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Abstract

Uveal melanoma is the most common primary intraocular malignant tumor in adults and half of the primary tumors will develop fatal metastatic disease to the liver and the lung. Crizotinib, an inhibitor of c-Met, anaplastic lymphoma kinase (ALK), and ROS1, inhibited the phosphorylation of the c-Met receptor but not of ALK or ROS1 in uveal melanoma cells and tumor tissue. Consequently, migration of uveal melanoma cells was suppressed in vitro at a concentration associated with the specific inhibition of c-Met phosphorylation. This effect on cell migration could be recapitulated with siRNA specific to c-Met but not to ALK or ROS1. Therefore, we developed a uveal melanoma metastatic mouse model with EGFP–luciferase-labeled uveal melanoma cells transplanted by retro-orbital injections to test the effect of crizotinib on metastasis. In this model, there was development of melanoma within the eye and also metastases to the liver and lung at 7 weeks after the initial transplantation. When mice were treated with crizotinib starting 1 week after the transplantation, we observed a significant reduction in the development of metastases as compared with untreated control sets. These results indicate that the inhibition of c-Met activity alone may be sufficient to strongly inhibit metastasis of uveal melanoma from forming, suggesting crizotinib as a potential adjuvant therapy for patients with primary uveal melanoma who are at high risk for the development of metastatic disease. Mol Cancer Ther; 12(12); 2817–26. ©2013 AACR.

Introduction

Uveal melanoma is the most common primary intraocular malignant tumor in adults. Tumor epicenters are usually found in the choroid, but may also arise from the iris and ciliary body. About 45% of uveal melanoma cases were recorded in adults older than 60 years and 33% were in adults ages between 21 and 60 years old (1). The 5-year survival rate of uveal melanoma patients from 1973 to 2008 is 81.6% (2). However, the prognosis is worse for patients that develop metastatic uveal melanoma with an overall 1- to 2-year survival rate of 13% and 8%, respectively (3, 4). About half of patients with uveal melanoma will develop metastasis, which primarily occurs in the liver (5, 6). In fact, nearly all patients with uveal melanoma that die due to metastatic disease have liver metastasis (7). Uveal melanomas are characterized by mutations in the G-protein genes, GNAQ and GNA11. Although the loss of chromosome 3 (5, 8) and mutations in the RAP1 gene are additionally implicated in uveal melanoma metastasis (9, 10), there has been a considerable interest on the possible role of c-Met, which is highly expressed in metastatic uveal melanoma tumors (5, 11).

The receptor tyrosine kinase (RTK), c-Met, is a 140 kDa transmembrane protein consisting of a disulfide-linked heterodimer with an extracellular α-subunit and a transmembrane β-subunit. When c-Met is bound to its ligand, hepatocyte growth factor (HGF), the autophosphorylation of tyrosine residues is initiated at Tyr1230/1234/1235 in the catalytic domain propagating a signaling cascade through a number of adaptor and effector proteins. This signaling results in the activation of the Ras-ERK, STAT, and PI3K-AKT pathways, which are implicated in oncogenic cell proliferation, survival, and motility (12–14). However, a study has shown that the activation of the previously mentioned pathways through c-Met signaling may not be enough to induce mitogenesis in the cells (15). Other RTKs, namely EGF receptor (EGFR), VEGF receptor (VEGFR), and insulin-like growth factor 1 receptor (IGF1R) may work in conjunction with or propagate the activation of c-Met to initiate mitogenic pathways (6, 16, 17). Previous studies have shown that HGF influences migratory ability in vitro (18) and its self-expression may contribute to metastasis in vivo. Activated c-Met, a result of indirect gene activation rather than mutation, has also been found in uveal melanoma.
cell lines (19). Furthermore, previous studies have implicated the increased expression of c-Met in primary uveal melanoma tumors with the higher risk of liver metastasis (6, 11).

