Proteasome Inhibition Blocks NF-κB and ERK1/2 Pathways, Restores Antigen Expression, and Sensitizes Resistant Human Melanoma to TCR-Engineered CTLs
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Abstract

Adoptive cell transfer (ACT) of ex vivo engineered autologous lymphocytes encoding high-affinity MART-1/HLA-A*0201-specific T-cell receptor (TCRα/β chains) densely infiltrate into sites of metastatic disease, mediating dramatic but partial clinical responses in patients with melanoma. We hypothesized that MART-1 downmodulation in addition to aberrant apoptotic/survival signaling could confer resistance to death signals delivered by transgenic CTLs. To explore this hypothesis, we established an in vitro model of resistant (R) lines from MART-1+/HLA-A*0201+ F5 CTL-sensitive parental (P) lines under serial F5 CTL-selective pressure. We have recently reported that several melanoma R lines, while retaining MART-1 expression, exhibited constitutive NF-κB activation and overexpression of NF-κB-dependent resistance factors. Another established melanoma cell line M244, otherwise sensitive to F5 CTL, yielded R lines after serial F5 CTL-selective pressure, which had both reduced MART-1 expression levels, thus, could not be recognized, and were resistant to CTL-delivered apoptotic death signals. The proteasome inhibitor bortezomib blocked NF-κB activity, decreased phospho-ERK1/2, increased phospho-c-Jun–NH2–kinase (p-JNK) levels, reduced expression of resistance factors, restored MART-1 expression to sufficient levels, which in combination allowed M244R lines be sensitized to F5 CTL killing. These findings suggest that proteasome inhibition in immune resistant tumors can restore proapoptotic signaling and improve tumor antigen expression. Mol Cancer Ther; 11(6); 1332–41. ©2012 AACR.

Introduction

Metastatic melanoma remains an aggressive malignancy with a poor clinical prognosis (1). Targeted therapies show increasing promise (2, 3), and immune-based therapies—interleukin (IL)-2, CTLA-4 blockade, adoptive cell therapy—produce durable complete responses but only in a small percentage of patients (4). Adoptive cell transfer (ACT) of genetically engineered autologous T cells encoding high affinity T-cell receptor (TCRα/β chains) for various melanoma tumor–associated antigens (TAA) including MART-1, NY-ESO-1, and gp-100 are being studied clinically (5–7). Despite dense infiltration of metastatic lesions by tumor-reactive CTLs, the clinical efficacy of this approach, while generally improved, is still limited. The acquisition of various resistance/survival mechanisms by tumors in the setting of an otherwise specific and robust antitumor immune response may be one limiting factor.

Various mechanisms have been implicated in tumor recurrence or resistance following ACT. These include effector cell exhaustion (8) and development of functional tolerance (9), downregulation of molecules associated with antigen processing and presentation (10), qualitative (mutations; ref. 11), or quantitative (complete loss; ref. 12) changes of antigenic epitope. Most ACT strategies seek to achieve a robust and long-lived immune response. Given that the immune system kills tumor cells by apoptosis, a more fundamental property of tumors that may limit the effectiveness of immunotherapy—resistance to apoptosis—may also be a dominant mechanism. Several major survival pathways—extracellular signal–regulated kinase (ERK)1/2, mitogen–activated protein kinase (MAPK), phosphoinositide 3-kinase (PI3K)/AKT, NF-κB—are frequently deregulated in melanoma enhancing the expression of Bcl-2, Bcl-1/A1, Mcl-1, Bcl-xL that confer apoptosis resistance. Hence, their inhibition can potentiate melanoma sensitivity to apoptotic stimuli (13–15).

Bortezomib (Velcade, PS-341) is the first U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA)-approved proteasome
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inhibitor for cancer treatment. In addition to its well-established clinical efficacy in multiple myeloma (16) and mantle cell lymphoma (17), its use has been extended to other cancers (18). As a single agent, bortezomib is ineffective in metastatic melanoma (19). Through various mechanisms, mainly inhibition of NF-κB (20) and apoptosis resistance proteins (21–25), combined with the constitutive activation of NF-κB and high expression of antiapoptotic Bcl-2 members in melanoma and the ability of bortezomib to negatively regulate these survival mechanisms (20–29), the efficacy of bortezomib in combination with immunotherapy in the treatment of metastatic melanoma warrants further evaluation.

