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Abstract

Prostate-specific membrane antigen (PSMA) is a membrane protein that is overexpressed manifold in prostate cancer and provides an attractive target for therapy. PSMA ADC is an antibody-drug conjugate (ADC) that consists of a fully human anti-PSMA monoclonal antibody conjugated to monomethylauristatin E through a valine-citrulline linker. In this study, the antitumor activity of PSMA ADC was evaluated against a panel of prostate cancer cell lines in vitro and in a novel in vivo model of taxane-refractory human prostate cancer. In vitro cell killing was efficient for cells with abundant PSMA expression (>10^5 molecules/cell; IC50/C20 = 0.022 nmol/L) and 1,000-fold less efficient for cells with undetectable PSMA (IC50 > 30 nmol/L). Intermediate potency (IC50 = 0.80 nmol/L) was observed for cells with approximately 10^4 molecules of PSMA per cell, indicating a threshold PSMA level for selective cell killing. Similar in vitro activity was observed against androgen-dependent and -independent cells that had abundant PSMA expression. In vitro activity of PSMA ADC was also dependent on internalization and proper N-glycosylation/folding of PSMA. In contrast, less potent and nonselective cytotoxic activity was observed for a control ADC, free monomethylauristatin E, and other microtubule inhibitors. PSMA ADC showed high in vivo activity in treating xenograft tumors that had progressed following an initial course of docetaxel therapy, including tumors that were large (>700 mm^3) before treatment with PSMA ADC. This study defines determinants of antitumor activity of a novel ADC. The findings here support the clinical evaluation of this agent in advanced prostate cancer. Mol Cancer Ther; 10(9); 1728–39. ©2011 AACR.

Introduction

Prostate cancer is the most common nonskin cancer in men in most Western populations and it kills more than 200,000 men annually worldwide (1). Although conventional treatments, such as surgery, radiation, and androgen suppression, are effective, many patients experience disease recurrence and ultimately succumb to their disease. Hormone-refractory prostate cancer is particularly difficult to control and many treatment protocols include a chemotherapeutic agent. An important consideration is the limited and transient response of prostate cancer to systemic chemotherapy and its potential toxicity. There is an urgent need for additional therapies.

Chemoresistance mechanisms are poorly defined in prostate cancer (2, 3). Docetaxel resistance has been generated in vitro (4–8); however, resistance pathways vary depending on the cell line and selection conditions. In addition, clinical resistance to taxanes has been linked to changes in tumor microenvironment and tumor cells (3). Additional preclinical models are needed to better assess the potential utility of new agents against prostate tumors that are refractory to standard therapies.

Monoclonal antibody (mAb) therapy for oncology has grown tremendously in the past decade (9–12). Currently, 7 unconjugated mAbs and 2 radiolabeled mAbs are approved for cancer treatment in the United States with many more in development. However, mAbs to many tumor-associated antigens show limited activity in unmodified form. In such cases, mAbs can be covalently linked to a cytotoxic drug as a potential means to selectively deliver the drug to neoplastic cells while reducing toxicity toward healthy tissues. Antibody-drug conjugates (ADC) hold increasing promise due to continuing advances in cancer proteomics, antibody engineering, and drug-linker chemistries (9, 10, 13–15). Newer ADCs have shown substantial clinical activity as single agents even in heavily pretreated patients (11, 16).
Prostate-specific membrane antigen (PSMA) is an attractive target for the treatment and detection of prostate cancer. It is a type II transmembrane protein with a short intracellular domain (amino acids 1–18), a transmembrane domain (amino acids 19–43), and a large extracellular domain (amino acids 44–750; refs. 17–19). PSMA is expressed in nearly all prostate cancers, and expression is highest in poorly differentiated, metastatic, and hormone-refractory cases (17, 20–22). PSMA also is expressed in a variety of tumors, but not normal, vascular endothelium, which further broadens its potential utility as a therapeutic target (23–25). The cytoplasmic tail of PSMA mediates its internalization both in the presence and absence of mAbs (26, 27). With its abundant and restricted expression in tumors, its membrane location, and rapid internalization, PSMA has characteristics desired in a target for ADC therapy.

