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Abstract
Numerous lines of evidence suggest that the polypeptide hormone prolactin (PRL) may contribute to breast and prostate tumorigenesis through its interactions with the prolactin receptor (PRLR). Here, we describe the biologic properties of LFA102, a humanized neutralizing monoclonal antibody directed against the extracellular domain of PRLR. This antibody was found to effectively antagonize PRL-induced signaling in breast cancer cells in vitro and in vivo and to block PRL-induced proliferation in numerous cell line models, including examples of autocrine/paracrine PRL activity. A single administration of LFA102 resulted in regression of PRL-dependent Nb2-11 tumor xenografts and significantly prolonged time to progression. Finally, LFA102 treatment significantly inhibited PRLR signaling as well as tumor growth in a carcinogen-induced, estrogen receptor-positive rat mammary cancer model as a monotherapy and enhanced the efficacy of the aromatase inhibitor letrozole when administered in combination. The biologic properties of LFA102, elucidated by the preclinical studies presented here, suggest that this antibody has the potential to be a first-in-class, effective therapeutic for the treatment of PRL-dependent cancers.

Introduction
Prolactin (PRL) was initially described in the 1930s as a pituitary-derived polypeptide hormone that had the capacity to stimulate mammary gland development and lactation, but it was not until the 1970s that PRL was first recognized as having the ability to contribute to the initiation and promotion of mammary tumors in rodents. More recently, high circulating levels of PRL in humans have been correlated with an increased risk for developing breast cancer, especially estrogen receptor (ER)-positive (ER+) disease (1). Pharmacologic agents that are known to increase systemic PRL are also associated with an increased risk for breast cancer (2). Further pointing to the tumorigenic potential of PRL, transgenic expression of this hormone in mouse mammary glands induced intrapithelial neoplasms and invasive tumors (3). Biologic and molecular characterization studies suggested that the majority of these tumors (irrespective of ER status) most closely resembled estrogen-insensitive human tumors of the luminal subtype, suggesting that PRL may contribute to tumor growth in instances where estradiol does not function (or no longer functions) as a mitogen (4).

PRL mediates its physiologic functions through engagement of the extracellular domain of PRLR, a type I cytokine receptor expressed with high prevalence in human breast cancer (5). PRLR lacks intrinsic kinase activity, but upon interaction with its ligand, the dimerized receptor undergoes a conformational change that activates other intracellular signaling partners to produce its ultimate cellular effects. In addition to human PRL (hPRL), human growth hormone (hGH) and placental lactogen are also known ligands of human PRLR (hPRLR; refs. 6, 7). The signaling pathway most often associated with PRLR function is the Jak2/Stat5 pathway, although other prosurvival and anti-apoptotic signaling cascades are also known to be triggered in cancer cell lines, including Jak1/Stat3, phosphoinositide 3-kinase (PI3K)/Akt, and Raf/MEK/ERK (8–10). PRLR has also been observed to cross-talk with other growth factor and steroid receptor signaling pathways, including insulin-like growth factor-1 receptor (IGF1R), EGF receptor (EGFR), HER2, and ERα (11–15), suggesting opportunities for synergy between an anti-PRLR therapeutic and other targeted agents in human breast cancer.

Early clinical investigations of agents that act by inhibiting PRL release from the pituitary gland (e.g., the dopamine receptor agonist bromocriptine) in the treatment of human breast cancer were mostly inconclusive (16, 17), potentially due to the fact that non-pituitary (dopamine receptor independent) sources of PRL synthesis exist, including adipose, mammary, prostate, and...
endometrial tissues, as well as breast and prostate tumors themselves (18–22). The presence of tumor autocrine PRL has recently been reported to be significantly correlated with either high tumor grade and/or poor prognosis in breast, endometrial, and prostate cancers (22, 23). Thus, true systemic abrogation of PRL function would require a therapeutic capable of effectively blocking endocrine, autocrine, and paracrine PRL action within a tumor. To this end, mutant PRL peptide antagonists acting directly on PRLR have been developed and used as key preclinical tools to investigate PRLR biology (24–27); however, several inherent liabilities of these antagonists have made their use as therapeutics in humans difficult (25, 28). Here, we report the development and characterization of a neutralizing monoclonal antibody against the human PRLR, designated LFA102, which is capable of inhibiting the biologic functions of both endocrine and autocrine PRL. LFA102 potently antagonizes PRLR signaling and function in vitro and inhibits the growth of PRL-sensitive cancer models in vivo, suggesting that this antibody could have use for the treatment of PRL-dependent neoplasms in the clinic.

