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Abstract

The importance of the blood–brain barrier in preventing effective pharmacotherapy of glioblastoma has been controversial. The controversy stems from the fact that vascular endothelial cell tight junctions are disrupted in the tumor, allowing some systemic drug delivery. P-glycoprotein (Pgp) and breast cancer resistance protein (BCRP) efflux drugs from brain capillary endothelial cells into the blood. We tested the hypothesis that although the tight junctions are “leaky” in the core of glioblastomas, active efflux limits drug delivery to tumor-infiltrated normal brain and consequently, treatment efficacy. Malignant gliomas were induced by oncogene transfer into wild-type (WT) mice or mice deficient for Pgp and BCRP (knockout, KO). Glioma-bearing mice were orally dosed with dasatinib, a kinase inhibitor and dual BCRP/Pgp substrate that is being currently tested in clinical trials. KO mice treated with dasatinib survived for twice as long as WT mice. Microdissection of the tumor core, invasive rim, and normal brain revealed 2- to 3-fold enhancement in dasatinib brain concentrations in KO mice relative to WT. Analysis of signaling showed that poor drug delivery correlated with the lack of inhibition of a dasatinib target, especially in normal brain. A majority of human glioma xenograft lines tested expressed BCRP or Pgp and were sensitized to dasatinib by a dual BCRP/Pgp inhibitor, illustrating a second barrier to drug delivery intrinsic to the tumor itself. These data show that active efflux is a relevant obstacle to treating glioblastoma and provide a plausible mechanistic basis for the clinical failure of numerous drugs that are BCRP/Pgp substrates.
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Introduction

Glioblastoma multiforme (GBM) is a devastating primary brain tumor that claims more than 12,000 lives each year in the United States (1). Despite aggressive treatment, nearly all malignant gliomas recur (2), eventually leading to patient death. The median overall survival of patients with GBM is 16 to 19 months (3); survival after tumor recurrence is a dismal 5 to 7 months (4). Small molecule molecularly targeted agents that target signaling pathways critical for tumor cell growth have been extensively evaluated for therapy in GBM (5). Unfortunately, none of these have resulted in any significant clinical benefit despite success in numerous preclinical models (6).

A critical challenge in treating most neurologic diseases is delivery of drugs into the central nervous system (7). The blood–brain barrier (BBB) separates the brain from peripheral circulation and thus protects it from harmful toxins and chemicals (8). This physical barrier is largely attributable to the tight junctions between brain capillary endothelial cells that limit paracellular diffusion. Drug transporter proteins, such as the ATP-binding cassette transporters P-glycoprotein (Pgp, encoded by \( \text{ABCB1} \)) and the breast cancer resistance protein (BCRP, encoded by \( \text{ABCG2} \)), constitute a major component of this barrier and restrict drug penetration into the brain by effluxing them back into the blood (9). These transporters significantly limit the distribution of a number of drugs to the brain, including multiple anticancer agents currently used in clinic (10–12). Previous studies have shown that several molecularly targeted tyrosine kinase inhibitors (TKI) are dual substrates for Pgp and BCRP, and as a result, have poor brain penetration (10–12). Simultaneous inhibition of both transporters showed dramatic increases in brain penetration of these drugs, suggesting that it is necessary to inhibit both proteins to significantly improve the brain penetration of dual substrates (10–12).
The relevance of the BBB in treating brain tumors has been controversial. Imaging studies have long been known to reveal brain malignancies, because the “leaky” tight junctions in the bulk tumor mass allow the imaging contrast agent to selectively accumulate in the tumor core. This clinical observation along with recent studies that show robust TKI delivery into the core tumor mass (18, 19) have suggested that treatment failure with TKIs is not because of inadequate drug delivery. According to this hypothesis, the BBB is compromised by residual damage from radiotherapy and/or by the tumor itself such that the functional integrity of the BBB is lost and drug delivery is not the basis for treatment failure (18, 19).

