The Novel BCR-ABL and FLT3 Inhibitor Ponatinib Is a Potent Inhibitor of the MDR-Associated ATP-Binding Cassette Transporter ABCG2
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Abstract

Ponatinib is a novel tyrosine kinase inhibitor with potent activity against BCR-ABL with mutations, including T315I, and also against fms-like tyrosine kinase 3. We tested interactions between ponatinib at pharmacologically relevant concentrations of 50 to 200 nmol/L and the MDR-associated ATP-binding cassette (ABC) proteins ABCB1, ABCC1, and ABCG2. Ponatinib enhanced uptake of substrates of ABCG2 and ABCB1, but not ABCC1, in cells overexpressing these proteins, with a greater effect on ABCG2 than on ABCB1. Ponatinib potently inhibited [125I]-IAAP binding to ABCG2 and ABCB1, indicating binding to their drug substrate sites, with IC50 values of 0.04 and 0.63 μmol/L, respectively. Ponatinib stimulated ABCG2 ATPase activity in a concentration-dependent manner and stimulated ABCB1 ATPase activity at low concentrations, consistent with it being a substrate of both proteins at pharmacologically relevant concentrations. The ponatinib IC50 values of BCR-ABL–expressing K562 cells transfected with ABCB1 and ABCG2 were approximately the same as and 2-fold higher than that of K562, respectively, consistent with ponatinib being a substrate of both proteins, but inhibiting its own transport, and resistance was also attenuated to a small degree by ponatinib-induced downregulation of ABCB1 and ABCG2 cell-surface expression on resistant K562 cells. Ponatinib at pharmacologically relevant concentrations produced synergistic cytotoxicity with ABCB1 and ABCG2 substrate chemotherapy drugs and enhanced apoptosis induced by these drugs, including daunorubicin, mitoxantrone, topotecan, and flavopiridol, in cells overexpressing these transport proteins. Combinations of ponatinib and chemotherapy drugs warrant further testing.
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Introduction

Cancer cell resistance to structurally unrelated drugs, termed MDR, is implicated in chemotherapy failure. The ATP-binding cassette (ABC) transport proteins ABCB1 [P-glycoprotein (Pgp), MDR1], ABC1 [MDR protein-1 (MRP1)], and ABCG2 [breast cancer resistance protein (BCRP), mitoxantrone resistance protein (MXR)] are strongly implicated in MDR (1). These proteins are expressed on leukemia cells of all subtypes and transport structurally and functionally diverse drugs used to treat leukemias (1).

Diverse novel tyrosine kinase inhibitors (TKI) used to treat leukemias are ABCB1, ABCC1, and/or ABCG2 substrates and/or inhibitors. These include the BCR-ABL inhibitors imatinib mesylate, nilotinib, and dasatinib (2–8) used to treat chronic myelogenous leukemia (CML) and Philadelphia chromosome–positive (Ph+) acute lymphoblastic leukemia (ALL), and the fms-like tyrosine kinase 3 (FLT3) inhibitors midostaurin (9), tandutinib (10), sorafenib (11), and sunitinib (12), in clinical trials in acute myeloid leukemia (AML) with FLT3 internal tandem duplication (ITD), present in 30% of cases and associated with adverse treatment outcome (13). TKI interactions with ABC proteins should be considered in design of treatment regimens, as they may cause resistance to TKIs, sensitization to chemotherapy drugs, and/or significant drug interactions.

Ponatinib (AP24534) is a novel TKI, currently in clinical trials, with potent activity in cells with BCR-ABL mutations including T315I, which confers resistance to the approved and available BCR-ABL inhibitors imatinib mesylate, nilotinib, and dasatinib (14). Ponatinib inhibits BCR-ABL at concentrations above 40 nmol/L (15), which are achieved with doses of 30 mg and greater (16), and shows promising clinical activity (16, 17). Ponatinib also potently inhibits FLT3 and thus may also have a role in AML therapy (18). It also inhibits fibroblast growth factor...
receptors, VEGF receptors (VEGFR), and angiopoietin (Tie2; ref. 14), promising targets in solid tumor therapy (19).