We have recently reported the establishment of MART TCR-engineered (F5 CTL)-resistant (R) melanoma clones from several MART-1+/A*0201 lines. When compared with parental cells, R lines expressed comparable levels of surface MART-1/A*0201 complex, but were resistant to F5 CTL. Targeted therapy using pharmacologic inhibitors of NF-κB pathway (bortezomib, Bay11–7085, siRNA) sensitized these R cell lines to F5 CTL cytotoxicity (29). Other groups have reported alterations in gene expression and cell signaling dynamics, which account for resistance to specific CTL killing, whereby targeting the aberrant pathways or mechanisms (20–29), the efficacy of bortezomib in combination with immunotherapy in the treatment of metastatic melanoma warranted further evaluation.

Materials and Methods

Cell lines

M244 human melanoma cell line was established as described (33). For the generation of M244R lines, parental (P) cells were grown in the presence of stepwise increasing numbers of F5 CTLs [effector:target (E:T); 20:1, 40:1, 60:1] for a total of 4 weeks. Thirty percent to 40% of cells survived the first selection cycle (20:1); their percentage drastically reduced during subsequent cycles until no further killing was observed. Remaining viable cells were subjected to limiting dilution analysis. Single cells were propagated, maintained in RPMI-1640 medium supplemented with 10% (v/v) heat-inactivated FBS in excess (10:1) F5 CTLs, but were grown in F5 CTL–free medium at least 1 week before analysis (29). Cultures were incubated in controlled atmosphere at 37°C with saturated humidity at 0.5 × 10⁶ cells per mL and were used at 50% to 70% confluency for all assays. Cell cultures were routinely authenticated and assayed for mycoplasma contamination.

Reagents

Phospho-IκB-α, p-IKKα/β, and p-ERK1/2 antibodies were obtained from Imgenex and Cell Signaling, respectively. Other antibodies were purchased from Santa Cruz Biotechnology. Bortezomib was diluted in dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO). DMSO concentration did not exceed 0.1% in any experiment.

Transduction of CD8+ CTLs with F5 MART-1 TCRα/β retrovirus

Nonadherent population of healthy donor human peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMC) were cultured in AIM-V media supplemented with 5% human AB serum, oCD3 antibody (50 ng/mL), and IL-2 (300 IU/mL) for 48 hours. CD3+CD8+ CTLs were isolated by EasyStep Negative Selection enrichment kits (Stem Cell Technologies) according to manufacturer’s instructions. CTLs were transduced with MSCV-MART-1 TCR as described (5–7, 29). CD8+ CTLs with more than 95% MART-1 TCRα/β expression were used in all experiments.

Cytotoxicity assay

Melanoma cultures were trypsinized for 5 minutes, washed once in cold PBS and labeled with 100 μCi of Na251CrO4 for 1 hour (37°C/5%CO2). After 3 × washes, 10⁴ cells were added to V-bottom 96-well plates and used immediately as described (29). Percentage of specific51Cr-release was measured as: % cytotoxicity = (experimental release – spontaneous release)/(total release – spontaneous release) × 100.

Immunoblot analysis

A total of 10⁶ cells were grown in complete medium (±inhibitors), lysed at 4°C in RIPA buffer [50 mmol/L Tris-HCl (pH 7.4), 1% NP-40, 0.25% sodium deoxycholate, 150 mmol/L NaCl] supplemented with protease inhibitor cocktail (Complete Mini; Roche) and subjected to immunoblot analysis as described (29). The relative intensity of bands, hence, relative alterations in expression was assessed by densitometric analysis of digitized images obtained from multiple independent blots.
MART-126–35 peptide pulsing

A total of $2 \times 10^5$/mL cells (in serum-free medium) were pulsed with MART-126–35 (ELAGIGILTV) peptide (10 μg/mL, 1 hour) at room temperature as described (34). Cells were then plated in 10-cm petri dishes at 0.5 $\times$ 10^5/mL in complete medium and used in assays as described in the text.