Monomethylauristatin E (MMAE) is a synthetic dolastatin 10 analogue that potently blocks tubulin polymerization. Dose-limiting toxicities of neutropenia, myalgia, and constipation have been reported for dolastatin 10 (28, 29), and drugs in this class may be more useful when selectively directed to cancer cells. An example of such targeted drug delivery is provided by brentuximab vedotin (SGN-35), an anti-CD30 mAb conjugated to MMAE through a valine-citrulline (vc) dipeptide linker. Brentuximab vedotin has shown promising tolerability and activity in the treatment of relapsed or refractory Hodgkin lymphoma and systemic anaplastic large-cell lymphoma (11, 30).

PSMA ADC (Fig. 1) comprises a fully human anti-PSMA mAb conjugated to vcMMAE (31). PSMA ADC is designed to selectively bind PSMA-expressing cells, internalize via the endocytic pathway, and release a cytotoxic dose of MMAE. The agent has entered phase 1 testing in patients with metastatic prostate cancer that has progressed following androgen deprivation and taxane therapy. In the ongoing study, antitumor activity has been observed as decreases in prostate-specific antigen, circulating tumor cells, and/or bone pain at generally well-tolerated doses (32).

To further elaborate the antitumor spectrum of this agent, we report here the in vitro activity of PSMA ADC against androgen-dependent and -independent prostate cancer cell lines with varying levels of PSMA expression. We also describe the efficacy of PSMA ADC in a novel in vivo model of docetaxel-refractory prostate cancer. Overall, our findings provide insight into the potential utility of this targeted therapy in advanced prostate cancer.

Materials and Methods

Cell culture

Prostate cancer cell lines were obtained from American Type Culture Collection (ATCC). LNCaP, C4-2, CWR22rV1, and PC3 cells were maintained in RPMI1640 (Mediatech Inc.) supplemented with 2 mmol/L L-glutamine and 10% FBS (United States Biochemicals). DU145 cells were maintained in Dulbecco’s Modified Eagle’s Medium (Mediatech Inc.) supplemented with 2 mmol/L L-glutamine and 10% FBS (United States Biochemicals). MDA PCa2b cells were grown in F-12K Medium (ATCC) supplemented with 20% FBS, 25 ng/mL chora toxin, 10 ng/mL mouse epidermal growth factor, 0.005 mmol/L phosphoethanolamine, 100 pg/mL hydrocortisone, 45 nmol/L selenious acid, and 0.005 mg/mL bovine insulin.

Cytotoxic agents and antibodies

Paclitaxel and docetaxel were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich. PSMA ADC, control ADC (human IgG conjugated to vcMMAE), and MMAE were prepared as described (31). Anti-PSMA mAbs J591 and GCP.04 were gifts from Dr. Neil Bander (Weill Cornell Medical College, New York) and Dr. Jan Konvalinka (Academy of Sciences, Prague, Czech Republic), respectively.

Transfection of PC3 cells

PSMA point mutants with altered internalization (L5A) and N-linked glycosylation (N638A) have been described (33, 34). DNA (5 μg) was precipitated with PIRE5-puro (0.5 μg) and transfected into PC3 cells using Lipofectamine 2000 (Invitrogen). Stable clones were selected with 1 μg/mL puromycin.

Extraction of cell membrane

One 175-cm² flask of harvested cells was diluted with 10 mL of 50 mmol/L Tris (pH 7.5), Dounce homogenized, and centrifuged at 3,000 × g for 5 minutes. Supernatant was collected and ultracentrifuged at 70,000 × g at 4°C for 35 minutes. The pellet was then washed and homogenized in Tris buffer. Protein concentration was measured by BCA assay (Thermo Scientific).

Western blotting

Cell membrane extract (20 μg protein) was resolved by 7.5% bis-acrylamide reducing SDS-PAGE and transferred to nitrocellulose. Membranes were blocked with 5% milk in Tris Buffered Saline-Tween 20 (TBST) for 1 hour at room temperature. PSMA was detected with mAb J591 or GCP.04 at approximately 0.2 μg/mL for 1 hour followed by incubation with horseradish peroxidase-goat-anti-mouse IgG antibody (1:5,000 dilution) for 1 hour. After 3 TBST washes, blots were visualized by chemiluminescence.