Given that other BCR-ABL inhibitors interact with MDR proteins and given the potential role of ponatinib in treating AML and solid tumors, in addition to CML and Ph+ ALL, we studied interaction of ponatinib with MDR-associated ABC proteins.

Materials and Methods

Cell lines

HL60, K562, and MV4-11 leukemia cells were obtained from the American Type Culture Collection; vincristine-selected HL60/VCR cells overexpressing ABCB1 (20) from Dr. Ahmad R. Saha, Indiana University, Indianapolis, IN; and doxorubicin-selected HL60/ADR cells overexpressing ABCG1 (21) from Dr. Kapil Bhalla, University of Kansas Cancer Center, Kansas City, KS. Parental 8226 myeloma cells and doxorubicin-selected 8226/Dox6 and mitoxantrone-selected 8226/MR20 cells, overexpressing ABCB1 or wild-type (R482) ABCG2, respectively (22), were obtained from Dr. William Dalton, Moffitt Cancer Center, Tampa, FL. Transfected K562/ABCB1 and K562/ABCG2 cells, stably overexpressing ABCB1 (23) or wild-type ABCG2 (24), were gifts from Dr. Michael Gottesman, National Cancer Institute, Bethesda, MD and Dr. Yoshikazu Sugimoto, Kyoritsu University of Pharmacy, Tokyo, Japan, respectively. Doxorubicin- and verapamil-selected MCF7/AdrVp breast carcinoma cells, overexpressing ABCG2 with the R482T mutation (25), were obtained from Dr. Douglas Ross, University of Maryland Greenebaum Cancer Center, Baltimore, MD, and flavopiridol-selected MCF-7/Flv1000 cells (26), overexpressing wild-type ABCG2, from Dr. Susan Bates, National Cancer Institute. All cells were cultured in RPMI 1640, pH 7.4, with 10% FBS in a humidified atmosphere containing 5% CO2.

Reagents

Ponatinib (AP24534) was purchased from ChemieTek and was stocked at 10 mmol/L in dimethyl sulfoxide at −20°C. The fluorescent ABCB1 and ABCC1 substrates 3,3′-diethyloxacarbocyanine iodide (DiOC2(3)) and rhodamine 123 (RH 123; ref. 27) were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich and the fluorescent ABCG2 substrate rhodamine 123 (RH 123; ref. 27) were purchased from Frontier Scientific. The ABCB1 inhibitor PSC-833 was obtained from Novartis Pharmaceutical Corporation. The ABCB1 and ABCG2 inhibitors p-[dipropylsulfamoyl] benzoic acid (probencid) and fumitremorgin C (FTC), respectively, were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (29). Daunorubicin, mitoxantrone, and topotecan were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich and flavopiridol from Enzo Life Sciences. MKR16 antibody to an ABCB1 cell-surface epitope was purchased from Alexis Biochemicals, allophycocyanin conjugate (APC)-tagged 5D3 antibody to an ABCG2 cell-surface epitope from BD Biosciences, and BXP-21 ABCG2 antibody from Signet Laboratories. Fluorescein isothiocyanate (FITC)-conjugated Annexin V and propidium iodide (PI) were purchased from Trevigen, APC Annexin V from BD Biosciences, and LIVE/DEAD fixable near-IR dead cell stain from Invitrogen. Cell proliferation reagent WST-1 was purchased from Roche Diagnostics and [125I]iodoarylazidoprazosin (IAAP; 2,200 Ci/mmol) from PerkinElmer Life and Analytical Sciences.

