Selective Inhibition of Pancreatic Ductal Adenocarcinoma Cell Growth by the Mitotic MPS1 Kinase Inhibitor NMS-P715
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Abstract

Most solid tumors, including pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma (PDAC), exhibit structural and numerical chromosome instability (CIN). Although often implicated as a driver of tumor progression and drug resistance, CIN also reduces cell fitness and poses a vulnerability that can be exploited therapeutically. The spindle assembly checkpoint (SAC) ensures correct chromosome-microtubule attachment, thereby minimizing chromosome segregation errors. Many tumors exhibit upregulation of SAC components such as MPS1, which may help contain CIN within survivable limits. Prior studies showed that MPS1 inhibition with the small molecule NMS-P715 limits tumor growth in xenograft models. In cancer cell lines, NMS-P715 causes cell death associated with impaired SAC function and increased chromosome missegregation. Although normal cells appeared more resistant, effects on stem cells, which are the dose-limiting toxicity of most chemotherapeutics, were not examined. Elevated expression of 70 genes (CIN70), including MPS1, provides a surrogate measure of CIN and predicts poor patient survival in multiple tumor types. Our new findings show that the degree of CIN70 upregulation varies considerably among PDAC tumors, with higher CIN70 gene expression predictive of poor outcome. We identified a 25 gene subset (PDAC CIN25) whose overexpression was most strongly correlated with poor survival and included MPS1. In vitro, growth of human and murine PDAC cells is inhibited by NMS-P715 treatment, whereas adipose-derived human mesenchymal stem cells are relatively resistant and maintain chromosome stability upon exposure to NMS-P715. These studies suggest that NMS-P715 could have a favorable therapeutic index and warrant further investigation of MPS1 inhibition as a new PDAC treatment strategy. Mol Cancer Ther; 13(2); 307–15. ©2013 AACR.

Introduction

Pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma (PDAC) is the fourth highest contributor to cancer-related death in the Western world, with a lifetime risk of 1.47% and a 5-year survival rate of 6% (1). Detection typically occurs at an advanced stage of tumor progression when 80% to 85% of cases are inoperable. Even after tumor resection, 5-year survival is only 15% to 25% with existing forms of adjuvant therapy (2). The need for alternative therapeutic approaches to this deadly malignancy is thus imperative, and recent insights into the causes and vulnerabilities of cancer (3–5) suggest that drugs targeting the high level of chromosome instability (CIN) in PDAC could offer a new direction.

A hallmark of most solid tumors is CIN, which is thought to accelerate tumor evolution, promote drug resistance, and is linked to poor prognosis (6–10). CIN exists in two forms: (i) numerical CIN (nCIN), which is an increased frequency of chromosome segregation errors (resulting in an abnormal chromosome number termed aneuploidy) and (ii) structural CIN reflecting subchromosomal rearrangements. Recent findings suggest that the benefits to the tumor of a CIN phenotype may be offset by negative consequences, which include altered protein stoichiometry and the risk of lethal chromosome imbalance (9, 11). To mitigate such deleterious effects, tumors may depend on the acquisition of compensatory mechanisms. An example of a CIN survival strategy is seen in changes to the mitotic spindle assembly checkpoint...
(SAC), which serves to limit chromosome segregation errors by ensuring that chromosomes have a bipolar attachment to the mitotic spindle before anaphase onset. Counterintuitively, loss of expression or mutational inactivation of SAC genes in tumors is rare. Instead, evidence suggests that tumors increase SAC activation and/or expression of SAC components, such as the kinase MPS1 (also known as TTK), which may contain segregation errors within survivable limits (12–15). Yeast mutants with faulty chromosome segregation are more sensitive to MPS1 inhibition than their wild-type counterparts (16), and targeted inhibition of MPS1 or other SAC-associated proteins (namely, BUB1/BUBR1, the aurora kinases or CENP-E) causes cancer cell death accompanied by massive chromosome missegregation. In keeping with the notion that tumors have increased SAC dependency, growth of nontumorigenic cells was generally less affected by SAC inhibition (12, 17–26). MPS1 inhibitors have shown efficacy in xenograft models of multiple tumor types when administered alone (12, 20) or in combination with a microtubule targeting agent (27).