Crizotinib is a small-molecule inhibitor that is selective for c-Met as well as anaplastic lymphoma kinase (ALK) and (ROS1). It has been shown to inhibit cell proliferation, migration, and invasion of several tumor cell lines in vitro and it has also displayed significant antitumor activity in xenograft mouse models (20–22). It is approved for the treatment of ALK expressing advanced and metastatic non–small cell lung cancer. Because the survival rate of uveal melanoma patients decreases as metastatic disease progresses and that previous studies suggest the significant role of c-Met in uveal melanoma metastasis, there may also be a potential for using crizotinib to prevent the development of metastatic uveal melanoma. This study, therefore, investigates the effects of crizotinib in uveal melanoma cell lines and in a metastatic uveal melanoma model.

Materials and Methods

Cell culture and reagents

C918 and Mel290 were received from Robert Folberg in 2009 (University of Illinois, Chicago, IL). Of note, Mel285, Omm1.3, and Omm1 were kindly provided by Boris Bastian in 2010 (Memorial Sloan-Kettering Cancer Center, New York, NY). Of note, 92.1 was obtained from William Harbour in 2009 (Washington University, St. Louis, MO). C918 was derived from a patient tumor by Daniels and colleagues (23). Mel290 and Mel285 were established from primary tumors by Bruce Ksander (Schepens Eye Research Institute, Boston, MA; ref. 24). Note that 92.1 was established from a primary tumor by Marline Jager (Leiden University Medical Center, Leiden, the Netherlands; ref. 25). Omm1.3 was established from liver metastases also by Bruce Ksander (Schepens Eye Research Institute; ref. 26). Omm1 was established from a patient’s subcutis metastatic lesion by G.P.M. Luyten (Rotterdam University Hospital, Rotterdam, the Netherlands; ref. 27). Uveal melanoma cell lines have been sequenced for the presence of activating mutations in codons 209 (exon 5) and 183 (exon 4) of GNAQ and GNA11. Of note, 92.1 and Omm1.3 had GNAQ mutation, whereas Omm1 had GNA11 mutation. A karyotype test was also performed for each cell line in 2012. Cells were cultured in RPMI medium supplemented with 10% FBS, 100 U/mL penicillin, and 100 mg/mL streptomycin and maintained at 37°C in 5% CO2. Crizotinib, graciously supplied by Pfizer, was dissolved in dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) for in vitro experiments and formulated in water for animal studies.

ELISA

For each uveal melanoma cell line, 2 million cells were used to seed 60 mm plates in 3 mL volume of serum-free RPMI media in duplicates. Cells were allowed to grow for 24 hours and the media were collected and centrifuged. The supernatants were used in the R&D Systems Quantikine ELISA Human HGF Immunoassay according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Serum-free media from unseeded plates were used to subtract the background. The presence of HGF in the media is expressed as pg/mL concentrations and the minimum detectable dose of the assay is less than 40 pg/mL.

Immunoblotting

Cells and tissues were lysed with radioimmunoprecipitation assay (RIPA) buffer supplemented with protease inhibitor cocktail tablets (Roche Diagnostics) and 1 mmol/L Na3VO4. Equal amounts of protein were loaded on 4% to 12% PAGE gels (Invitrogen). Polyvinylidene difluoride membranes were blocked with 5% nonfat dried milk and probed with p-Met (Y1234-1235), Met, p-ALK (Y1096), ALK, p-ROS1 (Y2274), ROS1, p-STAT3 (Y705), STAT3, p-AKT (S473), AKT, p-ERK1/2 (T202/Y204), ERK 1/2, cleaved PARP, and ß-tubulin (Cell Signaling Technology) and human HGF (Santa Cruz Biotechnology).

Gene silencing

Cells were plated on 60-mm plates, and transfected with control, c-Met, ALK, or ROS1 siRNA using Lipofectamine RNAiMAX (Invitrogen) according to the manufacturer’s protocol. The transfections were performed twice, each time in overnight incubations with a recovery phase of 6 hours in between transfections. The siRNA sequences for control, c-Met, ALK, and ROS1 were purchased from Cell Signaling Technology.