Uptake of fluorescent ABC protein substrates

To measure ponatinib effect on uptake of fluorescent ABC protein substrates, HL60/VCR, 8226/Dox6, and K562/ABCB1 cells (1 × 10⁶) were incubated for 30 minutes at 37°C with DiOC2(3) (0.6 ng/mL) and ponatinib (0–200 nmol/L) or PSC-833 (2.5 μmol/L) as a control, HL60/ADR cells with RH 123 (0.5 μg/mL) and ponatinib (0–200 nmol/L) or probenecid (1 mmol/L) as a control and 8226/MR20, K562/ABCG2, and MCF7/AdrVp cells with PhA (1 μmol/L) and ponatinib (0–200 nmol/L) or FTC (10 μmol/L) as a control. The cells were then washed twice, resuspended in PBS, then acquired on a FACSCanto II flow cytometer (BD Biosciences) and analyzed using Flowjo software (Tree Star, Inc.). Substrate content after uptake with and without modulator was compared by the Kolmogorov–Smirnov statistic, expressed as a D value ranging from 0 (no difference) to 1 (no overlap), with D values 0.2 or greater indicating significant modulation (27).

Photoaffinity labeling of ABCB1 and ABCG2 with [125I]IAAP

High-Five insect cell membrane vesicles expressing ABCB1 and crude membranes from MCF-7/Flv1000 cells (30 μg) expressing ABCG2 were incubated with 0 to 10 μmol/L ponatinib for 5 minutes at 21°C to 23°C in 50 mmol/L Tris-HCl, pH 7.5. [125I]IAAP (2200 Ci/mmol), 3 to 6 nmol/L, was added and photo-affinity labeling of ABCB1 and ABCG2 by [125I]IAAP was measured as previously described (30, 31).

ABCB1 and ABCG2 ATPase assay

Crude membrane protein (100 μg protein/mL) from ABCB1- and ABCG2-expressing High-Five insect cells was incubated at 37°C with ponatinib in varying concentrations, with and without 0.3 mmol/L sodium orthovanadate, for ABCB1 or BeFx (0.2 mmol/L beryllium sulfate and 2.5 mmol/L sodium fluoride), for ABCG2, and the amount of inorganic phosphate released and the Vi- or BeFx-sensitive ATPase activity were measured as previously described (32).

MDR protein cell surface expression

To detect ABCG2 cell-surface expression, cells were incubated with APC-conjugated 5D3 antibody at room temperature for 30 minutes, then washed twice with PBS. To detect ABCB1 cell-surface expression, cells were incubated with MKR16 antibody for 1 hour, washed twice...
with PBS, then incubated with phycoerythrin (PE)-conjugated anti-human antibody for 30 minutes. Cells were acquired on a FACS-Canto II (BD Biosciences) and analyzed with FlowJo. Replicate measurements of mean fluorescence intensity under different conditions were compared using the Student t test.

**Cell viability assay**

A total of $1 \times 10^4$ log-phase cells were seeded per well in 96-well tissue culture plates and incubated with ponatinib (0–10 μmol/L) or chemotherapy drugs at a range of concentrations at 37°C in 5% CO₂ for 96 hours. Viable drug-treated cells were quantified using the WST-1 assay (29). Experiments were carried out in triplicate at least 3 times.

**Drug interactions and statistical analysis**

For cell lines for which ponatinib was cytotoxic at pharmacologically relevant concentrations in cell viability assays, ponatinib effects on chemotherapy drug cytotoxicity were evaluated in drug combination studies. Combination experiments were designed by the maximal power design (33, 34), using SynStat version 1.β software (35). The method maximizes the power of the F-test to detect departures from the additive action of drugs. It does not assume a constant relative potency of the 2 drugs. With information from single-agent experiments, SynStat derives mixtures of 2 drugs and replicates of each mixture based on the pooled variations in single-agent experiments, which have 80% statistical power to detect at least a 15% difference in viability between the predicted additive values and the observed values at a significance level of 5%. Cells are then exposed to these multiple mixtures, and the cytotoxicity of these combinations is determined. Upon completion of the experiments, the F-statistic (33) is used to test the hypothesis of the additive action of 2 drugs and calculate the P value of the F-test. If the P value is greater than 0.05, the hypothesis of additive action is accepted. Otherwise, we calculate the interaction index (τ) (36) as

