Compensatory Pathways Induced by MEK Inhibition Are Effective Drug Targets for Combination Therapy against Castration-Resistant Prostate Cancer
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Abstract

Targeted therapies have often given disappointing results when used as single agents in solid tumors, suggesting the importance of devising rational combinations of targeted drugs. We hypothesized that construction of such combinations could be guided by identification of growth and survival pathways whose activity or expression become upregulated in response to single-agent drug treatment. We mapped alterations in signaling pathways assessed by gene array and protein phosphorylation to identify compensatory signal transduction pathways in prostate cancer xenografts treated with a MAP/ERK kinase (MEK) inhibitor PD325901. In addition to numerous components of the extracellular signal–regulated kinase (ERK) signaling pathway, components of the IKK, hedgehog, and phosphoinositide 3-kinase/Akt/mTOR pathways were upregulated following treatment with PD325901. Combinations of PD325901 with inhibitors of any one of these upregulated pathways provided synergistically greater growth inhibition of in vitro cell growth and survival than the individual drugs alone. Thus, the identification of compensatory signal transduction pathways paves the way for rational combinatorial therapies for the effective treatment of prostate cancer.
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Introduction

Inhibitors targeting signaling molecules that are over-expressed and activated in cancer have shown only modest clinical benefit when used as single agents (1, 2). One explanation for this may rest in recent data showing that extracellular signals are transmitted through a network of proteins rather than through hierarchical signaling pathways (3–5). This network model explains why inhibition of a single component of a canonical pathway is usually insufficient to have dramatic effects on the treatment of cancer: The biological outcome of signals propagated through a network is inherently more robust and resistant to inhibition of a single network component (6). Thus, it is becoming increasingly clear that for many cancers, the most effective use of molecular targeted therapies for cancer will require a combination of several agents that inhibit key nodes or fragile points in the network.

However, the difficulty of developing combinations of targeted agents is geometrically more difficult than developing a single agent. Ideally, one would be able to identify pathways that compensate for or blunt the cytotoxic effects of single agents, and then use a second agent that targets this compensatory pathway. One potentially effective paradigm for identifying these pro-growth and survival compensatory signaling events is to identify changes in activity or expression of signaling components that are induced by specific targeted therapeutics. Here, we show that these induced signaling changes do, in fact, reveal functionally significant compensatory changes and hence therapeutic targets that can guide the construction of rational therapies.

In prostate cancer, prior work by us and others suggests that activation of Ras signaling plays an important role in progression of prostate cancer to advanced, castration-resistant disease (7–9). In earlier work, we showed that activation of mitogen-activated protein kinase (MAPK), an effector of Ras activation, correlates with prostate cancer progression in patient samples (9). Moreover, activation of Ras signaling was sufficient to reduce androgen dependence of growth in cultured cells and xenografts (8). In addition, Ras-related signaling was necessary for castration-resistant growth, as inhibition of Ras activity with dominant-negative Ras restored androgen dependence...
both in vitro and in vivo (7). Taken together, these results suggest that inhibition of Ras and/or its effectors, such as MAP/ERK kinase (MEK), could be an effective therapy for advanced prostate cancer.

In this study we show, contrary to expectations, that inhibition of MEK was only partially effective at blocking castration-resistant growth of prostate cancer xenografts, suggesting that other pathways besides the MAPK pathway need to be cotargeted to achieve full therapeutic benefit in vivo. We identified several progrowth and survival compensatory signaling pathways whose activity or expression was induced by inhibiting MEK in prostate cancer xenografts. We found that combining inhibitors of these compensatory responses with MEK inhibition effectively blocked cell growth.