Cell viability assays

Cells were plated in 96-well plates and treated in triplicates with the indicated concentrations of crizotinib or DMSO. Viability was assessed after 72 hours of treatment using the Cell Counting Kit 8 (CCK8) from Dojindo Molecular Technologies according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Survival is expressed as a percentage of untreated cells. For the c-Met siRNA viability assay, cells were harvested after transfection and grown in triplicates in 96-well plates for 72 hours. Viability was assessed as previously described.

Migration assays

Cells were seeded and treated with DMSO, 25 or 250 nmol/L crizotinib for 24 hours in media with 0.1% serum on BioCoat Matrigel Invasion Chambers (BD Biosciences) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. RPMI medium with 10% serum and 50 ng/mL HGF was used as chemoattractant. Noninvading cells were then removed from the Matrigel and cells on the other side of the matrix were fixed with 100% methanol and stained with 1% Toluidine Blue. Images of stained cells were taken through a microscope. For the c-Met, ALK, and ROS1 siRNA migration assay, cells were harvested after double transfection and seeded in triplicates on invasion chambers for 24 hours as mentioned above. RPMI medium with 10% serum and no HGF was used as chemoattractant.
Images of stained cells were obtained from three random sections of each Matrigel to account for cell distribution. Invading cells were then quantified by adding cells from the three sections and calculating the mean of each triplicate. Migration is expressed as the number of cells migrated.

Xenograft studies
Of note, 8-week-old nu/nu SCID (severe combined immunodeficient mice) male mice bearing subcutaneously injected Omm1.3 or 92.1 tumors (9 mice/cohort) of approximately 100 mm³ diameter were treated orally with vehicle control (water) or crizotinib (50, 75, and 100 mg/kg/d) 5 days per week for 9 weeks. Tumors were measured every 2 to 3 days with calipers and tumor volumes were calculated and expressed in cubic millimeter and calculated using the formula \( V = \frac{4}{3} \pi \times \left( \text{large diameter} \times \text{small diameter} \right) \). Toxicity was monitored by weight loss. Two animals from each cohort were sacrificed 1 to 3 hours after the fifth treatment and tumors were collected. Tumor tissues were carefully dissected from the surrounding stroma and were immediately flash-frozen in liquid nitrogen. Frozen tumors were ground in tubes with resin and RIPA buffer following procedures set for the Sample Grinding Kit (GE Healthcare). The Memorial Sloan-Kettering Cancer Center Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee and Research Animal Resource Center specifically approved this study. The study also complied with the Principles of Laboratory Animal Care (NIH Publication No. 85–23, released 1985). All efforts were made to minimize suffering.

Construct and preparation of recombinant lentivirus
An flp-Ub promoter-GFP-WRE (FUGW)-based lentiviral vector encoding EGFP–luciferase fusion gene (FUGLW) under the ubiquitin promoter was used to infect the uveal melanoma tumor cells. The viral supernatant was prepared by cotransfecting 293T cells with the FUGLW, pCMV-d8.91, and pMD2.G vectors. Viral transduction was achieved by incubation with virus for 6 hours followed by washing and further incubation for 24 hours. All uveal melanoma cell lines tested express the c-Met receptor, represented by a 170 kDa precursor and a 145 kDa mature receptor. Examination of the sum of the two bands indicates that the cell lines with G-protein mutations, namely, 92.1, Omm1.3, and Omm1, expressed relatively more c-Met than the wild-type cell lines namely, C918, Mel290, and Mel285. Phospho-Met was relatively more c-Met than the wild-type cell lines namely, C918, Mel290, and Mel285. Phospho-Met was relatively more c-Met than the wild-type cell lines.

Bioluminescence imaging in vivo
The imaging procedure was performed under 2.5% isoflurane anesthesia. The animals were injected retro-orbitally with potassium D-luciferin (30 mg/kg; Caliper) and imaged immediately after injection using an IVIS 200 imaging system (Caliper). Living Image software (version 4.0) was used to acquire and quantify the absolute bioluminescence intensity (photons/sec). Regions of interest for both metastatic tumors and background were selected from equivalent-sized areas. The background intensity was subtracted from the signal intensities.