NF-κB transcription activity and cytokine release

Transcriptional activity of nuclear p65 and cytokine release were measured using TransAM (Active Motif) and ELISA assay kits (eBiosciences), respectively, according to manufacturer’s instructions.

Quantitative real-time PCR

Samples were analyzed in triplicate with iQ SYBR Green Supermix using iCycler Sequence Detection System (BioRad) as described (29).

Reverse transcriptase PCR analysis for MART-1 mRNA expression

Total RNA was extracted from approximately 10^7 cells with RNeasy Mini Kit (Qiagen), 3 μg was reversed to first-stranded cDNA (1 hour, 42°C) with SuperScript II reverse transcriptase (200 units) and random hexamer primers (20 μmol/L; Life Technologies). Amplification of 2.5 μl of these cDNAs by PCR was carried out as described (29). Amplicons were resolved by 2% agarose gel electrophoresis and were of the expected size.

Statistical analysis

Assays were set up in duplicates or triplicates and results were expressed as mean ± SEM. Statistical analysis and P values were calculated by 2-tailed paired Student t test with a confidence interval (CI) of 95% for determination of significance of differences between groups (P < 0.05, significant). ANOVA was used to test significance among groups with InStat 2.01 software.

Results

Epitope-specific, MHC-restricted, apoptotic killing of human melanomas by F5 CTL

Human peripheral blood T lymphocytes, transduced to high efficiency (85%) with a retroviral vector encoding the α/β chains of a MART TCR (F5 CTL), recognize the MART-127–35 peptide epitope in the context of HLA-A2 (29). Using standard 51Cr-release assay, F5 CTL efficiently and specifically kill MART-1/ /A’0201+ M244 melanoma targets but not the M238 (MART-1/ /A’0201+) melanoma cell line, which lacks both MART-127–35 expression and the proper MHC-I-restricting element. Pretreatment with either MHC-I blocking monoclonal antibody (mAb) or pan caspase inhibitor zVAD-fmk significantly reduced the level of M244 killing (Fig. 1A). Thus, F5 CTL, in an epitope- and MHC-restricted fashion, induces apoptosis in M244 human melanoma cell line.

We developed an in vitro model of F5 CTL-resistant (R) melanoma using the M244 line by continuous exposure of the parental (P) cells to F5 CTL for 4 weeks. Bulk cultures of surviving tumor cells were subjected to limiting dilution analysis to acquire homogeneous populations. Through this selection process, 2 M244R lines were generated; both had higher growth rates than P line (R1: 114% ± 4.3%, R2: 127% ± 5.1%), were highly resistant to F5 CTL killing (Fig. 1B), and retained the resistant phenotype for at least 2 weeks in the absence of selective pressure (Fig. 1C). Bortezomib reversed the resistant phenotype of the M244R lines (Fig. 1D) as we have observed previously with other resistant melanoma cell lines (29) and slightly enhanced the sensitivity of the M244 parental line (Supplementary Fig. S1A). In both instances, the enhanced F5 CTL sensitivity was MHC-I-restricted (Supplementary Fig. S1A and S1B).

M244R lines have reduced MART-127–35 expression and resist F5 CTL killing

The M244P line triggers F5 CTL to secrete IFN-γ and IL-2, whereas R lines fail to do so suggesting that M244R lines express levels of MART-127–35/A’0201 complex below the threshold to signal transgenic CTLs (Fig. 2A). Flow cytometric analysis showed that all 3 lines express relatively similar and high levels of surface HLA-A2 (Fig. 2B, top). However, MART-1 transcript levels were reduced in both R1 and R2 lines (Fig. 2B, middle). Reduction in MART-1 mRNA expression was confirmed at the protein level (Fig. 2B, bottom). MART-126–35 peptide pulsing (10 μg/mL, 1 hour) efficiently restored recognition of R cells by F5 CTLs, as shown by their ability to elicit type I cytokine release (Fig. 2C). However, these MART-126–35 peptide-loaded R cells, despite being recognized, retained insensitivity to killing (Fig. 2D) suggesting that antiapoptotic signaling pathways also contributes to resistance. As a control for antigen expression, we used the A375 (MART-1/ /A’0201+) and A375 (MART-1; stably transduced to express MART-1) melanoma lines. As expected, the A375 (MART-1) line exhibited sensitivity to F5 CTLs, whereas A375 was resistant. MART-126–35 loading sensitized the A375 line to F5 CTL killing at levels comparable with A375 (MART-1; Fig. 2E). Peptide-specific killing of melanoma targets was further confirmed by Flu-specific CTLs. M244 line showed resistance to Flu-specific CTLs; loading the cells with Flu peptide reversed the resistance indicating peptide-specific killing. In contrast M244R1 (±Flu peptide) were insensitive to Flu-specific CTLs, suggesting that lack of killing of M244R1 + Flu peptide is due to adoption of resistant mechanisms and can not be solely explained by defective recognition. Resistance to Flu-specific CTLs was reversed by bortezomib (Supplementary Fig. S2).