$$\frac{x_A}{X_A} + \frac{x_B}{X_B} = \tau$$

in which, for a given cytotoxic effect, $x_A$ and $x_B$ are the concentrations of drugs A and B in the combination, and $X_A$ and $X_B$ are the concentrations of drugs A and B that achieve the same cytotoxic effect when given alone. A τ value of 1 indicates additivity, τ less than 1 indicates synergy, and τ greater than 1 indicates antagonism. The combination index surface is then fitted using the 2-dimensional B-spline method (34), and the contour plot shows the dose–mixture areas of additive action, synergy, and antagonism for the joint action of the 2 drugs.

**Curve shift assay**

MCF7/AdrVP cells, for which ponatinib was not cytotoxic at pharmacologically relevant concentrations in cell viability assays, were plated with mitoxantrone at a range of concentrations in a cell viability assay in the presence and absence of ponatinib at several concentrations, with analysis by the WST-1 colorimetric assay as described above.

**Measurement of apoptosis**

8226/MR20 cells, overexpressing ABCG2, were incubated with mitoxantrone, topotecan, or flavopiridol for 48 hours in the presence and absence of ponatinib, and apoptosis and necrosis were measured by staining with Annexin V–FITC and PI. HL60/VCR and 8226/Dox6 cells, overexpressing ABCB1, were incubated with daunorubicin for 48 hours in the presence and absence of ponatinib, and apoptosis and necrosis were measured using APC Annexin V and LIVE/DEAD fixable near-IR dead cell stain, to avoid spectral overlap with daunorubicin. After treatment, cells ($2 \times 10^3–3 \times 10^5$) were washed with PBS, resuspended in Annexin V binding buffer (1x), stained with Annexin V–FITC (1 μL) and PI (2 μL) or APC Annexin V (2.5 μL) and LIVE/DEAD fixable near-IR dead cell stain (0.5 μL), incubated at room temperature in the dark, then washed and acquired on a FACS-Canto II and analyzed with FlowJo.

**Flow cytometric cell-cycle analysis**

A total of $1 \times 10^5$ HL60/VCR, 8226/MR20, K562, and MV4-11 cells were treated with 0, 1, 5, 50, and 100 μmol/L ponatinib for 24 and 48 hours, fixed in chilled ethanol (70%), washed with PBS, then treated with DNase-free RNase (200 μg/mL) for 1 hour at 37°C, stained with PI (40 μg/mL) and kept in the dark for 15 minutes at 20°C to 25°C. Staining was measured on a FACScan, and percentages of cells in different cell-cycle phases were determined using FlowJo.

**Results**

**Ponatinib increases substrate uptake in cells overexpressing ABCB1 and ABCG2**

Ponatinib produced a significant concentration-dependent increase in uptake of the ABCB1 substrate DiOC₂(3) in ABCB1-overexpressing HL60/VCR, K562/ABCBI, and 8226/Dox6 cells, and of the ABCG2 substrate PhA in ABCG2-overexpressing 8226/MR20, K562/ABCGB, and MCF7/AdrVP cells, with greater inhibition of ABCG2 than of ABCB1 (Fig. 1). The effect in MCF7/AdrVP was less than in 8226/MR20 and K562/ABCGB, likely because of a greater degree of resistance in the solid tumor in relation to hematopoietic cell lines, rather than to the presence of the R482T mutation in MCF7/AdrVP, though the latter is also possible. Because the R482T ABCG2 mutation is not clinically relevant, we did not pursue this distinction. Ponatinib had no effect on RH 123 uptake in ABC1-overexpressing HL60/ADR cells.