Materials and Methods

Cell culture and reagents

CWR22Rv1 cells were a kind gift from Steven Balk, Harvard University, Cambridge, MA, and grown in Dulbecco’s Modified Eagle’s Media (DMEM; Gibco-BRL) with 10% fetal calf serum (Life Technologies, Inc.). LAPC4 cells (a gift from Dr. Charles Sawyers, Memorial Sloan-Kettering Cancer Center, NY) were grown in DMEM/F12 (Gibco-BRL) supplemented with 10% fetal calf serum (Life Technologies, Inc.). The cell lines were verified by comparison to published (i) morphologic features, (ii) growth properties in vitro and in vivo, (iii) expression of the androgen receptor (AR), and (iv) transcriptional response of a subset of genes to androgen stimulation. Cultures were maintained in a humidified chamber at 37°C with 5% CO2. PD325901 was a gift from Pfizer. SANT-1, SC-514, UO126, and rapamycin were from EMD Biosciences. See Supplementary Figure S1 for structures. PARP antibody was from Cell Signaling Technology and the anti-tubulin antibody from EMD Biosciences. Western blot analyses were carried out as previously described (10).

Animal studies

Athymic NCr-nu/nu mice (5- to 6-week old; National Cancer Institute) were bilaterally inoculated subcutaneously with 2 × 10⁶ cells in Matrigel (BD Biosciences) at 50:50 by volume in a total of 100 μL per site. Tumor burden was measured weekly with calipers in 2 dimensions and volume was calculated using the following formula: (length × width²) × 0.5 = volume (11). In experiment 1, tumor-bearing animals were divided into 6 groups, 10 mice per group: untreated, vehicle alone, 3.125, 6.25, 12.5, or 25 mg/kg/d PD325901 in 0.5% HPMT-0.2% Tween-80. In experiment 2, tumor-bearing animals were divided into 4 groups, 12 mice per group: vehicle or 25mg/kg/d PD325901 in 0.5% HPMT-0.2% Tween-80 with surgical or sham castration. Repeated measures models were used to compare overall tumor growth among dose groups and controls. F tests based on contrasts were used to make specific comparisons between pairs of groups. Mice were sacrificed, placed on ice, tumors excised, divided into thirds, with one third fixed in Zn⁺⁺ buffered formalin and paraffin embedded for immunohistochemistry, one third snap frozen in liquid nitrogen for protein analysis, and one third placed in RNA later (Ambion).

Microarray analysis

Sample preparation, cRNA labeling, hybridization to Affymetrix HG-U133 expression arrays, and scanning was conducted at the University of Virginia Biomolecular Research Facility using the Affymetrix GeneChip System. The .cel files were quantile normalized and expression values estimated using GC-RMA (12). We applied a modified t test, using the limma package in Bioconductor, to drug treated versus control to identify differentially expressed genes (12). To arrive at lists of genes for every comparison, we first corrected for multiple hypothesis testing by applying a false discovery rate correction to the P values and used a 5% false discovery rate cutoff point. We identified pathways impacted by the differentially expressed genes using Pathway-Express (Table 1; ref. 13).

Reverse-phase protein microarrays

Protein was generated by pulverizing tumor with mortar and pestle while frozen in liquid N₂, resuspended in 1:1 T-PER (Pierce) and Laemmli sample buffer containing protease and phosphatase inhibitors, sonicated on ice, and cleared. Lysates were then analyzed by reverse-phase protein array (RPMA) as described (14). Briefly, approximately 40 nL of lysate was printed in duplicate onto nitrocellulose-coated glass slides (FAST Slides; Whatman) with an Aushon 2470 solid pin microarrayer (Aushon Biosystems) equipped with 350 μm pins. Samples were printed in 5 point, 1:2 serial dilution curves and 50 slides were printed for each group. Slides were stored desiccated at −20°C before staining with antibody.