Folate hydrolase assay

Cell membrane extract (2 μg) was combined with 5 nmol of methotrexate diglutamate (MTXGlu2; Schircks Laboratories). The reaction mixture was adjusted to 100 μL with 50 mmol/L Tris (pH 7.5), incubated at 37°C for 1 hour, and stopped with 100 μL 0.5 mol/L Na2HPO4. Reaction products were quantified by reversed phase high-performance liquid chromatography (33).
Binding of cell lines to N-[N-[(S)-1,3-dicarboxypropyl]carbamoyl]-S-[3H]-methyl-L-cysteine

Cells (5 × 10^5) were incubated with N-[N-[(S)-1,3-dicarboxypropyl]carbamoyl]-S-[3H]-methyl-L-cysteine (3H-ZJ24; GE Healthcare Life Sciences) in a total volume of 200 μL of 50 mmol/L Tris (pH 7.5) for 1 hour at 37°C. The mixture was centrifuged at 3,000 g for 5 minutes at 4°C to separate bound and free 3H-ZJ24. The supernatant was removed, and the cell pellet was washed 3 times with 500 μL of cold Tris buffer. Four milliliters of ECOLUME scintillation cocktail (MP Biomedicals) was added, and radioactivity was counted. Nonspecific binding was determined using the same method in the presence of 0.1 mmol/L phosphonomethyl pentanedioic acid. Data were analyzed using GraphPad Prism 3.0.

In vitro cytotoxicity assay

Cytotoxicity was measured by using the CellTiter 96 Aqueous Cell Proliferation Assay (Promega). Briefly, cells (3,000/well) were seeded in 96-well culture plates the day before treatment and then incubated with drugs for 72 hours. Colorimetric reagent was then added to each well. After a 3-hour incubation at 37°C, the absorbance at 490 nm was measured, and IC50 was determined by GraphPad Prism. Where indicated, assays were done in the presence of 1 μg/mL of J591 or 10 μmol/L of ZJ24 (Bachem Inc.) to assess the effect on the activity of PSMA ADC.

Generation of docetaxel-refractory xenograft tumors

Male athymic nude mice (6 to 8 weeks old, Charles River Laboratories) were each implanted with 5 million C4-2 cells in Matrigel (Becton Dickinson) by subcutaneous injection into the right flank. Fourteen days later, animals with tumor volumes between 100 mm3 and 200 mm3 were randomized to receive 2 mg/kg docetaxel (n = 50) or vehicle (n = 10) by weekly injection via the tail vein. This dose represents the maximum tolerated dose in this model (data not shown). Docetaxel was administered in PBS containing 0.6% ethanol and 1.5% polysorbate 80. Tumor volume, body weight, physical appearance, and survival were recorded twice weekly. Tumor volume was calculated as (length) x (width^2)/2.
Treatment of docetaxel-refractory xenograft tumors
When the tumor volume of a docetaxel-treated animal exceeded 400 mm³, the animal was rerandomized 1:1 to receive weekly IV treatment with either 6 mg/kg PSMA ADC or 2 mg/kg docetaxel. Animals were monitored twice weekly and euthanized when in distress or when tumor volume exceeded 2,000 mm³. The study was terminated 182 days after tumors were implanted. The study was conducted in accordance with Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee guidelines.

Statistical analyses
Intergroup differences in tumor volume and body weight were evaluated for significance via t tests. Differences in survival and categorical variables were assessed using log-rank tests and Fisher’s exact tests, respectively. Results from 2-tailed tests are reported. Statistical analyses were done using GraphPad Prism.

Results

PSMA expression in prostate cancer cells
PSMA expression was first examined by Western blotting. PSMA was highest in MDA PCA2b cells followed by LNCaP cells, C4-2 cells, and CWR22rv1 cells (Fig. 2A). PC3 cells and DU145 cells had no detectable expression of PSMA.

PSMA has carboxypeptidase activity, and its substrates include polyglutamated folates (35, 36). Folate hydrolase activity correlated well with PSMA expression observed by Western blotting (Fig. 2B). Cells with higher expression of PSMA exhibited higher enzymatic activity. No enzymatic activity was observed in cells that were PSMA-negative by Western blotting.

