Overexpression of Asparagine Synthetase and Matrix Metalloproteinase 19 Confers Cisplatin Sensitivity in Nasopharyngeal Carcinoma Cells
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Abstract

Platinum-based concurrent chemoradiotherapy is considered a standard treatment approach for locoregionally advanced nasopharyngeal carcinoma. However, only a minority of patients benefit from this treatment regimen compared with radiotherapy alone. Identification of a set of molecular markers predicting sensitivity of platinum-based chemotherapy may contribute to personalized treatment of patients with nasopharyngeal carcinoma for better clinical outcome with less toxicity. Previously, we generated a cisplatin-sensitive nasopharyngeal carcinoma cell line, S16, by clonal selection from CNE-2 cells and found that eIF3a is upregulated and contributes to cisplatin sensitivity by downregulating the synthesis of nucleotide excision repair proteins. In this study, we conducted a gene expression profiling analysis and found three other genes, asparagine synthetase (ASNS), choriogonadotropin α subunit (CGA), and matrix metalloproteinase 19 (MMP19), that are upregulated in the cisplatin-sensitive S16 cells compared with the CNE-2 cells. However, only ASNS and MMP19, but not CGA, contributes to cisplatin sensitivity by potentiating cisplatin-induced DNA damage and apoptosis. Thus, ASNS and MMP19, along with eIF3a, are the sensitivity factors for cisplatin treatment and may serve as potential candidate molecular markers for predicting cisplatin sensitivity of advanced nasopharyngeal carcinoma. Mol Cancer Ther; 12(10); 2157–66. ©2013 AACR.

Introduction

Nasopharyngeal carcinoma is generally a rare malignancy in most part of the world. It, however, has a high incidence in a few well-defined populations, including natives of southern China, Southeast Asia, the Arctic, and the Middle East/North Africa (1–3). Concurrent chemoradiotherapy is considered as a standard treatment approach for locoregionally advanced nasopharyngeal carcinoma and platinum-based regimen is thought to be one of the best protocols by meta-analysis (4, 5). However, meta-analysis of patient data from eight randomized trials containing 1,753 patients showed that, compared with radiotherapy alone, cisplatin-based concurrent chemoradiotherapy improved 5-year disease-free survival by only 10% (52% vs. 42%) in locoregionally advanced nasopharyngeal carcinoma (4). Furthermore, many patients with nasopharyngeal carcinoma do not benefit but suffer from side effects of the additional chemotherapy. These findings suggest that identifying patients who potentially do not benefit from concurrent chemotherapy may be helpful to personalize treatment strategies for better clinical outcome with less toxicity. Thus, it is imperative to identify molecular markers predicting sensitivity and responses of platinum-based chemotherapy of patients with nasopharyngeal carcinoma for better clinical outcome with less toxicity.

To this end, we have established a cisplatin-sensitive human nasopharyngeal carcinoma cell line S16 from CNE-2 cells using limited dilution and clonal selection and identified eIF3a as a potential marker predicting platinum sensitivity in a recent study (6). The increased eIF3a expression in S16 cells seems to suppress the synthesis of DNA repair proteins that in turn leads to reduced DNA repair and increased cisplatin sensitivity. To determine whether other genes are also potentially upregulated in S16 cells and contribute to cisplatin sensitivity, we...
conducted comparative gene expression profiling analysis between the cisplatin-sensitive S16 clone and its parental CNE-2 cells using microarray analysis, followed by confirmative real-time RT-PCR analyses. Three genes, asparagine synthetase (ASNS), choriogonadotropin α subunit (CGA), and matrix metalloproteinase 19 (MMP19), were found to have significant changes in expression level between S16 and CNE-2 cells. However, only ASNS and MMP19 were found to contribute to cisplatin sensitivity of S16 cells by promoting cisplatin-induced DNA damage and apoptosis in S16 cells. Thus, ASNS and MMP19, along with eIF3a, are the sensitivity factors for cisplatin treatment and may serve as candidate molecular markers predicting sensitivity and possibly clinical outcome of cisplatin-based chemotherapy for advanced nasopharyngeal carcinoma.