For estimation of total protein amounts, selected arrays were stained with SYPRO Ruby Protein Blot Stain (Invitrogen) according to the manufacturer’s instructions and visualized on a Kodak Image Station (Eastman Kodak). Printed slides were prepared for staining by treating with 1× Reblot (Chemicon) for 15 minutes, followed by 5-minute washes twice with PBS. Slides were treated overnight with blocking solution (1g I-block; Applied Biosystems, 0.5% Tween-20 in 500 mL PBS) with constant rocking at 4°C. Staining used an automated slide stainer (DAKO) using a biotinyl-linked peroxidase-catalyzed signal amplification system as per the manufacturer’s recommendation and components from the manufacturer’s kit, unless otherwise indicated as previously described (15). Slides were stained with a set of 39 antibodies against phosphorylated or total forms of proteins involved in cell proliferation, survival, motility, and apoptosis signaling. A complete list of antibodies, sources, and dilutions used for these experiments is available in Supplementary Table S1. Stained slides were scanned individually on a UMAX PowerLook III scanner.
(UMAX) at 600 dpi and saved as TIF files in Photoshop 7.0 (Adobe). The TIF images for antibody-stained slides and SYPRO-stained slide images were analyzed using Micro-Vigene v2.8.1.0 (VigeneTech). Briefly, final data values for each sample were calculated using the factor average mode, and all values were negative control subtracted and normalized to total protein.

Growth assays
For three-dimensional (3D) cell cultures, 500 CWR22Rv1 cells were seeded in 50% BD Matrigel Basement Membrane Matrix (BD Biosciences) in 24 wells and covered with DMEM/10% FBS. Drug or vehicle (dimethyl sulfoxide) was administered daily with medium change. After 16 days of culture, the 3D cultures were photographed and prepared for cell recovery. The gels were washed twice with PBS and then the cells were extracted from the gels with BD Cell Recovery Solution (BD Biosciences). Cell numbers were determined with the QuantoCell Proliferation Assay (Stratagene).

For 2D cell cultures, 15,000 cells were plated per well in a 24-well dish and treated with a single dose of drugs. After 7 days, crystal violet (Sigma) staining was used as a surrogate for cell number (16). Quantitation was conducted on a BioTek Synergy 2 plate reader at 595 nm absorbance following addition of 0.25 mL 20% methanol, 30 minutes. Bliss independence was determined by the equation: Bliss independent effect \( = 1 - \frac{\text{effect drug 1} \times \text{effect drug 2}}{\text{specific dose of each drug}} \) for specific dose of each drug (17, 18). When the observed experimental data matched the predictions of Bliss independence, the inhibitors were additive, whereas greater than predicted potency indicated synergism and lower than predicted potency indicated antagonism.

Results

MEK inhibition decreased CWR22Rv1 in vitro growth in 3D Matrigels

We selected CWR22Rv1 cells to test the efficacy of MEK inhibitors, as CWR22Rv1 cells have detectable basal levels of MAPK activity (19) as occurs in advanced disease in humans (9). To reflect the in vivo situation in xenografts as close as possible, CWR22Rv1 cells were grown in 3D Matrigel plugs and treated with different MEK inhibitors for a period of 2 weeks (Fig. 1). Indirect cell counting was used to investigate the growth of cells in 3D following 2 weeks of treatment with UO126, CI1040, and PD325901 (Fig. 1A). All 3 MEK inhibitors revealed strong growth inhibition. We focused on PD325901 in the following experiments as a representative of a family of allosteric MEK inhibitors in clinical development. Microscopy confirmed the cell counts; smaller and less numerous spheres developed following PD325901 treatment (Fig. 1B). PD325901 effectively blocked extracellular signal–regulated kinase (ERK) phosphorylation of CWR22Rv1 cells cultured in 3D (Fig. 1C).

