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Abstract

Breast cancer is one of the leading causes of cancer deaths among females. Many challenges exist in the current management of advanced stage breast cancer as there are fewer recognized therapeutic strategies, often because of therapy resistance. How breast cancer cells evade chemotherapy and the underlying mechanism remains unclear. We and others have observed that malignant cells that survive initial chemotherapeutic therapy express higher levels of CXCR2 ligands, which may provide a survival benefit leading to therapy resistance. In this report, we test the hypothesis that CXCR2-dependent signaling in malignant cells may be critical for chemotherapy resistance and targeting this signaling axis may enhance the antitumor and antimetastatic activity of chemotherapeutic drugs and limit their toxicity. We used Cl66-wt, 4T1-wt, Cl66sh-CXCR2, and 4T1sh-CXCR2 cells expressing differential levels of the CXCR2 receptor to evaluate the role of targeting CXCR2 on chemotherapeutic responses. Knockdown of CXCR2 enhances paclitaxel and doxorubicin-mediated toxicity at suboptimal doses. Moreover, we observed an increase in the expression of CXCL1, a CXCR2 ligand in paclitaxel and doxorubicin-treated mammary tumor cells, which were inhibited following CXCR2 knockdown. Knockdown of CXCR2 enhanced antitumor activity of paclitaxel in an in vivo mammary tumor model. We observed significant inhibition of spontaneous lung metastases in animals bearing CXCR2 knockdown tumors and treated with paclitaxel as compared with the control group. Our data suggest the novel role of CXCR2 and its ligands in maintaining chemotherapy resistance and provide evidence that targeting CXCR2 signaling in an adjuvant setting will help circumvent chemotherapy resistance.

Introduction

Breast cancer is a heterogeneous disease, which involves many dysregulated pathways (1, 2). Metastasis and recurrence of disease after therapy further add to the complexity of this malignancy (3–6). Emerging resistance against conventional therapies has warranted developing alternative strategies to combat breast cancer.

Chronic inflammation can drive tumorigenesis, and tumors are inherently proinflammatory with infiltrating leukocytes thought to be critical for tumor maintenance and progression (7–11). Thus, molecules driving tumor-associated inflammation have considerable potential as therapeutic targets, yet this area remains relatively unexplored. Chemokines are secreted proteins that regulate cell behavior via G-protein–coupled receptors, and subsets of CC and CXC chemokines, orchestrate tissue inflammation by recruiting and activating leukocytes, and by regulating endothelial and epithelial cells (10, 12). Constitutive expression of proinflammatory chemokines, a hallmark of many human cancers, helps establish a supportive tumor stroma, and, in some cases, directly stimulates tumor proliferation and invasion via receptors on tumor cells (13).

CXCR2 is a G-protein–coupled receptor, which mediates its signaling after binding to CXC chemokines namely, CXCL1-3 and 5-8 (14). An increase in the transcription and secretion of CXCL8 and CXCL1 along with their receptor CXCR2 has been observed after oxaliplatin treatment in androgen-dependent prostate cancer (15). Increased expression levels of CXCR2 ligands have been implicated in the attenuation of chemotherapy-induced apoptosis in prostate cancer, suggesting that CXCR2 and its ligands might be playing a role in therapy resistance (15). The breast cancer cell line MCF-7 shows a dose-dependent increase in CXCL8 expression following treatment with chemotherapeutic agents (16) implying that this signaling axis might be playing a role in therapy resistance. Our previous data and published reports suggest the involvement of chemokines in chemotherapy...
resistance in various cancers, however, the precise role and underlying mechanism(s) remains unclear.

In this report, we hypothesize that CXCR2-dependent signaling in malignant cells may be critical for chemotherapeutic agents along with reducing their toxicity. Our data indicate the novel role of CXCR2 and its ligands in maintaining chemotherapy resistance and suggest that targeting CXCR2 signaling in an adjuvant setting may help circumvent chemotherapy resistance.