Carter and colleagues identified a set of 70 genes (CIN70) whose overexpression correlated with CIN and poor survival (7). Importantly, although some CIN70 genes are markers of proliferation, the prognostic utility of CIN70 was independent of tumor grade (8). CIN70 includes 35 genes that play a role in chromosome segregation, three encoding known SAC components. The CIN70 signature has proven to be a reliable surrogate for measuring CIN in numerous cancer types (7, 9) but has not been investigated with a microtubule targeting agent (27).

In the present study, we examined CIN70 expression in three publicly available PDAC microarray datasets (28–30) and found consistent upregulation of CIN70 genes in PDAC compared with normal pancreas. This finding is in agreement with cytogenetic evidence for high levels of CIN in PDAC tissues and in genetically engineered mouse models of PDAC (8, 31, 32). Important- ly, high CIN70 gene expression in resected PDAC was found to be a powerful predictor of poor patient survival. Included amongst the upregulated CIN70 genes in PDAC, is the MPS1 kinase. Here, we show that treatment of PDAC cells with the MPS1 inhibitor, NMS-P715 (12), leads to significantly increased nCIN and cell death. At present, the mainstay PDAC chemotherapeutic is the DNA synthesis inhibitor, gemcitabine, whose dose-limited toxicity is damage to stem cells of the hematopoietic system (33). Encouragingly, a previous study has shown untrans-
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**SAC override assays**

Western blot analysis was performed using a phospho histone H3 serine 10 (pS10H3) antibody (Millipore Cat#06-570). β-Actin was used as a loading control (Sigma Cat#A5441). For immunofluorescence, BxPC-3, Panc-1, or KRC cells were plated at 10,000 to 20,000 cells/well on chamber slides. Replicate cultures of BxPC-3 and Panc-1 cells were blocked in 75 nmol/L nocodazole for 18 hours. 0.4 μmol/L NMS-P715 was added for the last 2 hours of the noc block, where indicated. For KRC cell treatment, see Supplementary Information. Cells were fixed in 4% formaldehyde/1 x PBS and incubated with an Alexa-Fluor 488-labeled pS10H3 antibody (Cell Signaling Technologies Cat#97085). ≥200 cells were scored per replicate. For FISH analysis, duplicate cell cultures were incubated with NMS-P715 or DMSO control then fixed in 3:1 (v/v) methanol:acetic acid. Chromosome numbers were counted in ≥50 cells per culture, with probes recognizing X chromosome or chromosome 17 centromeres (Abbott Molecular Cat#05-J08-033, 06-J37-027) in human cells or a chromosome 11qE1 probe (Kreatech Diagnostics Cat#30501) in mouse cells. Images of 4',6-diamidino-2-phenylindole (DAPI)-stained nuclei were captured using a Spot RTKE camera (Diagnostic Instruments) mounted on a Leica DM5000B fluorescence microscope (Leica Microsystems). Images were minimal-ly processed using Adobe Photoshop to adjust brightness and/or contrast.

**Flow cytometry**

PANC-1 cells were prepared for flow cytometry following Annexin V/propidium iodide staining using a commercial kit (BD Biosciences Cat#556547). Cells were analyzed on a FACS-Calibur Flow Cytometer and data processed using FlowJo software.

**Results**

**The CIN70 gene expression signature predicts survival in pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma**

Elevated expression of the CIN70 genes has been associated with increased CIN in a range of human malignancies (7, 9). Although cytogenetic studies have documented a high rate of CIN in PDAC (8), expression of the CIN70 gene signature in PDAC has not been reported. To assess CIN70 gene expression in PDAC, we examined a publicly available gene expression microarray dataset, comprising resected PDAC and adjacent normal tissue from 45 patients (28). As the matrix in Fig. 1A (left) illustrates, the CIN70 signature shows a consistent pattern of upregulation in PDAC relative to normal pancreas. Mean expression of 53 of 70 CIN70 genes was increased in PDAC relative to normal tissue between 1.1- and 2.4-fold (P < 0.05, paired t test).