**Ponatinib inhibits [125I]-IAAP photolabeling of ABCB1 and ABCG2**

Given that ponatinib inhibited transport by ABCB1 and ABCG2, we studied its binding to their drug substrate...
sites by measuring its effect on their photolabeling with $^{[125]}$I-IAAP. Crude membranes from High-Five cells expressing ABCB1 and MCF-7 FLV1000 cells expressing ABCG2 were photo-cross-linked with 3 to 6 nmol/L $^{[125]}$I-IAAP (2,200 Ci/m mole) in the presence of 0 to 10 $\mu$mol/L ponatinib. Ponatinib inhibited $^{[125]}$I-IAAP binding to ABCG2 and ABCB1 with IC$_{50}$ values of 0.04 and 0.63 $\mu$mol/L, respectively (Fig. 2A), indicating strong and weaker binding, respectively, to the ABCG2 and ABCB1 drug substrate sites.

**Ponatinib stimulates ABCB1 and ABCG2 ATPase activity**

Given that ponatinib exhibited binding to the ABCG2 and ABCB1 drug substrate sites, we determined its effect on their ATPase activity, as drug-stimulated ATPase activity is a useful measure of substrate interaction at the drug-binding sites of these transporters (37). Ponatinib stimulated ABCG2 ATPase activity in a concentration-dependent manner (Fig. 2B) and stimulated ABCB1 ATPase activity at low, pharmacologically relevant, concentrations, but not at higher concentrations (Fig. 2B). Thus, ponatinib significantly increased ABCG2 and ABCB1 ATPase activity at lower concentrations, indicating direct interaction at these transporters’ drug substrate binding sites, similarly to other TKIs (38).

**Ponatinib cytotoxicity in K562, K562/ABCB1, and K562/ABCG2 cells**

K562, K562/ABCB1, and K562/ABCG2 cells, with the BCR-ABL translocation, were incubated with ponatinib in cell viability assays, yielding IC$_{50}$ values of 0.46, 0.5,
and 0.92 nmol/L, respectively (Fig. 3A). Thus ABCG2 confers resistance, albeit low-level, to ponatinib, attributable to efflux of this drug by this transporter, but the resistance was modest and was likely attenuated by inhibition of ABCG2-mediated ponatinib transport by ponatinib itself. ABCB1-mediated ponatinib transport was also likely attenuated by ponatinib. An additional possible mechanism of attenuation of resistance is ponatinib-induced decreased cell surface expression of ABCG2 and ABCB1.

**Ponatinib decreases ABCG2 and ABCB1 cell-surface expression**

To determine whether ponatinib might also decrease ABCG2 and ABCB1 cell-surface expression on K562/ABCG2 and K562/ABCB1 cells, respectively, cells were incubated for 48 hours with ponatinib at 0, 0.5, and 1.0 nmol/L. Surface ABCG2 (mean ± SEM, 1,612 ± 2 vs. 2,103 ± 21; \( P = 0.0017 \)) and ABCB1 (mean ± SEM, 596 ± 16 vs. 759 ± 58; \( P = 0.05 \)) expression decreased on K562/ABCG2 and K562/ABCB1 cells incubated with 1 nmol/L ponatinib, in relation to control (Fig. 3B). This effect might contribute to sensitization of K562/ABCG2 and K562/ABCB1 cells to ponatinib, albeit minimally, as decreases in expression were small. In contrast, ABCG2 (mean ± SEM, 2,650 ± 70 vs. 3,210 ± 197; \( P = 0.056 \)) and ABCB1 (mean ± SEM, 31,224 ± 1,865 vs. 36,030 ± 2,898; \( P = 0.2 \)) cell-surface expression did not decrease on 8226/MR20 and HL60/VCR cells, which do not express BCR-ABL, incubated for 48 hours with versus without ponatinib (Fig. 3C). ABCG2 and ABCB1 cell-surface expression on cells expressing BCR-ABL may decrease because of inhibition of Akt downstream of BCR-ABL (39). Alternatively, 5D3 binding is altered by ABCG2 conformational changes induced by effects on function (40), though this does not explain different effects in K562/ABCG2 and 8226/MR20.