Materials and Methods

Materials

T47D and MCF7 cells were obtained from American Type Culture Collection and were authenticated using short tandem repeat profiling. T47D-T2 cells were derived from T47D cells (obtained from EG&G Mason Research Institute) through serial passage in immunocompromised mice to optimize in vivo growth characteristics and were authenticated using single-nucleotide polymorphism analysis. Nb2-11 cells were obtained from the European Collection of Cell Cultures and were authenticated using DNA barcoding and DNA profiling. BaF3 cells were obtained from the German Collection of Microorganisms and Cell Cultures and were authenticated using standard and multiplex PCR. All cell lines were used fewer than 6 months after resuscitation and were not re-authenticated. Primary human breast tumor specimens were obtained from MT Group in accordance with appropriate protocols and patient consent. Cell culture conditions and procedures for generating engineered cell lines are described in the Supplementary Methods. The parental mouse hybridoma to LFA102 was generated from mice immunized with recombinant extracellular domain of PRLR; LFA102 was generated by humanization of the mouse antibody using Human Engineering (HE) technology at XOMA, LLC. Sources of recombinant proteins, commercially available antibodies, compounds, and vehicle formulations used for in vitro and in vivo studies can be found in the Supplementary Methods.

Fluorescence-activated cell-sorting analysis of LFA102 binding to live cells

To evaluate the levels of PRLR expressed on the plasma membrane and the extent of anti-PRLR antibody binding, adherent cells were detached from tissue culture flasks using Enzyme-Free Cell Dissociation Buffer (Gibco) or were disaggregated from a primary tumor before staining as described in the Supplementary Methods.

PRL competition assay

Amine-reactive Alexa Fluor 647 dye (A647; Invitrogen) was used to label recombinant hPRL per manufacturer’s directions. T47D cells [in fluorescence-activated cell-sorting (FACS) buffer] were incubated with antibodies or 5 μg/mL unlabeled hPRL for 45 minutes on ice. A647-PRL was then added to a concentration of 0.5 μg/mL, and the cells were incubated for 20 minutes before washing and analysis by FACS.

Signaling assays (Western blotting)

T47D and MCF7 cells were seeded into 6-well tissue culture plates overnight before use. BaF3/hPRLR, BaF3/hPRLR/hPRL, or dissociated primary human breast cancer cells were seeded and used in the assays without overnight incubation. Cells were incubated with LFA102 or human IgG1 isotype control antibody in serum-free RPMI for 30 minutes at 37°C before stimulation with hPRL (50 ng/mL) for 30 minutes. Details of cell lysis preparation and antibodies used for Western blotting are described in the Supplementary Methods. Experiments were carried out in triplicate.

Cell proliferation and antibody-dependent cell-mediated cytotoxicity assays

For cell proliferation analyses, cells were seeded as indicated in the Supplementary Methods and were then treated for 4 to 7 days with hPRL or hGH in the presence or absence of anti-PRLR antibodies LFA102 or MAB1167. For antibody-dependent cell-mediated cytotoxicity (ADCC) analysis, T47D cells were cocultured with fresh human natural killer (NK) cells, as described in the Supplementary Methods. CellTiter-Glo and a luminescence counter (Wallac Trilux) were used to assess cell numbers upon completion of the assays. Luminescence counts from wells containing untreated cells (100% viability) were used to normalize treated samples. EC50 values (half maximal inhibitory concentration of antibody) were calculated using GraphPad Prism 4 software. Experiments were carried out in triplicate.

Evaluation of pharmacodynamic effects of LFA102 in T47D-T2 tumors

T47D-T2 cells (7.5 × 106) were injected in the right mammary fat pad of female severe combined immuno-deficient (SCID) mice. Mice with approximately 125 mm3 T47D-T2 tumors were injected i.v. with 10 mg/kg of LFA102 or human IgG1 control antibody. Forty-eight hours later, mice were injected intraperitoneally (i.p.) with 100 μg ovine PRL (oPRL) or saline (5 per group). Tumors were collected 60 minutes post-PRL injection to evaluate phosphorylated Stat5 (p-Stat5) levels by immunohistochemistry (IHC) and whole blood was collected for...
serum isolation to determine LFA102 concentrations (see Supplementary Methods).