Histopathology
For immunohistochemical analysis, representative sections of tumors were deparaffinized, rehydrated in graded alcohols, and subjected to antigen retrieval by microwave oven treatment using standard procedures. Hematoxylin and eosin (H&E) staining was carried out using Gill hematoxylin (Poly Scientific R&D Corp.) for 4 minutes and counterstaining with eosin (Poly Scientific R&D Corp.) for 4 minutes. The immunohistochemistry was performed at the Molecular Cytology Core Facility of Memorial Sloan Kettering Cancer Center using MIRAX Slide Scanning System (PerkinElmer).

Statistical analysis
All in vitro experiments were carried out at least two to three times. For in vitro and in vivo studies, \( P \) values were calculated using a Student \( t \) test. We selected \( P \) values of \( \leq 0.05 \) as being statistically significant. SE was calculated as the SD divided by the square root of the number of samples.

Results

C-Met expression and HGF secretion in uveal melanoma cells
We evaluated the expression of the c-Met receptor and its basal phosphorylation status in uveal melanoma cells grown in serum-free media for 24 hours (Fig. 1A). All uveal melanoma cell lines tested express the c-Met receptor, represented by a 170 kDa precursor and a 145 kDa mature receptor. Examination of the sum of the two bands indicates that the cell lines with G-protein mutations, namely, 92.1, Omm1.3, and Omm1, expressed relatively more c-Met than the wild-type cell lines namely, C918, Mel290, and Mel285. Phospho-Met was also relatively higher in G-protein mutant cell lines than in wild-type cell lines, particularly in Omm1.3 cells, which had the most receptor phosphorylation. As the phosphorylation of c-Met is stimulated by the ligand, HGF, we investigated the ability of uveal melanoma...
cells to secrete HGF in serum-free media using ELISA (Fig. 1B). After a 24-hour incubation period, cells released HGF ranging from 70 to 190 pg/mL. The cell lines with G-protein mutations, 92.1, Omm1.3, and Omm1, secreted more HGF than the wild-type cell lines, C918, Mel290 and Mel285, suggesting that uveal melanoma cell lines with G-protein mutation may be activating the c-Met phosphorylation through an autocrine signaling mechanism.

**Suppression of c-Met by siRNA knockdown inhibits migration of uveal melanoma cells**

Because dysregulated c-Met induces tumor growth, angiogenesis and metastasis, we tested the effects of c-Met downregulation on cell proliferation and migration of these uveal melanoma cell lines. Western blot analysis confirmed decreased levels of c-Met in all the cell lines transfected with c-Met siRNA (Fig. 2A and B). In cell viability assays, cell growth was not affected...
by c-Met knockdown (Fig. 2C). On the other hand, c-Met siRNA significantly inhibited cell migration ($P < 0.05$; Fig. 2D and Supplementary Fig. S1) and this effect was independent of G-protein status. In view of the fact that crizotinib is also an inhibitor of ALK and ROS1 kinases, we used the siRNA knockdown strategy in suppressing ALK and ROS1 (Fig. 2B) to investigate whether these kinases have any effect in uveal melanoma migration. ALK and ROS1 knockdown did not significantly inhibit the migration of uveal melanoma cells (Fig. 2D and Supplementary Fig. S1). There was a slight decrease in migration of Omm1 cells transfected with ALK and ROS1 siRNA, but it was not statistically significant ($P > 0.05$). Collectively, these results indicate that cell migration of uveal melanoma cells is dependent on activated c-Met but not ALK or ROS1 and selectively suppressing c-Met decreases cell migration.