Materials and Methods

Materials

AmpliTaq Gold polymerase, Power SYBR Green RNA-to-CT 1-Step Kit, Dulbecco’s modified Eagle medium (DMEM), G418, Hoechst 33342, TRizol reagent, SuperScript II reverse transcriptase, and Lipofectamine 2000 were all from Applied Biosystems. Antibody against actin, horseradish peroxidase (HRP)-conjugated anti-mouse or rabbit secondary antibodies, MTT, and cis-dichlorodiamine platinum (II; cisplatin) were from Sigma-Aldrich. The enhanced chemiluminescence (ECL) system, Cy3-dCTP, and Cy5-dCTP were obtained from Amersham Pharmacia Biotech. RNeasy Mini Kit, siRNAs for CGA, ASNS (7), and MMP19 (ref. 8; Supplementary Table S1) were purchased from or custom synthesized by QIAGEN. Scrambled control siRNA (Silencer Negative Control #1 siRNA) was purchased from Ambion. Polynucleotides and dCTP (Roche) membrane and concentrated protein assay dye reagents were from Bio-Rad. Restriction Endonucleases and T4 DNA Ligase were from New England Biolabs, Inc. Anti-MMP19 (ab53146), ASNS (H00000440-B01), CGA (sc18224 or sc57185), p-H2AX (KAM-CC255), and cleaved PARP (19F4, #9546) antibodies were from R&D Systems, anti-rabbit secondary antibodies were from Cell Signaling Technology, Inc., and all other reagents were of molecular biology grade and obtained from Sigma-Aldrich or Fisher Scientific.

Cell lines and transfection

The cisplatin-sensitive cell clone S16 was selected and established from a poorly differentiated human nasopharyngeal carcinoma cell line CNE-2 (9) by limited dilution and clonal selection (6). Both S16 and CNE-2 cells were cultured and maintained in DMEM supplemented with 10% FBS. The parental cell line CNE-2 has been kept in the Laboratory of Cancer Genetics, Van Andel Research Institute (VARI), Grand Rapids, Michigan, since 2000 and the S16 derivative was established in 2001. These cell lines were authenticated in 2003 by examining five short tandem repeat sequences and one-point mutation sequence of the p53 gene.

For transient transfection, cells were seeded in 10-cm dishes at $1 \times 10^6$ per dish and cultured for 24 hours followed by transfection with siRNAs (or plasmids) using Lipofectamine 2000 according to manufacturer’s instructions. Two siRNAs for each target gene (Supplementary Table S1) were used as a mixture at the ratio of 1:1. The cells were cultured for additional 24 hours in the standard media and were harvested for further analysis. To generate stable pool of CNE-2 cells with overexpression of ectopic ASNS or MMP19, the standard media were replaced with media containing G418 for 24 hours after transfection and cultured continuously in the media containing 750 μg/mL of G418 for 3 weeks and pools of selected cells were maintained in media containing 200 μg/mL of G418.

Engineering of ASNS and MMP19 ectopic overexpression constructs

cDNA encoding ASNS and MMP19 was cloned by reverse transcription from isolated mRNAs using SuperScript II reverse transcriptase and PCR with specific primers shown in Supplementary Table S2. The PCR products were then cloned into pcDNA3.1(+) plasmid as previously described (10) and verified by double-strand DNA sequencing.

cDNA microarray

Parental CNE-2 and cisplatin-sensitive subclone S16 cells were seeded in 15-cm dishes at $3 \times 10^6$ per dish. After 24 hours of culture, cells were treated with cisplatin at a final concentration of 8.67 μmol/L (IC$_{50}$ of CNE-2 cells) for 0, 2, or 8 hours, respectively, followed by total RNA extraction using TRizol reagent. The methods for cDNA microarray production, hybridization, and data normalization using GenePix Pro 3.0 software were reported previously (11–13) with slight modification.

