

An evaluation of the relationship between CENP-E expression and PF-2771 response finds that there is no statistically significant difference in CENP-E mRNA levels between sensitive and resistant cell lines (P = 0.52 by two-tailed t test). As SAC defects are found in cancer cells with high levels of CIN (20, 21), a plausible hypothesis is that tumors with this phenotype are more reliant on genes that regulate the SAC and therefore sensitive to inhibition of CENP-E motor function. Furthermore, high CIN tumor cells are enriched for cells with merotelic chromosomal attachments (lagging chromosomes; ref. 22). A CIN index measurement is devised to quantify the overall level of DNA copy-number change in various breast cell lines. Cell lines are divided into sensitive and resistant groups based on response to PF-2771 (EC50 cutoff was 0.1 μmol/L). Tumor cell lines sensitive to PF-2771 have a much higher CIN index score than resistant ones (P = 0.022 by two-tailed t test), suggesting that breast cancer tumors with elevated chromosomal instability are likely to benefit from CENP-E–targeted therapy.

**Inhibition of CENP-E is efficacious in tumor cell line and patient-derived basal-like breast cancer tumor models**

Three tumor models are used to investigate the role of CENP-E in basal-a breast cancer—HCC1806 basal-a tumor xenograft, PDZ-AA1077 (basal-like) patient-derived TNBC breast cancer model, and HCC1599.
rate slows as the tumor increases in volume as seen in the control group (Supplementary Fig. S4, center). The kill rate is a function of the concentration of the CENP-E inhibitor in the system, consistent with the hypothesis that inhibition of CENP-E motor function induces apoptosis. The in vivo EC_{50} of PF-2771 for phospho-HH3-Ser_{10} elevation is estimated by the mathematical model to be 4.1 nmol/L (free-drug concentration). The elevated phosphoHH3-Ser_{10} levels are responsive in a predictable fashion, consistent with a standard Hill function (Supplementary Fig. S4). The in vivo EC_{50} value is consistent with the in vitro cellular IC_{50} (11 nmol/L) in the HCC1806 cell line as well as the biochemical potency (IC_{50} 16 nmol/L). The modeling results also highlight the inability of lower PF-2771 doses (3, 10, and 30 mg/kg) to increase the kill rate and sustain it at an adequate level to significantly slow (or regress) tumor growth. However, in the 100 mg/kg group, a significantly elevated kill rate is sustained during dosing, thus overpowering the growth rate and causing tumor regression. These results establish a strong correlation between inhibition of CENP-E motor function and efficacy in basal-a breast cancer.

To better define the role of CENP-E inhibition in the HCC1806 xenograft model, we evaluated the effect of blocking CENP-E in the basal-a breast cancer. The Pearson correlation with intrinsic centroids for PDZ-AA1077 are as follows: 0.2067 (basal-like), −0.0269 (Her-2), −0.1437 (luminal-a), −0.0665 (luminal-b), and −0.0165 (normal-like). SCID mice bearing AA1077 mammary tumors treated with PF-2771 dose known to potently inhibit CENP-E motor function (100 mg/kg every day i.p.) exhibit tumor regression (99.97%; Fig. 8A). This finding provides additional support that inhibition of CENP-E motor function could be efficacious in a selected patient population.

PF-2771 was used to evaluate CENP-E motor function inhibition relative to mitotic spindle inhibitors (paclitaxel and docetaxel) in the HCC1599 basal-a tumor model. At clinically relevant murine doses (24), paclitaxel (20 mg/kg twice weekly) and docetaxel (25 mg/kg/week) had moderate efficacy in the HCC1599 model (paclitaxel TGI 78%, P = 2.63 × 10^{-8} by t test; docetaxel 76% TGI, P = 2.74 × 10^{-8} by t test). As such, the HCC1599 model is less responsive to taxane treatment compared with other breast cancer models. In contrast, at an effective PF-2771 dose (100 mg/kg every day), substantial tumor regression is observed in this model (64% regression, P = 7.63 × 10^{-9} by t test; Fig. 8B). These results suggest that a CENP-E inhibitor could be more effective than traditional chemotherapies for a subset of patients and that CENP-E inhibitors may be mechanistically distinct from spindle poisons.
A major paradigm change in the treatment of patients with cancer is under way—matching a targeted therapy to a molecular event essential to a specific tumor biology. When this occurs, profound clinical benefit is realized as observed with imatinib in BCR-ABL–dependent leukemia, vemurafenib and dabrafenib in Braf V600E-driven melanoma, and crizotinib in EML4–ALK–positive lung cancer. Through a combination of genomic and chemical biologic approaches, we characterize the kinesin CENP-E as critical to basal-a, TNBC. To date, CENP-E–directed therapies have not been broadly pursued, in part, due to the notion that cell-cycle inhibitors will be similar to classic cytotoxic agents. However, recent clinical findings on the cell-cycle checkpoint inhibitor palbociclib (CDK4/6 inhibitor) demonstrate that this type of intervention can be well tolerated with profound therapeutic benefit (25).