PSMA expression was further characterized using ZJ24, a urea-based inhibitor of PSMA’s enzymatic activity (37). Binding results were fitted to a 1-site binding model (Supplementary Fig. S1). Specific binding was observed only in PSMA-positive cells with Kd values between 35.6 to 46.5 nmol/L (Table 1). The maximum binding capacities (Bmax) to PSMA-positive cells ranged from 26,600 to 319,000 molecules of ZJ24 per cell. The highest Bmax was observed in MDA PCA2b cells, which had the highest expression of PSMA by Western blotting. Next highest were LNCaP cells with Bmax of 251,900 molecules/cell, C4-2 cells with 204,900 molecules/cell, and CWR22rv1 with 26,600 molecules/cell. PSMA is a symmetric dimer that contains 2 identical binding sites for ZJ24 (18, 38); therefore, expression of dimeric PSMA was estimated to range from 13,300 to 159,500 molecules/cell.

Cytotoxicity of PSMA ADC and control inhibitors toward prostate cancer cell lines
Human prostate cancer cell lines were examined for susceptibility to killing by PSMA ADC, free MMAE, unconjugated PSMA mAb, and a control ADC of irrelevant specificity. The activity of PSMA ADC was highly dependent on PSMA expression, with IC50 approximately 20 pmol/L for cells with more than 10⁷ molecules/cell, IC50 = 804 pmol/L for CWR22rv1 cells with 10⁴ molecules/cell and IC50 > 30,000 pmol/L for PSMA-negative cells (Fig. 2C, Table 1). The control ADC showed weak activity (IC50 > 50,000 pmol/L) that was independent of PSMA expression (Fig. 2C, Table 1). Unconjugated PSMA mAb showed no cytotoxicity at concentrations ranging to 1 μmol/L. MMAE had approximately 1,000 pmol/L activity against each of the cell lines.

Docetaxel and paclitaxel are mitotic inhibitors whose mechanism of action resides in stabilizing microtubules in contrast with destabilizers such as MMAE (39). Docetaxel and paclitaxel were active against each of the prostate cancer cell lines, with IC50 values of 1.2 to 7.5 nmol/L and 5.9 to 46 nmol/L, respectively (Fig. 2C, Table 1). The taxols were modestly more effective against MDAPCa2b, LNCaP, and C4-2 relative to PC3 and DU145.

The in vitro potency of PSMA ADC was unaffected by the presence of 10 μmol/L ZJ24, with respective IC50 values of 22 ± 14 pmol/L and 29 ± 18 pmol/L observed in the presence and absence of ZJ24 (Fig. 2D). In the presence of 1 μg/mL of anti-PSMA mAb J591, the potency of PSMA ADC was reduced approximately 3-fold (IC50 = 106 ± 32 pmol/L).

Cytotoxicity of PSMA ADC to PC3 cells transfected with wild-type or mutant PSMA
To further investigate the determinants of PSMA ADC’s activity, PC3 cells were engineered to express wild-type PSMA (PC3wtPSMA), glycosylation mutant PSMA (PC3N638A), internalization mutant PSMA (PC3L5A), or vector control (PC3PIRESpuro). Transfectants were examined for PSMA expression, folate hydrolase activity, and for 3H-ZJ24 binding. Similar levels of wild type and mutant forms of PSMA ADC were detected by Western blotting (Fig. 3A). Cells that expressed wild-type or internalization mutant PSMA exhibited folate hydrolase activity (Fig. 3B) and specific binding of 3H-ZJ24 (Supplementary Fig. S2 and Table 1), whereas cells that expressed glycosylation mutant PSMA and vector-control cells did not exhibit either property.