Briefly, a total of 33,099 cDNA clones of the Sequence Validated Human cDNA library (ResGen, Invitrogen Corporation) were amplified and robotically printed onto two sets of polylysine-coated microarray slides, each with 19,159 or 13,940 cDNAs, respectively, for the two subarrays. Fifty micrograms of total RNA from each side of comparison were reverse transcribed with oligo(dT)$_{12-18}$ primer and SuperScript II in the presence of either Cy3-dCTP or Cy5-dCTP. For each cDNA spot and represented the expressions of the RNA in the Cy5-labeled sample relative to the expressions in the Cy3-labeled sample. Each experiment was repeated with a switch in fluorescent labels to account for dye effects.
For two experiments with Cy3 and Cy5 swapped for each cDNA clone on the chip, the final relative expression (ratio) from these repeated experiments were calculated to be their geometric mean of the two expression ratios (ratio from the dye swapped chip will be inverted before taking the mean). If one of the ratios is missing, the nonmissing ratio will be used despite the geometric mean. If both ratios were missing, the final expression ratio would be absent. Probes were filtered according to the following schema. First, any cDNAs with more than 3 missing expression ratios were removed because of quality concern. Second, cDNAs with poor annotations were filtered and eliminated. After these filtering operations, the dataset had approximately 13,300 cDNAs remaining for further analyses. The microarray data have been deposited into Gene Expression Omnibus database (accession # GSE49813).

Real-time RT-PCR and Western blot analysis

Real-time reverse transcriptase PCR (RT-PCR) analysis was conducted as previously described (6). Briefly, total RNAs were extracted using RNeasy Mini Kit and subjected to real-time RT-PCR using Power SYBR Green RNA-to-CT 1-Step Kit using primers shown in Supplementary Table S2. The threshold cycle (Ct) values were determined and normalized against that of glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase (GAPDH) internal control. The relative mRNA levels were shown as the value of 2^{-ΔΔCt} normalized to the control group.

Western blot analysis was conducted as described previously (14). Briefly, cell pellets were lysed with TNN-SDS buffer [50 mmol/L Tris-HCl (pH 7.5), 150 mmol/L NaCl, 50 mmol/L NaF, 1 mmol/L sodium orthovanadate, 1 mmol/L dithiothreitol, 2 mmol/L phenylmethylsulfonyl-fluoride, 0.5% NP-40, and 0.1% SDS] at 4°C for 30 minutes followed by centrifugation (10,000 × g for 10 minutes at 4°C) to remove insoluble materials, and protein concentration in supernatants were measured using Protein Assay Kit. Proteins were then separated by 10% SDS-PAGE and transferred to PVDF membranes. The blots were then probed with specific primary antibody, followed by reaction with HRP-conjugated secondary antibody, and signals were enhanced by ECL detection system and captured using X-ray film.

Cell survival and apoptosis assays

Cell survival was determined using MTT assays as previously described (6, 15, 16). Briefly, cells were inoculated in 96-well plates at a density of 2,000 cells/well and incubated for 24 hours before treated with cisplatin for 72 hours. Viable cells were then stained with MTT followed by centrifugation (10,000 × g for 10 minutes at 4°C) and stained with MTT followed by centrifugation (10,000 × g for 10 minutes at 4°C). The stained cells were washed twice in ice-cold PBS. Collected cells were adjusted to the density of 1 × 10^4 cells/mL in PBS with 1% FBS and stained with 5 μmol/L of Hoechst 33342 at 37°C for 10 minutes. The stained cells were mounted onto a polylysine-coated slide and examined under a fluorescent microscope immediately. For each measurement, a total of 300 to 400 nuclei from five to eight randomly chosen fields were examined. High-blue fluorescence indicates apoptotic cells and low blue indicates live cells. Apoptosis was expressed as a percentage of the total number of nuclei examined. Alternatively, apoptosis was analyzed using Cell Death Detection ELISA Kit (Roche) or by determining PARP cleavage using Western blot analysis as we previously described (6). The experiments were repeated three times and the results expressed as means and SDs.

Results

Identification of ASNS, CGA, and MMP19 with increased expression in cisplatin-sensitive S16 cells

Recently, we generated a cisplatin-sensitive clone S16 from a parental human nasopharyngeal carcinoma cell line CNE-2, which has not been selected against cisplatin and, thus, not cisplatin resistant, by limited dilution and clonal selection and it has been shown to be derived authentically from CNE-2 cells (6). S16 is about 3-fold more sensitive to cisplatin than the parental CNE-2 cells and eIF3a overexpression in S16 cells has been shown to contribute to this sensitivity by suppressing synthesis of nucleotide excision repair (NER) proteins (6).