**In vivo pharmacodynamic and efficacy evaluation of LFA102 in Nb2-11-luc tumor–bearing mice**

Female SCID mice were injected s.c. in the right flank with $5 \times 10^6$ Nb2-11-luc cells. To examine the impact of LFA102 on PRL-induced signaling Nb2-11 tumors, mice were treated in a manner similar to the T47D pharmacodynamic (PD) study, except that 20 μg of αPRL was used instead of 100 μg (described in the Supplementary Methods). For the in vivo efficacy evaluation, tumors were randomized into groups based on bioluminescence 4 days postimplant (tumor burden of approximately $4 \times 10^7$ photons/s; 8 per group). A single administration of LFA102 (0.01–10 mg/kg) or human IgG1 control antibody (3 mg/kg) was given i.p. Bioluminescence imaging is described in the Supplementary Methods. Statistical significance was determined using the Kruskal–Wallis one-Way ANOVA on ranks, and pairwise analysis was conducted with Dunn post hoc test. Time to progression was defined as the day animals required euthanasia for clinical signs of disease burden and statistical significance was determined using the log-rank test.

**Evaluation of LFA102 pharmacokinetics, PD and efficacy as a single agent, and activity in combination with letrozole in a DMBA-induced mammary tumor model**

Mammary tumors were established and treated with LFA102 as a single agent (300 mg/kg twice weekly) before analysis of tumor size, p-Stat5, and LFA102 serum levels (described in Supplementary Methods). The activity of LFA102 and letrozole as single agents and in combination was assessed by enrolling animals onto the study when tumor volumes reached an average of approximately 200 mm$^3$ ($n=15$/group). LFA102 was dosed i.p. at 300 mg/kg 3 times weekly. Letrozole was administered orally 2 times daily at a total dose of 10 μg/kg. A partially efficacious dose of letrozole was used to maximize the potential to detect a combination effect of the 2 agents.

---

**Figure 1.** Characterization of LFA102 binding to PRLR-expressing cells. A, LFA102 (10 μg/mL) was incubated with T47D, MCF7, Nb2-11, PRLR-negative mouse pro-B cells (BaF3), BaF3 cells expressing hPRLR (BaF3/hPRLR), or disaggregated fresh primary human breast tumor cells before FACS analysis. Open (dotted line) histograms, nonspecific human IgG1 control antibody fluorescence signal; solid histograms, LFA102 fluorescence signal. B, antibody–ligand competition was determined by preincubating T47D cells with anti-PRLR antibody (LFA102 or MAB1167) and then exposing cells to A647-PRL for 20 minutes before fluorescence detection by FACS. Unlabeled hPRL was added to one sample (dark gray bar) to show specificity of A647-PRL binding to cells.
analysis of tumor volumes was conducted by one-way ANOVA followed by the Tukey or Holm–Sidak post hoc test.

Results

Characterization of LFA102 binding to PRLR

LFA102 is a humanized IgG1-kappa antibody derived using mouse hybridoma and Human Engineering (HE) technology. To show binding of the antibody to PRLR expressed in its native conformation on the surface of cells, a FACS assay was used. In addition to binding to the human ER+ luminal breast cancer cell lines T47D and MCF7, LFA102 was also found to bind to freshly isolated primary human breast cancer cells and to the rat pre-T-cell lymphoma line Nb2-c11, indicating rat PRLR (rPRLR) cross-reactivity of this antibody (Fig. 1A). As a demonstration of specificity, LFA102 did not bind to PRLR-negative BaF3 cells but did bind to BaF3 cells expressing exogenous hPRLR (BaF3/hPRLR). The degree of LFA102 binding to hPRLR on each cell line correlated with PRLR expression levels assessed by Western blotting (Supplementary Fig. S1). LFA102 did not bind to cells expressing mouse PRLR (data not shown).