**Crizotinib inhibits migration of uveal melanoma cell lines**

We next elected to determine whether crizotinib would have a similar effect on cell migration. For these studies, we wanted to select the minimal concentration necessary to selectively inhibit p-Met but would have no effect on cell growth. The effects of crizotinib on the cell growth of uveal melanoma cell lines, wild-type or mutant for GNAQ and GNA11, were evaluated using a range of concentrations from 10 to 3,000 nmol/L (Fig. 3A). After 72 hours of treatment, all uveal melanoma cell lines showed a dose-dependent decrease in cell viability in response to crizotinib treatment. However, this effect only occurred at doses $\geq 1,000$ nmol/L. We found that c-Met phosphorylation was inhibited by crizotinib starting at 25 nmol/L, whereas neither ALK nor ROS1 was inhibited at any of the concentrations tested with 24 hours of drug exposure (Fig. 3B). In
Crizotinib has marginal effects on tumor growth inhibition in uveal melanoma xenografts

To examine the effects of c-Met inhibition in vivo, we developed a subcutaneous xenograft model by particularly exploiting two uveal melanoma GNAQ-mutant cell lines, one (92.1 cell line; Fig. 4A) derived from a primary tumor and the other (Omm1.3 cell line; Fig. 4B) derived from a metastatic tumor. The mice were treated with vehicle control or 50 mg/kg crizotinib orally five times a week for 3 to 4 weeks, the maximally tolerated dose in the mice. Comparison of average tumor volume between the control and treated groups show that there were very minimal effects on crizotinib-mediated inhibition of tumor growth from both the primary and the metastatic cell lines (P > 0.05). Therefore, we examined whether the drug inhibited its target kinases and the downstream signaling pathways (Fig. 4C). Although, the administration of this drug dose resulted in a complete inhibition of phosphorylation of c-Met, there was no inhibition in phosphorylation of the other target kinases, p-ALK, and p-ROS1. Importantly, the common downstream signaling components also seemed to be generally unaffected as determined by unchanged levels of p-AKT, p-ERK, and p-STAT3. There was a slight decrease in p-ERK1/2 in the Omm1.3 cells, though this could be due to a decrease in total ERK1/2 protein expression. The detection of human HGF expression in the tumor xenografts supports the...
hypothesis that HGF may activate c-Met through an autocrine activating loop in these cells. Apoptosis signaling at least via PARP cleavage was not observed in this study. There was no significant weight loss with this dose of crizotinib in either animal study (Supplementary Fig. S3A and S3B) and also attempts to increase the drug dose to 75 and 100 mg/kg, respectively, also showed no appreciable reduction in tumor volume though this was associated with some modest weight loss (Supplementary Fig. S4A and S4B).

**Crizotinib prevents macrometastasis of uveal melanoma cells from developing in vivo**

As there was only a minimal inhibition of tumor growth in vivo and that crizotinib inhibits migration in vitro, we next elected to determine whether crizotinib would prevent uveal melanoma metastasis in vivo. Therefore, we first developed a novel mouse model that represents a retro-orbital delivery of the uveal melanoma cells into circulation. The Omm1.3 cells were labeled with the EGFP–luciferase fusion protein. Thus, the labeled cells allowed us to verify the instant delivery of the transplanted cells and to monitor the mice for the subsequent development of metastatic disease by positron emission tomography imaging. To investigate the role of activated c-Met in these uveal melanoma cells, the mice were treated with 50 mg/kg crizotinib daily 5 days per week for 9 weeks starting from 7 days after the transplantation and were monitored weekly for the development of metastatic disease. Metastases were first observed 7 weeks later at which time most of the vehicle control mice showed strong luciferase activity in the eye, as well as at distant sites predominantly the liver and the lungs, whereas in crizotinib-treated mice, bioluminescence was predominantly seen at the site of primary transplantation (i.e., the eye; Fig. 5A). Luciferase activity was dramatically inhibited in the treated mice \( P = 0.03 \), as determined by quantification of bioluminescence signal intensity (Fig. 5B). Necropsy images from representative vehicle–treated control animals show macroscopic tumors, substantiated by the bioluminescence in the livers, whereas there were no distinguishable tumors in the livers of crizotinib-treated mice (Fig. 5C). There was bioluminescence signal in the lungs of untreated animals, yet again there were no detectable bioluminescence signal in the lungs of treated animals. It is important to note that the uveal melanoma tumor growth in the eye of the mice was not inhibited by crizotinib. An H&E staining of liver sections from both cohorts verified the presence of metastatic tumor in the liver of untreated mice but not in the liver of crizotinib-treated mice (Fig. 5D). Two weeks after the end of drug treatment (i.e., on week 11), bioluminescence imaging showed continued tumor growth in the eye (the primary site), and in multiple distant sites, when compared with the mice treated with crizotinib (Supplementary Fig. S5A). In crizotinib-treated mice, metastases largely remained inhibited. Necropsy of the vehicle-treated mice revealed bioluminescence in the liver, lung, kidney, and spleen (Supplementary Fig. S5B). In addition, at week 11 the mice that previously responded to crizotinib now revealed small metastases in the liver.