The genes with elevated expression such as eIF3a (6) in the cisplatin-sensitive S16 cells or after cisplatin treatment were thought to be a sensitivity factor for cisplatin treatment. Identification of these sensitivity factors can broaden our knowledge on how chemotherapy agents kill cancer cells. Moreover, amplifying the functions of these sensitivity factors may help sensitize the resistant cells upon chemotherapy and, thus, overcome drug resistance and enhance chemotherapy effect, which will have a potential broader clinical application. With this in mind and to determine what other genes are also potentially upregulated in expression and may serve as contributors to cisplatin sensitivity of S16 cells, we conducted a comparative mRNA expression profiling analysis between S16 and CNE-2 cells treated with or without cisplatin. We identified three genes [asparagine synthetase (ASNS), glycprotein hormone α polypeptide (CGA), and matrix metallopeptidase 19 (MMP19)] that are most upregulated in S16 cells compared with the parental CNE-2 cells with or without cisplatin treatment (Fig. 1A).

Our preliminary findings in gene expression profiling were further validated using a real-time RT-PCR analysis, which was a more accurate and reliable approach (Fig. 1B), followed by immunoblotting analyses (Fig. 1C). The constitutive expression levels of ASNS and MMP19 were confirmed to be elevated in S16 cells compared with CNE-2 cells (Fig. 1B and C). CGA, however, is undetectable in either S16 or CNE-2 cells using Western blot analysis. With this in mind and to determine what other genes are also potentially upregulated in expression and may serve as contributors to cisplatin sensitivity of S16 cells, we conducted a comparative mRNA expression profiling analysis between S16 and CNE-2 cells treated with or without cisplatin. We identified three genes [asparagine synthetase (ASNS), glycprotein hormone α polypeptide (CGA), and matrix metallopeptidase 19 (MMP19)] that are most upregulated in S16 cells compared with the parental CNE-2 cells with or without cisplatin treatment (Fig. 1A).

Our preliminary findings in gene expression profiling were further validated using a real-time RT-PCR analysis, which was a more accurate and reliable approach (Fig. 1B), followed by immunoblotting analyses (Fig. 1C). The constitutive expression levels of ASNS and MMP19 were confirmed to be elevated in S16 cells compared with CNE-2 cells (Fig. 1B and C). CGA, however, is undetectable in either S16 or CNE-2 cells using Western blot analysis. With this in mind and to determine what other genes are also potentially upregulated in expression and may serve as contributors to cisplatin sensitivity of S16 cells, we conducted a comparative mRNA expression profiling analysis between S16 and CNE-2 cells treated with or without cisplatin. We identified three genes [asparagine synthetase (ASNS), glycprotein hormone α polypeptide (CGA), and matrix metallopeptidase 19 (MMP19)] that are most upregulated in S16 cells compared with the parental CNE-2 cells with or without cisplatin treatment (Fig. 1A).

Our preliminary findings in gene expression profiling were further validated using a real-time RT-PCR analysis, which was a more accurate and reliable approach (Fig. 1B), followed by immunoblotting analyses (Fig. 1C). The constitutive expression levels of ASNS and MMP19 were confirmed to be elevated in S16 cells compared with CNE-2 cells (Fig. 1B and C). CGA, however, is undetectable in either S16 or CNE-2 cells using Western blot analysis. With this in mind and to determine what other genes are also potentially upregulated in expression and may serve as contributors to cisplatin sensitivity of S16 cells, we conducted a comparative mRNA expression profiling analysis between S16 and CNE-2 cells treated with or without cisplatin. We identified three genes [asparagine synthetase (ASNS), glycprotein hormone α polypeptide (CGA), and matrix metallopeptidase 19 (MMP19)] that are most upregulated in S16 cells compared with the parental CNE-2 cells with or without cisplatin treatment (Fig. 1A).
cells as determined by real-time RT-PCR analysis (Fig. 1B). To determine whether the expression of these genes changes in response to cisplatin treatment, we next conducted real-time RT-PCR analysis of both S16 and CNE-2 cells following a 2- and 8-hour treatment with cisplatin. As shown in Fig. 1D, none of these three genes experiences any change in their expression in either S16 or CNE-2 cells. However, the ratio between the two cells following cisplatin treatment remains the same as the untreated cells, consistent with the increased constitutive expression of these genes in S16 relative to CNE-2 cells.