CIN has been linked to a poor prognosis (10). As a surrogate marker of a CIN phenotype, increased CIN70 gene expression has been similarly shown to predict poor patient outcome (7). To explore the relationship between CIN70 gene expression and patient survival in PDAC, the 45 patient dataset was combined with two additional gene expression microarray studies (29, 30). Collectively, these studies comprise a total of 94 resected PDAC samples analyzed on the Affymetrix gene chip platform, for which subsequent patient survival data are provided. To overcome batch effects in the merged data, gene expression values within each dataset were first standardized by z-score transformation, to have a mean of zero and unit variance, as previously described (38, 39). After excluding individuals who were lost to follow-up, or alive at study end, the remaining patients (n = 59) were ranked by survival time and assigned to quartiles 1 to 4, in order of increasing survival. Figure 1A (right) is a matrix of CIN70 gene expression in each survival quartile. There is a striking association between increased CIN70 gene expression and a less favorable prognosis, with 62 of 70 genes significantly upregulated in quartile 1 compared with quartile 4 (P < 0.05, moderated t test).

To further explore the prognostic value of the CIN70 gene signature in PDAC, all 94 patients were assigned a CIN70 score representing the mean expression of all CIN70 genes, as described (9). Kaplan–Meier survival analysis of patients segregated by CIN70 score confirmed a significant relationship between increased CIN70 expression and poor prognosis (Supplementary Fig. S1; P = 0.03, log-rank test). To enrich the CIN70 signature for genes with greatest prognostic significance in PDAC, genes were individually tested for their association with patient survival by univariate Cox regression and ranked by their Cox scores (Supplementary Table S2). As shown in Fig. 1B, a mean gene expression score based on the subset of 25 CIN70 genes with the highest Cox scores (PDAC CIN25), was even more effective in predicting patient survival (P = 0.004, log-rank test).

The mitotic kinase MPS1 is upregulated in PDAC and a potential therapeutic target

A number of CIN70 genes encode SAC components whose overexpression may support cancer cell survival by limiting chromosome segregation errors. Such “addiction” to overexpressed SAC genes would make them potential therapeutic targets. The PDAC CIN25 subset includes the gene MPS1, encoding a mitotic kinase essential to SAC function (40). MPS1 is significantly overexpressed in PDAC relative to normal pancreas (1.4-fold, P = 1.9 × 10−5, paired t test; Fig. 1C) and ranks 13th in its negative association with patient survival (P < 0.001; Supplementary Table S2). Consistent with the patient data, the PDAC cell lines, BxPC-3 and Panc-1, used in the present study, exhibit highly elevated MPS1 expression compared with primary pancreatic ductal epithelial...
cells (ref. 41; Fig. 1D; \( P/C20 1.2/C2 10/C0 13, t \) test). As shown in Fig. 1E, \( \textit{MPS1} \) expression effectively stratifies patients with PDAC into prognostic categories (\( P = 0.01, \) log-rank test), as previously reported in glioblastoma (27).

\[ \text{MPS1 inhibition reduces pS10H3 and induces apoptosis} \]

We tested the ability of the previously reported pharmacologic \( \textit{MPS1} \) inhibitor, NMS-P715 (12), to attenuate SAC function in human PDAC cell lines. Western blot analysis showed a dose-dependent reduction of the mitotic marker pS10H3, in response to NMS-P715 treatment in PANC-1 cells (Fig. 2A), which is consistent with reduced SAC function and accelerated mitosis (12). NMS-P715–mediated SAC override was further confirmed in both PANC-1 and BxPC-3 cells arrested at prometaphase by nocodazole treatment. Here, the addition of NMS-P715 significantly reduced the proportion of nuclei positive for pS10H3 by immunofluorescence following nocodazole arrest (Fig. 2B). The effect of MPS1 inhibition on PANC-1 cell viability was examined by flow cytometry. A 2-fold increase in the fraction of apoptotic cells was observed following exposure to 1 \( \mu \text{mol/L} \) of NMS-P715 for 40 hours (Fig. 2C), which is indicative of reduced PANC-1 viability, concomitant with SAC override.