There is also evidence to support the counter hypothesis: that the BBB is not uniformly leaky, and drug delivery is a critical obstacle to treating GBM. Levin and colleagues experimentally have shown that BBB disruption in brain tumors is not uniform within the tumor or surrounding brain (20, 21). Glioma cells have the ability to invade normal brain tissue several centimeters away from the tumor, escaping surgical resection, and thus remain protected by a relatively intact BBB (22, 23). Although the BBB might be disrupted at or near the tumor core, it remains intact in areas away from the core and consequently restricts delivery of anticancer drugs in these regions (24). This suggests that limited drug delivery to the invasive tumor cells may be a significant contributor to therapy resistance in many GBM patients. This kind of drug delivery failure is often not detected in preclinical models that are used to justify clinical trials. Some preclinical models used are not established in the brain (e.g., flank model), or assessments of antitumor efficacy involves mice bearing well-circumscribed brain tumors derived from implanted cell lines that exhibit a leaky BBB amid no appreciable infiltration. The latter well-circumscribed phenotype is the growth pattern of the majority of standard implanted models that are typically used for preclinical validation in the process of drug development (25). If inadequate drug delivery is a plausible mechanism for the failure of TKIs in the clinic, then it is not surprising that clinical trials derived from these inadequate preclinical models have culminated in a series of disappointing failures.

On the basis of this premise, we hypothesize that restricted delivery of molecularly targeted agents to the brain can significantly limit efficacy. We have used dasatinib as a model agent because we have shown that its brain penetration is limited by Pgp- and BCRP-mediated active efflux at the BBB (12) and because it is currently being tested in clinical trials for GBM. Because active efflux limits the brain penetration of many drugs used to treat glioma, dasatinib is considered a reasonable surrogate to address the overarching question of how efflux at the BBB limits treatment efficacy. Using a highly infiltrative model of glioma, we show that increased delivery of dasatinib to the brain of mice deficient in Pgp and BCRP significantly enhances its efficacy. Our data suggest that inadequate drug delivery is a perfectly plausible, yet often discounted mechanism to explain the clinical failure of TKIs and warrants clinical investigation into using dual BCRP/Pgp pharmacologic inhibitors to improve drug delivery to GBM.

Materials and Methods

Chemicals

Dasatinib (Fig. 1) was purchased from LC Laboratories. Texas Red Dextran 3000 MW (TRD) was purchased from Molecular Probes (Invitrogen). Elacridar (GF120918) was obtained from Toronto Research Chemicals.

Animal care

All procedures were carried out in accordance with the guidelines set by the Principles of Laboratory Animal Care (NIH, Bethesda, MD) and were approved by The Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee of the University of Minnesota (Minneapolis, MN). FVB wild-type (WT) and Mdr1a/b(-/-)-Bcrp1(-/-) mice, hereafter referred to as knockout (KO) mice, were from Taconic Farms, Inc.

Spontaneous glioma model

We used an oncogene induced de novo model of malignant glioma previously described by Wiesner and colleagues (26). Plasmid vectors coding for mouse platelet derived growth factor beta (mPDGFβ), enhanced green fluorescent protein (eGFP) and a short hairpin RNA against p53 (p53shRNA), and flanked by transposon IR/DRs, were mixed with a transfection agent, in vivojetPEI (PEI; Polylplustransfection Inc.), and a sleeping beauty (SB) transposase vector containing the luciferase reporter, in the ratio 2:2:1 (PDGF-p53:SB, respectively). Neonatal mice were secured in a cooled, “neonatal rat
stereotaxic frame (Stoelting) maintained at 4°C to 8°C and DNA–PEI complexes were injected into the right lateral ventricle (1 μg total DNA in 2 μL) using a 10 μL Hamilton syringe fitted with a 30-gauge hypodermic needle (Hamilton Company). Mice were allowed to recover on a heated pad before moving them back to their cages. Tumors spontaneously arose after 3 to 8 weeks as detected by bioluminescent imaging (Fig. 2; ref. 26). Animals were imaged regularly and were enrolled in experiments when a measurable tumor was documented, as defined by a minimum signal of 2 × 10^5 photons/sec/cm²/Sr.