PSMA ADC potently killed PC3wtPSMA cells (IC50 = 22 pmol/L) but not PC3PIRESpuro cells (IC50 > 30,000 pmol/L). PSMA ADC showed minimal activity toward cells that expressed either the internalization mutant (IC50 = 8,170 pmol/L) or the glycosylation mutant (IC50 = 12,400 pmol/L). The glycosylation mutant adopts a nonnative conformation (33, 34) and does not efficiently bind PSMA ADC (data not shown). The control ADC and PSMA mAb showed weak activity (IC50 ≥ 30,000 pmol/L) and unmeasurable activity (IC50 > 1 μmol/L), respectively, against each of the transfectants. MMAE killed each of the transfected cells with IC50 of 594 to 775 pmol/L (Fig. 3C and Table 1).
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Figure 2. Cytotoxicity of PSMA ADC in vitro. A, Western blot analysis of PSMA in lysates from prostate cancer cells. PSMA was detected by J591. B, folate hydrolase assay. Cell membrane (2 μg) was incubated with 5 nmol of MTXGlu2 for 1 hour. MTX formed was determined by high-performance liquid chromatography. Data represent the mean ± SD of triplicate determinations. C, in vitro cytotoxicity. Cells were treated for 72 hours with varying concentrations of inhibitor and assessed for viability. D, effect of ZJ24 or J591 on the cytotoxicity of PSMA ADC. C4-2 cells were treated for 72 hours with varying concentrations of PSMA ADC in the presence or absence of 10 μmol/L ZJ24 or 1 μg/mL J591 antibody.
Table 1. PSMA expression and cytotoxicity data

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cell line</th>
<th>Phenotype</th>
<th>$B_{\text{max}}$ ± SD</th>
<th>$K_d$, nmol/L</th>
<th>$IC_{50}$, nmol/L</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MDAPCa2b</td>
<td>Androgen dependent, PSMA positive</td>
<td>319,000 ± 19,300</td>
<td>46.5 ± 5.9</td>
<td>159,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LNCaP</td>
<td>Androgen dependent, PSMA positive</td>
<td>251,900 ± 9,300</td>
<td>39.4 ± 2.9</td>
<td>126,595</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C4-2</td>
<td>Androgen independent, PSMA positive</td>
<td>204,900 ± 4,600</td>
<td>35.5 ± 0.8</td>
<td>102,450</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CWR22rv1</td>
<td>Androgen independent, weakly PSMA positive</td>
<td>26,500 ± 500</td>
<td>35.6 ± 3.9</td>
<td>13,250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC3</td>
<td>Androgen independent, PSMA negative</td>
<td>no specific binding</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>83.4 ± 6.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DU145</td>
<td>Androgen independent, PSMA negative</td>
<td>no specific binding</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>99.1 ± 5.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC3PIRESpuro</td>
<td>PC3 transfection control</td>
<td>no specific binding</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>31.8 ± 3.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC3wtPSMA</td>
<td>PC3 transfected with wild-type PSMA</td>
<td>75,800 ± 1,100</td>
<td>8.20 ± 1.46</td>
<td>37,900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC3L5A</td>
<td>PC3 transfected with internalization mutant PSMA</td>
<td>20,700 ± 400</td>
<td>5.06 ± 2.63</td>
<td>10,350</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC3N638A</td>
<td>PC3 transfected with glycosylation mutant PSMA</td>
<td>no specific binding</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>12.4 ± 2.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$B_{\text{max}}$: molecules of ZJ24 per cell. PSMA mAb did not exhibit measurable cytotoxicity at concentrations ranging up to 1,000 nmol/L.

Abbreviations: N/A, not applicable; ND, not done.

NOTE: Data represent the mean ± SD of triplicate determinations. $B_{\text{max}}$ = molecules of ZJ24 per cell. PSMA mAb did not exhibit measurable cytotoxicity at concentrations ranging up to 1,000 nmol/L.

Expression levels of dimeric PSMA are estimated to be 1-half of the $B_{\text{max}}$ observed for ZJ24, because PSMA is a symmetric dimer that contains 2 identical binding sites for ZJ24.
Generation of docetaxel-refractory xenograft tumors

A total of 60 animals were included in the xenograft study (Fig. 4A). At 14 days following tumor implantation, animals were randomized to receive docetaxel ($n = 50$) or matched vehicle (group 1, $n = 10$). Of the 50 animals assigned to receive docetaxel, 14 animals had tumors that remained less than 400 mm$^3$, and these animals continued to receive docetaxel for the duration of the study (group #2). Tumors grew to more than 400 mm$^3$ in the remaining 36 docetaxel-treated animals, and they were rerandomized 1:1 to receive PSMA ADC (group #3) or to continue docetaxel treatment (group #4). Because the rate of tumor growth varied in individual mice treated with docetaxel, mice were randomized continuously into group #3 or group #4 between days 25 and 119 postimplantation.