**Discussion**

The development of macroscopically detectable metastasis occurs in 50% of patients with uveal melanoma, within 15 years of initial diagnosis, even after treatment and removal of the primary tumor (29). In our study, crizotinib inhibited c-Met phosphorylation and prevented uveal melanoma from forming macroscopic metastatic disease in a mouse model. We also observed a lack of antiproliferative effects by crizotinib at doses that selectively inhibit only c-Met. Interestingly, there was inhibition of cell growth in vitro at high concentrations of crizotinib but this can be attributed to potential off-target effects. There was also an observed increased expression of c-Met and ALK in Mel285 and Omm1 cells in vitro as well as in Omm1.3 tissues after treatment with crizotinib. This may be a potential survival mechanism but more studies are needed to evaluate the significance of this observation. In our xenograft model, there was only a minimal effect on tumor growth, which correlates with our in vitro study. Nevertheless, the xenograft studies do confirm inhibition of the target at the dose of drug administered. This is in contrast with past xenograft studies that have shown the potent antitumor activity of crizotinib in other tumor types (20–22). Other studies have found that the inhibition of c-Met alone may not be enough to prevent tumor growth in vivo and that other RTKs such as EGFR and IGF1R are critical for uveal melanoma cell survival (30, 31). In fact, inhibition of either phospho-Met or phospho-EGFR resulted in activation of alternative pathways and blockade of both receptors resulted in maximal inhibition of the downstream kinases p-AKT and p-ERK 1/2 (16, 30). Another study also demonstrated that combining inhibitors of c-Met and VEGFR slowed down tumor growth (17). Thus, c-Met inhibition with crizotinib alone seems insufficient in preventing uveal melanoma tumor growth in vivo. This ultimately may require the development of combination therapies with inhibitors of IGF1R, VEGFR, or EGFR.

In our in vitro studies, we found that a low nanomolar dose of crizotinib inhibited the migration of G-protein mutant cell lines but not wild-type cell lines. This may be explained by the higher basal levels of activated c-Met and secreted HGF, which possibly induces an autocrine response that activates the c-Met receptor, rendering the GNAQ and GNA11 mutant cells more sensitive to the drug than wild-type cells. However, when a higher dose of crizotinib is used, there is significant inhibition of cell migration in all uveal melanoma cells, an effect that is independent of G-protein mutational status. This effect can be recapitulated with siRNA specific to c-Met,
which is not observed with siRNA for ALK or ROS1, the other targets of crizotinib. Previous studies have suggested the important role of c-Met overexpression in uveal melanoma metastasis and the regulation of its ligand, HGF, in determining tumor dissemination (32). Furthermore, c-Met–induced PI3K/AKT signaling has been linked to enhance cell migration of uveal melanomas (33). As we confirmed that c-Met plays a significant role in uveal melanoma cell migration, and that HGF is in fact expressed and secreted by these cells, a metastatic model was developed to demonstrate the inhibition of metastasis by crizotinib.

