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Abstract

Metastasis and drug resistance are the major causes of mortality in patients with pancreatic cancer. Once developed, the progression of pancreatic cancer metastasis is virtually unstoppable with current therapies. Here, we report the remarkable clinical outcome of a patient with advanced, gemcitabine-resistant, pancreatic cancer who was later treated with DNA damaging agents, on the basis of the observation of significant activity of this class of drugs against a personalized xenograft generated from the patient’s surgically resected tumor. Mitomycin C treatment, selected on the basis of its robust preclinical activity in a personalized xenograft generated from the patient’s tumor, resulted in long-lasting (36+ months) tumor response. Global genomic sequencing revealed biallelic inactivation of the gene encoding PalB2 protein in this patient’s cancer; the mutation is predicted to disrupt BRCA1 and BRCA2 interactions critical to DNA double-strand break repair. This work suggests that inactivation of the PALB2 gene is a determinant of response to DNA damage in pancreatic cancer and a new target for personalizing cancer treatment. Integrating personalized xenografts with unbiased exomic sequencing led to customized therapy, tailored to the genetic environment of the patient’s tumor, and identification of a new biomarker of drug response in a lethal cancer. Mol Cancer Ther; 10(1); 3–8. ©2010 AACR.

Introduction

Pancreatic cancer is an aggressive malignancy with one of the worst outcomes among all solid malignancies (1). At advanced, metastatic stages, pancreatic cancer can almost never be controlled by any of the available therapeutic options, mirrored by an extremely low estimated 5-year survival rate of <2% (2). Clinical benefit of gemcitabine as a systemic agent in the treatment of advanced pancreatic cancer results in a median survival of less than 6 months (3). Improvements in therapy have been modest with the addition of erlotinib to gemcitabine in combination, resulting in improved median survival on the order of weeks (4).

One strategy actively sought to improve outcome is to personalize cancer treatment. The development of molecular profiling technologies to assess DNA, RNA, protein, and metabolites has fueled efforts to tailor medical care, both at tumor and patient levels. Indeed, validated molecular tests assessing tumor tissue or patient germline DNA already drive therapeutic decision making. These approaches have the potential to fulfill the promise of delivering the right dose for the right indication to the right patient at the right time (5). With the ability to interrogate the entire human cancer genome, it is becoming apparent that some cancers can be effectively treated by targeting specific somatic alterations present in these cancers. This targeting is perhaps best exemplified by the observation that patients with lung cancer harboring mutations in the epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) gene respond rather dramatically to agents that target this receptor (6). This relationship was discovered only after thousands of patients had been treated with the agents in the clinic (7).

The pancreatic cancer genome project identified heterogeneity in the molecular alterations of pancreatic cancer, indicating the need for personalized cancer therapy (8). The recent complete sequencing of the coding genomes of several cancer types, together with the dramatically reduced cost of whole genome sequencing, provides an unprecedented opportunity to discover novel targets for personalized gene-specific cancer therapy (8). Here, we present a case of a patient with advanced pancreatic cancer who responded dramatically...
to mitomycin C (MMC). The molecular basis for this response, biallelic inactivation of the PALB2 gene, was discovered by the sequencing of virtually all of the coding genes in this patient’s cancer (8).

Materials and Methods

Patient
The patient described in this report was enrolled in the J0507 Johns Hopkins Medical Institute clinical trial (NCT00276744). This trial was a pilot prospective clinical trial in which patients with resectable pancreatic cancer signed a written consent to have a portion of their resected tumor implanted and propagated in nude mice. These xenografted tumors are treated with a set of anticancer agents with the goal of identifying the most effective agents that can be used to treat the patient’s cancer.