CENP-E is a member of the kinesin super family of 650 motor proteins that use the energy from ATP hydrolysis to transport cellular cargos (11, 26). CENP-E has an N-terminal catalytic motor domain, a discontinuous α-helical coiled-coil stalk domain, and a C-terminal ATP-independent microtubule-binding domain (10, 11). Depletion of CENP-E leads to mitotic arrest due to the presence of unaligned chromosomes (27). We show that CENP-E accumulates in basal-a breast cancer cells following taxane treatment. Previous studies show that CENP-E protein turnover occurs after the completion of mitosis (11). As taxanes are known to induce a mitotic arrest, lysates made from taxane-treated cells should, therefore, show an enhanced amount of CENP-E protein. Evidence for the therapeutic benefit of inhibiting CENP-E comes from previous studies of mice with one CENP-E allele (heterozygous for CENP-E), which exhibit decreased incidence of tumors induced by carcinogens or from the heterozygous deletion of the tumor suppressor p19ARF (28). A recent study reports that CENP-E protein has cellular activities in addition to its motor function (29). Therefore, eliminating or knocking down the expression of an entire CENP-E protein provides valuable information but lacks the resolution to characterize specific protein functions.

Unlike other therapeutic targets (e.g., protein kinases), there are a limited number of kinesin inhibitors and even fewer CENP-E inhibitors available to investigate their biologic roles in cancer. UA62784 has been reported to be a CENP-E inhibitor (30), yet subsequent mechanistic studies show that UA62784 does not exert its cellular activity by inhibiting CENP-E but by binding microtubules tightly (31). GSK923295 is an allosteric inhibitor of CENP-E, which advanced to a phase I clinical trial of
unselected patients with cancer with refractory disease (27, 32). Although the therapy was well tolerated, the utility of this agent in an unselected patient population was modest with a single partial response reported. This may be due to either insufficient pharmacodynamic modulation of CENP-E or the selection of an incorrect patient population. A third CENP-E inhibitor (syntelin) has been reported to have moderate potency (IC50, 160 nmol/L), but has only been studied in cellular systems (33). As such, there is a need for a selective CENP-E inhibitor with a well-defined mechanism of action and sufficient pharmaceutical properties to define a patient selection hypothesis to test in the clinic.

The inhibitor PF-2771 was developed to investigate the role of CENP-E motor function in TNBC. Biochemical analysis of PF-2771 is consistent with it being a potent, selective inhibitor of the CENP-E motor function. A detailed evaluation of PF-2771 in basal-a breast cancer tumor cells is consistent with specific CENP-E inhibition and therefore useful for studying CENP-E motor function in tumor models. Because tumor cell line-derived tumor models do not fully capture disease biology, in part, because they are adapted to grow in two-dimensional

**Figure 7.** Pharmacodynamic profile and in vivo antitumor activity of PF-2771 in HCC1806 xenograft model. A, SCID mice were treated with vehicle ( ), 3 ( ), 10 ( ), 30 ( ) mg/kg of PF-2771 once daily for 14 days. SCID mice were treated with 100 mg/kg of PF-2771 with the following schedule: once daily or with vehicle for 4 days, 3-day dosing holiday, another 4-day dosing cycle. Thirty mg/kg resulted in 27% TGI (P = 0.046) and 100 mg/kg caused 62% tumor regression (P = 9.5 x 10^-4). Data are presented as mean ± SE of 12 mice in each group. B, SCID mice were treated with 3, 10, 30, and 100 mg/kg doses of PF-2771 or with vehicle. Tumor and plasma were collected at 1, 4, 8, 24, and 32 hours after dose. Phospho-HH3-Ser10 levels were assessed by ELISA assay using tumor lysates.

**Figure 8.** A, evaluation of PF-2771 in a patient-derived, basal-a breast cancer xenograft model (PDX-AA1077). SCID mice (7 mice per group) were treated with 100 mg/kg PF-2771 i.p. every day ( ) or vehicle ( ) for 21 days with the data presented as mean ± SEM. B, HCC1599 basal-like breast cancer tumor model evaluation of PF-2771 efficacy relative to vehicle ( ), docetax el ( ), and paclitaxel ( ). Dosing was as follows: 25 mg/kg/week docetaxel, 20 mg/kg twice weekly paclitaxel, and 100 mg/kg every day PF-2771. Data are presented as mean ± SE of 10 mice in each group.
(2D) culture, we evaluated PF-2771 in a patient-derived, basal-a tumor model. This approach is emerging as a superior method to predict clinical efficacy (34). At PF-2771 doses expected to inhibit CENP-E motor function, complete tumor regression in the patient-derived model is observed, which predicts a likelihood of clinical benefit for this segment of breast cancer. In addition, PF-2771 demonstrates better efficacy as compared with taxanes in another triple-negative, basal-a breast tumor model (HCC1599), which we show to be partially insensitive to taxane treatment. These findings provide evidence that abrogating the SAC by inhibiting CENP-E motor activity may be an effective treatment for patients with basal-a TNBC.