**Ponatinib and chemotherapy drug interactions**

Because ponatinib was cytotoxic to 8226/MR20 and HL60/VCR cells at pharmacologically relevant concentrations, with IC\(_{50}\) values of 160 and 180 nmol/L, respectively (Fig. 4A, left and middle panels), ABCG2 and ABCB1 substrate chemotherapy drug cytotoxicity in the presence of ponatinib was analyzed in drug interaction studies in these cells.

In the ponatinib and mitoxantrone combination experiments in 8226/MR20 cells, mitoxantrone concentrations were 0.09 to 0.12 nmol/L, and ponatinib concentrations were 0.001 to 0.25 nmol/L. On the basis of maximal power design, 18 mixtures were chosen, with 5 replicates of each
mixture, yielding 108 total observations. The maximum and minimum viabilities (% of control) were 55% and 1.28%, mean 12.77% and SD 13.11. Figure 4B, top left, shows the response surface of the combination of ponatinib and mitoxantrone in 8226/MR20 cells. With the observations from the combination experiments, the F-test (34) shows that we cannot accept that ponatinib and mitoxantrone have additive activity \([F(16, 90) = 40.20, P < 0.0001]\). The contour plot of the interaction index surface (Fig. 4B, bottom left) shows that the combination of ponatinib and mitoxantrone in 8226/MR20 cells is antagonistic or additive at lower ponatinib concentrations (less than 40 nmol/L, approximately), synergistic at ponatinib concentrations above approximately 40 nmol/L, and additive at the highest concentrations of both drugs studied.

In the ponatinib and topotecan combination experiments in 8226/MR20 cells, topotecan concentrations were 0.045 to 0.175 \(\mu\)mol/L, and ponatinib concentrations were 0.05 to 0.25 \(\mu\)mol/L. On the basis of maximal power design, 16 mixtures were chosen, with 5 replicates of each mixture yielding 96 total observations. Maximum and minimum viabilities were 100% and 15%, mean 50.85%, and SD 23.00. Figure 4B, top right, shows the response surface of the combination of topotecan and ponatinib against 8226/MR20 cells. With the observations from the combination experiments, the F-test (34) shows that we cannot accept that topotecan and ponatinib have additive action \([F(14, 78) = 14.55, P < 0.0001]\). The contour plot of the interaction index surface (Fig. 4B, bottom right) shows that the combination of topotecan with ponatinib is antagonistic in 8226/MR20 cells at lower concentrations of both drugs and synergistic at the higher concentrations tested.

Ponatinib and daunorubicin combination experiments were carried out in HL60/VCR cells, overexpressing ABCB1. Daunorubicin concentrations were 0.04 to 2.20 \(\mu\)mol/L, and ponatinib concentrations were 0.001 to 0.175 \(\mu\)mol/L.
Figure 4. A, ponatinib cytotoxicity in HL60/VCR, 8226/MR20, and MCF7/AdrVp cells. The 8226/MR20, HL60/VCR, and MCF7/AdrVp cells were incubated with ponatinib at a range of concentrations for 96 hours, and cell viability was measured using the WST-1 assay. Ponatinib was cytotoxic to HL60/VCR and 8226/MR20, but not MCF7/AdrVp, cells at pharmacologically relevant concentrations of 50 to 200 nmol/L. B, ponatinib and mitoxantrone or topotecan interactions in 8226/MR20 cells. Top, response surfaces of ponatinib with mitoxantrone and with topotecan in 8226/MR20 cells; bottom, corresponding contour plots of the interaction index surfaces. Dashed lines indicate 95% confidence surface for additive action (interaction index = 1) as described in Results. C, ponatinib and daunorubicin interactions in HL60/VCR cells. Top, response surface of ponatinib with daunorubicin in HL60/VCR cells; bottom, corresponding contour plot of the interaction index surface. D, mitoxantrone was studied with ponatinib at 0, 50, and 100 nmol/L in MCF7/AdrVp cells, yielding IC_{50} values of 44, 28, and 18 μmol/L, respectively (left), whereas the IC_{50} value of mitoxantrone in parental MCF7 cells was 3.2 nmol/L (right).
to 0.25 μmol/L. On the basis of the maximal power design, 19 mixtures were chosen, with 4 replicates of each mixture, for 95 total observations. Maximum and minimum viabilities were 100% and 0.1%, mean 53.60% and SD 40.027. Figure 4C, top panel, shows the response surface of the combination of ponatinib and daunorubicin in HL60/VCR cells. With the observations from the combination experiments, the F-test (34) showed that we cannot accept that ponatinib and daunorubicin have additive effects in HL60/VCR cells [F(17, 76) = 85.70, P < 0.0001]. The contour plot of the interaction index surface (Fig. 4C, bottom panel) showed that the combination of ponatinib and daunorubicin is antagonistic in HL60/VCR cells for daunorubicin concentrations less than approximately 0.25 μmol/L for all concentrations of ponatinib studied, but that daunorubicin and ponatinib are synergistic at daunorubicin concentrations of 0.25 to 1.1 μmol/L and ponatinib concentrations greater than approximately 0.18 μmol/L.