A FISH-based assay was used to measure the effects of NMS-P715 on nCIN, calculated as the percentage of cells exhibiting deviation from the modal number (% modal deviation; %MD) for chromosomes X and 17 (Fig. 3; ref. 42). Both PANC-1 and BxPC-3 cells exhibited significantly increased nCIN after 48-hour treatment with NMS-P715 at a concentration sufficient to cause significant growth inhibition (Figs. 3 and 4A).

In contrast, no nCIN increase was observed in two Rb-deficient murine pancreatic cancer cell lines (KRC lines 825-2 and 1170-4) treated with a growth inhibitory dose of NMS-P715 (Fig. 3; see below). In agreement with earlier reports linking Rb loss to CIN via several mechanisms (43), KRC cells exhibit high basal nCIN (\( \simeq 45\% \) MD) shown by...
FISH (Fig. 3; Supplementary Table S1) and chromosome spread analysis (Supplementary Fig. S2; Supplementary Table S1). Elevated nCIN, together with their failure to arrest and reduced viability in nocodazole (Supplementary Fig. S2), raises the possibility that KRC cells have a weakened SAC (44). Further nCIN elevation in KRC cells after NMS-P715 treatment may be incompatible with their survival.

Figure 2. NMS-P715–treated PDAC cell lines exhibit bypass of the SAC and apoptosis. A, Western blot analysis using a pS10H3 antibody of human PANC-1 cells treated with either NMS-P715 (μmol/L) or vehicle (0) as indicated for 72 hours. B, left, cells were treated with nocodazole (noc) or noc plus NMS-P715 (noc+ NMS-P715). The percentage of pS10H3-positive cells in each treatment group is indicated in the graph. Right, a representative image of BxPC-3 cells treated either with noc or noc + NMS-P715. pS10H3-positive cells are green. Nuclei (blue) are stained with DAPI. Scale bar, 100 μm. C, flow-cytometric analysis of Annexin V (Annexin-FITC) and propidium iodide (PI)-labeled PANC-1 cells treated with 1 μmol/L NMS-P715 for 40 hours. Histogram shows the percentage of apoptotic cells calculated by combining the fraction of Annexin V-positive (bottom right) and double-positive (top right) cells. Significance was measured using a t test (B) or a χ² test (C).

Figure 3. Elevated CIN in PDAC cells treated with the MPS1 inhibitor NMS-P715. FISH analysis with X chromosome (red) and chromosome 17 (green) centromeric probes in human BxPC3 or PANC-1 cells or a chromosome 11 probe (red) in mouse KRC cells (825-2 and 1170-4) after treatment with NMS-P715. Drug concentrations approximated preliminary IC50 values (data not shown). Cells were harvested after 48 hours (BxPC-3 and PANC-1) or 72 hours (825-2 and 1170-4). Percentage of cells exhibiting deviation from the modal chromosome number (%MD) provides an indirect measure of the CIN rate. Representative images of cells exhibiting either modal or nonmodal signal numbers for each cell line are shown. Scale bar, 10 μm. The modal chromosome numbers were: 2 for chromosomes X and 17 in BxPC-3 cells; 4 for chromosomes X and 17 in PANC-1 cells; 7 for chromosome 11 in 825-2 cells and 4 for chromosome 11 in 1170-4 cells. Significance was measured using a t test.
NMS-P715 selectively inhibits growth of PDAC cells