Materials and Methods

Cell culture
Two murine mammary adenocarcinoma cell lines differing in their metastatic potential, 4T1 (highly metastatic) and C166 (moderately metastatic; refs. 17 and 18) and 5 human breast cancer cell lines, MDA-MB-231, MDA-MB-468, MCF-7, SKBR3, and MCF-10A were used in this study. Murine cell lines were maintained in Dulbecco's Modified Eagle's Media (DMEM; Mediatech) with 5% serum (BioWhittaker) or 5% FBS, 1% vitamins, 1% L-glutamine, and 0.08% gentamycin (Invitrogen). Human cell lines were maintained in DMEM supplemented with 10% FBS, 1% vitamins, 1% L-glutamine, and 0.08% gentamicin (Invitrogen). Human cell lines were obtained from Dr. F.R. Miller's laboratory (17) and all the human cancer cell lines were obtained from American Type Culture Collection. Cell lines were authenticated and tested for mycoplasma contamination using a kit, MycoAlert (Cambrex Bio Science Rockland, Inc.).

mRNA expression analysis

Analysis of gene expression was done using quantitative reverse transcription (RT)-PCR as described (19). Briefly, cDNA was synthesized from 5 μg total RNA using SuperScript II Reverse Transcriptase (Invitrogen) and oligo(dT) primer. Two microliters of first-strand cDNA (1:10 dilution) was amplified. The following primer sequences for murine chemokines and receptor were used: CXCR2, 5'-GCA TAC TCC AAA CCT TFC CAC CCC-3' (forward) and 5'-CAG CCC TCT GCA CCC AGT TTT C-3' (reverse). For real-time quantitative RT-PCR 2 μL of the 1:10 diluted cDNA products were amplified per reaction in duplicate with SYBR Green Master Mix (Roche) and 0.5 μmol/L primer mix for each gene in a Bio-Rad iCycler (Bio-Rad). The annealing temperatures used for murine primers: 60°C for CXCR2, CXCR1, and GAPDH; 55°C for CXCL1; 56°C for CXCL2; and 68°C for CXCL3 and CXCL7. For human primers: 59°C for CXCR1, CXCR2, and GAPDH; 55°C for CXCL8. Real-time PCR products were quantitated using Gene Expression Macro Version 1.1 2004 Bio-Rad Laboratories.

Cytotoxicity assay

Tumor cells (5,000 cells/well) were plated in triplicate in a 96-well plate and incubated for 24 hours at 37°C. Cells were treated with different concentrations of doxorubicin or paclitaxel (100, 50, 10, 5, 1, 0.5, 0.1, and 0 nmol/L for paclitaxel and 200, 100, 50, 10, 5, 1, 0.5, and 0 nmol/L for doxorubicin) for 72 hours. After 72 hours, supernatants were collected from each well and fresh media was added to the cells along with 30 μL of 5 mg/mL MTT [3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2, 5-diphenyltetrazolium bromide; MP Biomedical] and incubated for 2 to 4 hours. After incubation media was aspirated and cells were lysed in 100 μL of dimethyl sulfoxide (Fisher Scientific) with shaking. Absorbance was read at 450 nm on ELx800 Bio-Tek plate reader. Percent inhibition was calculated using the following formula:

Inhibition = 100 – (OD of treatment/OD of control group) × 100

In vitro apoptosis assay

Tumor cells (1,000 cells/well) were plated in an 8-well microchamber slide and incubated for 24 hours at 37°C. Cells were treated with 10 nmol/L of paclitaxel for 30 minutes. CaspACE FITC-VAD-FMK in situ marker (Promega), which is a fluoroisothiocyanate conjugate of the cell permeable caspase inhibitor VAD-FMK was used to conjugate the cells with active caspase-3. Apoptotic cells were quantitated by counting fluorescent cells in 5 different areas of the slide under a fluorescence microscope.