PD325901 is highly selective in vivo

To assess the selectivity of PD325901 in vivo, castrated and sham-operated nude mice carrying CWR22Rv1 tumors were treated with a single 25-mg/kg dose of PD325901. Tumors were harvested at 2, 6, and 14 hours posttreatment. Western blot analysis showed effective inhibition of ERK phosphorylation at all times, although phospho-ERK levels were inhibited to a greater extent at 2 and 6 hours when compared with 14 hours post–PD325901 treatment (Fig. 2A). Analyzing xenografts over time by RPMA following a single dose of PD325901 showed a rapid decrease in only ERK phosphorylation (Fig. 2B). Again, phospho-ERK levels are maximally suppressed at 2 and 6 hours. By 14 hours post–PD325901 treatment, phospho-ERK levels are still below control but have begun to rise. Thirty-eight different phosphorylation sites in tumor lysates were examined by RPMA (Supplementary Table S1). Only ERK and p90RSK, a kinase directly downstream and activated...
by ERK that showed a modest reduction in phosphorylation levels, changed during the first 14 hours following a single dose of PD325901. No other changes, either up or down, were observed in any of the other 36 phosphoproteins analyzed (data not shown). This is consistent with PD325901 being a highly selective small molecule MEK inhibitor in vivo and provides important information complementary to the more usual kinase panel screening for drug specificity (20).

**MEK inhibition decreased CWR22Rv1 subcutaneous tumor growth**

Nude mice carrying CWR22Rv1 tumors were randomized and treated daily for 14 days with different doses of PD325901. We observed a dose-dependent decrease in tumor growth during drug administration, with nearly complete tumor growth suppression observed at the highest dose \( (P < 0.0001; \text{Fig. } 3) \). When drug was withdrawn, tumor growth resumed at a slope comparable to vehicle-treated animals. Previously, we found that combining androgen ablation with blockade of Ras signaling (with dominant-negative Ras) resulted in dramatic, rapid, and complete tumor regression (7). Thus, we wanted to test whether inhibition of MEK with PD325901 combined with androgen ablation would lead to tumor regression. Nude mice carrying CWR22Rv1 tumors were randomized and half were castrated. One week later, half of each group received 25 mg/kg PD325901 or vehicle daily for 3 weeks. Again, PD325901 inhibited tumor growth \( (P < 0.0001; \text{data not shown}) \). However, there was no cooperative effect on inhibiting tumor growth when MEK inhibition was combined with androgen ablation \( (P = 0.96; \text{data not shown}) \). MEK signaling was effectively inhibited following 3 weeks of 25 mg/kg/d PD325901 (see below).

The inability of MEK inhibition to mimic the growth-inhibitory effects of dominant-negative Ras suggests that cells are able to compensate for the single-target inhibition by the small molecule more effectively than they can compensate for the multiple effects of a Ras blockade. To identify the compensatory signaling pathways induced by MEK inhibition as a paradigm for rationally selecting combinatorial therapeutics, we analyzed the tumor transcriptome by gene array and functional protein signaling activation by RPMA. Three groups of xenografts were analyzed: control vehicle-treated animals,
A total of 5,294 genes were scored as upregulated at least 1.5-fold (P < 0.05) and 833 genes were downregulated following treatment with PD325901. The treated tentative tumor pieces from comparably sized tumors processed for protein or RNA analysis.

For gene array studies, RNA was isolated and analyzed using Affymetrix HgU133_Plus 2 gene chips. The treated and untreated samples clustered separately as expected. Approximately half the genes whose expression changed following treatment with PD325901 remained at least partially upregulated or downregulated even after drug was withdrawn, suggesting that MEK inhibition in prostate tumors led to persistent changes in the transcriptome. We used the Pathway-Express tool to identify pathways affected by the differentially expressed genes (13). This revealed that numerous components of the ERK signaling pathway were upregulated in response to PD325901 treatment, including SOS, K-Ras, Rap1a, c-Raf, B-Raf, ERK1, ERK2, and MPI, as if massive overexpression of the pathway was a mechanism for overcoming the signaling blockade (Fig. 4).

Furthermore, we found that transcription of additional pathways were substantially upregulated following treatment with PD325901 (Table 1). Some were expected, such as phosphoinositide 3-kinase (PI3K) and Akt. Others were more surprising, such as genes from the Sonic Hedgehog pathway, including Patched, Dyrk1, and Fu, as well as genes of the Wnt and Notch signaling pathways. It is striking that the pathways that change in response to MEK inhibition have been shown to be important in prostate development and/or cancer (21–24).