Therapeutic index, defined as the ratio between the toxic and therapeutic doses of a drug, is an important determinant of clinical efficacy (45). The dose-limiting toxicity of conventional chemotherapeutics in patients is typically damage to the stem cell compartments of the bone marrow or gastrointestinal tract. A more favorable therapeutic index may be achievable by targeting an enzyme that is more critical to the survival of a cancer cell than a stem cell (45, 46). A prior study has shown human fibroblasts and B lymphocytes to be less sensitive to NMS-P715 in a standard proliferation assay than many cancer cell lines, including the PDAC cell line, BxPC3 (13). Here, to address effects on human stem cells, we compared the antiproliferative action of NMS-P715 in pancreatic cancer cells with normal human ASCs, obtained from donors undergoing liposuction (35). In addition to being a uniquely accessible source of primary human cells with a stable diploid karyotype (35) and high proliferative capacity in vitro, ASCs are rich in multipotent stem cells (34). In comparison to five independent ASC isolates, PANC-1 and BxPC-3 were significantly more sensitive to NMS-P715 in a proliferation assay, with IC50 values of 1.5, 1.6, and 3.4 μmol/L for PANC-1, BxPC-3, and ASCs, respectively (Fig. 4A; P = 0.03). Notably, relative sensitivity to the standard PDAC chemotherapeutic, gemcitabine, was the reverse, with both PANC-1 and BxPC-3 showing markedly less growth inhibition than ASCs (Fig. 4B; P < 0.002). In clonogenic survival assays, a 24-hour treatment with 1 μmol/L NMS-P715 was sufficient to greatly reduce survival of both BxPC-3 and PANC-1 cells, and continuous treatment at 0.5 μmol/L for 9 days completely abolished their growth (Supplementary Fig. S5). Because ASCs are migratory and do not form colonies when plated at low density (Supplementary Fig. S4), a colorimetric methylene blue assay was used to compare growth of PDAC cells with three ASC isolates, seeded at 200 cells per well in a 12-well dish, over a 6-day period of treatment with NMS-P715. In this assay, the growth inhibition of BxPC-3 cells (78.83% ± 4.49%) and PANC-1 cells (75.1% ± 7.7%) was about twice that of the ASCs (38.2% ± 8.5%) at 0.5 μmol/L NMS-P715 (P < 0.002; Fig. 4C; Supplementary Fig. S4), further corroborating the increased sensitivity of PDAC cells to NMS-P715 relative to ASCs.

KRC cell growth was also sensitive to MPS1 inhibition, with antiproliferative IC50 values of 1.3 and 2.2 μmol/L for lines 825-2 and 1170-4, respectively (Supplementary Fig. S5). KRC cells showed >85% loss of viability after 24-hour treatment with 1 μmol/L NMS-P715 in a clonogenic survival assay, whereas continuous treatment for 5 days with 0.5 to 0.7 μmol/L NMS-P715 completely abolished cell growth (Supplementary Fig. S5).

Human adipose stem cells and normal pancreatic epithelial cells are resistant to SAC override following MPS1 inhibition

To explore the basis for the comparative resistance of ASCs to NMS-P715, two ASC isolates and PANC-1 cells were exposed for 72 hours to 1 μmol/L NMS-P715, a dose approximating the blood concentration of NMS-P715 achieved in preclinical mouse models (12). In contrast with PANC-1 cells, which exhibited significantly elevated nCIN levels after treatment, the ASCs maintained chromosomal stability and no significant nCIN increase was detected (Fig. 5A). A modest increase in nCIN in ASCs was observed upon exposure to 3 μmol/L NMS-P715 (Fig. 5A). Following treatment with 1 μmol/L NMS-P715 growth inhibition of PANC-1 cells in a clonogenic assay (72.2%) was markedly higher than ASC3 (16.1%) or ASC4 (23.2%; P ≤ 0.006; Fig. 5B and Supplementary Fig. S6).
Consistent with the high chromosome stability observed after exposure to 1 µmol/L NMS-P715, ASCs did not exhibit a decrease in pS10H3 under these conditions (Fig. 5C), in contrast with PANC-1 (Fig. 2A). Consistent with the selectivity of NMS-P715 toward PDAC cells, human telomerase immortalized pancreatic ductal epithelial cells (hTERT-HPNE) had an IC50 value of 3.4 µmol/L in the proliferation assay (data not shown) and showed no significant nCIN increase after 72-hour treatment with 1 µmol/L NMS-P715 (Fig. 5D).

Discussion

We have shown that CIN70 genes are upregulated in PDAC compared with normal pancreas, consistent with cytogenetic evidence for a high level of CIN in this malignancy (8). Expression of the CIN70 or a 25 gene subset (PDAC CIN25), which includes the SAC kinase MPS1, predicted clinical outcome in PDAC, with higher expression linked to shorter survival. Because tumor morphologic criteria provide little clue as to prognosis in PDAC, it will be valuable to see whether the PDAC CIN25 signature could aid in PDAC patient stratification and the discrimination of candidates for resection.