Restoration of MART-1 expression by bortezomib

M244R1 and R2 cells treated with bortezomib (600 nmol/L, 6 hour) were efficiently recognized by
F5 CTL as shown by their ability to elicit F5 CTLs to release IFN-γ (Fig. 3A). MART-126–35 loading plus bortezomib, but not MART-126–35 alone, also sensitized the M244R lines to F5 CTLs (Supplementary Fig. S3A and S3B).

Reverse transcriptase PCR (RT-PCR) analysis showed increased MART-1 mRNA expression levels in M244R1 and R2 upon bortezomib treatment. Quantitative real-time PCR analysis showed reduced MART-1 expression levels in M244R1 (19.3%+/−6.6%) and M244R2 (22.6%+/−3.8%; Fig. 3B). Bortezomib enhanced the transcript levels of MART-1 in M244R1 and M244R2 lines by 2.06- and 2.4-fold, respectively. Immunoblot analysis further confirmed increased MART-1 protein levels (M244R1, 4.6-fold; M244R2, 5.3-fold; Fig. 3C) by bortezomib, while having no effect on the surface expression of HLA-A2 in M244R lines (Fig. 3D). These data suggest that bortezomib increases MART-1 expression levels apparently crossing the threshold of effective TCR engagement. To more specifically determine the threshold of MART-1 expression sufficient for efficient recognition and killing, MART-1 knockdown strategy was used whereby approximately 70% to 90% reduction in MART-1 protein levels (0.5–1 μg/mL MART-1 siRNA; Supplementary Fig. S4A) reduced the killing and recognition of M244 line to levels comparable with M244R1 (Supplementary Fig. S4B and S4C).

Altered antiapoptotic/survival pathways in M244R lines and their regulation by bortezomib

Guided by our recent report (29), we confirmed higher activity of NF-κB pathway in M244R lines than in P line (Fig. 4A) leading to overexpression of Bcl-2, Bcl-xL, and Mcl-1. Through NF-κB inhibition, bortezomib reduced the expression levels of these resistance factors. These events occurred concomitantly with an increase in MART-1 protein levels (Fig. 4B). Specific pharmacologic inhibitors of NF-κB (Bay11–7085) or
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silencing of resistance factors alone were insufficient to reverse the resistance of M244R lines. Bortezomib either alone or in combination with NF-kB inhibitors reversed the resistance of M244R lines (Supplementary Figs. S5 and S6). These results suggest that M244R lines express higher levels of NF-κB-dependent antiapoptotic factors, which renders them resistant to F5 CTL killing and bortezomib can reduce their expression levels. These results also suggest that MART-1 restoration is NF-κB-independent as Bay11–7085 was unable to restore MART-1 expression (Fig. 4B).

We next examined the regulation of additional survival pathways by bortezomib. We noted that M244R1 and M244R2 lines express relatively high levels of p-ERK1/2 and low levels of p-JNK1/2. Bortezomib treatment reduced p-ERK1/2 levels (similar to specific ERK1/2 inhibitor PD098059; ref. 35) while increasing p-JNK1/2 concomitant with increased MART-1 levels (Fig. 4C). While inhibition of ERK1/2 pathway restored recognition of M244R1 line (Fig. 4D), it was insufficient to sensitize the M244R1 line to F5 CTL (Fig. 4D). Bortezomib (± PD098059) efficiently sensitized M244R1 to F5 CTL killing (Fig. 4E).