ELISA

Cell-free supernatants were collected from cells treated with varying concentrations of drugs at 2 hours of
treatment. ELISA plates were coated with 100 μL per well of primary monoclonal antibody (2 μg/mL rat antimouse CXCL1/KC monoclonal, R&D Systems Inc., 1 μg/mL mouse antihuman CXCL1/GROα, R&D Systems Inc., and 1 μg/mL rabbit antihuman CXCL8 antibody; Endogen) diluted in PBS (pH 7.4) and incubated overnight at 4°C (CXCL1) or at room temperature (CXCL8). The next day plates were washed and blocked with 300 μL of blocking buffer (as per manufacturer’s protocol) for 1 hour. Standards (recombinant proteins) and samples were added 100 μL/well in duplicate. After incubation, plates were washed and then incubated with biotinylated secondary antibody 100 μL/well (0.2 μg/mL goat antimouse KC, R&D Systems Inc., 4 μg/mL goat antihuman GROα/ CXCL1, R&D Systems Inc., and 0.1 μg/mL mouse antimouse IL-8; Endogen). After washing strepavidin–horse-radish peroxidase (1:20,000) was added and 3,3′,5′,5′-tetramethylbenzidine substrate (100 μL/well) was used. Reactions were stopped and plates were read at 450 nm using an ELx800 (Bio-Tek) plate reader. Concentrations were normalized to proliferation ODs from the MITT assay.

Tumor growth and metastasis
Female BALB/c mice (6–8 weeks old) were purchased from the National Cancer Institute and maintained under specific pathogen-free conditions. All procedures were done in accordance with institutional guidelines and approved by the University of Nebraska Medical Center Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee. Cl66-wt or Cl66-shCXCR2 cells (50,000 in 50 μL of Hank’s Balanced Salt Solution) were injected orthotopically in mammary fat pad to study tumor growth and spontaneous metastasis in response to chemotherapeutic treatment. Tumor growth was measured twice a week. Tumor volume was calculated using the formula π/6 × (smaller diameter)3 × (larger diameter). Tumors recovered from mice were fixed in zinc, embedded in paraffin, and processed for histopathologic evaluation and immunohistochemistry.

Tumor microvessel density
Immunohistochemical analysis was done to determine microvessel density as previously described (20). In brief, 6-μm-thick tumor sections were deparaffinized by xylene and ethanol and blocked for 30 minutes. Tumor sections were incubated overnight in a humid chamber with mouse biotinylated anti–GS-IB4 (isolectin from Griffonia simplicifolia; 1:50; Vector Laboratories) antibody. Immunoreactivity was detected using the ABC Elite Kit and DAB substrate (Vector Laboratories) as per the manufacturer’s instructions. A reddish brown precipitate indicated a positive reaction. Negative controls had all reagents except antibody. The number of microvessels was quantitated microscopically with a 5 × 5 reticle grid (Klarmann Rulings) using ×400 objective (250 μm total area).

Statistical analysis
For the in vitro studies, the unpaired t test was done using Sigma plot 11 software. In vivo analysis was done using the Mann–Whitney U test and paired t test. All the values are expressed as mean ± SEM. P ≤ 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Results
Chemotherapy induced higher expression of CXCR2 ligands in aggressive breast cancer cells
We screened human breast cancer cell lines, which differ in their metastatic potential and hormone receptor expression for CXCL8, CXCR1, and CXCR2 by semiquantitative RT-PCR. We observed that the metastatic cell lines MDA-MB-231, MDA-MB-468, and MDA-MET have higher mRNA expression of CXCR2 and CXCL8 in comparison to the nonmetastatic, MCF-7 and HER2-overexpressing SKBR3 cell lines (Fig. 1A). We examined the expression of CXCL1 and CXCL8 at the protein level in 3 of the human breast cancer cell lines MDA-MB-231, MDA-MET, and SKBR3. We observed that aggressive MDA-MB-231 and MDA-MET cells express higher level of CXCL1 and CXCR2 at basal level in comparison to less aggressive SKBR3 cells (Fig. 1B). When we treated these cells with paclitaxel and doxorubicin (data not shown for doxorubicin), we observed a significant increase in the expression of CXCL1 and CXCL8 in these cells (Fig. 1B and C).

CXCR2 knockdown in mammary tumor cells enhance sensitivity to chemotherapy
To evaluate the role of CXCR2 in modulating tumor cell sensitivity toward chemotherapy, we knocked down CXCR2 in Cl66 and 4T1 cells by shRNA (21). Cl66-wt and 4T1-wt, transfected with vector alone or Cl66-shCXCR2 and 4T1sh-CXCR2, transfected with shRNA directed against CXCR2 were used. We observed significantly enhanced sensitivity of Cl66sh-CXCR2 and 4T1sh-CXCR2 cells toward paclitaxel and doxorubicin as compared with Cl66-wt and 4T1-wt (Fig. 2). We observed a significant difference in growth inhibition, with Cl66sh-CXCR2 and 4T1sh-CXCR2 cells more sensitive to chemotherapy in comparison to Cl66-wt and 4T1-wt cells at lower concentrations of 0.5, 1, and 5 nmol/L for both paclitaxel (Fig. 2A and B) and doxorubicin (P ≤ 0.05; Fig. 2C and D).