**Regional breakdown of the BBB**

Tumor-bearing WT mice were anesthetized using ketamine (100 mg/kg) and xylazine (10 mg/kg). The animals were injected with TRD (1.5 mg/animal body weight) via the tail vein. TRD was allowed to circulate for 10 minutes, after which the animal was perfused by a brief cardiac puncture and plasma was harvested. Whole brain was immediately removed from the skull, rinsed with cold saline, and flash frozen in liquid nitrogen. Plasma and brain specimens were stored at −80°C until analysis by LC-MS/MS for determination of dasatinib concentrations. Pharmacokinetic parameters from the concentration–time data in plasma and brain were obtained by noncompartmental analysis carried out using Phoenix WinNonlin 6.1 (Mountain View). The area under the concentration–time profiles for plasma (AUC_{plasma}) and brain (AUC_{brain}) was calculated using the linear trapezoidal method. The sparse sampling module in WinNonlin was used to estimate the standard error around the mean of the AUCs.

**Plasma and brain distribution of dasatinib after oral dosing in FVB WT and KO mice**

WT and KO mice (n = 28 per group) were administered 15 mg/kg dasatinib via oral gavage. Animals were sacrificed at predetermined time points post dose (n = 4 at each time point). Blood was collected by cardiac puncture and plasma was harvested. Whole brain was immediately removed from the skull, rinsed with cold saline, and flash frozen in liquid nitrogen. Plasma and brain specimens were stored at −80°C until analysis by LC-MS/MS for determination of dasatinib concentrations. Pharmacokinetic parameters from the concentration–time data in plasma and brain were obtained by noncompartmental analysis carried out using Phoenix WinNonlin 6.1 (Mountain View). The area under the concentration–time profiles for plasma (AUC_{plasma}) and brain (AUC_{brain}) was calculated using the linear trapezoidal method. The sparse sampling module in WinNonlin was used to estimate the standard error around the mean of the AUCs.

**Brain concentrations of dasatinib**

Tumor-bearing WT and KO mice were administered 15 mg/kg dasatinib via oral gavage (n = 5 - 10 per group). Animals were sacrificed 90 minutes post dose and the whole brain was harvested. With the aid of GFP visualization goggles (Biological Laboratory Equipment), the brain was immediately dissected into core, rim (brain around tumor), and normal brain. Because the tumor expresses GFP, the region of concentrated green fluorescence was deemed as the tumor core, the tissue surrounding the tumor was called as tumor rim and normal brain tissue was collected from the left (contralateral) hemisphere. Tissue specimens were flash frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at −80°C until further analysis.

Dasatinib concentrations in the tissue specimens were determined by a rapid and sensitive LC-MS/MS method. Frozen samples were thawed at ambient temperature. Brain samples were homogenized using 3 volumes of 5% bovine serum albumin in PBS and a 100-μL aliquot of brain homogenate or plasma was used for analysis. Samples were spiked with 10 ng of gefitinib as internal standard and alkalinized by addition of 200 μL of a pH 11 buffer (1 mmol/L sodium hydroxide, 0.5 mmol/L sodium bicarbonate). The samples were then extracted by liquid–liquid extraction using ice-cold ethyl acetate. A 5-μL volume of sample was injected in the HPLC system. Chromatographic analysis was carried out using an Agilent Model 1200 separation system and an Agilent Eclipse...
XDB-C18 RRHT threaded column (Santa Clara). The mobile phase was composed of acetonitrile: 20 mmol/L ammonium formate (containing 0.1% formic acid, pH 4; 32:68 v/v). The column effluent was monitored using a Thermo Finnigan TSQ Quantum 1.5 detector (San Jose) to allow the [MH]$^+$ ion of dasatinib at m/z 488 and that of internal standard at m/z 446.9 to pass into the collision cell. The product ions for dasatinib (m/z 401) and the internal standard gefitinib (m/z 128.1) were monitored through the third quadrupole. The lower limit of detection of the assay was at least 2.5 ng/mL with a corresponding CV of approximately 10%.