The mean tumor volume was 138 mm$^3$ in both the docetaxel and vehicle groups before initiation of therapy. First-line docetaxel therapy significantly inhibited tumor growth (Fig. 4B). Relative to vehicle-treated animals, docetaxel-treated animals had significantly lower mean tumor volumes ($P < 0.03$) at days 21, 25, and 28, as well as a significantly lower rate of progressive tumors more than 400 mm$^3$ ($P = 0.0014$). Animals in the vehicle group had a median survival of 70 days (range 42–168 days). Median survival in the docetaxel group could not be determined due to rerandomization of progressors. However, 14 docetaxel-treated animals (28%) did not develop tumors more than 400 mm$^3$ at any time during the study. Of these 14 animals, 3 died of unknown causes, and 11 survived until the end of the study.

Treatment of docetaxel-refractory xenograft tumors

Docetaxel-refractory tumors were defined as those that grew to more than 400 mm$^3$ in the presence of weekly IV therapy with 2 mg/kg docetaxel. Of the 50 animals that received first-line docetaxel therapy, 36 (72%) developed...
docetaxel-refractory tumors and were rerandomized 1:1 to receive PSMA ADC or continued treatment with docetaxel (Fig. 4A). Mean tumor volumes were 515 ± 103 mm³ (range: 410–727 mm³) and 495 ± 80 mm³ (range: 401–650 mm³) for animals rerandomized to receive PSMA ADC (group #3) or docetaxel (group #4), respectively. The median time of rerandomization was 49 days postimplantation for animals in both group #3 (range 25–119 days) and group #4 (range 25–98 days).

Figure 5 illustrates the change in tumor volumes of the 36 animals that were rerandomized to receive PSMA ADC or continued docetaxel. day 0 represents the time of rerandomization to PSMA ADC or docetaxel. Data before day 0 depict changes in tumor volumes when the animals were on first-line docetaxel therapy.

Tumor volumes decreased in all 18 animals treated with PSMA ADC (Fig. 5A). Rapid regressions were observed even for tumors that were more than 700 mm³. Although the kinetics of tumor regression varied somewhat (Fig. 5A), 17 of 18 mice (94%) in the PSMA ADC group (group #3) had less than 100 mm³ tumors at the end of the study. Tumor volume was 166 mm³ in the group #3 animal. In animals that continued to receive docetaxel, tumors progressed to more than 2,000 mm³ in all but 2 animals whose tumor volumes were 275 mm³ and 1,403 mm³ at the end of the study (Fig. 5B). The difference in the rate of tumor progression between group #3 and group #4 was highly statistically significant (P < 0.0001). Mean tumor volume was significantly lower in the PSMA ADC group relative to the docetaxel group (Fig. 5C; P < 0.0005) at all time points from 3 to 59 days following rerandomization. Thereafter, few animals remained in the docetaxel group (n ≤ 6). From an initial value of 515 mm³ before initiation of PSMA ADC therapy, mean tumor volumes decreased to less than 100 mm³ within 38 days of treatment and remained less than 100 mm³ for the duration of the study.

Treatment with PSMA ADC significantly improved survival (P < 0.0001, Fig. 5D). At the end of the study, all 18 animals in the PSMA ADC group (group #3) were alive. The median duration of treatment with PSMA ADC was 129 days (range: 87–157 days). In contrast, median survival for group #4 animals was 45 days following rerandomization, and only 2 of 18 animals survived through the end of the study.

PSMA ADC was not associated with any overt toxicity. Physical appearance and activity were unaffected. Mean body weight increased for animals in the PSMA ADC group and decreased for animals in the docetaxel group. The difference in mean body weights of the 2 groups was significant (P ≤ 0.0001) starting 17 days after rerandomization. The PSMA ADC group had a 20.6% increase in mean body weight 59 days after rerandomization, while the docetaxel group had a 14.5% decrease (P < 0.0001). Mean body weight remained stable or increased slightly in the PSMA ADC group thereafter; however, there were too few animals remaining in the docetaxel group for meaningful statistical comparisons.