CXCR2 knockdown along with chemotherapeutic drugs induces apoptosis in murine mammary tumor cells
To determine the effect of CXCR2 knockdown in mediating chemotherapy-induced apoptosis on mammary tumor cells, we treated Cl66-wt and Cl66-shCXCR2 cells with 10 nmol/L of paclitaxel or doxorubicin. We observed a significant increase (P ≤ 0.05 and 0.015) in the number of apoptotic cells in Cl66sh-CXCR2 cells as compared with Cl66-wt cells after chemotherapy (Fig. 3A and B).

Chemotherapy-induced decrease in the expression of CXCR2 ligands in CXCR2 knockdown cells
We investigated whether knockdown of CXCR2 regulates chemotherapy-induced expression of CXCR2 ligands in murine mammary tumor cells. Supernatants...
from Cl66-wt, 4t1-wt, Cl66sh-CXCR2, and 4T1sh-CXCR2 cells following paclitaxel or doxorubicin treatment were examined for CXCL1. We observed an increase in the expression of CXCL1 in both wild-type and CXCR2 knockdown cells after chemotherapy in a concentration-dependent manner ($P < 0.05$). However, the increase was significantly lower in CXCR2 knockdown cells in comparison to wild-type cells (Fig. 3C and D). We also evaluated the mRNA expression of other known CXCR2 ligands in Cl66-wt and Cl66sh-CXCR2 cells by RT-qPCR and found a similar decrease in the CXCR2 knockdown cells when compared with wild-type cells (data not shown) suggesting CXCR2 knockdown downregulated chemotherapy-induced CXCR2 ligand expression.

**CXCR2 knockdown enhances antitumor response and inhibits mammary tumors growth with paclitaxel treatment**

We injected Cl66-wt and Cl66sh-CXCR2 cells in the mammary fat pad of BALB/c mice. The mice were treated with 2 different doses of paclitaxel, 10 mg/kg or 25 mg/kg body weight biweekly once the tumors were 8 to 9 mm in diameter. We observed mice injected with the 25 mg/kg dose of paclitaxel showed signs of sickness after only 2 to 3 doses. Because of the side effects, we discontinued this dose. However, we continued using the suboptimal 10 mg/kg dose of paclitaxel. Tumor size was measured twice a week. Control groups were treated with PBS alone. We observed a significant ($P = 0.011$ and 0.002) decrease in tumor growth after paclitaxel treatment in mice with Cl66sh-CXCR2 tumors as compared with Cl66-wt tumors after 2 weeks of drug administration (Fig. 4A). These results suggest that CXCR2 knockdown enhances the antitumor activity of paclitaxel.

**CXCR2 knockdown enhanced antimetastatic activity of paclitaxel**

Previous studies from our laboratory showed a significant reduction in the number of lung metastatic nodules formed in mice harboring Cl66sh-CXCR2 tumors when
compared with Cl66-wt tumor-bearing mice (21). We observed similar results in this study with PBS treatment (data not shown), suggesting a role for CXCR2 in the lung metastasis of mammary tumor cells. Although we did not find any difference in the number of lung nodules for Cl66sh-CXCR2 and Cl66sh-CXCR2 treated 10 mg/kg paclitaxel, the numbers of lung metastatic nodules were few for statistical comparison (Fig. 4C). Similar comparison between the lungs of Cl66-wt and Cl66sh-CXCR2 groups treated with 10 mg/kg dose of paclitaxel showed an enhanced reduction of lung metastatic nodules in mice harboring CXCR2 knockdown tumors (Fig. 4B).