Epitope mapping studies determined that LFA102 binds to a conformational epitope within the D2 domain of hPRLR (data not shown), which contains the putative dimerization interface of the receptor and the WSXSX motif hypothesized to be a “molecular switch” for PRLR activation (29). Binding within the D2 domain of PRLR also suggests that LFA102 will bind to the majority of the isoforms of PRLR described previously (30). LFA102 is not thought to directly interact with the D1 domain of the receptor, which contains the majority of the ligand-binding pocket (31).

To characterize the LFA102 binding mode in relation to PRL–PRLR interactions, a cell-based ligand competition assay was developed to examine the impact of antibody binding on the ability of Alexa647-labeled PRL (A647-PRL) to associate with PRLR. Figure 1B depicts the mean fluorescence signal measured for cells pretreated with anti-PRLR antibodies (LFA102 or MAB1167) or unlabeled hPRL (specificity control). MAB1167 significantly...

Figure 2. Inhibition of PRLR signaling by LFA102. A, inhibition of PRL-induced signaling in T47D and MCF7 cells. Cells were preincubated with IgG1 control antibody or LFA102 for 30 minutes before stimulation with hPRL (50 ng/mL) for 30 minutes and analysis of lysates by Western blotting. B, fresh primary human breast cancer cells were disaggregated and treated as above. C, LFA102 inhibits PRL-induced p-Stat5 in T47D-T2 xenograft tumors. SCID mice with T47D-T2 mammary tumors were injected with an IgG control or LFA102 antibody (10 mg/kg). Forty-eight hours later, saline or oPRL was administered 60 minutes before tumor collection. Representative IHC images of p-Stat5 immunostaining are shown (∼200).
displaced fluorescent signal from cells, indicating that the binding of this antibody influences the association of hPRL with hPRLR. In contrast, LFA102 had no significant effect on hA647-PRL binding to PRLR, even when saturating concentrations of the antibody were added. Thus, LFA102 does not bind to PRLR in a ligand-competitive manner.

Neutralization of PRLR signaling in breast cancer cell lines in vitro, primary breast tumor cells ex vivo, and T47D xenograft tumors in vivo

To assess the impact of LFA102 on PRLR signaling in vitro, T47D and MCF7 cells were used. As determined by Western blotting with phospho-protein–specific antibodies, LFA102 was found to efficiently neutralize hPRL-induced phosphorylation of Stat5 (p-Stat5), Akt (p-Akt), and p42/p44 [p-extracellular signal–regulated kinase (ERK)]1/2 signaling in a concentration-dependent manner in T47D cells (Fig. 2A). In contrast to T47D cells, MCF7 cells only exhibited clear p-Stat5 activation in response to hPRL, which was efficiently antagonized by LFA102 treatment. Importantly, no induction of PRLR signaling was seen in either cell line when treated with LFA102 alone, indicating that the antibody is devoid of measurable agonistic activity.

To confirm that primary human breast tumor cells also have the capacity for PRL-mediated signaling, which can be abrogated with LFA102 treatment, a fresh primary human breast tumor specimen (characterized as ER+/ progesterone receptor+/HER2– and PRLR+) was used. The dissociated tumor cells showed hPRLR-induced p-Stat5 induction (Fig. 1D), which was completely neutralized by LFA102 at a concentration of 10 μg/mL.

To show that LFA102 can neutralize PRLR activity in a breast carcinoma in vivo, mice with T47D-T2 tumors were administered a dose of LFA102 or a nonspecific human IgG1 (control antibody), followed 48 hours later by a bolus of hPRL to stimulate PRLR (mouse PRL does not stimulate hPRLR) or a control injection (Fig. 2C) 1 hour before assessment. Tumors from mice not treated with hPRL, hPRLR/hPRL, displays an auto-activated PRLR signaling apparatus, as measured by baseline p-Stat5 levels in the absence of exogenously added cytokines. Incubation of the cells with LFA102 (but not MAB1167 or control antibody) strongly suppressed this baseline signal (Fig. 3E) and only LFA102 was found to inhibit the growth and survival of BaF3/hPRLR/hPRL cells in proliferation assays (Fig. 3G). These results indicate that ligand-competitive PRLR antagonists may be inefficient at neutralizing PRLR function in instances where local PRL concentrations may be high, consistent with previous observations (25).