**Regional efficacy of dasatinib by target inhibition using Western blot**

A separate group of WT and KO mice (n = 4 per group) were treated with vehicle or dasatinib (15 mg/kg) for 3 days. Mice were sacrificed and the whole brain was dissected into core, rim (brain around tumor), and normal brain using the GFP visualization goggles as described. Western blotting was conducted in the tissue specimens for determination of expression levels of AKT, phospho-AKT, SRC, phospho-SRC, and β-actin as a control for protein loading. Tissue specimens were lysed in radioimmunoprecipitation assay buffer containing protease and phosphatase inhibitors. Protein concentration was determined using the bicinchoninic acid assay and 40 µg were loaded per lane on a 4% to 12% SDS-PAGE gel, and run at 170 V for 1 hour. Gels were transferred to a nitrocellulose membrane and blots were then incubated with the primary antibodies to pSRC, SRC, pAKT, AKT, and actin (1:1000; Cell Signaling) overnight at 4°C. Membranes were washed and incubated with horseradish peroxidase (HRP)-conjugated secondary antibody (1:1000; Cell Signaling) for 1 hour. Proteins were detected using Amersham ECL Advance Western Blotting Detection Kit (GE Life Sciences).

**Efficacy of dasatinib in the spontaneous glioma model**

Oncogene-injected mice were imaged regularly to detect tumor formation and were enrolled in a treatment arm within a day of reaching the tumor signal threshold (minimum of 2 × 10^5 photons/sec/cm^2/Sr). Mice were randomly assigned to 1 of 4 groups (n = 5–8 per group): (i) WT mice treated with 15 mg/kg dasatinib, (ii) WT mice treated with vehicle, (iii) KO mice treated with dasatinib, and (iv) KO mice treated with vehicle. All mice received either dasatinib or vehicle by oral gavage every 12 hours for 7 days and survival was monitored posttreatment initiation with the experimental endpoint being death or moribund status of the mice.

**Efficacy of dasatinib and transporter expression in human GBM cell lines**

The short-term explant cell lines used in this study were derived from primary human GBM specimens and have been maintained by serial xenotransplantation in mice as previously described in detail (28). The xenograft lines were established and exclusively maintained in the Sarkaria laboratory. Therefore, no authentication was carried out. For drug sensitivity assays, 5,000 cells per well were

Figure 3. BBB permeability in the tumor visualized by Texas red dextran. A, 4 WT tumor-bearing mice were systemically injected with TRD. Representative qualitative images show higher TRD accumulation in the tumor relative to the adjacent normal brain. B, the concentration of TRD is approximately 3.6-fold higher in the tumor region compared with normal brain. Each symbol represents a measurement; 5 to 6 measurements were made per brain in 4 total animals (***, P < 0.0001).
cultured in the presence of 0, 0.3, 1, and 10 \textmu{}mol/L of dasatinib with or without 5 \textmu{}mol/L of GF120918, a dual BCRP/Pgp inhibitor. Seventy-two hours later, cell viability was measured using an MTS assay as previously described (29). The cell-survival data in the GBM cell lines were analyzed using an inhibitory sigmoid $E_{\text{max}}$ model. The IC$_{50}$ values were estimated and compared between the control and GF120918-treated groups. For Western blots, primary antibodies to $\beta$-actin (1:1,000; Cell Signalling), ABCB1 (p-glycoprotein [c219], 1:100), and ABCG2 (BXP-21, 1:500; Enzo Life Sciences) were used. HRP-conjugated antimouse (1:20,000; Pierce), and anti-rabbit (1:5000; Cell Signaling) secondary antibodies were used for detection.

**Statistical analyses**

Animal survival was analyzed with Prism 4 software (Graph Pad Software, Inc.) using a log-rank test. For all other experiments, statistical analyses were made with SigmaStat, version 3.1 (Systat Software, Inc.) using a 2-tailed $t$ test.

**Results**

**Regional breakdown of the vascular endothelial cell tight junctions**

We utilized an oncogene-induced de novo model of murine GBM (26) with 1 modification, the replacement of the NRAS oncogene with mPDGFb. The firefly luciferase, cGFP, and p53shRNA genes were delivered on separate vectors as before (Fig. 2A). Tumors arose within 4 to 8 weeks of birth and progressed rapidly, killing animals within 2 weeks or less from the time of initial detection using bioluminescent imaging. Representative histologic analysis of a tumor from a moribund animal is shown in Figure 2B. Hallmark features of GBM were apparent, including diffuse infiltration of tumor cells into the surrounding normal brain tissue (e.g., absence of clear tumor:brain boundary), necrosis, and microvascular proliferation. Importantly, similar to human patients, these tumors formed at different locations within the brain of each animal, introducing a source of spatial heterogeneity not modeled in transplanted models. To determine if this model had defects in the capillary endothelial cell tight junctions similar to human GBM, a 3 kDa TRD probe was systemically administered and allowed to circulate for 10 minutes. TRD brain penetration was analyzed in microdissected tumor (based on GFP) and normal brain. Microscopic analysis revealed large tumors with a heterogeneous distribution of TRD within the center of the mass and less TRD accumulation in adjacent normal brain (Fig. 3A). Quantitation of TRD showed over a 3-fold increase in TRD in the GFP$^{\text{bright}}$ tissue harboring the tumor core relative to the adjacent normal brain (Fig. 3B). This animal model therefore resembles a spatially heterogeneous breakdown of the tight junctions similar to human GBM.