There is significant pressure to accelerate the discovery of new cancer therapies by conducting more effective clinical trials. Central to this task is determining if inhibition of the intended drug target is effective in managing disease—does modulation of a therapeutic target-specific molecular pharmacodynamic biomarker correlate with efficacy? This detailed analysis has been lacking for both preclinical and clinical studies of CENP-E inhibitors. Previously published findings show a lack of efficacy of a different CENP-E inhibitor (GSK923295) in the MX-1 breast cancer model (no regression observed in animals dosed with either 62.5 mg/kg or 125 mg/kg; ref. 27). In this study, one does not know if an insensitive patient population (tumor model) was selected or if the inhibitor failed to inhibit CENP-E in the tumor. With the preclinical studies of PF-2771, both of these variables are well understood. Interestingly, GSK932295 is reported to be selectively potent toward basal-b tumor cells (AACR poster, April 2009) and induces MX-1 cell arrest briefly without subsequent apoptosis (AACR-NCI-EORTC poster, October 2007)—strikingly different findings than we report for PF-2771. Inhibition of CENP-E motor function by PF-2771 resulted in tumor regression in a HCC1806 basal-like breast cancer xenograft tumor model (also HCC70, data not shown). Importantly, the tumor regression driven by PF-2771 occurs concurrently with cell-cycle arrest as evidenced by dose-dependent elevation of phospho-HH3-Ser10 levels. Detailed PK/PD modeling demonstrated that PF-2771-dependent TGI/regression is highly correlated with antimitotic properties expected for CENP-E inhibition and, thus, suggests the basis of efficacy.

Analysis of PF-2771 antiproliferative cell potency revealed a paradox that cell line sensitivity to PF-2771 is poorly correlated with CENP-E gene expression. This relationship can be expected to be complicated because PF-2771 only inhibits the CENP-E motor function and spares the motor-independent functions (13). Nonetheless, there is a wide range of PF-2771 activities observed from potent inhibition of basal-a breast cancer cell to insensitivity in premalignant cells. The strong correlation observed between PF-2771 cell line sensitivity and CIN is interesting and potentially useful. When reevaluating cell line sensitivity to PF-2771, the contribution of CIN to the disease segment may be a critical attribute. For example, basal-a breast cancer is known to have high genomic instability (35). Although genomic instability is a hallmark of human cancer (36), tumor genomes vary considerably in the level of instability that they harbor (37) and the mechanisms by which they become unstable (35). Importantly, patients with highly unstable tumor genomes often have poorer prognosis (37–40). The subset of genomic instability known as CIN is caused by multiple mechanisms such as (i) faulty sister chromatid cohesion, (ii) defective centrosome duplication, (iii) telomere dysfunction, (iv) overly stable attachments of microtubules to chromosomes, and (v) hyperactive or hypoactive SAC (22). Recent studies of reduced CENP-E through the loss of an allele, CENP-E (+/−), report that tumor cells that do not arise from CIN are unaffected by CENP-E-dependent chromosomal missegregation (41). Our pharmacologic studies coupled with these genetic studies indicate that tumor cells with high CIN may have “induced essentiality” of CENP-E (related to the genetic concept of synthetic-lethal; refs. 42, 43). The preferential cytotoxicity of PF-2771 to tumor cells with high CIN relative could be because these cells rely on CENP-E to accommodate the chromosomal instability. An alternative hypothesis (not mutually exclusive) is that CENP-E supports a tumor’s use of CIN as a cellular mechanism to generate tumor genomic diversity, a trait that would favor the emergence of drug resistance. The clinical utility of induced essentiality has been demonstrated with related DNA damage repair machinery. Inhibiting the single-strand DNA repair enzyme PARP in tumors with mutations in the DNA damage tumor-suppressor BRCA1/2 is proving to be clinically effective (43). Taken together, these findings indicate that basal-a TNBC and other patient populations with chromosomal instability (44, 45) may benefit from a CENP-E-targeted therapy.

PF-2771 is useful in evaluating potential safety liabilities of inhibiting CENP-E, a concern with inhibiting any cell cycle–related enzyme. We find evidence that CENP-E inhibition could be well tolerated in patients. Normal breast cells are not affected by PF-2771, which supports the hypothesis that inhibiting CENP-E will be synthetic lethal to tumor cells. Multiple in vivo studies show that PF-2771 is well tolerated. In a recent phase I clinical study on a different CENP-E inhibitor, a well-known taxane adverse effect (neuropathy) is not listed among the adverse events (27). As such, CENP-E inhibitors have the potential to be better tolerated than taxanes and possibly easier to use in combination with other cancer therapies. Our comprehensive analysis of CENP-E supports the hypothesis that this mitotic kinesin is a therapeutic target for the treatment of the triple-negative/basal-a segment of breast cancer with possibilities in other CIN-dependent cancers.
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