Abrogation of endocrine and autocrine PRL-induced cell proliferation by LFA102

To evaluate the ability of LFA102 to antagonize PRL-induced proliferation, T47D cells were exposed to increasing concentrations of hPRL in the presence of either LFA102 or control antibody, and changes in viable number were examined. Exposure of PRL-treated T47D cells to LFA102, but not control antibody, completely neutralized the effects of PRL on cell proliferation (Fig. 3A). LFA102 was able to antagonize the progrowth effects of hPRL at physiologic levels (10–200 ng/mL) and super-physiologic levels (up to 2000 ng/mL). An ability to antagonize PRLR in the presence of high levels of ligand may be important for a potential therapeutic, in that pathway inhibition may lead to hyper-prolactinemia (33). LFA102 was also found to completely inhibit the survival of the PRL-dependent rat pre-T-cell lymphoma cell line Nb2-11 (Fig. 3B).

To explore the activity of LFA102 in other contexts, an engineered hPRLR-expressing and hPRL-dependent cell line (BaF3/hPRLR) was used. Both LFA102 (non–PRL-competitive) and MAB1167 (PRL-competitive) antibodies inhibited the hPRL-dependent growth of BaF3/hPRLR cells, (EC50 ¼ 0.5 vs. 3.9 μg/mL, respectively; Fig. 3C). Interestingly, only LFA102 efficiently suppressed PRL-induced cell proliferation in the presence of higher concentrations of this ligand (Fig. 3D), despite both antibodies having a similar binding affinity (Kd) for recombinant human PRLR (LFA102 ¼ 2 nmol/L, MAB1167 ¼ 3 nmol/L; data not shown). In addition to hPRL, hGH can also directly bind and activate hPRLR and has been hypothesized to play a role in breast cancer (34). Therefore, we examined the ability of LFA102 to antagonize hGH-mediated activation of PRLR in BaF3/hhPRLR cells (which do not express GH receptor). In these studies, LFA102 potently neutralized the effects of hGH on hPRLR, similar to its impact on the actions of hPRL (Fig. 3E). Thus, LFA102 has the capacity to block cell proliferation induced by multiple PRLR ligands.

Given that extrapituitary sources of PRL include mammary tissue and tumors, it has become apparent that tumor cells may be exposed to autocrine or paracrine sources of PRL within their microenvironment. To assess the ability of LFA102 to inhibit PRLR function in these contexts, BaF3/hPRLR cells were engineered to be dependent on autocrine/paracrine hPRL through the expression of a hPRL transgene. This cell line, termed BaF3/hPRLR/hPRL, displays an auto-activated PRLR signaling apparatus, as measured by baseline p-Stat5 levels in the absence of exogenously added cytokines. Incubation of the cells with LFA102 (but not MAB1167 or control antibody) strongly suppressed this baseline signal (Fig. 3F) and only LFA102 was found to inhibit the growth and survival of BaF3/hPRLR/hPRL cells in proliferation assays (Fig. 3G). These results indicate that ligand-competitive PRLR antagonists may be inefficient at neutralizing PRLR function in instances where local PRL concentrations may be high, consistent with previous observations (25).

ADCC induced by LFA102

Through their interactions with Fcγ receptors on immune effector cells, antibodies of the human IgG1 isotype have the potential to elicit tumor cell lysis via a process known as ADCC. Primary human NK cells and T47D cells (which express PRLR and Her2) were used to
assess the ADCC potential of LFA102. LFA102 was found to mediate T47D cell killing with a similar range of activity as the anti-Her2 antibody trastuzumab (maximum cell killing = 50%; EC50 = 0.13 and 0.02 μg/mL for LFA102 and trastuzumab, respectively; Fig. 3H). Therefore, LFA102 has the capacity to wield ADCC as an additional mechanism of action in vivo.

**LFA102 pharmacokinetics and pharmacodynamics in rats**

Given that LFA102 binds and neutralizes rPRLR, rats were used for in vivo PK and PD analyses of LFA102. In the dose ranges examined, LFA102 clearance ranged from 1.45 to 0.92 mL/h/kg in male rats and 13.5 to 3.93 mL/h/kg in female rats (Supplementary Table S1). The mean estimated half-life ranged from 1.43 to 8.99 days in males and from 0.12 to 4.23 days in females. These results suggest that LFA102 has a rapid clearance mechanism in female rats that is not observed in male rats. In contrast, the T1/2 of LFA102 in female mice was between 7.1 and 8.5 days, typical for a human IgG1 antibody (35). A likely explanation for the gender difference in LFA102 PK in rats is target-mediated distribution of LFA102 to female rat liver, which expresses significantly more PRLR than that found in male rat liver or livers from either gender of mouse, cynomolgus monkey or human (ref. 36 and data not shown). Consequently, we expect that in humans, the PK of LFA102 will be similar to that found in cynomolgus monkeys and dissimilar to that seen in female rats.