To determine the functional activation state of the signaling pathways, phosphorylation levels of key signaling proteins within these xenografts was analyzed using RPM. ERK phosphorylation was inhibited for the 3 weeks of drug treatment and then returned to normal when drug was removed, as expected (Fig. 5). Phosphorylation of MEK increased upon inhibition, presumably due to release of feedback inhibition due to phosphorylations by ERK on SOS and Raf (25). Thus, inhibition of MEK results in hyperactivation of the upstream components of the pathway, an important consideration in understanding how the compensatory survival pathways are activated. Regulatory phosphorylations of Akt, PTEN, and mTOR increased upon PD325901 treatment, consistent with an antiapoptotic response perhaps due to the loss of feedback control at the level of Ras. Interestingly, these phosphorylations persisted even after withdrawal of the drug, suggesting that there was a selective advantage for maintaining this antiapoptotic response. Additional phosphoproteins that increased and persisted following PD325901 withdrawal included SMAD2, GSK3B, IxB, and NF-kB.

This constellation of changes in protein phosphorylation and gene transcription reflects changes in the cell signaling network triggered by MEK inhibition. We hypothesized that inhibition of one or more of these compensatory pathways will be necessary to complement MEK inhibition in prostate cancer therapy. To test whether inhibition of the compensatory survival pathways cooperates with MEK inhibition to more effectively block prostate cancer cell growth, we treated CWR22Rv1 cells with PD325901 in combination with inhibitors either of IKK, hedgehog, or mTOR (Fig. 6). These 3 protein targets were chosen on the basis of (i) the magnitude and persistence of the change in phosphorylation following MEK inhibition; (ii) the known role of these signaling pathways in prostate cancer (26–29); (iii) the fact that these targets are down stream effectors of signaling pathways that had multiple proteins elevated—for example, in the PI3K signaling pathway, PTEN, Akt, and mTOR were all elevated and in NF-kB signaling, IxB and NF-kB were both elevated (Fig. 6); (iv) the occurrence of alterations detected at both the mRNA and protein levels (e.g., PI3K and NF-kB; Figs. 5 and 6, and data not shown); (v) the existence of pathway crosstalk (e.g., PI3K signaling crosstalks with and can activate NF-kB; ref. 30); and (vi) clinically relevant inhibitors for these targets exist (31–33). Thus, we chose inhibitors of mTOR, IKK, and hedgehog for further analysis.

CWR22Rv1 cells grown for 7 days in the presence of 10 nmol/L PD325901 were inhibited nearly 70%. Figure 6 shows that enhanced cytotoxicity can be achieved by combining PD325901 treatment with inhibitors either of IKK (NF-kB pathway), hedgehog, or mTOR (PI3K pathway). For each drug combination tested, the cytotoxicity observed was greater than the cytotoxicity of the single drugs. In addition, the drug combinations of PD325901 with the IKK or mTOR inhibitors showed synergy as determined by the Bliss independence model (17). These
experiments suggest that it is possible to enhance the therapeutic effectiveness of MAP kinase pathway inhibitors by combining with inhibitors of compensatory response pathways. Although crystal violet staining is an effective measure of cell cytotoxicity (16), it does not provide any mechanistic insight. Therefore, we examined PARP cleavage to determine whether the cytotoxic response we observed by crystal violet was due, in part, to apoptosis. We observed PARP cleavage when CWR22Rv1 cells were treated with PD325901 and with SC-514 alone as well as with all combinations of PD325901 with rapamycin, SC-514, and SANT1, suggesting that the MAPK signaling pathway
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**Figure 4.** Pathway analysis of Gene Array Data from CWR22RV1 xenografts treated with PD325901 for 3 weeks. Three tumors per treatment group were analyzed by Affymetrix HgU133_Plus 2 gene chips. Shown is a schematic of the canonical MAPK pathway as described by Pathway-Express. A black box with white letters denotes upregulated gene products.