**Knockdown of ASNS and MMP19, but not CGA, reduces S16 sensitivity to cisplatin**

To determine whether the upregulated expression of ASNS, MMP19, and CGA in S16 cells possibly contributes to the increased cisplatin sensitivity, S16 cells were transiently transfected with siRNAs targeting ASNS, CGA, and MMP19 individually followed by cisplatin treatment and MTT assay. Fig. 2A shows that the mRNA level of all three genes is effectively knocked down by their respective siRNAs and the knockdown lasts for at least 5 days. The knockdown of ASNS and MMP19 at 72 hours following siRNA transfection was also confirmed using Western blot analysis (Fig. 2B). Figure 2C shows that the cisplatin sensitivity of S16 cells is effectively reduced by knocking down ASNS and MMP19 expression. The relative resistance factor (RRF) is increased by 1.5–2 fold (Fig. 2D). However, CGA knockdown does not seem to have any significant effect on cisplatin sensitivity of S16 cells (Fig. 2C and D). The lack of effect of CGA expression on cisplatin sensitivity is consistent with the observation of inability to detect CGA by Western blot analysis. Thus, the upregulated expressions of ASNS and MMP19, but not CGA, in S16 cells likely contribute to the increased cisplatin sensitivity compared with its parental CNE-2 cells.

**Asparagine supplementation rescues growth inhibition but not cisplatin resistance induced by ASNS gene knockdown**

The effect of ASNS and MMP19 knockdown on cisplatin sensitivity could be due to their effect on the proliferation of S16 cells. To test this possibility, we examined the effect of ASNS and MMP19 knockdown on cell proliferation. As shown in Fig. 3A, ASNS knockdown significantly slows down cell proliferation, whereas CGA and MMP19 knockdown has no effect on S16 growth. Thus, the effect of MMP19 knockdown on cisplatin sensitivity is unlikely due to its effect on S16 cell proliferation. Considering that ASNS is responsible for the synthesis of asparagine (Asn), a
nonessential amino acid which is absent in culture media, it is reasonable to assume that reduced synthesis of Asn by ASNS knockdown may reduce cell growth. To determine whether the reduced cisplatin sensitivity by ASNS knockdown is due to decreased cell proliferation, we supplemented 0.4 mmol/L of Asn into the culture media and tested whether ASNS knockdown still affects S16 cell proliferation and cisplatin sensitivity. As shown in Fig. 3B, Asn supplementation restored the growth rate of S16 cells transfected with ASNS siRNA. However, Asn supplementation had no significant effect on the decrease in cisplatin sensitivity induced by ASNS knockdown (Fig. 3C and D).

![Figure 2: Knockdown of ASNS and MMP19, but not CGA, increases cisplatin (CDDP) resistance. A, quantitative RT-PCR analysis of mRNA levels of ASNS, CGA, and MMP19 at different times following transfection with their respective siRNAs or a scrambled (Scr) control siRNA. GAPDH was used as an internal standard. B, Western blot analysis of ASNS and MMP19 at 5 days following transfection with their respective siRNAs or scrambled control siRNA. Actin was used as a loading control. C, effect of ASNS, CGA, and MMP19 knockdown on cisplatin sensitivity. Twenty-four hours following siRNA transfection, S16 cells were seeded into 96-well plate and subjected to cisplatin treatment and MTT assay. D, RRF, IC50 determined from dose–response curves as shown in C and was used to calculate RRF as described in Materials and Methods. The data shown are from 4 to 5 independent experiments (*, P < 0.05; **, P < 0.01, two-tailed Student’s t test).](image1)

![Figure 3: Effect of proliferation on cisplatin (CDDP) sensitivity. A, effect of ASNS, CGA, and MMP19 knockdown on S16 cell proliferation. B, effect of Asn supplementation on ASNS knockdown-induced cell proliferation inhibition. C, effect of Asn supplementation on ASNS knockdown-induced cisplatin resistance. D, effect of Asn supplementation on RRF derived from three independent experiments. (*, P < 0.05; **, P < 0.01; two-tailed Student’s t test). Scr, scrambled.](image2)
Thus, the reduced sensitivity to cisplatin in S16 cells by ASNS knockdown unlikely results from the reduced growth rates due to inadequate Asn synthesis. This observation also suggests that the effect of ASNS on cellular sensitivity to cisplatin is not due to its end product Asn.