Xenograft establishment and in vivo tumor therapy studies
Female nu/nu athymic mice (Harlan) were used for the study. Animals were maintained under pathogen-free conditions and a 12-hour light–12-hour dark cycle. Animal experiments were conducted following approval and in accordance with the Animal Care and Use Committee guidelines of the Johns Hopkins University. Fresh pancreatic tumor specimens resected from patients at the time of surgery, with informed written patient consent, were implanted subcutaneously into the flanks of 6-week-old mice. Grafted tumors were subsequently transplanted from mouse to mouse and maintained as a live PancXenoBank collection according to an Institutional Review Board–approved protocol (9). JH033 xenograft tumor (originated from the patient described here) from the PancXenoBank at the exponential growth phase were resected aseptically and used as the source of tumor for subcutaneous implantation. Cohorts of mice with tumor size of ~200 mm³ were randomized to 4 treatment groups (6 mice, 10 tumors per group): (a) vehicle (control); (b) 5 mg/kg MMC intraperitoneal single dose; (c) 5 mg/kg cisplatin intraperitoneal once a week for 4 weeks; (d) 100 mg/kg gemcitabine intraperitoneal twice a week for 4 weeks; (e) 100 mg/kg gemcitabine intraperitoneal, with MMC (75 mg) were minced on ice in prechilled lysis buffer. The minced tissue was homogenized, and protein lysates (30 μg) were fractionated by SDS-PAGE, electrotransferred onto nitrocellulose membranes, and blotted with primary antibodies against BRCA1 (OP107), BRCA2 (OP95), or PALB2 (2134.00.02) from (Strategic Scientific Inc.), and FANCD2 (4945) from (Cell Signaling Technology Inc.). The membranes were probed with horseradish peroxidase-conjugated secondary antibody (Santa Cruz Biotechnology Inc.), and the antibody binding was detected by enhanced chemiluminescence (GE Healthcare), as previously reported (12).

Results

Clinical case
A 61 year-old male, with family history of pancreatic cancer, who had been previously tested and found to be wild-type for the BRCA2 gene, underwent a distal pancreatectomy and splenectomy for a pT3N1M0-infiltrating ductal adenocarcinoma of the pancreas. The patient had a 4-cm, poorly differentiated adenocarcinoma that had metastasized to 8 of 26 resected lymph nodes, with prominent extranodal extension. Venous and perineural invasion were identified, and the carcinoma extended to involve the celiac artery margin of resection (R1). The patient was enrolled in the J0507 trial, and a portion of the surgically resected tumor, coded as JH033, was xenografted in nude mice. Two months after surgery, prior to initiating adjuvant treatment, the patient was found to have a biopsy-proven metastasis to a supraclavicular lymph node (Fig. 1), and his carbohydrate antigen 19-9 (CA 19-9) rose to 10,132 U/mL (Fig. 2). The patient was treated with single agent gemcitabine, but developed significant disease progression after 4 months with pleural effusion, loco-regional progression in the abdominal cavity, and a CA 19-9 of 98,405 U/mL (Figs. 1B and 2). During this time, the
results of the xenograft treatment studies became available (Fig. 3), and on the basis of the response of the patient’s xenografted cancer to MMC, the patient was treated with MMC 8 mg/m²/28 days for a total of 5 courses. After treatment with MMC, the computed tomography (CT) scan findings improved, and the CA 19-9 level normalized (Fig. 2). This response was maintained for 22 months, after which the CA 19-9 rose to 392 U/mL, and a new lung nodule developed in the left upper lobe (Figs. 1C and 2). The patient was treated

Figure 1. Images of clinical outcome. A, positron emission tomography-CT obtained at the 1st postoperative visit showing an enlarged left supraclavicular lymph node with increased F-18-deoxyglucose uptake (arrow). A biopsy of this lymph node showed metastatic adenocarcinoma. B, CT revealing extensive loco-regional recurrent disease after 4 cycles of gemcitabine. C, late pulmonary progression with a left upper lesion that developed 22 months after the initial treatment with MMC (arrow). D, decrease in pulmonary lesion size after 2 additional courses of MMC (arrow).

Figure 2. CA 19-9 restores to normal levels with MMC treatment. Time course of CA 19-9 showing disease progression while on gemcitabine and complete normalization with MMC. Y-axis is log-scale of CA19-9 concentration presented in U/mL.
with 2 additional cycles of MMC, which resulted in a reduction in size of the lung nodule (Fig. 1D), but the patient developed incipient renal failure. Because the xenograft was also sensitive to cisplatin, platinum-based chemotherapy was initiated, and the patient received 3 cycles of this agent. At his last follow up, 3 years after surgical resection, his CA 19-9 was 39 U/mL, and the patient remains asymptomatic (data are not shown).