**Plasma and brain distribution of dasatinib in mice**

We compared the plasma and brain distribution of dasatinib between WT and KO mice. Plasma concentrations of dasatinib after oral dosing in the KO mice was not significantly different than that in WT mice ($P > 0.05$; Fig. 4A). The AUC$_{\text{plasma}}$ was 1.31 \textmu{}g.hour/mL in the WT mice compared with 1.78 \textmu{}g.hour/mL in the KO mice ($P > 0.05$; Supplementary Table S1). This indicates that Pgp and BCRP have minimal influence on the oral absorption or systemic clearance of dasatinib at the 15 mg/kg dose. Dasatinib brain concentrations were significantly enhanced in KO mice compared with those in the WT mice ($P < 0.05$; Fig. 4B). The AUC$_{\text{brain}}$ was 0.160 \textmu{}g.hour/mL in the
WT mice and increased by approximately 10-fold to 1.63 \( \mu \)g hour/mL in the KO mice (\( P = 0.001 \)). The ratio of \( \text{AUC}_{\text{brain}} \) to \( \text{AUC}_{\text{plasma}} \) in WT mice was approx. 0.12, indicating the restriction to brain penetration of dasatinib. This ratio increased by 7-fold to 0.93 in the KO mice (Supplementary Table S1). These results conclusively show that dasatinib brain distribution is dramatically enhanced when P-gp and BCRP are absent at the BBB. The data also show that systemic exposure of dasatinib (the driving force for brain distribution) remained relatively unchanged in the KO mice.

Differential distribution and efficacy of dasatinib in the brain

We examined the ability of dasatinib to traverse the BBB in the different brain regions of tumor-bearing mice. GFP-aided tumor dissection allowed the brain to be divided into tumor core, tumor rim, and normal brain, as illustrated in Figure 5A. In WT mice, mean dasatinib concentrations in the tumor core were approximately double of that measured in tumor rim and normal brain (Fig. 5B). In the KO mice, brain concentrations increased by over 2-fold in the normal brain, and by up to 3-fold in the tumor rim and core relative to WT mice (\( P < 0.05 \)).

To determine the impact of this difference in drug delivery on signal transduction, individual WT and KO mice were dosed with vehicle or dasatinib, and Western blotting was carried out on tissue samples from the tumor core, rim, and normal brain to examine the efficacy of dasatinib in inhibiting phosphorylation of SRC, a direct dasatinib target, and AKT, an indirect target (30). In the vehicle-treated WT and KO mice, relatively uniform levels of phosphorylated (p) SRC were detected in the
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tumor core, rim, and normal brain tissue (Fig. 5C). In contrast, p-AKT was elevated in the tumor mass relative to normal brain in all animals tested. Total SRC and AKT were relatively uniform, making these comparisons interpretable. We also analyzed PDGFR expression and phosphorylation because it is a direct dasatinib target and presumably a driver oncogene in this animal model. Unfortunately, total PDGFR expression was extremely variable within individual animals (regionally) and between animals, making any meaningful comparison of p-PDGFR nearly impossible (data not shown). The most striking finding we observed was the difference between p-SRC in WT relative to KO mice. Dasatinib administration completely extinguished all detectable p-SRC in all 3 brain regions in KO mice. In marked contrast, dasatinib did not appreciably change p-SRC levels in the normal brain, minimally affected p-SRC in the rim, and noticeably (yet incompletely) inhibited p-SRC in the tumor core of WT mice. Analysis of AKT activation followed a similar trend, whereby dasatinib inhibited p-AKT levels more robustly in all 3 brain regions in KO mice relative to WT (Fig. 5C). These data clearly establish a relationship between the brain penetration of dasatinib, as effected by BCRP/Pgp-mediated efflux, and inhibition of kinase-mediated phosphorylation.