Altogether, these results suggest that the activity of prosurvival pathways (NF-κB and ERK1/2) is high, whereas the activity of proapoptotic pathway (JNK) is low in M244R lines and by altering the dynamics of these pathways, bortezomib imposes a proapoptotic phenotype. Thus, bortezomib sensitizes the M244R lines to F5 CTL killing via at least 2 complementary mechanisms; by (i) increasing MART-1 expression (possibly through type. Thus, bortezomib sensitizes the M244R lines to F5 CTL killing via at least 2 complementary mechanisms; by
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Figure 3. Restoration of MART-1 expression by bortezomib (bortz.). M244R1 and M244R2 cells [10^6/mL; bortezomib (600 nmol/L, 6 hours)] were used in recognition (IFN-γ release; A). B, MART-1 mRNA expression analysis (RT-PCR and quantitative real-time PCR). The MART-1 and A375 (MART-1) melanoma lines were used as negative and positive controls, respectively. Quantitative real-time PCR values are normalized to GAPDH levels (*P < 0.05). MART-1 26–35 loading was unable to enhance M244R1 sensitivity to these CTLs. However, bortezomib pretreatment significantly (*P < 0.05) enhanced their sensitivity to patient-derived MART-1–specific CTL (#1, 13.5% ± 4.1% – 43.6% ± 4.2%; #2, 10.5% ± 6.1% – 36.6% ± 4.2%; #3, 15.5% ± 6.1% – 38.9% ± 5.6%). Bortezomib exposure followed by MART-1 26–35 loading also increased the sensitivity of M244R1 to these R lines. The level of M244R1 killing was superior by bortezomib + MART-1 than bortezomib alone with patient-derived MART-1–specific CTLs #2 and #3 but not with #1 (Fig. 5A–C). This observation provides further support that the levels of antigenic epitope expression in the context of HLA-A0201 do not have to vary widely to be insufficient for TCR triggering. These results also suggest that selective pressure applied by F5 CTL exposure results in the generation of melanoma cells resistant to not only MART-1–specific CTLs but also CTLs expressing endogenous TCR. Bortezomib, either alone or combined with MART-1 26–35 loading, enhances the sensitivity of M244R1 line to patient-derived MART-specific CTLs similar to transgenic F5 CTLs.

Discussion

Resistance to death signals delivered by TCR transgenic CTLs may prove to be a significant hurdle in ACT for cancer. To understand the mechanisms of resistance, we established an in vitro model of immune resistant melanoma lines. TCR transgenic CTLs, despite efficient recognition and interaction with these R lines (as they retain surface MART-1/A0201 complex), were incapable of killing these targets. The R lines exhibited constitutive NF-κB activation and overexpressed NF-κB–dependent resistance factors. Pharmacologic interruption of the NF-κB pathway rendered them sensitive to F5 CTL killing (29). We identified additional R lines (M244R1, R2), which could be neither recognized nor killed by F5 CTLs since having downregulated MART-1 epitope presentation. MART-1 26–35 peptide loading restored recognition but not killing of M244R1 lines indicating additional resistance mechanisms. Guided by our recent report (29), we evaluated the activation status and role of NF-κB. Pharmacologic NF-κB inhibition and silencing of resistance factors alone were insufficient to restore immunosensitiveness. However, bortezomib sensitized M244R lines to transgenic F5 CTLs and patient-derived MART-1–specific CTL lines through altering the dynamics of signaling pathways (inhibition of NF-κB, reduction of p-ERK1/2, and induction of...
p-JNK levels, reducing resistance factors and restoring adequate levels of MART-1 expression. Postulated mechanisms of melanoma evasion from specific CTL attack include morphologic changes and cytoskeletal reorganization due to overexpression of ephrin-A1 and scinderin (30), overexpression of intercellular adhesion molecule (ICAM)-1 and interference with PTEN/AKT module (31, 32), and expression of antiapoptotic XIAP and Bcl-2 members (28, 29, 36). Epigenetic modifications of regulatory elements such as MART-1 promoter silencing and low antigen expression due to the release of soluble factors (37) and selection of antigen-loss variants (38) also contribute to immune resistance.