**Differential expression of CXCR2 ligands in mammary tumors**

Next we investigated mRNA expression of CXCR2 ligands in wild-type and CXCR2 knockdown tumors with or without paclitaxel treatment. We observed a decrease in the expression of CXCL2 and CXCL7 in knockdown tumors and no change after paclitaxel treatment (data not shown). In contrast to CXCL2 and CXCL7, we observed an increase in the expression of CXCL1 in mammary tumors formed by Cl66-wt and treated with 10 mg/kg paclitaxel (Fig. 5). Expression of CXCL1 was also slightly higher in tumors formed by Cl66sh-CXCR2 cells and treated with PBS. This expression was higher in Cl66sh-CXCR2 tumors after paclitaxel treatment (Fig. 5). These observations were similar both at the RNA level (RT-qPCR) as well as at the protein level (ELISA), suggesting that receptor knockdown has an inverse effect on CXCL1 expression and that treatment with chemotherapeutic agents enhances CXCL1 expression in vivo.

**CXCR2 knockdown in tumor cells along with paclitaxel treatment reduces neovascularization in mammary tumors**

Previous reports from our laboratory showed an increase in apoptosis, a decrease in proliferation and microvessel density in tumors formed by Cl66sh-CXCR2 (21). We observed similar results in tumors formed by...
Cl66sh-CXCR2 cells undergoing PBS treatment. However, we observed that Cl66sh-CXCR2 tumors treated with a suboptimal 10 mg/kg dose of paclitaxel had a significant reduction in microvessel density as compared with Cl66-wt tumors treated with the same dose of paclitaxel (Fig. 6). These results suggest that CXCR2 knockdown in combination with paclitaxel treatment decreases microvessel density in mammary tumors.

Discussion

In this study, we report that the CXCR2 ligands axis plays an important role in breast cancer therapy resistance. We observed that aggressive breast cancer cell lines expressed higher basal level of CXCR2 and its ligands. The level of CXCR2 ligands increased following chemotherapeutic treatment in aggressive breast cancer cells suggesting an important role of these CXC chemokines in cancer cell survival. Our CXCR2 knockdown studies in murine mammary tumor cells showed enhanced antitumor and antimetastatic activity of chemotherapeutic agents. We observed that both CXCR2 and paclitaxel have their independent effects and when used in an adjuvant setting reduced tumor growth and decreased angiogenesis in mammary tumors. We also analyzed the effect of CXCR2 overexpression in breast cancer cells using SKBR3 cells (which expressed lower CXCR2 ligands). Our data showed that overexpression of CXCR2 makes SKBR3 cells resistant to drugs (Supplementary Fig. S1), suggesting an important role of CXCR2 in therapy response. In our overexpression studies with SKBR3, we observed that drugs increases expression of CXCL8 (Supplementary Fig. S2) but the increase was not significant when compared to vector-transfected control cells. However, SKBR3 cells inherently expressed low levels of CXCL8 and permanent transfections instead of transient transfections might be able to provide significant differences.

The protumorigenic role of CXC chemokines and CXCR2 has been documented in various cancers (22, 23). The serum of patients with advanced stages of breast cancer has been reported to have higher levels of various CXC chemokines (24, 25). Elevated levels of CXCL8 have been linked to poor prognosis, metastasis, and angiogenesis in breast cancer (26–28). It has also been shown that the level of CXCL8, a CXC chemokine, increases in a dose-dependent manner in breast cancer cells in response to chemotherapeutic agents, suggesting that it might be crucial for providing resistance to these cells (29). However, their role in therapy resistance remains unclear.
Recent reports showed that levels of CXCL1, CXCL6, and CXCL8 increase after chemotherapy (16, 29–31) and this has been implicated to be responsible for therapy resistance in breast cancer cells. We and others have shown that the level of CXCL8 increase in breast cancer and melanoma cells after chemotherapeutic treatment (16). We also found that CXCL1 increases in a similar manner. This suggests the importance of CXCR2-mediated signaling in advance stages of breast cancer and therapy resistance.