BCRP and Pgp loss of function increases survival of glioma-bearing mice treated with dasatinib

The therapeutic efficacy of dasatinib was examined by monitoring survival in WT and KO mice that were treated with a single 7-day cycle of dasatinib. The vehicle treated cohort died rapidly after initiation of the study, with the median survival time being 7 days in the WT and 9 days in the KO mice (Fig. 6). When treated with dasatinib, WT mice survived longer, with a median survival time of 14 days. Importantly, the most significant effect was seen in the KO mice treated with dasatinib, where survival increased dramatically to yield a median survival time of 33 days ($P = 0.03$; Fig. 6). These results conclusively show that dasatinib is much more effective against the tumor when drug delivery to the brain, and hence the targets, is enhanced in KO mice.

Efficacy of dasatinib in Pgp and BCRP expressing human GBM cell lines

A subset of glioma cells from humans and murine models express BCRP and/or Pgp (31, 32). Drug efflux mediated by these transporters within the tumor cell itself can effectively create a second barrier to drug delivery, and this has been proposed as an additional mechanism of chemoresistance in GBM (reviewed in ref. 33). We used primary GBM xenograft lines that were established directly from human GBM resections and screened them for expression of Pgp and BCRP. On the basis of expression patterns of these 2 transporters, 6 xenograft lines were identified that expressed Pgp and BCRP at different levels (Fig. 7A). The efficacy of dasatinib was determined in short-term explant cultures from these xenograft lines in presence and absence of the dual Pgp/BCRP inhibitor, GF120918. Generally, there was a trend for higher BCRP/Pgp expression correlating with a higher $IC_{50}$ of dasatinib. Five of the 6 cell lines tested were sensitized to dasatinib in the presence of GF120918. Notably, GF120918 had a greater effect in lines 12, 22, 26, and 46 that expressed both Pgp and BCRP, relative to lines 6 and 10, which expressed only Pgp (Fig. 7A and B).

Discussion

Many promising molecularly targeted agents have failed to show any clinical benefit in patients with glioma. Although studies investigating the failure of these agents have proposed various hypotheses, limited drug delivery is sometimes disregarded as a likely explanation. In fact, clinical reports suggest that high drug concentrations measured in resected tumor tissue are indicative of a disrupted BBB (19), leading these investigators to conclude that poor drug delivery is not a plausible mechanism for upfront treatment failure (18). The objective of this study was to highlight 2 fundamental realities in treatment of an infiltrative brain tumor such as GBM: (i) delivery of chemotherapeutic agents into the brain can be significantly restricted by a BBB that is not disrupted throughout the entire brain; (ii) successful therapy in GBM will require adequate drug delivery throughout the entire brain, especially to tumor cells that may efflux drugs themselves, nested in normal brain tissue with a relatively intact BBB. A detailed discussion of these concepts focused on drug delivery can be found in our recent review (33). It is equally important to understand that
migratory GBM cells that invade normal brain are intrinsically more resistant to chemotherapy and radiotherapy compared with bulk tumor (reviewed in ref. 34). These fundamental differences in treatment sensitivity further underscore the importance of using infiltrative preclinical models to assess therapeutics, and the need to deliver higher drug levels to invasive glioma cells that may be harder to kill than bulk tumor.

A disruption in the BBB at the central core of GBM is not surprising because of the pathophysiologic characteristics of the necrotic tumor that damages the surrounding capillaries. The resulting high drug concentrations in the tumor, however, are essentially irrelevant in the majority of operable patients because the tumor core is usually removed at surgery. Drug concentrations in the tissue adjacent to the tumor are the most clinically relevant because in most cases recurrence happens near the margin of surgical resection (2). An intact BBB in such areas can shield small nests of invasive tumor cells that ultimately grow to give rise to the recurrent tumor. There