**Discussion**

We report in vitro and in vivo studies of the antitumor activity of PSMA ADC, an anti-PSMA mAb conjugated to vcMMAE. Potent cytotoxicity (IC50 ≤ 20 pmol/L) was observed for androgen-dependent and -independent cells with 10⁵ or more molecules of PSMA per cell, and 10⁴ molecules per cell served as a threshold level of PSMA.
expression for selective cytotoxic activity. We also describe the in vivo efficacy of this agent in an in situ model of taxane-refractory human prostate cancer. PSMA ADC was highly active against tumors that had progressed following an initial course of docetaxel therapy, and even large (>700 mm³) tumors showed rapid regressions. Our findings establish pharmacologic determinants of activity of a novel ADC. In addition, our in vivo model may prove useful for studying second-line therapy with other agents in other tumor settings.

PSMA ADC showed potent and selective activity against PSMA-positive prostate cancer cells. Cells insensitive to PSMA ADC were the PSMA-negative PC3 and DU145 lines, which are poorly differentiated lines. PC3 cells became susceptible to killing by PSMA ADC (IC₅₀ = 22 pmol/L) following transfection with PSMA. In contrast to PSMA ADC, a control ADC of irrelevant specificity, free MMAE, and other microtubule inhibitors exhibited less potent in vitro activity that was independent of PSMA expression. Unconjugated PSMA mAb did not exhibit intrinsic cytotoxic activity in this study, consistent with prior reports (31, 40, 41); however, our study did not examine the potential for cytotoxic effects mediated by mAb Fc effector functions.

The activity of PSMA ADC was dependent on internalization and proper folding of PSMA, as reduced cytotoxicity was observed against PC3 cells transfected with internalization or N-glycosylation mutant forms of PSMA (33, 42). These findings are consistent with PSMA ADC’s primary mechanism of action of delivering a potent cytotoxin to the interior of cells that express and internalize native PSMA.

Internalization of PSMA is mediated by a MWLLL motif in its cytoplasmic tail (26). Mutation of this motif did not affect PSMA’s enzymatic activity, and the cytotoxicity of PSMA ADC was unaffected by the PSMA inhibitor ZJ24, indicating that internalization and enzymatic activity are unrelated processes. In contrast, mAb J591, which reduces cell-surface levels of PSMA through internalization, reduced the potency of PSMA ADC approximately 3-fold, but complete cell killing was achieved at higher concentrations of PSMA ADC.

Besides being a target for therapy, PSMA has been used to image tumors. Unfortunately, the original imaging agent ¹¹¹In-capromab pendetide binds an intracellular site on PSMA and has limited utility in imaging bone metastases. Second-generation mAbs to the external domain of PSMA have been found to image bone metastases with nearly 100% accuracy (19). Because imaging would reflect not only site but potentially degree of PSMA expression, imaging tumors before and after therapy could potentially provide a means to assess tumor responses at individual sites. Unlike mAbs, low molecular weight imaging agents do not induce internalization of PSMA and therefore have the potential advantage of not interfering with the antitumor activity of ADCs.
Once prostate cancer becomes refractory to androgen deprivation, chemotherapy with taxanes is indicated; however, the side effects of therapy are appreciable relative to the limited survival benefit. PSMA expression increases following androgen deprivation (22, 43). When tested against androgen-independent C4-2 cells in our study, PSMA ADC was more than 30-fold more potent than docetaxel and paclitaxel. PSMA ADC had minimal activity against PSMA-negative cells, in keeping with the desired reduction in off-target toxicity. PSMA ADC showed selective potency both in androgen-dependent (MDA PCa2b and LNCaP) and androgen-independent (C4-2 and CWR22rv1) PSMA-expressing cell lines, all of which were derived from metastatic disease. The activity of PSMA ADC correlated with PSMA expression in these cells.

Our in vivo model reproduces several important features of docetaxel-refractory prostate cancer, including androgen independence, disease progression following an initial response to docetaxel, intersubject variation in rates of progression, and the presence of tumor-stroma interactions. Docetaxel significantly reduced tumor growth (P = 0.0014) and prevented disease progression in a substantial fraction of treated animals. By these measures, the efficacy seen in this preclinical model is comparable with docetaxel’s clinical efficacy (44). In addition, as seen clinically, most docetaxel-treated tumors eventually progressed and became insensitive to further treatment with docetaxel.