![Figure 3.](image-url) LFA102 inhibits cell growth and viability in vitro. A, inhibition of PRL-induced proliferation in T47D cells. Viable cell number was assessed using CellTiter-Glo after 96 hours of exposure to hPRL in conjunction with LFA102 or IgG1 control antibody (both at 10 μg/mL). B, inhibition of Nb2-11 cell survival. Relative number of viable Nb2-11 cells was assessed as described above. C, inhibition of BaF3/hPRLR cell survival. BaF3/hPRLR cells were incubated with hPRL (50 ng/mL) in conjunction with LFA102, MAB1167, or species-matched IgG1 control antibodies for 96 hours before analysis. D, LFA102 efficiently neutralizes PRLR in the presence of high PRL concentrations. BaF3/hPRLR cells were incubated with antibodies (10 μg/mL) and increasing concentrations of hPRL for 96 hours before analysis. E, LFA102 inhibits hGH-induced hPRLR activation. BaF3/hPRLR cells were treated as above except in some cases hGH was added instead of hPRL (both at 50 ng/mL). F, blockade of autocrine PRL signaling by LFA102. BaF3/hPRLR/hPRL cells were incubated with antibodies in the absence of exogenously added hPRL for 60 minutes before lysis and analysis of p-Stat5 and total Stat5 levels by Western blotting. G, LFA102 abrogates survival of BaF3/hPRLR/hPRL cells. Cells were incubated with antibodies in the absence of exogenously added hPRL for 168 hours before analysis. H, induction of ADCC by LFA102. Freshly isolated human NK cells were incubated with T47D cells at a ratio of 5:1 (effectors:targets) in the presence of LFA102, trastuzumab, or IgG1 control antibody. Twenty-four hours later, plates were washed and the relative number of adhered viable cells was assessed. Values in A, B, C, D, E, F, G, and H are means (±SD) of triplicate samples; y-axis, % viable cell number relative to untreated cells or untreated cell mix (H, NK + T47D only).
To examine the PD effects of LFA102 in the rat mammary gland, the antibody was administered at 50 mg/kg to compensate for the abnormally high rate of LFA102 clearance in this species, before rat PRL (rPRL) stimulation and tissue collection. Similar to the T47D-T2 PD study described above, LFA102 strongly antagonized rPRL signaling in mammary tissue (Supplementary Fig. S2).

Because PRLR knockout mice are hyperprolactinemic, suggesting the existence of a compensatory physiologic reaction to PRLR signal ablation (33), we hypothesized that serum PRL levels may serve as a marker of PRLR activity. Rats were given daily administrations (days 0–4) of LFA102 (50 mg/kg) or vehicle. Mean serum rPRL levels in LFA102-treated rats were significantly elevated on days 1 to 7, relative to controls (Supplementary Fig. S3; P < 0.05). Analysis of LFA102 levels in the serum showed a positive correlation with elevated PRL (Supplementary S2).

This data indicate that elevated PRL levels could represent a viable biomarker for LFA102 activity in humans. Ovariectomized rats were used to test this hypothesis. Rats were given daily administrations (days 0–4) of LFA102 (50 mg/kg) or vehicle. Mean serum rPRL levels in LFA102-treated rats were significantly elevated on days 1 to 7, relative to controls (Supplementary Fig. S3; P < 0.05). Analysis of LFA102 levels in the serum showed a positive correlation with elevated PRL (Supplementary Fig. S2). These data indicate that elevated PRL levels could represent a viable biomarker for LFA102 activity in humans. On the basis of the results shown in Fig. 3D, it is anticipated that the peripheral effects of elevated PRL would be adequately antagonized by LFA102 in this situation.