**Ectopic overexpression of ASNS or MMP19 increases cisplatin sensitivity in CNE-2 cells**

To confirm the above conclusion, we next conducted a reverse experiment by overexpressing ectopic ASNS and MMP19 individually in the parental CNE-2 cells and determined whether the increased ASNS and MMP19 expression would increase cisplatin sensitivity. For this purpose, a pool of stable CNE-2 cells transfected with ASNS or MMP19 cDNA for ectopic overexpression of ASNS and MMP19, respectively, was selected and subjected to Western blot analysis and MTT assay. Figure 4A shows the stable overexpression of ASNS and MMP19 proteins in these cells transfected with ASNS and MMP19 cDNA compared with vector-transfected control cells. MTT assay shows that ASNS and MMP19 overexpression significantly increases cisplatin sensitivity by reducing RRF by 30% to 50% compared with the vector-transfected control cells (Fig. 4B and C). Together with the data shown above, we conclude that ASNS and MMP19 overexpression likely contributes to the increased cisplatin sensitivity of S16 cells.

**ASNS and MMP19 overexpression potentiates cisplatin-induced DNA damage and apoptosis**

Cisplatin is known to exert its cytotoxicity by inducing DNA damage and apoptosis. To determine whether ASNS and MMP19 overexpression in S16 cells possibly potentiates S16 cells to cisplatin-induced DNA damage and apoptosis, we first examined the effect of knocking down ASNS or MMP19 on cisplatin-induced DNA damage and apoptosis in S16 cells by evaluating the level of phosphorylated H2AX (γ-H2AX), a marker for DNA damage (17), and cleaved 85-kDa PARP (cPARP), a marker for early apoptosis (18) following cisplatin treatment. As shown in Fig. 5A, the level of γ-H2AX and cleaved PARP increased along with the escalating doses of cisplatin in the control S16 cells transfected with scrambled siRNA, indicating that more DNA damage and apoptosis may be induced by higher concentrations of cisplatin. However, the cisplatin induced increase in γ-H2AX and cleaved PARP is attenuated by knocking down ASNS and MMP19, suggesting that both ASNS and MMP19 knockdown protects S16 cells against cisplatin-induced DNA damage and apoptosis. It is noteworthy that ASNS and MMP19 knockdown provided limited protection of cells against cisplatin-induced apoptosis with high concentration (20 μmol/L) of cisplatin. The effect of ASNS and MMP19 knockdown on cisplatin-induced apoptosis was also examined using cell death detection ELISA and Hoechst 33342 staining of disintegrated nuclei, respectively, as previously described (6). As shown in Fig. 5B and C, significantly less apoptosis was detected in the ASNS and MMP19 knockdown cells compared with the control cells transfected with scrambled siRNAs. Thus, upregulated ASNS and MMP19 expression in S16 cells potentiates cisplatin-induced DNA damage and apoptosis.

**ASNS and MMP19 downregulate the expression of NER and survival genes**

Considering that NER is the major pathway to repair cisplatin-induced DNA damages and that cisplatin-induced apoptosis can be abrogated by upregulating survival factors, we next tested potential effects of ASNS or MMP19 on the expression of representative NER and survival genes by ectopic overexpressing or knocking down ASNS and MMP19 using real-time RT-PCR. As shown in Fig. 6, ectopic overexpression of ASNS or MMP19 in CNE-2 cells significantly reduces the mRNA level of survival genes Bcl-2, XIAP, and BirC5 and NER.
genes Rad23B, RPA32, XPA, and XPC while knocking down ASNS or MMP19 expression in S16 cells increases the expression of these genes. These data suggest that ASNS and MMP19 overexpression may potentiate cisplatin-induced DNA damage and apoptosis by inhibiting the expression of NER and survival genes in nasopharyngeal carcinoma.