Resistance to standard chemotherapeutic agents contributes to the dismal prognosis for patients with PDAC, with only gemcitabine, the current standard of care, having useful efficacy (2). There is a clear need for new targeted therapeutic approaches. A major breakthrough in targeted cancer therapy has been the synthetic lethal approach to killing malignant cells, exemplified by the use of PARP inhibitors in BRCA-deficient breast cancer (47). Synthetic lethality relies on delivering a second (pharmacologic) hit that is lethal only in combination with a preexisting mutation in the cancer cells and is thus well tolerated by normal cells (47). By extension, other vulnerabilities of the cancer cell, such as dependence on SAC gene overexpression to bolster a compromised SAC (13) or suppress other mitotic defects (23, 42, 43), may also provide an opportunity to selectively target cancer cells. In the present study, impaired PDAC cell growth following MPS1 inhibition was accompanied by override of SAC function and a marked increase in chromosome missegregation, consistent with death due to intolerable CIN. These findings are in agreement with prior studies on a range of other cancer cell types, using either pharmacologic or RNA interference-based MPS1 inhibition (12, 17–20, 22).

Comparison with primary fibroblasts and nontumorigenic cell lines has supported the possibility that cancer cells may be more sensitive to the effects of MPS1 inhibition than their normal counterparts (12, 17, 26). We further address this selectivity by testing the effects of MPS1 inhibition on normal human ASCs, which may better model the adult stem cells damaged by antimitotic drugs in vivo. In comparison with the PDAC cell lines, ASCs from multiple donors exhibited marked resistance to the antiproliferative effects of NMS-P715. Moreover, unlike the PDAC cell lines, ASCs maintained SAC function and a marked increase in chromosome missegregation linked to shorter survival. Because tumor morphologic or RNA interference-based MPS1 inhibition (12, 17–20, 22).

In comparison with the PDAC cell lines, ASCs from multiple donors exhibited marked resistance to the antiproliferative effects of NMS-P715. Moreover, unlike the PDAC cell lines, ASCs maintained SAC function and a marked increase in chromosome missegregation linked to shorter survival. Because tumor morphologic or RNA interference-based MPS1 inhibition (12, 17–20, 22).
have shown greater sensitivity to the antiproliferative effects of NMS-P715 compared with normal cells, consistent with heightened dependence on MPS1 function. Notably, we show the preferential activity of NMS-P715 against the PDAC cell lines to be the reverse of gemcitabine that was more toxic to the ASCs.

Increasing awareness of the degree of genetic heterogeneity and plasticity, even within a single tumor (48), has highlighted the obstacles to targeted cancer therapy. It has been argued that the majority of targeted therapies may be destined to fail as tumors evolve new avoidance strategies and that an orthogonal approach combining multiple drugs with independent targets, will be necessary (3). In the face of such a challenge, attacking the underlying genomic instability that fuels genetic change in cancer cells is an appealing alternative. Moreover, drugs that target CIN may prove useful in combination with standard therapies, to slow the emergence of resistance (49). Together, the current findings support the potential of MPS1 inhibition as a novel treatment strategy for PDAC.

In xenograft studies of other human cancers, NMS-P715 has shown good efficacy and low toxicity (12). A critical next step will be to test the antitumorigenic effects of NMS-P715 in orthotopic transplants of human PDAC cells into pancreata of nude mice, or KRC cells into syngeneic mice with an intact immune system. Such studies will help determine whether NMS-P715 could offer an improved therapeutic index over current treatments, and/or be combined with such treatments to render them more effective. Importantly, the proposed link between CIN and increased dependence on MPS1 would predict that the most at-risk patients, with high CIN (and therefore high PDAC CIN25 gene expression), should respond well to MPS1 inhibition. The relationship between CIN and NMS-P715 sensitivity could be further explored in PDAC cell lines because a recent microarray analysis of >20 such lines reveals a range of PDAC CIN25 expression comparable with that seen in patients (Slee and colleagues; unpublished data; ref. 50).
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