Other studies have shown hepatic, bone, and visceral micrometastasis develop in a uveal melanoma xenograft model (34), as well as bone and visceral macrometastasis in an intracardiac metastatic mouse model (35). Another study has also demonstrated inhibition of micrometastasis using a VEGFR inhibitor after enucleation of the mouse eyes (36). In our study, we show that liver and lung metastases develop 6 to 7 weeks after retro-orbital

Figure 5. Inhibition of metastasis by crizotinib in a metastatic uveal melanoma model. Omm1.3 cells were stably infected with EGFP–luciferase and grown in large scale. The mice were then retro-orbitally injected with 10 million Omm1.3-EGFP–luciferase cells. One week after injection, the mice were treated with the vehicle or 50 mg/kg/d crizotinib 5 days a week for 9 weeks (10 control mice, 12 treated mice). The mice were imaged for luciferase activity every week. A, bioluminescence imaging at 7 weeks after injection of cells compared progression of metastasis in control- and crizotinib-treated mice. The control mice have metastasis in the abdominal region, whereas crizotinib inhibited metastasis in the treated mice. B, bioluminescence intensity was then quantified for each mouse and the mean calculated for each cohort. Luciferase activity in metastatic sites was significantly decreased in crizotinib-treated mice compared with the vehicle control (P < 0.03). C, necropsy images show macrometastases in the liver and lungs of the control mouse, whereas none were seen in the crizotinib-treated mouse. Bioluminescence imaging of the liver and lungs further illustrates inhibition of metastasis by crizotinib. D, H&E staining of liver tissue sections verify the presence of tumor in the control mouse liver but not in crizotinib-treated mouse liver.
injection of EGFP–luciferase-infected uveal melanoma cells. We then demonstrated that crizotinib, at concentrations that inhibit p-Met in vivo, inhibited metastases from forming in the liver and lungs of the treated mice as compared with the control mice. We also observed that 2 weeks after stopping treatment with crizotinib, the mice previously treated with the drug showed traces of metastasis in the liver, but this was still significantly less than the control mice. The subsequent progression of metastasis after termination of treatment further illustrates the dependence of metastatic disease on c-Met signaling. It also illustrates that crizotinib is unable to kill the microscopic metastases that develop rapidly in both the liver and lungs after retro-orbital injection, but rather it seems to either prevent the cells from migrating or spreading to dominant visceral sites, especially the liver. This inability to eradicate small-volume disease ties in with the lack of single-agent efficacy observed in our in vitro and in vivo studies. The detection of circulating malignant cells capable of developing hepatic micrometastases has also been reported at the time of the initial diagnosis of patients with primary uveal melanoma (37). These cells may become dormant and later reenter malignancy (38). It is conceivable that crizotinib is able to control these cells as long as the drug is maintained and then this inhibitory effect is lost once the drug is withdrawn, allowing metastatic lesions to develop.

Consistent with our in vitro and xenograft studies, we saw no inhibition of growth in the development of the eye lesions, even after the initiation of crizotinib therapy in the treated animals. Though this could be due to a lack of drug penetration into the orbit of the mouse, this is most likely consistent with our observation that inhibition of c-Met activity by crizotinib in uveal melanoma cells is in itself not sufficient to decrease tumor growth. Clinically, though, this is not a critical issue. Patients with primary uveal melanoma either have enucleation of the eye to remove the primary tumor or have plaque radiation to eradicate primary cancer cells at presentation. Despite this approach, 50% of patients eventually develop metastatic disease and reoccurrence in the eye is exceptionally low (5, 6). The survival rate in patients with uveal melanoma decreases dramatically with the onset of metastasis (3, 4). Therefore, the critical issue remains how to prevent development of metastatic disease after the treatment of the primary tumor. These results suggest that it will be important to introduce preventive therapy as early as possible after initial presentation of this disease and that this therapy may need to be continued for the lifetime of the patient. There is now the potential to develop crizotinib as the first adjuvant therapy to prevent macro-metastatic disease from developing in patients with uveal melanoma. Furthermore, with the metastatic uveal melanoma model we developed, more drugs can be screened to identify effective inhibitors against c-Met–dependent metastasis.
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