**Table 1.** Pathway analysis of gene array data

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pathway name</th>
<th>% Pathway Genes altered</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>mTOR</td>
<td>49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phosphatidylinositol</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VEGF</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wnt</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Insulin</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TGF-β</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Notch</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAPK</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apoptosis</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jak-STAT</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hedgehog</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Pathways and percent of gene products altered in response to PD325901 treatment identified using Pathway-Express.
Cytotoxic response is, at least partially, due to the induction of apoptosis.

These drug combinations were effective only in CWR22Rv1 cells. We tested combinations of PD325901 with IKK, hedgehog, or mTOR inhibitors in 3 other AR-positive prostate cancer cell lines LNCaP, C4-2, and LAPC4. We did not observe enhanced cytotoxicity (data not shown). However, neither LNCaP, C4-2, or LAPC4 cells have elevated MAPK signaling in vitro nor are these cell lines sensitive to PD325901 (data not shown), thus making it difficult to effectively evaluate combinations involving MEK inhibition in additional prostate cancer cell lines.

Importantly, the cooperative growth inhibition observed is specific to inhibitors targeting the compensatory pathways elevated in response to MEK inhibition. Combinations of PD325901 with 60 other small molecule inhibitors targeting a range of signal transduction pathways uncovered only 3 drug combinations that showed a cooperative effect in CWR22Rv1 cells (data not shown). This contrasts with the success using combinations derived from observing the transcriptome and phosphoproteome changes in response to MEK inhibition.

**Discussion**

In our work, we have conducted a functional protein signal pathway activation mapping and gene expression analysis of prostate tumor xenografts treated with an MEK inhibitor and found that MAPK inhibition caused...
hyperactivation of the upstream components of the canonical MAPK pathway as well as upregulation of other signaling events regulating cell growth and survival. This shows the problem in basing a therapy on a simple catalogue of activated proteins in cancer cells, because an aberrantly activated protein could be part of a feedback or feed-forward control system. Without knowing its network role, inhibiting this protein could result in contributing to disease progression rather than curative therapy.

Previous studies have highlighted the complexity of selecting a targeted therapeutic agent on the basis of the activation of a single network component (26, 27, 34). Elevated mTOR activity has been observed in multiple cancers and mTOR inhibitors have shown robust activity in model systems. However, the clinical trial results with mTOR inhibitors have been more modest than predicted (27). Studies have shown that whereas inhibiting mTOR activity in lung, breast, colon, and prostate cancer cells effectively suppressed the phosphorylation of downstream effectors such as p70S6K and 4E-BP1, it increased the phosphorylation of AKT (26, 27). This increase in AKT activity attenuated the effect of mTOR inhibition and facilitated cancer cell growth and survival. Only upon discovery of this mTOR/AKT feedback control system could effective combinatorial treatments be determined; inhibition of IGFR-1 in breast and prostate cancer cell lines, and of PI3K in lung cancer cell lines sensitized cells to mTOR inhibition (26, 27).

We used global analysis of protein activation and gene expression to identify compensatory events and facilitate the design of effective drug combinations. The usefulness of global analysis for identifying drug combinations was recently shown using the KrasG12V/Lkb1+/− mouse model for non–small cell lung cancer (35). Analysis of gene expression and phosphoproteome profiles between primary KrasG12V tumors, primary KrasG12V/Lkb1+/− tumors, and metastatic KrasG12V/Lkb1−/− tumors showed an increase in genes associated with the FAK/Src and PI3K/AKT pathways. Targeting the PI3K/AKT, MAPK, and Src pathways in combination significantly reduced tumor burden in the KrasG12V/Lkb1−/− mice compared with targeting either Src alone or PI3K/AKT and MAPK together. These experiments conceptually overlap with our own results, showing that identification of compensatory signaling pathways can be used to rationally develop drug combinations.