**Sensitization to chemotherapy drug**

As the IC₅₀ of ponatinib in ABCG2-overexpressing MCF7/AdrVP cells was 1.2 μmol/L and ponatinib was not cytotoxic at the pharmacologically relevant concentrations of 50 and 100 nmol/L (Fig. 4A, right panel), its effect on sensitivity of these cells to the ABCG2 substrate chemotherapy drug mitoxantrone was studied in a curve shift assay. Mitoxantrone IC₅₀ values in the presence of 0, 50, and 100 nmol/L ponatinib were 44, 28, and 18 μmol/L (Fig. 4D, left panel), showing concentration-dependent ponatinib sensitization of MCF7/AdrVP cells to mitoxantrone. In contrast, mitoxantrone was cytotoxic to parental MCF7 cells in nanomolar range, with an IC₅₀ of 3.2 nmol/L (Fig. 4D, right panel).

**Ponatinib enhances apoptosis induction by ABCG2 or ABCB1 substrate chemotherapy drugs in cell lines overexpressing these proteins**

Ponatinib at pharmacologically relevant concentrations of 50, 100, and 200 nmol/L sensitized 8226/MR20 cells, overexpressing ABCG2, to apoptosis induction by 100 nmol/L mitoxantrone, 300 nmol/L topotecan, and 200 nmol/L flavopiridol (Fig. 5A) and also increased apoptosis induced by daunorubicin (250 nmol/L) in HL60/VCR (Fig. 5B) and 8226/Dox6 (Fig. 5C) cells, overexpressing ABCB1, in a concentration-dependent manner.

**Cell-cycle effects of ponatinib**

Finally, TKIs can cause cell-cycle arrest, which can result in kinetic resistance when they are combined with chemotherapy drugs. We therefore studied the effect of...
ponatinib on cell cycle in HL60/VCR and 8226/MR20 cells. Ponatinib had no effect on cell-cycle parameters in these drug-resistant cells without BCR-ABL rearrangement or FLT3-ITD (Fig. 6). In contrast, as expected, it caused cell-cycle arrest and apoptosis in K562 cells, with BCR-ABL rearrangement, and in MV4-11 cells, with FLT3-ITD (Fig. 6).

Discussion

We have shown that the novel BCR-ABL and FLT3 inhibitor ponatinib is a potent inhibitor of drug transport by ABCG2 at pharmacologically relevant concentrations and synergizes with ABCG2 substrate chemotherapy drugs in inducing cytotoxicity and apoptosis in cells over-expressing ABCG2. Ponatinib also inhibits drug transport by ABCB1, albeit less potently, and synergizes with ABCB1 substrate drugs.