Discussion

Cisplatin is a widely used anticancer agent for the treatment of advanced nasopharyngeal carcinoma as well as a variety of other human cancers including that of lung, cervix, head and neck, colorectal, bladder, testis, and ovary (19–21). However, the efficacy of cisplatin is always limited by resistance from cancer cells and its toxicities to normal organs (22–24). Previously, various possible mechanisms of cisplatin resistance have been identified using cisplatin-selected resistant cell lines and these mechanisms include inactivation of cisplatin by glutathione, metallothionein, or other sulfur-containing molecules; increased repair of cisplatin adducts; reduced cisplatin accumulation by changing the profile of uptake/efflux; increased cisplatin adducts tolerance and failure of apoptotic pathways (22, 24–31). In the present study, we conducted a comparative gene profiling analysis of a cisplatin-sensitive S16 cells derived from human nasopharyngeal carcinoma cell line CNE-2 using a different approach from commonly used drug selection but by limited dilution and clonal selection. We found that the expression of ASNS, CGA, and MMP19 in S16 cells was upregulated compared with its parental CNE-2 cells. This finding was validated using real-time RT-PCR. However, only the increased level of ASNS and MMP19 could be validated at protein level using Western blot analysis. CGA protein could not be detected by Western blot analysis, suggesting that the increased mRNA level of CGA in S16 cells did not result in detectable level of cellular CGA protein. The inability to detect cellular CGA could also be due to the fact that CGA is a secretory protein and it does not accumulate well in cells. Nevertheless, the observation that knocking down CGA has no effect on cellular response to cisplatin suggests that the increased CGA mRNA level in S16 cells does not contribute to the cisplatin sensitivity of this cell.

On the other hand, ASNS or MMP19 overexpression in S16 cells likely contribute to the increased cisplatin sensitivity of S16 cells compared with the parental CNE-2 cells. Although knocking down ASNS and MMP19 expression reduces cisplatin sensitivity of S16 cells, ectopic overexpression of ASNS and MMP19 in the parental CNE-2 cells increases cisplatin sensitivity. We also found that knocking down ASNS and MMP19 expression in S16 cells reduces the level of cisplatin-induced DNA damage and apoptosis, suggesting that the increased ASNS and MMP19 level in S16 cells may attenuate cellular defense against cisplatin-induced DNA damage and apoptosis. Because ASNS and MMP19 inhibit the expression of NER and survival genes, it is likely that ASNS and MMP19 expression may also be associated with other anticancer drug treatments and cellular response to these therapeutics.

It is noteworthy that the change in cisplatin sensitivity due to changes in ASNS and MMP19 is small but significant. Whether this small change in cisplatin sensitivity...
due to different levels of a single gene is relevant in clinical response is not yet known. However, clinical outcome is likely influenced by changes in multiple genes and it could be a result of additive effects of multiple genes. Our findings that multiple genes have altered expression in the S16 cells as shown in this and a previous study (6) corroborate with this possibility.

ASNS is an enzyme responsible for catalyzing glutamine- and ATP-dependent conversion of aspartic acid to Asn, a known nonessential amino acid for mammalian cells (32). Some cancer cells such as T-cell leukemia are known to lack this enzyme and are dependent on external Asn and, thus, l-asparaginase has been used to treat these cancers (33–35). However, how increased ASNS expression sensitizes nasopharyngeal carcinoma cells to cisplatin treatment is currently unknown. Although cells with higher levels of ASNS may grow faster than cells with low level of ASNS possibly due to different level of Asn, the growth rate does not seem to be the cause of cisplatin sensitivity of S16 cells. Supplementation of Asn in culture media had no effect on survival of S16 cells with ASNS knockdown in the presence of cisplatin although it restored the growth rate of this cell line. This observation is consistent with previous studies in which supplementation of l-asparaginase to culture media did not affect cisplatin sensitivity of pancreatic cancer cells (36). Thus, ASNS may have additional function, which contributes to cellular sensitivity to cisplatin-induced DNA damage.