When we combined inhibitors of IKK (NF-κB pathway) or mTOR (phosphatidylinositol pathway) with MEK inhibition, we observed synergistic cytotoxicity in CWR22Rv1 cells and additivity when we combined MEK and hedgehog inhibition according to Bliss independence (17, 18). Not yet determined is the precise mechanism of synergy with these drug combinations. An increase in NF-κB signaling has been associated with prostate cancer (36). Moreover, a recent study has found that inflammatory infiltration and activation of IKKα in tumor cells is associated with prostate cancer progression (28). The activation of IKKα in tumor cells following castration was dependent upon IKKβ in infiltrating immune cells and the release of lympho-toxin. Inhibition of any component of this signaling resulted in a significant delay in the appearance of castration-resistant prostate cancer. Inhibition of MEK may trigger upregulation of NF-κB signaling, as NF-κB activation can lead to an increase in Bcl-xL in some systems (37). Such an upregulation could blunt the effectiveness of therapies by facilitating cell survival and castration resistance.

mTOR is a protein kinase downstream of PTEN/PI3K/Akt signaling that regulates protein translation, cell growth, and apoptosis (38). The implication of inhibiting mTOR in isolation is described above. Our data suggest that inhibiting MEK in vivo leads to an increase in Akt and mTOR activity. This observation is consistent with previous work showing that blockade of EGFR to MAPK signaling conferred a decrease in IRS-1 serine phosphorylation thereby promoting IGFR to Akt signaling (39). MAPK signaling can affect IRS-1 serine phosphorylation either through direct phosphorylation by ERK or through the ability of ERK to transactivate p70S6K (39, 40). The inhibition of MEK in prostate xenografts appears to trigger a similar response and the combination of MEK and mTOR inhibition may counteract the effect of MEK inhibition on IRS-1 phosphorylation.

Hedgehog signaling is a major regulator of cellular differentiation and proliferation that is elevated in prostate cancer (29). Previous studies have suggested cross-talk between hedgehog and MAPK signaling; specifically ERK involvement in Gli regulation (41–43). In pancreatic cancer, Gli is required for K-Ras–mediated tumorigenesis (42, 44). Recently, direct evidence for ERK and JNK binding and phosphorylation of Gli transcription factors was reported (45). Loss of ERK signaling in prostate cancer may trigger an increase in canonical hedgehog signaling. The combination of MEK and hedgehog inhibition then leads to additive growth inhibition.

One implication of these observations is that a combination therapy targeting MEK along with inhibiting IKK, mTOR, or hedgehog may be efficacious for the treatment of prostate cancer, although further work is necessary for testing these combinations in preclinical models. Previously, we showed that in vivo Ras blockade could restore androgen sensitivity to a castration-resistant prostate cancer xenograft, C4-2 cells (7). This suggests that combining MEK inhibition with IKK, mTOR, or hedgehog inhibition may be effective with androgen ablation. Also, as multiple signaling pathways are elevated in response to MEK inhibition, it may be more efficacious in the clinic to use a cocktail of drugs targeting the compensatory pathways. One fundamental question remaining is whether the compensatory pathways elevated in response to MEK inhibition observed in this study will be observed clinically. In our hands, CWR22Rv1 cells are the only AR-positive prostate cancer.
cell lines with active MAPK in vitro. We did not observe any additive or synergistic effect on cell cytotoxicity when testing the above combinations on LNCaP, C4-2, and LAPC4 cells. This is likely due to the lack of active MAPK in vitro; however, it is possible that the compensatory effects and subsequent effective drug combinations may be unique to a given cell line or individual. The broader implication of the data presented herein suggests that the conceptual paradigm of a global analysis to identify the compensatory signal transduction pathways in response to a molecular targeted agent can be used to determine effective drug combinations for the treatment of cancer, especially in the context of personalized medicine.
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