Moreover, CXCR2 has been shown to be expressed in various cancers including breast cancer (32–36), where it enhances malignant cell proliferation and survival. CXCL1 and CXCL5, both CXCR2 ligands have recently been shown to promote the migration of PyMT mammary cancer cell lines when they were treated with conditioned media (containing CXCL1 and CXCL5) derived from mesenchymal stem cells, suggesting that CXCR2 and its ligands are important in breast cancer (37). Based on published reports and our preliminary observations, we have targeted CXCR2 receptor, considering blocking this receptor will prevent chemotherapy-induced CXCR2 ligands-dependent cellular responses in breast cancer cells. NFκB, one of the downstream targets of CXCR2 mediated signaling has been shown to translocate to the nucleus upon chemotherapeutic treatment (38, 39). NFκB has been reported in various cancers to help cancer cells escape apoptosis and enhance proliferation. Increased NFκB activity along with the promotion of antiapoptotic gene transcription has been observed after treatment of prostate cancer cells with chemotherapeutic agents (40).

**Figure 4.** Paclitaxel treatment along with CXCR2 knockdown reduces tumor growth and metastasis. A, growth of tumors formed by Cl66-wt and Cl66sh-CXCR2 cells injected in the mammary fat pad of Balb/c mice. Mice were treated with 2 different doses of paclitaxel, 10 mg/kg or 25 mg/kg body weight. Control group tumors were treated with PBS. Tumor size was measured twice a week and treatment with drugs was started 2 weeks after injection of tumor cells. *P* ≤ 0.05 was considered significant. B, lungs from mice harboring Cl66-wt tumor or Cl66sh-CXCR2 tumor treated with 10 mg/kg paclitaxel, showing metastatic nodules that were quantitated and represented as a bar graph (*P* < 0.05). C, quantification of the metastatic nodules from mice-bearing Cl66sh-CXCR2 tumors treated with PBS or 10 mg/kg of paclitaxel.
Studies also indicate that a complex cooperation exists between NFκB and high expression of CXCL8 in invasive breast cancer cells (31, 41, 42). Although many pathways may mediate resistance to chemotherapy, on the basis of published data and our own observations we propose NFκB to be a potential candidate for driving antiapoptotic gene transcription. The role of CXCR2-mediated signaling and NFκB in regulating apoptosis, suggests that they might be interconnected in inducing chemotherapy resistance in cancers. We analyzed the effect of blocking CXCR2 signaling in murine mammary tumor cell lines on their sensitivity toward paclitaxel and doxorubicin. Our results implicate that CXCR2 knockdown in murine mammary tumor cells enhances their sensitivity toward these drugs and increases apoptosis in CXCR2 knockdown cells suggesting an essential role of CXCR2 in therapy resistance. These results also indicate that using CXCR2 targeting agents in combination with chemotherapeutic drugs may provide a promising strategy to combat drug resistance in breast cancer cells. We have observed that paclitaxel and doxorubicin also elicit a similar response releasing CXCL1 and 8 in other breast cancer cells. The exact mechanism for this response is not known, however, it has been proposed that persistent DNA damage signaling may trigger secretion of inflammatory cytokines such as CXCL8 and IL6 in cancer cells (46).

Paclitaxel treatment alone played a marked role in reducing the proliferation of mammary tumor cells in vivo both in mice-harboring wild-type as well as knockdown tumors (data not shown). CXCR2 knockdown alone decreases proliferation of mammary tumor cells in vivo and the reduction in tumor growth in tumors formed by CXCR2 knockdown cells when treated with paclitaxel suggest a joint effect of CXCR2 knockdown and paclitaxel treatment. Our laboratory has reported that CXCR2 knockdown in mammary tumor cells increases apoptosis and decreases angiogenesis in mammary tumors (21).
found similar results in this model system with a further reduction in angiogenesis after paclitaxel treatment when combine with CXCR2 knockdown. We observed that paclitaxel itself does not have any role in controlling angiogenesis in our model system. These observations suggest that both CXCR2 and paclitaxel have separate effects in decreasing mammary tumor growth and when combined together paclitaxel increases the effect of CXCR2 knockdown in reducing microvessel density in mammary tumors.

To conclude, our data implicate that blocking CXCR2 signaling in mammary tumor cells makes them sensitive toward chemotherapeutic agents, by decreasing their survival and increasing apoptosis. Moreover, we report that blocking CXCR2 in combination with paclitaxel decreases mammary tumor growth, metastatic lung nodule formation and reduces angiogenesis in mammary tumors. This study also proposes that targeting CXCR2 in an adjuvant setting in mammary tumors may provide an effective strategy to reduce therapy resistance.
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