Treatment of docetaxel-refractory tumors with PSMA ADC led to significant improvements in mean tumor volume (P < 0.0005) and survival (P < 0.0001) relative to continued docetaxel treatment. In addition, mean body weight increased significantly (P < 0.0001) in the PSMA ADC group relative to the continued docetaxel group. The 60-animal study, therefore, was sufficiently powered for these endpoints. This approach could potentially be adapted to preclinically assess second-line chemotherapies in other settings.

The efficacy for PSMA ADC observed here is consistent with our prior report, which examined treatment of intramuscular C4-2 tumors (31). In both studies, all tumors responded to treatment with 6 mg/kg PSMA ADC. One important difference between the 2 studies is the duration of treatment. Our prior study used a q4dx6 regimen, and tumors rebounded following cessation of treatment in 3 of 5 animals. This study examined continuous treatment, which resulted in continued tumor suppression. PSMA ADC showed consistent, high-level activity against tumors up to 700 mm³ in size in the present study and against smaller, disseminated tumors in our previous study. These findings are translationally relevant for prostate cancer, where many patients have micrometastatic tumors that are poorly imaged by conventional radiological methods. Neither unconjugated PSMA mAb nor control ADC showed measurable efficacy against xenografted C4.2 tumors in our prior study (31), indicating that the primary mechanism of antitumor activity in this setting is mAb-mediated delivery of MMAE to PSMA-expressing cells and not nonspecific release of free MMAE or other factors.

The preclinical antitumor activity of PSMA ADC compares favorably with that reported for MLN2704, an ADC comprising humanized J591 linked to drug maytansinoid 1 (40). Against LNCaP cells, the in vitro IC₅₀ for PSMA ADC (39 pmol/L) is approximately 50-fold less than that reported for MLN2704. In addition, weekly treatment of subcutaneous CWR22 xenografts with 12.9 mg/kg MLN2704 resulted in tumor growth delay but limited tumor regression (40), whereas potent tumor regressions were seen here with 6 mg/kg weekly doses of PSMA ADC. Experimental differences between the 2 studies, however, preclude direct comparison of results.

Several in vitro mechanisms of docetaxel resistance have been identified in studies of prostate cancer cells. The mechanisms include tubulin mutations, redistribution of tubulin isoforms, downregulation of thrombospondin-1, loss of PTEN activity, and induction of Stat1 and clusterin (5–8, 45). Less is known regarding clinical resistance. In particular, it remains uncertain whether ATP-binding cassette (ABC) transport proteins play a key role (2). Rather, multifactorial mechanisms have been implicated in clinical resistance to taxanes (2, 3).

The sharp contrast in tumor responses to PSMA ADC or continued docetaxel therapy provides insights into the potential docetaxel resistance pathways operative in this study. Resistance to docetaxel is unlikely to reflect broad upregulation of ABC transporters because both taxanes and MMAE are transported by members of this protein family (46–48); however, we cannot exclude the possibility of selective upregulation of a transporter that confers resistance to docetaxel and not MMAE. Similarly, increased interstitial fluid pressure is unlikely to be a primary cause of resistance, because this mechanism would affect macromolecules to a greater extent than small molecules (49). Docetaxel-refractory tumors maintained PSMA expression at levels sufficient for ADC therapy in this study; this finding is consistent with in vitro studies showing that short-term exposure to docetaxel does not affect PSMA expression (50).

This study establishes determinants of PSMA ADC’s antitumor activity using a series of primary and engineered prostate cancer cell lines. Selective antitumor activity was observed only in PSMA-expressing cells with more than 10⁵ PSMA molecules per cell. Internalization and glycosylation-dependent folding of PSMA were important for the in vitro potency of PSMA ADC. In addition, PSMA ADC was broadly and potently active against human prostate tumors that had become refractory to docetaxel treatment in vivo. Our findings are relevant to the ongoing clinical investigation of this targeted agent in advanced prostate cancer.
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