F5 CTLs specifically and efficiently recognize and kill the M244 and A375 (MART-1) melanoma lines expressing the relevant peptide in the context of the appropriate HLA-restricting element (MART-127–35/A*0201). Consistent with previous reports (29, 32, 37), no changes in intensity of surface HLA-A2.1 were observed in M244R lines. The M244R lines were incapable of triggering type 1 cytokine release from F5 CTLs suggesting that under selective pressure M244R lines express MART-1 levels below the threshold to fully engage F5 CTLs to release IFN-γ and IL-2. MART-1 downregulation occurred at the transcription and translation levels. Lack of melanoma recognition following specific immune killing due to antigen downmodulation has been previously reported (37, 38). Upon reintroduction of surface MART-1 peptide, F5 CTLs efficiently recognized and interacted with M244R lines. However, this functional interaction led only to cytokine release and not killing of the tumor cells, indicating that MART-1 restoration alone is insufficient to kill the R lines. M244R cells have acquired additional resistance mechanisms and the observed immune resistance could not solely be explained by MART-1 downregulation.

Alterations in cell signaling, independent of MART-1 and A*0201 expression, account for differential sensitivity of primary versus metastatic melanoma to CTL lysis (32). Ample evidence confirms that aberrant antiapoptotic/survival signaling pathways such as MAPK, PI3K/AKT, NF-κB, which are frequently deregulated in melanomas enhancing the expression of Bcl-2, Bfl-1/A1, Mcl-1, Bcl-xL, play a key role in determining melanoma response to apoptotic stimuli including CTLs (28, 29, 39, 32).
Further support for the involvement of acquired or inherent properties of tumors in immunotherapy resistance was shown by melanoma progression despite the presence of significant numbers of antigen-specific CTLs in vivo (41). Biochemical analysis revealed constitutive activation of the NF-κB pathway and overexpression of antiapoptotic Bcl-2, Bcl-xL, and Mcl-1 in the R lines. Using specific inhibitors (Bay11–7085, 2MAM-A3; Fig. 6) or specific gene silencing of resistance factors, we show that pharmacologic inhibition of NF-κB alone is inadequate to render the M244R lines recognizable by F5 CTLs or reverse their resistance. However, combining these strategies with MART-126–35 peptide loading reversed the resistance. These results plus the inability of Bay11–7085 to induce MART-1, suggest that MART-1 reexpression is independent of NF-κB activation status. However, brief pretreatment (6 hours) of the M244R lines with low concentrations of bortezomib restored both the recognition and enhanced the sensitivity of M244R lines to CTL lysis. Thus, bortezomib alters the resistant phenotype of the M244R lines via at least 2 complementary mechanisms; by (i) inhibiting the NF-κB pathway, hence, reducing the expression of resistance factors, and (ii) restoring MART-1 expression. The possible involvement of other unidentified regulatory mechanisms triggered by bortezomib is not ruled out.

Interestingly, a relatively modest restoration in MART-1 transcript levels (2.06- to 2.4-fold) by bortezomib results in high protein expression levels (4.6- to 5.3-fold). This induction appears to be sufficient to exceed the threshold levels of surface MART-1/A*0201 complex to fully engage the F5 CTL. Furthermore, gene knockdown studies more specifically revealed that approximately 70% to 90% decline in MART-1 protein levels reduces the killing and recognition of M244 line to levels comparable with M244R1 (Supplementary Fig. S4).

Contrary to a recent report that prolonged bortezomib treatment (20 nmol/L, 18 hours) sensitizes tumor cells to natural killer (NK) cell killing (42), we show that brief exposure of melanoma targets to bortezomib sensitizes MART-1 CTLs #1, #2, and #3 to patient-derived MART-1–specific CTLs. A–C, three MART-1–specific patient-derived CTL lines (MART-1 tetramer+/CD8+; #1–3) were grown in AIM-V medium supplemented with 1,000 IU/mL IL-15 + 300 IU/mL IL-2, 5% human AB serum (as effectors) and were cocultured with melanoma targets (± bortezomib, MART-126–35, combination). Cytotoxicity results are presented as mean ± SEM of duplicate samples. *, P values <0.05 significant.
them to specific CTL killing. Several lines of evidence support our observations: (i) We have used highly pure CD8+ CTL population as effectors, thereby ruling out the existence and involvement of NK cells, (ii) bortezomib enhanced the sensitivity of both P and R lines to F5 CTLs; the enhanced sensitivity in both cases was drastically reduced by MHC-I blockade (Supplementary Fig. S1). The discrepancy can further be explained by different experimental settings of bortezomib treatment (20 nmol/L, 18 hours vs. 600 nmol/L, 6 hours).