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cell line</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>10</th>
<th>12</th>
<th>26</th>
<th>46</th>
<th>22</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>IC_{50} dasatinib</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>5.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IC_{50} dasatinib + GF</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>0.78</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% IC_{50} change</td>
<td>+17%</td>
<td>-25%</td>
<td>-43%</td>
<td>-68%</td>
<td>-74%</td>
<td>-79%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 7. Inhibition of BCRP/Pgp sensitizes human glioma cells to dasatinib. A, the relative expression of BCRP and Pgp is shown by Western blot in 6 human GBM xenograft lines. The IC_{50} values in the presence of GF120918 is shown directly above the corresponding cell lines in the Western blot. B, representative cell survival curves of 4 of the cell lines shown in A.
are several studies that show that whereas drug concentrations in the resected tumor can be high (even greater than plasma), concentrations are dramatically lower in brain tissue away from the tumor. This has been elegantly shown in a study by Blakeley and colleagues, where in a human GBM patient, concentrations of methotrexate in areas centimeters away from the tumor were about an order of magnitude lower than that in the tumor (24). Pitz and colleagues recently reported that concentrations of several anticancer agents in the noncontrast enhancing regions of the brain were significantly lower than that in the contrast enhancing tumor (35). Similarly, it has been shown that concentrations of paclitaxel (36) and temozolomide (37) in the tumor periphery were lower than that in the tumor core. However, in all these prior studies, the relative importance of tight junctions versus the expression of BCRP and Pgp was not entirely clear. When using drugs that are substrates for these transporters, distinguishing this difference is critical to rationally develop effective drug delivery solutions. The major contribution of this study was to unequivocally link BCRP/Pgp expression to treatment failure at the level of hitting the drug target (p-SRC in this case) and overall survival. Results from pharmacokinetic studies showed that the plasma concentrations of dasatinib in WT and KO mice are not significantly different; so the increased efficacy observed in KO mice is not because of changing the bioavailability of dasatinib; but because of changing brain penetration. Our results show that drug concentrations in the tumor core are not representative of whole brain concentrations. Therefore, the use of drug concentration measurements in the resected tumor tissue as a guide for clinical assessment of drug delivery to the entire brain can be misleading.

The results of this and previous studies suggest that many drugs used to treat GBM have "failed for the wrong reason" and could be revisited assuming drug delivery obstacles are overcome. The finding that increasing drug delivery to the brain translates to improved efficacy can be valuable in choosing future combinatorial drug therapy for GBM. We have shown that inhibition of Pgp and BCRP is a useful strategy to enhance brain penetration of substrate drugs (10, 11), including dasatinib (12). Elacridar (GF120918) is a well-known dual inhibitor of Pgp and BCRP that has been tested extensively in mice to improve brain penetration of numerous drugs. This study shows that GF120918 can also enhance the efficacy of dasatinib in human GBM cells. There are also reports suggesting the use of drugs that are dual Pgp/BCRP substrates to competitively inhibit both transporters. These include several TKIs that have been shown to be substrates for both Pgp and BCRP. In vitro studies show that erlotinib (38), gefitinib (39), lapatinib (40), and sunitinib (41) inhibit ABC transporters, and suggest the potential use of these agents as combination therapy to improve drug delivery to brain. It has been shown that concurrent treatment with gefitinib results in a significantly increased brain (42) and tumor exposure to topotecan in a mouse model of glioma (43). However, such combination therapies are often confounded by additive toxicities that hinder the ability to effectively saturate Pgp and BCRP, clearly indicating the need to develop and employ more potent inhibitors. However, if both BCRP and Pgp are inhibited, it may be possible to give a lower systemic dose of a drug that is an efflux substrate, although still achieving enhanced brain concentrations (presumably reducing systemic toxicities). Combination therapy with a molecularly targeted agent and a modulator of ABC transporters could be an attractive approach to counter one of the most significant challenges in glioma chemotherapy.

In summary, this study highlights the influence of restricted drug delivery across the BBB on the efficacy of dasatinib in glioma. The heterogeneous permeability of the BBB in the tumor suggests that clinical assessment of drug delivery by using the tumor core drug concentrations (in resected tissue) as a guide for the adequacy of drug delivery can be highly misleading. Inhibition of Pgp and BCRP can be explored in future clinical trials as a possible strategy to improve delivery and hence efficacy of molecularly targeted agents.
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