Inhibition of PRLR signaling and tumor growth by LFA102 in the Nb2-11-luc rat pre-T-cell lymphoma tumor model in mice

It has been recognized that human breast cancer cell lines are not very dependent on PRL, likely due to the fact that bovine PRL (bPRL) found in FBS does not activate hPRLR (37, 38). Thus, human cell lines have been maintained under conditions where initially PRL-dependent cells will ultimately not survive. As bPRL does activate rPRLR, Nb2-11 cells have maintained their PRL dependence during culture in FBS, and for this reason, they were used as a mechanistic model of PRL-dependent human cancer. To examine the ability of LFA102 to inhibit PRL signaling and tumor growth in this model, mice with established subcutaneous Nb2-11-luc xenografts were used. Baseline p-Stat5 levels in these tumors were low and variable, potentially due to the inefficiency of mouse PRL (mPRL) in activating rPRLR, or to the contribution of the estrous cycle to systemic mPRL levels. Therefore, an injection of oPRL was administered to stimulate intratumoral p-Stat5 levels. LFA102 treatment efficiently blocked
The DMBA-induced mammary tumor model expresses PRLR and is responsive to LFA102. A, representative images of PRLR and ERα immunostaining in tumors (>400). B, the degree of inhibition of p-Stat5 in tumors correlates with LFA102 serum levels (data from LFA102 monotherapy study). Representative IHC images of p-Stat5 immunostaining of tumors from treated rats are shown (>200) with semiquantitative p-Stat5 scores in the top right-hand corner (3, high; 2, med; 1, low; 0, negative); corresponding LFA102 serum levels are indicated below images. C, LFA102 inhibits the growth of DMBA-induced mammary tumors as a single agent and in combination with letrozole. Dosing initiation indicated by arrow. Tumor volume data are presented as mean ± SEM. Statistically significant efficacy of LFA102 and the combination treatment was shown on days 9 to 20 post-dosing (*, *P < 0.05). The activity of LFA102 plus letrozole was significantly higher than letrozole alone on days 6 to 16 post-dosing (**, P < 0.05).

Figure 5. The DMBA-induced mammary tumor model expresses PRLR and ERα and is responsive to LFA102. A, representative images of PRLR and ERα immunostaining in tumors (>400). B, the degree of inhibition of p-Stat5 in tumors correlates with LFA102 serum levels (data from LFA102 monotherapy study). Representative IHC images of p-Stat5 immunostaining of tumors from treated rats are shown (>200) with semiquantitative p-Stat5 scores in the top right-hand corner (3, high; 2, med; 1, low; 0, negative); corresponding LFA102 serum levels are indicated below images. C, LFA102 inhibits the growth of DMBA-induced mammary tumors as a single agent and in combination with letrozole. Dosing initiation indicated by arrow. Tumor volume data are presented as mean ± SEM. Statistically significant efficacy of LFA102 and the combination treatment was shown on days 9 to 20 post-dosing (*, *P < 0.05). The activity of LFA102 plus letrozole was significantly higher than letrozole alone on days 6 to 16 post-dosing (**, P < 0.05).
Inhibits tumor growth, and is well tolerated in a study. Thus, LFA102 modulates PRLR signaling activity, with letrozole alone or with vehicle (Table 1). Additional studies are being conducted to understand why some LFA102-treated tumors (as a single agent or in combination) completely regressed whereas others showed only a growth inhibition. It is unclear whether or not even higher concentrations of LFA102 could have been achieved in these studies as antibody clearance and variability in levels of LFA102 efficacy might have been achieved in these studies had antibody clearance and variability in exposure not been an issue in this species. No body weight loss or other clinical observations were observed in either study. Thus, LFA102 modulates PRLR signaling activity, inhibits tumor growth, and is well tolerated in a mammary cancer model expressing both PRLR and ER.