Previously, it has been reported that enhanced ASNS expression protects MiaPaCa-2 pancreatic cancer cells from apoptosis induced by cisplatin under glucose-deprived condition but not under normal condition (36). It seems that glucose deprivation induces ASNS overexpression in MiaPaCa-2 pancreatic cancer cells, which may suppress cisplatin-induced activation of c-jun-NH2-kinase/stress-activated protein kinase (JNK/SAPK) and, thus, inhibiting cisplatin-induced cell death. This observation is different from our study in which ASNS upregulation sensitizes cellular response to cisplatin treatment and potentiates cisplatin-induced cell death. The cause for this difference is currently unknown. However, it is possible that ASNS in different cancers (nasopharyngeal carcinoma vs. pancreatic cancer) may play different roles in cellular sensitivity to cisplatin. It is also possible that glucose deprivation may modify ASNS function and make it a cellular defense mechanism to DNA damage-induced
stress. Nevertheless, the findings from both studies consistently showed that ASNS expression plays a role in cellular response to cisplatin treatment although further work is needed to delineate the differences of these studies and the detailed molecular mechanism of ASNS action in response to cisplatin treatment. Furthermore, the finding that ASNS overexpression in MiaPaCa-2 cells under glucose deprivation conditions causes resistance only to platinum drugs but not to etopside, paclitaxel, gemcitabine, and 5-fluorouracil (36), suggests that ASNS may affect cellular NER system as the DNA damage induced by platinum-derived anticancer drugs are mainly repaired by NER (6). This is supported by our finding that cisplatin-induced production of γ-H2AX, an indicator of DNA damage, is attenuated by ASNS knockdown in S16 cells.

MMP19 belongs to the multiprotein family of zinc-binding matrix metalloproteinase (37, 38). Although most MMPs are expressed under conditions involving extensive connective tissue remodeling (39) or neoplastic progression (40), MMP19 is mostly expressed in adult human normal placenta, lung, pancreas, ovary, spleen, and intestine (37). It, however, has been reported that MMP19 expression is downregulated in nasopharyngeal carcinoma cell lines and nasopharyngeal carcinoma tissues as compared with normal control, lymphohyperplasia, and adenoid tissues (41, 42) and that MMP19 may have tumor suppressor function in nasopharyngeal carcinoma (42). On the other hand, MMP19 expression has been found to increase in oropharyngeal squamous cell carcinoma, cutaneous melanomas, and astroglial tumors, and promotes the invasions of glioma, melanoma, and breast cancer cells (8, 43–45).

The findings that MMP19 is upregulated in a cisplatin-sensitive cells and it contributes to cisplatin sensitivity is unprecedented. Although its mechanism of action in cisplatin response is currently unknown, the finding that MMP19 knockdown reduces cisplatin-induced production of γ-H2AX suggests that MMP19 upregulation may attenuate NER activity to repair cisplatin-induced DNA damage, similar as ASNS. Previously, we have shown that eIF3a expression is also upregulated in S16 cells and eIF3a suppresses the synthesis of NER proteins, resulting reduced NER activity and, thus, increased sensitivity to cisplatin (6). Both MMP19 and ASNS may work similarly as eIF3a to suppress NER in S16 cells. Indeed, we found that MMP19 and ASNS overexpression suppress the expression of NER and survival genes although it is yet to be determined how MMP19 and ASNS genes regulate the expression of these downstream target genes.

Although no studies have been reported about MMP19 in cisplatin response, it has been shown recently that MMP7 and MMP13 expression positively correlates with cisplatin resistance in human head and neck cancer cell lines (46) although it is unknown whether their higher expression level contributes to cisplatin resistance. Once again, this finding of the association study is in disagreement with our study although different MMPs were studied. However, the difference is not unexpected as MMP19 is considered a tumor suppressor gene for nasopharyngeal carcinoma but a tumor and metastasis-promoting gene for other cancers (see discussion above).

In summary, we found that the expression of ASNS, CGA, and MMP19 genes is upregulated at mRNA level in a cisplatin-sensitive clone S16 derived from human nasopharyngeal carcinoma cell line CNE-2. However, the changes could be validated at the protein level only for ASNS and MMP19. Similarly, only the upregulated expression of ASNS and MMP19 but not CGA seems to contribute to the increased cisplatin sensitivity of S16 cells possibly by reducing cellular capacity to repair cisplatin-induced DNA damage and, thus, reduced tolerance to cisplatin-induced apoptosis. On the basis of this and our previous study (6), ASNS, MMP19, and eIF3a together all contribute to increased cisplatin sensitivity of S16 cells compared with its parental CNE-2 cells possibly by reducing NER repair activity and cisplatin-induced apoptosis.
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