Surprisingly, we observed MART-1 induction by bortezomib. Prolonged bortezomib exposure can impair pro teaseomal processing and presentation of tumor antigens (42, 43). In our model, MART-1 downregulation occurred at both protein and transcriptional level implying the involvement of signal transduction pathways and transcriptional regulators. To investigate the underlying molecular mechanism of bortezomib-mediated MART-1 induction, we evaluated the effects of bortezomib on other signaling pathways operative in the R lines. In accordance with previous reports (44, 45), bortezomib reduced p-ERK1/2 levels in M244R lines (similar to PD098059) while inducing p-JNK1/2 concomitant with increased MART-1 protein levels. Inhibition of p-ERK1/2 enhanced the recognition of M244R lines (cytokine release) but no their sensitivity to F5 CTL. These data suggest that blocking p-ERK1/2 enhances MART-1 expression. Because NF-xB, but not ERK1/2, regulates the expression of resistant factors, it would be expected that mere blockade of ERK1/2 cannot immunosensitize the M244R lines. Bortezomib (±PD098059) enhanced both the recognition and sensitivity of M244R lines. Others have also reported that specific inhibition of the ERK1/2 pathway significantly increases the transcription of melanoma antigens (MART-1, gp-100, Tyrp-1, Tyrp-2), irrespective of BRAF mutational status (3). Altogether our data argue that the ERK1/2 pathway regulates MART-1 expression. Further investigation is warranted to determine whether bortezomib-mediated MART-1 induction is a direct effect of bortezomib on MAPK pathways as well identifying transcrip tional regulators of MART-1 being modulated by bortezomib.

Loss or downmodulation of antigenic epitope and amplification of survival pathways are potential mechanisms of melanoma resistance to immune-based therapies (32, 37, 38, 40). Therefore, approaches to derepress MART-1 expression while simultaneously altering the dynamics of aberrant survival pathways represent a novel treatment strategy, which can potentially be used in clinical immunotherapy trials. In this report, we provide evidence that brief exposure of immune resistant melanoma lines to low concentration of bortezomib will not directly induce apoptosis, but through altering gene expression profile (induction of MART-1 and inhibition of survival pathways) will predestine the tumor cells to die upon receipt of apoptotic death signals delivered by TCR transgenic CTLs.
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Retraction: Proteasome Inhibition Blocks NF-κB and ERK1/2 Pathways, Restores Antigen Expression, and Sensitizes Resistant Human Melanoma to TCR-Engineered CTLs

The article titled, "Proteasome Inhibition Blocks NF-κB and ERK1/2 Pathways, Restores Antigen Expression, and Sensitizes Resistant Human Melanoma to TCR-Engineered CTLs," which was published in the June 2012 issue of Molecular Cancer Therapeutics (1), is being retracted at the request of the University of California, Los Angeles (UCLA) and the author.

The AACR Publications Department received a letter from the Research Integrity Officer for UCLA notifying the Journal that an internal investigation revealed data used in some of the figures cannot be supported; specifically, bands in lanes 2 and 3 in the β-actin panel in Fig. 3C are duplicates of bands in lanes 1 and 2 in the M202R1 HMG-1 panel in Fig. 4C in a 2011 Cancer Research article (2). In addition, bands in lanes 4 and 5 in the β-actin panel in Fig. 3C are duplicates of bands in lanes 4 and 5 of the M329R1 HMG-1 panel in Fig. 4C in the same Cancer Research article. The AACR Publications Department also received a separate retraction request from the author (J.S. Economou). Both authors have been notified of this retraction.
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