Mechanism underlying unique sensitivity to DNA damaging agents

This patient’s carcinoma was recently sequenced as a part of an effort to sequence the pancreatic cancer genome (8). The results of this exomic sequencing allowed us to assess, in an unbiased fashion, potential genetic determinants of this patient’s remarkable response to MMC. The patient’s carcinoma was found to have a somatically acquired transition mutation (C to T) at a canonical splice site for exon 10 (IVS10+2) in the Partner and Localizer of BRCA2 (PALB2) gene (Fig. 4A). A subsequent study identified a germline deletion of 4 base pairs (TTGT at C24172 to 175) that produced a frameshift mutation at codon 58 of the PALB2 gene (10). The PALB2 gene was, therefore, biallelically inactivated in this patient’s cancer. Functional analysis showed that this tumor has an intact FA complex 1 system leading to successful monoubiquitination of the FANCD2 protein (Fig. 4B, 1st lane), similar to the Panc 185 tumor used as a control, which has a wild-type PALB2 gene and is resistant to MMC. In contrast, the biallelic inactivation of the PALB2 gene in the JH033 patient’s tumor disrupts the downstream interaction between the BRCA1/BRCA2 complex, an interaction essential for DNA double-strand break repair (Fig. 4C; ref. 13).

Discussion

In this report, we highlight the remarkable clinical outcome of a patient with advanced, gemcitabine-resistant, pancreatic cancer who was treated with DNA damaging agents based on the observation of significant activity of this class of drugs against a personalized xenograft generated from the patient’s surgically resected tumor. Contrary to the expected median survival of 3 months for pancreatic cancer patients who progress on gemcitabine, this individual is virtually symptom-free for 3 years after progression to the first-line chemotherapy. Nearly complete sequencing of all of the coding genes in this patient’s cancer revealed biallelic inactivation of the PALB2 gene, a DNA repair gene, loss of which mechanistically explains the observed sensitivity of the patient’s cancer to DNA damaging agents (8). Of note, in a conventional “protocol-based” regimen, MMC would not have been used in a second-line setting for gemcitabine-refractory pancreatic cancer. Thus, this study highlights the considerable power of global genomic sequencing for the discovery of novel markers of drug activity, especially for cancers that show near uniform lethality. We have shown that biallelic inactivation of the PALB2 gene in this patient’s cancer cells alters the interaction of the BRCA1 and 2 proteins, an interaction required for proper functioning of the DNA double-strand break repair pathway (13).

Our study has at least 2 therapeutic implications in clinical oncology, 1 considerably more expansive in its scope than the other. First of all, response of pancreatic cancers to DNA damaging agents can now be predicted by sequencing PALB2 and BRCA2 genes. This situation is analogous to the EGFR gene mutations in lung cancer and
response to EGFR inhibitors (6, 14). On the basis of somatic mutational rates, we anticipate that 5 to 10% of pancreatic cancers will harbor such “synthetic lethal” interactions. Secondly, and more significantly, the process presented here can be generalized to other high mortality cancers, and systematically used to discover clinically relevant genetic defects that confer a vulnerability to therapeutic interventions. As the ability to obtain global genomic information from individual patient tumors becomes increasingly higher and inexpensive (15), live tumor xenografts with validated clinical response will become a viable platform to systematically explore “connections” between drug response and specific genetic alterations. In contrast to recurrent “driver” oncogenic mutations like EGFR, we anticipate that many therapeutic candidates identified by unbiased exomic or transcriptomic sequencing are likely to be rare (for example, PALB2 mutation was present in only 1 of 24 sequenced pancreatic cancers; ref. 8), and might represent “passengers” acquired during clonal progression. Irrespective of the frequency or nature of these mutations, targeting genetic alterations identified by global sequencing represents a new paradigm in individualized cancer therapy.

In summary, we report a patient with advanced pancreatic cancer for whom a personalized xenograft model generated from the patient’s tumor, linked to unbiased exomic sequencing, led to the discovery of a highly effective treatment regimen, and to an understanding of the genetic defect underlying the observed sensitivity of this patient’s cancer to DNA damaging agents. This approach forms the basis for linking personalized xenografts with global genomic sequencing for the development of personalized treatment and biomarker discovery.
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