Ponatinib inhibition of drug transport by ABCG2 and ABCB1 seems to occur by direct interaction with these transporters. The results of the $[^{[125]}I]$-IAAP photolabeling assay indicated strong binding of ponatinib to the drug substrate site of ABCG2 and weaker binding to that of ABCB1. In addition, ponatinib stimulated ABCG2 ATPase activity in a concentration-dependent manner and stimulated ABCB1 ATPase activity at low, pharmacologically relevant, concentrations. Thus ponatinib directly interacts at the substrate-binding sites of ABCG2 and ABCB1 at pharmacologically relevant low concentrations. Ambudkar and colleagues (41) defined 3 classes of ABCB1 inhibitors based on their effects on ABCB1 ATPase activity. Class I agents stimulate ATPase activity at low concentrations but inhibit it at high concentrations, whereas Class II compounds stimulate ATPase activity in a concentration-dependent manner without any inhibition, and Class III compounds inhibit ATPase activity. We found ponatinib to be a Class I ABCB1 inhibitor.

All currently available BCR-ABL inhibitors are ABCB1 and ABCG2 substrates and/or inhibitors (2–8), and the relative order of potency was recently shown to be nilotinib, then imatinib, then dasatinib (8). Two BCR-ABL inhibitors in current development have also been studied. Bosutinib was found not to be a substrate of ABCB1 or ABCG2 (42), whereas danusertib was found to be susceptible to resistance mediated by ABCG2 (43). Of note, it is important that drugs be studied at pharmacologically relevant concentrations.

Mechanism and concentration dependence of inhibition of transport have been most extensively studied for
imatinib. Using [125I]-IAAP photolabeling and ATPase assays, Shukla and colleagues showed that imatinib mesylate is a substrate of both ABCB1 and ABCG2, and that it interacts with both transporters at low micromolar concentrations, indicating relatively high affinity (5). Imatinib seemed not to be transported at higher concentrations, likely because it inhibits its own transport at those concentrations (5), an observation that resolved seemingly contradictory earlier findings (3, 4). Nakashiki and colleagues also showed that imatinib decreased cell surface expression of ABCG2 on K562/BCRP-MX10 cells, expressing BCR-ABL, but not on ABCG2-overexpressing cells without BCR-ABL rearrangement, likely by inhibition of Akt downstream of BCR-ABL (39). We found that ponatinib also decreases surface ABCB1 and ABCG2 expression in cells with, but not without, BCR-ABL rearrangement.

Whereas BCR-ABL inhibitors are used as single agents to treat CML, in the treatment of Ph+ ALL, they are administered in combination with chemotherapy drugs, including the ABCB1 substrates doxorubicin and vincristine and the ABCG2 substrates 6-mercaptopurine (44) and methotrexate (45). ABCB1 and ABCG2 inhibition by BCR-ABL inhibitors might, therefore, be beneficial in combination regimens, and might also cause clinically significant drug interactions.

Ponatinib also potently inhibits FLT3 and may thus be applicable in AML therapy (18). FLT3 inhibitors are being combined with chemotherapy to treat AML with FLT3 mutations (9, 46–50) and also have activity in AML with wild-type FLT3 (46). Initial FLT3 inhibitors tested included the staurosporine derivatives lestaurtinib and midostaurin, but their use is complicated by high plasma protein binding, cell-cycle inhibition (47), and multikinase inhibition potentially causing off-target effects and toxicities. Lestaurtinib was not efficacious following chemotherapy in patients with AML with FLT3 mutations in first relapse in a randomized trial (48), and results of a randomized trial of midostaurin in newly diagnosed AML patients with FLT3 mutations are awaited. Sorafenib has been combined with idarubicin and infusional high-dose cytarabine (49). The selective FLT3 inhibitor AC220 (50) has not yet been combined with chemotherapy. Ponatinib has favorable features, including low plasma protein binding (15), good tolerability (16, 17) and, as shown here, no induction of cell-cycle arrest in cells with wild-type FLT3. Inhibition of ABCB1 and ABCG2 makes it attractive for further testing in combination with chemotherapy in AML.
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