**Discussion**

To date, antagonists targeting the PRL/PRLR signaling axis have had associated liabilities that precluded their use in understanding the role of prolactin in human cancer. The clinical use of mutant PRL peptide antagonists, including G129R-hPRL, Δ1-9-G129R-hPRL, and S179D-hPRL, has been limited by factors such as agonism, low potency, and short systemic half-lives in animals (24, 25, 28, 42, 43). For example, although Δ1-9-G129R-hPRL does not exhibit agonism, it requires a circulating concentration of 1 to 2 μmol/L to effectively inhibit autocrine PRL function in a mouse model of prostate cancer (25). This level of drug is likely to be a challenge to achieve chronically in humans given that the reported serum T1/2 of PRL and its peptide antagonists is approximately 20 minutes (44, 45). Anti-prolactinemic agents that act directly on the pituitary gland, such as bromocriptine, fail to impact the effects of PRL derived from non-pituitary tissue sources (including tumor autocrine/paracrine PRL) or to inhibit hG1H-mediated activation of PRLR and thus fail short of fully neutralizing PRLR activity systemically (19). Here, we have described the preclinical development of a monoclonal antibody that specifically binds and neutralizes PRLR with high potency. In vitro assays using PRL-sensitive human breast cancer cell lines and PRL-dependent rodent cell lines have indicated that LFA102 is an effective antagonist of PRLR function. Furthermore, through the use of mechanistic cell line models, we have also shown that LFA102 is capable of neutralizing the effects of autocrine/paracrine PRL as well as high levels of exogenously added PRL in vitro, differentiating LFA102 from most strictly ligand-competitive PRLR antagonists. On the basis of the non-ligand-competitive nature of LFA102 binding and the location of its epitope in the D2 domain of PRLR, we hypothesize that LFA102 antagonizes receptor function by either blocking receptor dimerization or by locking the dimer into an inactive conformation. Further experimentation is needed to better define the structure–function relationship of LFA102–PRLR interactions.

LFA102 has shown an ability to effectively antagonize PRL-induced signaling in 3 tumor xenograft models and to induce the regression of PRL-dependent Nb2-11-luc tumors xenografts, leading to prolonged time to progression after a single administration. We have also shown that at therapeutically relevant concentrations, LFA102 has the capacity to mediate ADCC, a mechanism of action shared by many clinically successful monoclonal antibodies (46, 47). The observed LFA102-induced increase in serum PRL levels in rats is suggestive that systemic PRL neutralization had been achieved in these animals and that this endpoint is being explored further as a potential biomarker of LFA102 activity. High levels of LFA102 exposure were found to be extremely well tolerated in preclinical safety studies (data not shown), consistent with the lack of overt toxicity observed in PRLR knockout mice (48). This suggests there could be few significant toxicologic consequences of abrogating PRLR signaling with this antagonist in humans.

The demonstration that LFA102 was able to achieve significant antitumor efficacy as a monotherapy, including tumor regressions, in the DMBA-induced rat mammary cancer model was particularly encouraging. Given that the in vivo efficacy of letrozole may be enhanced through the addition of LFA102, a combination therapeutic regimen consisting of LFA102 with a standard of care (e.g., tamoxifen or an aromatase inhibitor) could lead to greater antitumor efficacy in patients, compared with either agent alone. The high dose levels of LFA102 used in studies with female rats (to compensate for abnormally high LFA102 clearance in female rats).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Drug treatments</th>
<th>Mean tumor volume (± SEM)</th>
<th>% Control tumor volume</th>
<th>% Tumor regressionsa</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Vehicle</td>
<td>1,964 ± 243</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LFA102 (300 mg/kg)b</td>
<td>809 ± 279</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Letrozole (10 μg/kg)</td>
<td>1,004 ± 196</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LFA102 + letrozole</td>
<td>436 ± 144</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>47</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

aThree consecutive measurements ≤50% of tumor volume at randomization.
bHigh dose level used to compensate for abnormally high LFA102 clearance in female rats.
this species) are not expected to be needed to achieve effective PRLR neutralization in humans, based on LFA102 PK in male rats and primates (data not shown) and efficacious dose levels of LFA102 in mice. We are also investigating whether activation of the PRL/PRLR signaling axis could constitute a mode of tumor escape from the effects of anti-estrogens or other breast cancer therapeutics, in which case LFA102 combination approaches could have use in stemming the emergence of drug resistance and prolonging the durability of clinical responses. The therapeutic properties of LFA102 described here suggest that this antibody has the potential to become a first-in-class anti-cancer medicine and to address unmet medical need through a unique targeted approach. A clinical trial has been initiated to examine the safety, pharmacokinetics, and preliminary evidence of efficacy of this antagonist in patients with metastatic breast cancer.
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